Local fluctuation dissipation relation

Giorgio Parisi
Dipartimento di Fisica, INFM, SMC and INFN, Università di Roma La Sapienza, *P. A. Moro 2, 00185 Rome, Italy.*
(March 22, 2022)

arXiv:cond-mat/0208070v1 [cond-mat.dis-nn] 4 Aug 2002

In this letter I show that the recently proposed local version of the fluctuation dissipation relations follows from the general principle of stochastic stability in a way that is very similar to the usual proof of the fluctuation dissipation theorem for intensive quantities. Similar arguments can be used to prove that all sites in an aging experiment stay at the same effective temperature at the same time.

The fluctuation dissipation relations in off-equilibrium dynamics are a crucial tool to explore the landscape of a disordered system. These fluctuation dissipation relations are different from the predictions of the fluctuation dissipation theorem at equilibrium, so that sometimes one speaks about violations of the fluctuation dissipation theorem. The existence of these new relations has been firstly proved in mean field theories [1–4], however it has been later shown that they follow from the general principle of stochastic stability [5–8], that roughly speaking asserts that a random perturbation acts in a smooth way.

The fluctuation dissipation relations can be expressed in a rather simple form that can be easily interpreted from the theoretical point of view using the concept of the effective temperature [9,10]. Moreover the main parameters entering into the fluctuation dissipation relations have a simple interpretation from the point of view of equilibrium statistical mechanics [1,2,8]. This fact implies that for a given system the form of the fluctuation dissipation relations is universal in off-equilibrium dynamics, i.e. it does not depend on the way in which the system is put in an off-equilibrium situation (as soon the system remains slightly out of equilibrium). These fluctuation dissipation relations have been amply observed in numerical simulations [11–14] and quite recently in real experiments [15–17].

The case that has been mostly studied is where we consider observables that are the average over the whole sample. In this case there is a static-dynamic relation that connects the dynamic fluctuation dissipation relations to the static average of global quantities. Recent there have been a few investigations on fluctuation dissipation relations that involve only given local variables [18,19]. The aim of this letter is to give a theoretical foundation to these local fluctuation dissipation relations and to derive the appropriate static-dynamic relation. In order to reach this goal we have to introduce a new concept: the probability distribution of the single spin overlap: this is a generalization of the well know probability distribution of the total overlap of the system.

Let us recall the usual equilibrium fluctuation theorem. If we consider a pair of conjugated variables (e.g. the magnetic field and the magnetization) the response function and the spontaneous fluctuations are deeply related. Indeed, if $R_{eq}(t)$ is the integrated response (i.e. the variation of the magnetization at time t when we add a a magnetic field from time 0 on) and $C_{eq}(t)$ is the correlation among the magnetization at time zero and at time t, we have that $R_{eq}(t) = \beta(C_{eq}(0) - C_{eq}(t))$, where $\beta = (kT)^{-1}$. If we we eliminate the time and we plot parametrically R_{eq} as function of C_{eq}, we have that

$$-\frac{dR_{eq}}{dC_{eq}} = \beta \ . \tag{1}$$

The previous relation can be considered as the definition of the temperature and it is a consequence of the zeroth law of the thermodynamics.

In an aging system the generalized fluctuation dissipation relations can be formulated as follows. Let us suppose that the system is carried from high temperature to low temperature at time 0 and it is in an aging regime. We can define a response function $R(t_w, t)$ as the variation of the magnetization at time t when we add a a magnetic field from time t_w on. In a similar way $C(t_w, t)$ is the correlation among the magnetization at time t_w and at time t. We can define a function $R_{t_w}(C)$: we must plot $R(t_w, t)$ versus $C(t_w, t)$ by eliminating the time t in the region $t > t_w$, where the response function is different from zero.

The fluctuation dissipation relations state that for large t_w the function $R_{t_w}(C)$ converge to a limiting function $R(C)$. We can define

$$-\frac{dR}{dC} = \beta X(C) \tag{2}$$

where $X(C) = 1$, for $C > C_\infty \equiv \lim_{\to\infty} C_{eq}(t)$, and $X(C) < 1$ for $C < C_\infty$.

The shape of the function $X(C)$ gives important information on the free energy landscape of the problem, as discussed at lengthy in the literature [1–8]. It has been shown that in stochastically stable systems the function $X(C)$ is related to basic equilibrium properties of the system. Let us illustrate this point by considering for

definitiveness the case of a spin glass. Given two equilibrium configurations σ and τ, we define the global overlap as

$$q(\sigma,\tau) = \frac{\sum_{i=1,N} \sigma_i \tau_i}{N} \ , \tag{3}$$

where N is the total number of spins. The function $P_J(q)$ is the probability distribution of the overlap for a given sample and the function $P(q)$ is defined as the average of $P_J(q)$ over the samples.

It is convenient to introduce the function $x(q)$ defined by $dP(q)/dq = x(q)$. The relation among the dynamic fluctuation dissipation relations and the statics quantities is simple $X(C) = x(C)$.

There are recent numerical results [18,19] that indicate that the fluctuation dissipation relations and the static-dynamics connection can be generalized to local quantities in systems where a quenched disorder is present and aging is heterogeneous [20].

For *one given sample* we can consider the local integrated response function $R_i(t_w,t)$, that is the variation of the magnetization at the point i at time t when we add a magnetic field at the point i starting at the time t_w. In a similar way the local correlation function $C_i(t_w,t)$ is defined to be the correlation among the spin at the point i at different times (i.e. t_w and t). Quite often in system with quenched disorder aging is very heterogenous: the function C_i and R_i change dramatically from one point to an other [20].

It has been observed in simulations [18,19] that local fluctuation dissipation relations seems to hold

$$-\frac{dR_i}{dC_i} = \beta X_i(C) \ , \tag{4}$$

where $X_i(C)$ has quite strong variations with the site i.

It has also been suggested that in spite of these strong heterogeneities, if we define the effective β_i^{eff} at time t at the site i as

$$-\frac{dR_i(t_w,t)}{dC_i(t_w,t)} = \beta X_i(t_w,t) \equiv \beta_i^{eff}(t_w,t) \ , \tag{5}$$

the quantity $\beta_i^{eff}(t_w,t)$ does not depend on the site [19]. In other words a thermometer coupled to a given site would measure (at a given time) the same effective temperature independently on the site: different sites are thermometrically indistinguishable.

These empirical results call for a theoretical explanation. The aim of this note is to show that these results are consequence of stochastic stability in an appropriate contest and that there is a local relation among static and dynamics. The crucial step consists in defining a local probability distribution of the overlap for a given system at point i (i.e. $P_i(q)$). We will then introduce the notation $x_i(q) = \int_0^q P_i(q')dq'$ and we will show that the static-dynamic connection for local variables is very similar to the one for global variables and it is given by $X_i(C) = x_i(C)$.

It is evident that we need a definition of the local overlap that should be rather different from the usual one. Indeed the local overlap of two equilibrium configurations (i.e. $\sigma_i\tau_i$) is always equal to ± 1. If we use a naive definition, we find that the probability distribution of the local overlap is the sum of two delta functions at ± 1.

An useful definition of the local overlap an of its probability distribution can be obtained if we consider M identical copies (or clones) of our sample: we introduce $N \times M$ σ_i^a variables where $a = 1, M$ (eventually we send M to infinity) and N is the (large) size of our sample ($i = 1, N$). The Hamiltonian in this Gibbs ensemble is just given by

$$H_K(\sigma) = \sum_{a=1,M} H(\sigma^a) + \epsilon H_R[\sigma] \ , \tag{6}$$

where $H(\sigma^a)$ is the Hamiltonian for a fixed choice of the couplings and the $H_R[\sigma]$ is a random Hamiltonian that couples the different copies of the system. A possible choice is

$$H_R[\sigma] = \sum_{a=1,M;i=1,N} K_i^a \sigma_i^a \sigma_i^{a+1} \ , \tag{7}$$

where the variables K_i^a are identically distributed independent random Gaussian variables with zero average and variance 1. We can consider other ways to couple the systems (e.g. $H_R[\sigma] = \sum_{a,b=1,M;i=1,N} K_i^{a,b} \sigma_i^a \sigma_i^b$) but let us stick to the previous one for definitiveness.

Our central hypothesis is that all intensive self average quantities are smooth function of ϵ for small ϵ. This hypothesis is a kind of generalization of stochastic stability. According to this hypothesis, when $\epsilon \to 0$, the local correlation function and the response functions tend to the result for $\epsilon = 0$ uniformly in time.

In the case of small, but non-zero ϵ, let us take two equilibrium configurations σ and τ. For given K, we consider the site dependent overlap

$$q_i(\sigma.\tau) = \frac{\sum_{a=1,M} \sigma_i^a \tau_i^a}{M} \ . \tag{8}$$

We define the K-dependent probability distribution $P_i^K(q)$ as the probability distribution of the previous overlap. By averaging over K at fixed ϵ, we can define

$$P_i^\epsilon(q) = \overline{P_i^K(q)} \ , \tag{9}$$

where the bar denotes the average over K. We finally define

$$P_i(q) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} P_i^\epsilon(q) \ , \tag{10}$$

where the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ is done *after* the limits $M \to \infty$ and $N \to \infty$ (alternatively we keep $\epsilon^2 M$ and $\epsilon^2 N$ much larger than 1).

The proof of the local fluctuation dissipation relations can be obtained by copying, *mutatis mutandis*, the proof of the usual fluctuation dissipation relations [8]. For example we can consider the following perturbation

$$\Delta H_i^{(2)} \equiv \sum_{a=1,M,b=1,M} h^{a,b}\sigma_i^a\sigma_i^b \ , \tag{11}$$

where the variables h are Gaussian random variables with zero average and variance δ/M. If we assume for simplicity a Langevin type of time evolution, the same steps of [8] give :

$$\chi_i^{(2)}(t) = 2\int_{t_w}^{t} dt' C_i(t',t)\frac{\partial R_i(t',t)}{\partial t'} \ . \tag{12}$$

The previous equation can be rewritten as

$$\chi_i^{(2)}(t) = 2\int dCC\ X_i(t)(C) \ , \tag{13}$$

where we have defined

$$X_i(t)(C(t',t)) = \frac{\partial R_i(t',t)}{\partial t'}\left(\frac{\partial C_i(t',t)}{\partial t'}\right)^{-1} \ . \tag{14}$$

If we make the crucial hypothesis that the limits $t\to\infty$ and $\delta\to 0$ may be exchanged, we get

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\chi_i^{(2)}(t) = \chi_i^{(2)} = \beta\int dq P_i(q)(1-q^2) \ . \tag{15}$$

Generalizing the previous arguments we get

$$\chi_i^{(s)}(t) = s\int_{t_w}^{t} dt' C_i(t',t)^{s-1}\frac{\partial R_i(t',t)}{\partial t'} =$$
$$s\int dCC^{s-1}X_i(t)(C) \to_{t\to\infty} \beta\int dq P_i(q)(1-q^s) = \tag{16}$$
$$s\beta\int dq\ x_i(q)q^{s-1}$$

Using the previous equations for all values of s, we arrive to the conclusion that the quantity $X_i(t)(C)$ has a limit when the time goes to infinity (i.e. to formulation of the local fluctuation dissipation relations). The limit is given by

$$X_i(C) = \lim_{t\to\infty} X_i(t)(C) = x_i(C) \equiv \int_0^C dq P_i(q) dq \ . \tag{17}$$

The previous equations give the connection among static quantities and the local fluctuation dissipation relations.

The thermometric indistinguishability of the sites can be proved by considering two far away sites i and k and by introducing a perturbation that depends both the spins at i and the spins at k.

A typical example is

$$\Delta H_{i,k}^{(3,2)} \equiv \sum_{a_1,a_2,a_3,b_1,b_2=1,M} h_i^{a_1,a_2,a_3,b_1,b_2}\sigma_i^{a_1}\sigma_i^{a_2}\sigma_i^{a_3}\sigma_i^{b_1}\sigma_i^{b_2} \tag{18}$$

where the variables h are Gaussian random variables with zero average and variance δ/M^4. If we proceed as before, we find that the probability distribution $P_{i,k}(q_i,q_k)$ can be written in terms of $X_i(t)$ and $X_k(t)$. By imposing that $P_{i,k}(q_i,q_k)$ is a positive distribution, we finds that

$$X_i(t) = X_k(t) \ . \tag{19}$$

This conditions is just what is needed to impose the thermometric indistinguishability of the sites during aging.

As a by product we obtain

$$P_{i,k}(q_i,q_k) = \int_0^1 dx\delta(q_i - q_i(x))\delta(q_k - q_k(x)) \tag{20}$$

where $q_i(x)$ is the inverse function of $x_i(q)$. This relation has some interesting consequences that will be investigated in details elsewhere.

We note that the probability distribution of the local overlap $P_i(q)$, being related to a dynamical quantity, must depend only on the local environment around the point i and therefore it must have a straightforward limit when the volume of the system goes to infinity (e.g it should be independent of the boundary conditions). It is remarkable that for far away points (i,k) the two probability distributions $P_i(q)$ and $P_k(q)$ are independent one from the other, but the joint probability distribution of q_i and q_k does not factorize has shown by eq. 20.

Summarizing, we have seen that for a given sample it is possible to give a definition of a local probability distribution of the overlap, that depend on the site. The properties of this local probability distribution are related to the local fluctuation dissipation relations, that automatical follows from the present formalism. The condition of thermometric indistinguishability of the sites turns out to be a byproduct of our approach: during the aging regime all the sites are characterized by the same effective temperature.

It is important to stress that here (as well as in the original paper [8]) in the all the derivations we have made no esplicite assumption concerning the validity of static or dynamical ultrametricity or on the existence of a large separations of the time scales. Although the generalized fluctuation dissipation relations have been firstly derived in ultrametric mean field models [1,2], their validity relies only on more general properties like stochastic stability.

I am grateful to Jorge Kurchan for an illuminating discussion.

[1] L.F. Cugliandolo and J. Kurchan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 173 (1993); J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 27, 5749 (1994).

[2] S. Franz and M. Mézard, Europhys. Lett. **26**, 209 (1994).

[3] L.F. Cugliandolo, J. Kurchan and G. Parisi, J. Phys. I (France) **4**, 1641 (1994).

[4] For a review see J.P. Bouchaud, L.F. Cugliandolo, J. Kurchan and M. Mézard, *Out of equilibrium dynamics in spin glasses and the other glassy systems,* in *Spin Glasses and Random Fields,* edited by P. Young, World Scientific (1997).

[5] F. Guerra, Int. J. Phys. B, **10**, 1675 (1997).

[6] M. Aizenman and P. Contucci, J. Stat. Phys. **92** 765 (1998).

[7] G. Parisi, *On the probabilistic formulation of the replica approach to spin glasses*, cond-mat/9801081.

[8] S. Franz, M. Mézard, G. Parisi, L. Peliti, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 1758 (1998), J. Stat. Phys. **97** 459 (1999) .

[9] L. Cugliandolo, J. Kurchan and L. Peliti, Phys. Rev. **E55** 3898 (1997).

[10] S. Franz and M.A. Virasoro, J. Phys. A: Math. and Gen. **33**, 891 (2000).

[11] S. Franz and H. Rieger Phys. J. Stat. Phys. **79** 749 (1995).

[12] E. Marinari, G. Parisi, F. Ricci-Tersenghi and J. J. Ruiz-Lorenzo, J. Phys. A: Math and Gen. **31**, L481 (1998); E. Marinari, G. Parisi and J. Ruiz-Lorenzo, *Numerical Simulations of Spin Glass Systems*, in *Spin Glasses and Random Fields*, edited by P. Young (World Scientific, Singapore 1998); E. Marinari, G. Parisi, F. Ricci-Tersenghi, J. Ruiz-Lorenzo and F. Zuliani, J.Stat. Phys. **98**, 973 (2000).

[13] G. Parisi Phys. Rev. Lett. **78** 4581 (1997).

[14] W. Kob and J.-L. Barrat, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** 3660 (1997).

[15] T. S. Grigera and N. E. Israeloff, PRL **83**, 5038 (1999).

[16] Bellon and S. Ciliberto, cond-mat/0201224, to be published in Physica D (2002).

[17] D. Hérisson and M. Ocio, Phys. Rev. Lett. Letters **88**, 257202 (2002)

[18] H.E. Castillo, C. Chamon, L. Cugliandolo and M. Kennett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 237201 (2002).

[19] A. Montanari and F. Ricci-Tersenghi, *A microscopic description of the aging dynamics: fluctuation-dissipation relations, effective temperature and heterogeneities*, cond-mat 0207416.

[20] P.H. Poole *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 3394 (1997); A. Barrat and R. Zecchina, Phys. Rev. E **59**, R1299 (1999); F. Ricci-Tersenghi and R. Zecchina, Phys. Rev. E **62**, R7567 (2000).