

Dynamics of bright matter wave solitons in a quasi 1D Bose-Einstein condensate with a rapidly varying trap

F.Kh. Abdullaev^{1,2} and R. Galimzyanov¹

¹ Instituto de Física Teórica, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Rua Pombona, 145, São Paulo, Brasil

² Physical-Technical Institute of Uzbek Academy of Sciences, 700084, Tashkent-84, G. Mavlyanov str., 2-b, Uzbekistan

(March 22, 2024)

Abstract

The dynamics of a bright matter wave soliton in a quasi 1D Bose-Einstein condensate with periodically rapidly varying trap is considered. The governing equation is derived based on averaging over fast modulations of the Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) equation. This equation has the form of GP equation with effective potential of more complicated structure than unperturbed trap. For the case of inverted (expulsive) quadratic trap corresponding to unstable GP equation, the effective potential can be stable. For the bounded in space trap potential it is showed that the bifurcation exists, i.e., the single well potential bifurcates to the triple well effective potential. Stabilization of BEC cloud on-site state in the temporary modulated optical lattice is found. (analogous to the Kapitza stabilization of the pendulum). The predictions of the averaged GP equation are confirmed by the numerical simulations of GP equation with rapid perturbations.

PACS numbers: 42.65.-k, 42.50.Ar, 42.81.Dp

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently bright matter wave solitons has been observed in a Bose-Einstein condensate [1,2]. In the experiment described in Ref. [1] propagation in anisotropic BEC with cigar type geometry was considered. In Ref. [2] the soliton is monitored by projecting the bound state of 5000 atoms into expulsive harmonic potential. The soliton was observed propagating without changing of the form for distances is order of 1mm. The expulsive harmonic trap used, in the experiment, corresponds to an unstable potential for the GP equation and a soliton can exists only as a metastable state in BEC [3,4]. It is of interest to investigate the dynamics of a bright matter wave soliton in inhomogeneous time dependent systems, in particular possible stabilization of unstable dynamics or complicated dynamics of solitons in BEC.

An interesting example of such a trap is an optical trap which is indeed rapidly varied in time. It is realized for instance for a condensate in dipole trap formed by a strong off-resonant laser field [5]. A typical model is a trap formed by a harmonic potential with different frequencies which is cutting at an energy V_c . Numerical simulations of the condensate dynamics and splits in 1D BEC with a positive scattering length under periodically shaken trap has been performed in Ref. [5]. The same form of wave equation leads to the propagation of spatial solitons in periodically modulated parabolic waveguides. This problem appears in investigation of intense light beams in a nonlinear waveguide with inhomogeneous distribution of transverse refractive index. A typical distribution can be approximated by a quadratic profile. The rapid variation of this profile along the longitudinal direction leads to a rapidly varying quadratic potential in the NLS equation.

The general mathematical problem is to investigate localized states for the nonlinear wave equation with cubic nonlinearity and with rapidly varying (not small) potential of the form $V_0 = f(x)(t)$; 1. Also it is of interest to investigate possibilities of stabilization of a soliton by rapid perturbations. For multi dimensional NLS equation under rapidly varying in space periodic potential such a problem is discussed in [6].

The paper is organized as follows:

In section 2 we describe the procedure of obtaining the averaged equation for the GP equation with an external potential, that is rapidly varying in time and inhomogeneous in space, with the form $f(x) \cos(t)$, where $\omega = 1$. In Section 3, we considered some important potentials for applications, which have the forms given by $f(x) = x^2$; $1 = \cosh(bx)$, and $\cos(kx)$.

II. AVERAGED GROSS-PITAEVSKII EQUATION

The dynamics of BEC is described by the time dependent GP equation

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \psi + V(\mathbf{r};t) \psi + g_0 |\psi|^2 \psi; \quad (1)$$

where m is the atom mass, $g = 4\pi\hbar^2 a_s/m$, with a_s the atomic scattering length. $a_s > 0$ corresponds to the BEC with repulsive interaction between atoms and $a_s < 0$ to the attractive interaction. The trap potential is given by $V = m\omega_z^2(y^2 + z^2)/2 + V_1(\mathbf{x};t)$, where $V_1(\mathbf{x};t)$ is bounded potential or the optical lattice potential, ω_z describes the time dependence of the potential. We will specify the form of V_1 later. Below we will consider the cigar type condensate with $\omega_z \gg \omega_x, \omega_y$. Within such restrictions we can look for the solution of (1) with form $\psi(\mathbf{x};y;z;t) = R(y;z)U(\mathbf{x};t)$, where R satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla_{yz}^2 R + \frac{m\omega_z^2}{2}(y^2 + z^2)R = E_{\perp} R; \quad E_{\perp} = \hbar\omega_z/2$$

Averaging over the transverse mode R (i.e. multiplying by R^* and integrating over y, z)

$$R_0^2 = \frac{m\omega_z}{\hbar} \exp(-\frac{m\omega_z}{2\hbar}(y^2 + z^2));$$

and integrating over y, z we obtain the quasi 1D GP for U [7]

$$i\hbar U_t = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} U_{xx} + \left(\frac{m\omega_x^2}{2}x^2 + V_1(\mathbf{x};t)\right)U + G |U|^2 U; \quad (2)$$

where $G = g \int R^4 dx dy = \int R^2 dx dy = (2\hbar^2 a_s^3)/m$. In the dimensionless variables

$$t = \omega_z t; \quad x = x/l; \quad l = \frac{\hbar}{m\omega_x}; \quad u = \frac{a_s}{2a_s} U;$$

we have the governing equation

$$iu_t + u_{xx} + 2|u|^2 u = f(x)u; \quad (3)$$

where we will assume a periodic modulation of the trap

$$f(x) = f_0 + f_1 \sin(x); \quad (4)$$

For example for $V = m\omega_x^2 x^2/2$; $f_0 f(x) = (\omega_x^2/2)x^2$: Also $f_1 = \pm 1$ are the attractive and repulsive two body interactions respectively. We introduce the parameter $\beta = 1$ to have possibility to use the results for optical beam propagation. For BEC system $\beta = 1$.

The field $u(x;t)$ can be represented in the form of sum of slowly and rapidly varying parts $U(x;t)$ and $\psi(x;t)$:

$$u(x;t) = U(x;t) + \psi(x;t); \quad (5)$$

For obtaining the equation for an averaged field we will apply the asymptotic procedure suggested in [6], namely we will present the rapidly varying part of the field as expansion on Fourier series

$$\psi = A \sin(\tau) + B \cos(\tau) + C \sin(2\tau) + D \cos(2\tau) + \dots \quad (6)$$

where $A; B; C; D$ are functions of (x,t) that are slowly varying in the scale $O(1)$ functions. By substituting the equations (5),(6) into (3) we obtain the next set of equations for the slowly varying field and the coefficients of the expansion for the rapidly varying component

$$\begin{aligned} iU_t + U_{xx} + 2j\psi^2 U + U(A^2 + B^2 + C^2 + D^2) + \\ + 2U(A^2 + B^2 + C^2 + D^2) + (BCA - A^2D + ACB + B^2D + \\ + ABC + \frac{A^2D}{2} + \frac{B^2D}{2}) = f(x)U + \frac{1}{2}f(x)A \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} iA_t - iB + A_{xx} + 4j\psi^2 A + 2U(BC - AD) + 2U^2 A + \\ 2U(-DA + CB + BC - AD) + (\frac{3}{2}A^2 A + \dots) = \frac{1}{2}f(x)U - \frac{1}{2}f(x)D; \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} iA + iB_t + B_{xx} + 4j\psi^2 B + 2U^2 B + 2U(AC + BD) + \dots \\ = \frac{1}{2}f(x)C; \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$iC_t - 2iD + C_{xx} + 4j\psi^2 C + 2UAB + \dots = \frac{1}{2}f(x)B; \quad (10)$$

$$2iC + iD_t + D_{xx} + 4j\psi^2 D + U(B^2 - A^2) + \dots = \frac{1}{2}f(x)A; \quad (11)$$

The parameters ψ_1 are assumed $\ll 1$. As we can find from this system, the coefficients can be represented in the form of expansion

$$\begin{aligned} A = \frac{a_1}{2} + \frac{a_2}{4}; B = \frac{b_1}{2} + \frac{b_2}{4} \\ C = \frac{c_1}{3} + \frac{c_2}{5}; D = \frac{d_1}{2} + \frac{d_2}{4}; \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

For the coefficients of expansion we have the expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
a_1 &= i_{-1} f(x) U_t - \frac{1}{2} (f(x) U)_{xx} - \frac{1}{2} f(x) \nabla^2 U + \frac{1}{4} f^2(x) U; \\
b_1 &= i_{-1} f(x) U; \quad d_1 = \frac{f^2(x)^2}{4} U; \quad c_1 = \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

From (7), (12), (13) we obtain the averaged equation for U

$$\begin{aligned}
iU_t + U_{xx} + 2\nabla^2 U &= f(x) U - \frac{1}{2} f^2(x) U_t \\
-\frac{1}{2} f(x) (f(x) U)_{xx} - \frac{1}{2} f^2(x) \nabla^2 U &+ \frac{1}{4} f^2(x) U:
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Here $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$.

This equation has the conserved quantity – the number of atoms

$$\int_{-1}^1 dx \left(1 + \frac{f^2(x)}{2} \right) \nabla^2 U = \text{const:} \tag{15}$$

So, it is useful to introduce the new field V by

$$V = \left(1 + \frac{f^2(x)}{2} \right)^{1/2} U: \tag{16}$$

Substituting (16) into (14) and keeping the terms in order ϵ^2 we obtain the equation

$$iV_t + V_{xx} + 2\nabla^2 V = \left(f(x) + \frac{1}{2} [f_x(x)]^2 \right) V + O(\epsilon^4): \tag{17}$$

The averaged equation has the form of a modified NLS equation with a slowly varying potential

$$W(x) = f(x) + \frac{1}{2} [f_x(x)]^2: \tag{18}$$

This result shows that the soliton dynamics can have more complicated character than in the case of BEC with slowly varying parameters. We can expect here the stabilization of the unstable dynamics of a soliton by rapidly varying perturbation. It is a direct analogy with the stabilization of systems with a few degrees of freedom under rapidly varying in time parameters.

III. DYNAMICS OF DIFFERENT MODELS OF TRAP POTENTIALS

A. A quadratic potential

As an example, we will consider the attractive BEC with a quadratic trap potential. In this case we have a perturbed trap potential $[f_0 + f_1 \sin(t)]f(x)$ with $f(x) = x^2$ and $f_1 = 1$. An averaged effective potential is given by

$$W = (\omega_0 + 2\omega^2)x^2; \quad (19)$$

where $\omega = \omega_1 = \dots$. The case when $\omega_0 > 0$ corresponds to the inverted (repulsive) harmonic potential case which is well known to be unstable [4]. As seen that when $2\omega^2 > |\omega_0|$ the sign of the effective potential is reversed and the solitary wave dynamics becomes stable. The frequency of oscillations of the soliton center now is

$$\omega_0 = 2\omega^2 \frac{q}{(2\omega^2 - \omega_0)}; \quad (20)$$

This result can be also obtained by using the moments method. It is useful to introduce two new variables: a position of a solitary solution of the governing equation (3)

$$X(t) = \int_{-1}^{Z-1} dx x j_1(x;t) j^2; \quad (21)$$

and a field momentum

$$P(t) = \int_{-1}^{Z-1} dx (u(x;t)u_x(x;t) - u(x;t)u_x(x;t)); \quad (22)$$

By using governing equation (3) we come to the following set of integro-differential equations:

$$\begin{aligned} iP_t + 2 \int_{-1}^{Z-1} dx^0 \frac{\partial W(x^0)}{\partial x^0} j_1(x^0;t) j^2 &= 0; \\ iX_t - P &= 0; \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

In the case of a quadratic effective potential ($W(x) = x^2$) the set of equations (23) is closed and we get the equation of motion for the soliton solution center, $X(t)$, in the form

$$X_{tt} + 4X = 0; \quad (24)$$

We point out that the set (23) is exact. No additional assumption was made in obtaining this set of equations, and it is valid for any solitary solution of the governing equation (3). Also, it should be noted two remarkable facts connected with the dynamics of a solitary solution of NLS equation in the presence of a quadratic potential (or dynamics of BEC in a quadratic trap): i) In this case the equations of motion (23) for the soliton center, are exact, ii) after averaging, the initially quadratic potential remains to be quadratic but its sign may be reversed.




Fig. 1. Numerical simulations of the full GP equation for $f(x) = x^2$ with $\hbar = 10$, $\phi_0 = 0.0493$ and values of the perturbation parameter ϵ_1 providing reversing of the initially inverted harmonic potential. Two cases are presented : a) $\epsilon_1 = 4.7124$ and b) $\epsilon_1 = 3.1415$.

The results of numerical simulation of the full Gross-Pitaevskii equation with the modulated in time expulsive (inverted) harmonic potential are shown in Fig. 1. Two cases with $\epsilon_1 = 4.7124$ and $\epsilon_1 = 3.1415$ were considered. For both cases $\phi_0 = 0.0493$ and $\hbar = 10$. The results of computation are the following : a) $\epsilon_1 = 4.7124$, $\epsilon = 1.309$; ($T = 4.8$) Calculation by Eq. (24) gives $\phi_{cal} = 1.257$; ($T_{cal} = 5.0$). b) $\epsilon_1 = 3.1415$, $\epsilon = 0.7705$; ($T = 8.155$) Calculation by Eq. (24) gives $\phi_{cal} = 0.7695$; ($T_{cal} = 8.165$).

In both cases we observe the appearance of stable oscillations of the soliton position. We obtain good agreement between the full simulations and the averaged equation. As seen, the greater is the value of ϵ , the discrepancy we found between results of calculation of Eq.(24) and the ones of full numerical simulations of NLS equation. We can expect this since the ratio $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon$ is not small for these values of parameters. Let us estimate the values of parameters for the experimental situation. In the experiment [2] the longitudinal frequency was $\hbar_1 = 4\text{Hz}$, so, at the temporal modulations of this potential, with the frequency $\epsilon = 40\text{Hz}$ we should observe a stable bright matter wave soliton.

B. The case of a bounded potential

Let us consider a bounded in the space trap potential for the atomic Bose-Einstein condensate [5] under fast temporal perturbations $(\phi_0 + \phi_1 \sin(\omega t))f(x)$ with $f(x) = 1/\cosh(bx)$ and $\omega = 1$.

After averaging over the period of fast perturbations, the effective potential $W(x)$ has the form

$$W(x) = \frac{\phi_0}{\cosh(bx)} + \frac{\phi_1^2 \sinh^2(bx)}{2 \cosh^4(bx)} \quad (25)$$

Provided that

$$\frac{\phi_1^2}{2} > \frac{27}{2} \phi_0 \quad (26)$$

this effective potential takes a triple well structure having a central minimum and two lateral minima. In Fig. 2, a typical form of the potential is presented, where $\phi_0 = 0.05$; $\phi_1 = 9$; $b = 10$ and $\omega = 1$. (As seen, the condition (26) makes one to take large ratio of parameters ϕ_1 and ϕ_0 .)




Fig. 2. Effective potential for $f(x) = 1/\cosh(\alpha x)$ after temporal averaging. The parameters of perturbation $\beta = 10$, $\gamma_0 = 0.05$ and $\gamma_1 = 9$. Dashed line is for the case with $\gamma_1 = 0$ when temporal perturbation is turned off.

The two lateral minima are positioned at $x = \pm 3.96$ and the central one at the point $x = 0$.

The results of numerical simulations of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation are shown in Fig. 3.




Fig. 3. Numerical simulations of the full GP equation for the bounded potential with $f(x) = 1/\cosh(\alpha x)$. The case a) corresponds to the finite motion of the soliton center in the central minimum with $X_0 = 0$. The case b) corresponds to the motion in one of the lateral minima with $X_0 = 3.96$. For both cases $\beta = 10$, $\gamma_0 = 0.05$ and $\gamma_1 = 9$.

To describe small oscillations of the soliton solution center and to obtain equations of motion in general case, we will have to make some approximations. As the ansatz, we suppose the solution to take the form $u(x;t) = u(x - X(t);t)$. Here and after, for the sake

of simplicity, we also suppose the position of the minimum at $X_0 = 0$ and a symmetrical form for the soliton solution (dynamics of which we are investigating)

$$j_1(x;t)^2 = j_1(-x;t)^2; \quad (27)$$

Now we can consider the second term of the equation (23). At first we rewrite the effective potential as a sum of antisymmetric and symmetric parts $W(x) = W^A(x) + W^S(x)$, where $W^A(x) = \frac{1}{2}(W(x) - W(-x))$ and $W^S(x) = \frac{1}{2}(W(x) + W(-x))$. Then, substituting $W(x)$ into the second term of Eq. (23) expanding $j_1(x - X(t);t)^2$ in terms of $X(t)$ and by holding the first two terms,

$$j_1(x^0 + X;t)^2 = j_1(x;t)^2 + X \frac{\partial j_1(x^0;t)^2}{\partial x^0}; \quad (28)$$

we have

$$2 \int_{-1}^1 dx^0 \frac{\partial W^A(x^0)}{\partial x^0} j_1(x^0 + X;t)^2 = 2 \int_{-1}^1 dx^0 \frac{\partial W^A(x^0)}{\partial x^0} j_1(x^0;t)^2 + \quad (29)$$

$$2X \int_{-1}^1 dx^0 \frac{\partial W^S(x^0)}{\partial x^0} \frac{\partial j_1(x^0;t)^2}{\partial x^0}; \quad (30)$$

Introducing new parameters

$$\Omega^2 = 2 \int_{-1}^1 dx^0 \frac{\partial W^S(x^0)}{\partial x^0} \frac{\partial j_1(x^0;t)^2}{\partial x^0}; \quad X = \frac{\int_{-1}^1 dx^0 \frac{\partial W^A(x^0)}{\partial x^0} j_1(x^0;t)^2}{\Omega^2};$$

we get the following equation of motion for the center of soliton

$$X_{tt} + \Omega^2(X + X_0) = 0; \quad (31)$$

Calculation of the parameters X and Ω^2 for our case ($\epsilon_0 = 0.05$; $\epsilon_1 = 9$; $\epsilon = 10$ and $b = 0.6$ with $f(x) = 1/\cosh(bx)$) gives

1) central minimum: $X_0^W = 0$, $\Omega = 0.2539$ ($T = 24.74$), $X = 0$, $X^{st} = 0$. Results of numerical simulation: $\Omega = 0.251$ (or $T = 25.0$), $X = 0$.

2) a lateral minimum: $X_0^W = 3.96$, $\Omega = 0.00599$ ($T = 104.73$), $X = 0.321$, $X^{st} = X_0^W + X = 4.18$. Results of numerical simulation: $\Omega = 0.00539$ (or $T = 116.8$), $X^{st} = 4.3$. Here X_0^W is the position of a given minimum of the potential $W(x)$; X_0^{st} is the stationary point (about which oscillations occur); X is the shift of a stationary point; Ω and T are the frequency and period of oscillations.

From the present analysis we conclude that the theory of averaging of GP equation over rapidly varying perturbations works well in the case of bounded perturbation potential even when the expansion parameter is sufficient large (in our case $\epsilon = 0.9$). The effective trap potential bifurcates from the one well form to the triple well structure. This can lead to the splitting of single attractive BEC into three parts.

C . A periodic potential

Let $f(x) = \cos(kx)$. Then the averaged equation coincide with the unperturbed one but with the another potential:

$$F(x) = \cos(kx) + \frac{k^2}{4} (1 - \cos(2kx)): \quad (32)$$

This potential has a more complicated structure and, as a result, the motion of the soliton center has new properties. Let us consider the motion of one soliton in such a potential. The single soliton solution is

$$V(x;t) = 2i \operatorname{sech}[2(x - z)] \exp[i\frac{z}{2} + 4i(\frac{z^2}{2} + \frac{z^2}{2})t + i]; \quad (33)$$

where $z = 2(x - z)$, and $\frac{z^2}{2} = 4t$ for the unperturbed problem. The effective potential acting on the soliton mass center is

$$W_{\text{sol}} = \frac{k}{2 \sinh(\frac{k}{2})} \cos(k) - \frac{k^3}{2 \sinh(\frac{k}{4})} \cos(2k): \quad (34)$$

The equation of motion is obtained via

$$\ddot{z} = -\frac{\partial W_s}{\partial z}: \quad (35)$$

So, for the soliton center z , we have the following equation of motion

$$\ddot{z} = -\frac{k^4}{2 \sinh(\frac{k}{2})} \sin(2k) + \frac{k^2}{2 \sinh(\frac{k}{4})} \sin(k): \quad (36)$$

As seen the motion of the soliton center occurs under the action of two harmonic effective perturbation. It represents a close analogy with the stabilization of a pendulum with the rapidly oscillating support point (the Kapitza pendulum). As it is well known [8], the oscillations of the pendulum at the point $k = \pi$ are unstable. The rapid perturbation stabilize this fixed point. The stabilization condition follows from the effective potential for the soliton in the periodic field (34) and it is given by

$$k^2 > \cosh(\frac{k}{4}): \quad (37)$$




Fig. 4. Numerical simulations of the full GP equation for a spatial periodic potential $f(x) = \cos(kx)$ with the initial soliton position at an unstable point $X_0 = \pi/k$. The case a) (dashed line) corresponds to infinite motion of the soliton center when $\gamma_1 = 0$ with the fast perturbation turned off. The case b) corresponds to $\gamma_1 = 9$ when the fast perturbation stabilizes the motion of the soliton center. The parameters of perturbation are $\Omega = 10$, $\gamma_0 = 0.05$, as in the case of a bounded potential.

The results of numerical simulation of the full GP equation are presented in Fig. 4. The parameters γ_0 and Ω are the same as for the above considered bounded potential. Fig. 4a depicts the dynamics of a soliton center in a periodic potential $\gamma_0 \cos(kx)$ with its initial position $x = \pi/k$ corresponding to the unstable point when $\gamma_1 = 0$ (the fast perturbation is turned off). As seen the motion is infinite. Fig. 4b shows the case when $\gamma_1 = 9$ (the fast perturbation is turned on). In this case the motion of the soliton becomes finite and it oscillates with the frequency $\gamma_1 = 0.303$ whereas the predicted value by Eq. (36) is 0.308. One can see that the agreement with the theory is remarkable.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have investigated the propagation of bright matter wave soliton in the Bose-Einstein condensate with trap potential rapidly varying in time.

The cases of modulated in time quadratic, bounded and periodic trap potentials have been analyzed. For the repulsive (unstable) trap potential it is shown that there exists a

critical value of modulation parameters, when the matter wave soliton is stabilized. Analogous phenomenon of stabilization of unstable fixed points is found for periodic modulations (optical lattices). For the bounded potential it is shown that the effective trap potential can bifurcate from the one well to the triple-well structure; and so, may give rise to the splitting of single attractive BEC into three parts.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work is partially supported by Fund of support of the fundamental research of the Uzbek Academy of Sciences (Award 15-02). F.A. is grateful to FAPESP for the support of his work. We are grateful to J.G. Caputo, for the participation at the initial stage of this work and to R. Kraenkel and L. Tomio for useful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] Strecker K E, Patridge G B, Truscott A G, and Hulet R G 2002 Nature 417 150
- [2] Khaykovich L et al 2002 Science 296 1290
- [3] Carr L D and Castin Y, Preprint cond-mat/0205624 v2
- [4] Nogami Y and Toyama F M 1994 Phys.Rev.E 49 4497
- [5] Dum R et al 1998 Phys.Rev.Lett. 80 3899
- [6] Kivshar Yu S and Turytsin S K 1994 Phys.Rev.E 49 R2536
- [7] Perez-Garcia V M et al 1997 Phys.Rev.A 56 1424
- [8] Landau L D and Lifshitz E M 1973 Mechanics (London: Pergamon)

FIGURES

FIG .1. Numerical simulations of the full GP equation for $f(x) = x^2$ with $\hbar = 10$, $\gamma_0 = 0.0493$ and values of the perturbation parameter γ_1 providing reversing of initially inverted harmonic potential. Two cases are presented : a) $\gamma_1 = 4.7124$; and b) $\gamma_1 = 3.1415$.

FIG .2. Effective potential for $f(x) = 1 + \cosh(bx)$ after temporal averaging. The parameters of perturbation $\hbar = 10$, $\gamma_0 = 0.05$ and $\gamma_1 = 9$. Dashed line is for the case with $\gamma_1 = 0$ when temporal perturbation is turned off.

FIG .3. Numerical simulations of the full GP equation for $f(x) = 1 + \cosh(bx)$. The case a) corresponds to the finite motion of the soliton center in the central minimum with $X_0 = 0$. The case b) corresponds to the motion in one of the lateral minima with $X_0 = 3.96$. For both cases $\hbar = 10$, $\gamma_0 = 0.05$ and $\gamma_1 = 9$.

FIG .4. Numerical simulations of the full GP equation for a spatial periodic potential $f(x) = \cos(bx)$ with the initial soliton position at an unstable point $X_0 = \pi/k$. The case a) (dashed line) corresponds to finite motion of the soliton center when $\gamma_1 = 0$ and fast perturbation is turned off. The case b) corresponds to $\gamma_1 = 9$ when the fast perturbation stabilizes the motion of the soliton center. The parameters of perturbation $\hbar = 10$, $\gamma_0 = 0.05$ as in the case of a bounded potential.