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#### Abstract

W e introduce a class of stochastic integer sequences. In these sequences, every elem ent is a sum of two previous elem ents, at least one of which is chosen random ly. T he interplay betw een random ness and $m$ em ory underlying these sequences leads to a w ide variety of behaviors ranging from stretched exponential to log-nom al to algebraic grow th. Interestingly, the set of all possible sequence values has an intricate structure.


PA C S num bers: $02.50 .-\mathrm{r}, 05.40-\mathrm{a}$

Integer sequences underly $m$ any problem $s$ in com binatorics, com puter science, and physics, $w$ ith new beautiful sequences continuing to em erge [in 1 ]. Sequences are typically determ inistic. M eanw hile, stochastic sequences are just as ubiquitous, occurring in random processes such as the random walk. Stochastic sequences usually arise in very di erent contexts, and hence are rarely com pared with their determ in istic counterparts. In this article, we dem onstrate how rich such a com parison can be.
$C$ onsider the $F$ ibonacci num bers, $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}} 1+\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{n}}$ 2, that describe for exam ple the num ber of leaves in plants and the num ber of ancestors of a drone elem ent depends on the previoustw 0 , a naturalstochastic generalization is $x_{n}=x_{n} \quad 1 \quad x_{1} \quad 2$, where addition and
 (sim ilar sequences also describe one-dim ensional disordered system s $\left.{ }^{9}, 1101\right]$ ). The resulting sequences are intriguing. W hile the sequences still grow exponentially, the ratio $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1$ approaches a stationary distribution


Inspired by this richness, we consider an altemative form of stochasticity, nam ely, one that does not require subtraction and therefore $m$ ore sim ilar in spirit to the original determ inistic sequence. R elaxing the rule that every elem ent depends only on the preceding two ele$m$ ents, we arrive at the follow ing additive stochastic rules

$$
x_{\mathrm{n}}=\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} 1+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{q}} & (\mathrm{~m} \text { odel } \mathrm{I}) ;  \tag{1}\\
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{q}} & (\mathrm{~m} \text { odel II) } .
\end{array}
$$

In m odel I, we take the preceding elem ent $x_{n} 1$ and another one whose index $q$ is random ly chosen between 0 and $n$ 1. In $m$ odel II, both indices $p$ and $q$ are chosen random ly, 0 p;q $n \quad 1 . W$ thout loss of generality, the rst elem ent is set to unity, x $=1$. C onsequently $x_{1}=2$, while the next elem ents are stochastic. The num ber of possible sequences increases as $n$ ! and $n$ ? for m odels I and II, respectively. Rule I leads to m onotonically increasing sequences; sequences generated by rule II increase only on average.

The $m$ ost basic characteristic, the average of the $n$th elem ent, $A_{n}=h x_{n}$ i, can be determ ined analytically. For m odel $I$, it satis es the linear recursion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}=A_{n} 1+\frac{1}{n}{ }_{j=0}^{1} A_{j}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing this $w$ th the recursion for $A_{n+1}$, we elim inate the summation and obtain a $F$ ibonaccilike recursion relation w th n -dependent coe cients $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}+1} \quad 2 \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}} 1=(\mathrm{n}+1){ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad 1 \cdot \mathrm{~W}$ e are prim arily interested in the large-n behavior, and hence, we treat A and $n$ ascontinuous variables. Theabove di erence equation reduces to the di erentialequation $A^{\infty}=\left(A \quad A^{0}\right)=n$ (here ${ }^{0} \quad d=d n$ ). U sing the W K B m ethod $[1][-1+$, we obtain the n -dependence

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n} \text {, an }{ }^{1=4} \exp 2^{p} \bar{n} \text { : } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The am plitude a $0: 1711$ is determ ined num erically. W e see that the long range $m$ em ory leads to considerably slow er stretched exponential grow th com pared w ith the exponentially grow ing $F$ ibonacci num bers.

D oes the average characterize the grow th of an actual sequence? If yes, this would im ply that the norm alized $m$ om ents $h x_{n}^{k} i=h x_{n} i^{k}$ approach nite values asym ptotically. Figure 1 show $s$ otherw ise: the higher order mo$m$ ents grow according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
h x_{n}^{k} i / \exp { }_{k}^{p} \bar{n} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $k_{k}>k_{1}$ (for the lowest $m$ om ents, we nd $k=2$, $4: 3$, and $6: 5$ ). T his so-called $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ ultiscaling" indicates that a typical sequence $m$ ay greatly depart from the average. Therefore, the average $\left.{ }^{(131)} \mathbf{3}^{-}\right)$is insu cient to describe a typicalsequence. T hese results are sim ilar in spirit to the behavior of the random F ibonacci sequences where the typical grow th is $\mathrm{x} / \exp (\mathrm{n})$, w ith nontrivialLyapunov exponent , while hx $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{i} / \exp (\mathrm{k} n)$ with $k \mathrm{k}_{1}$.

Interestingly, an individual realization grow s slow er than the average (see the inset to F ig. 1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} / \exp { }^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{\mathrm{n}} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG . 1: Them om ents $h x_{n}^{k} i^{1=k}$ versus $n$ for m odel I. Them o$m$ ents were obtained from an average over $10^{8}$ realizations. $T$ he inset com pares the grow th of an individual realization $x_{n} W$ ith the average $A_{n}$.
w th the Lyapunov exponent 1:889. This coe cient $w$ as determ ined by studying the variable $\ln x_{n}$. A s show $n$ in F ig. 2 , this variable is G aussian distributed

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\ln \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right) / \exp \frac{\left(\ln \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{2}}{2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}}: \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The average and the variance of $\ln x_{n}$ grow $w$ th $n$ according to $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$, $\mathrm{n}^{1=2}$ and ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{2},{ }^{2} \mathrm{n}^{1=2} / \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$, respectively. Eventually, the random variable $y=\ln x_{n}=n^{1=2}$ becom es determ inistic, $y$ ! as $n!1$. Sim ilar behavior, including the $G$ aussian uctuations and the relation betw een the variance and the average, is also found in one-dim ensional localization problem s [12

O ne can calculate the probability distribution $P_{n}(x)$ for extrem al values of $x_{n}$. Them inim al value $n+1$ is obtained by choosing $q=0$ at every step $k=1 ;::: ; n$. Sim ilarly, the $m$ axim alvalue $2^{\mathrm{n}}$ is obtained by choosing $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{k} \quad 1$ at every step. H ence, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n}+1)=\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(2^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=$ $1=n!$. Further extrem al cases can be evaluated $m$ anually, and for exam ple, $P_{n}(n+2)=P_{n} 3 n^{2}=\frac{n 1}{n!}$. However, these extrem al probabilities do not elucidate the typicalbehavior (5).

It is interesting to study the set of allpossible sequence values. Let ${ }_{n}$ be the support of the probability distribution $P_{n}(x)$. For sm all $n$, we have $0=f 1 g, \quad 1=f 2 g$, and furtherm ore,

```
2 = f3;4g
3 = f4;5;6; ;8g
4 = f5;:::;10; ;12; ; ; ;16g
5 = f6;:::;18; ;20; ; ; ;24; ;:::; ;32g:
```

D eterm ination of the sets $n$ requires enum eration of all n ! histories, and we com puted them up to $\mathrm{n}=15$. T he


FIG . 2: The scaling distribution underlying $P_{n}\left(\ln x_{n}\right)$. Shown is the scaling function $(z)$ versus the scaling variable $z=$ $\left(\ln x_{n} \quad b_{n}\right)=n \cdot T$ he distributions w ere calcu lated from $10^{7}$ realizations. A $G$ aussian is also show $n$ for reference.
sim plest feature is the set size $n$, listed in table I. T he set alw ays begins $w$ th a subsequence of $B_{n}$ consecutive integers. For n 3, the sets $n$ have gaps, i.e., strings of m issing elem ents denoted by in Eq. ${ }^{\prime}(7)$. The num ber of gaps $G_{n}$ is listed in table $I$. The three sequences $n$, $B_{n}$, and $G_{n}$, all grow exponentially $w$ ith $n$. For exam ple, ${ }_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{n}$ w ith $1: 78$. We conclude that the sets n contain a num ber of nontrivial determ inistic integer sequences including $n, B_{n}$, and $G_{n}$.

Rem arkably, the sets $n$ have an intricate structure. For instance, for $n=8$ the sequenœ of the gap lengths contains $\mathrm{G}_{8}=18$ elem ents as follow s
f1;1;1;1;1;3;1;1;3;3;7;7;1;3;7;15;31;63g:

Generally, going in reverse direction (from $2^{n}$ to $n+B_{n}$ ) one observes a fam ily of consecutive gaps of lengths $2^{n} 21 ; 2^{3} \quad 1 ;::: ; 1$ separated by single elem ents. $T$ hen, there is a 3 -elem ent sequence, follow ed by a second fam ily oftwin gaps, $2^{n}{ }^{5} 1 ; 2^{5} \quad 1 ;::: ; 1 ; 1$. Allthese gaps are separated by single elem ents. N ext, there is a 5-sequence, follow ed by a fam ily oftriplet gaps, again separated by single elem ents this fam ily has not yet form ed for $n=8$, Eq. ( $\bar{q})]$. There is also a fourth fam ily of quadruplet gaps w ith an intertw ined pattem. T he com plexity of this gap-sequence structure increases rapidly, and eventually, gaps of even length appear.
$N$ aively, one $m$ ay probe the probability distribution via a mean- eld description that ignores the sequence history altogether. In this approxim ation, one obtains a recursive equation for the probability distribution (7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{n} X_{l=0}^{1} x^{1} P_{n}^{1} P_{n}(y) P_{1}(x \quad y): \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

| n | n | $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{n}}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| 4 | 8 | 6 | 2 |
| 5 | 16 | 13 | 3 |
| 6 | 30 | 22 | 6 |
| 7 | 55 | 39 | 10 |
| 8 | 98 | 62 | 18 |
| 9 | 175 | 117 | 28 |
| 10 | 310 | 180 | 50 |
| 11 | 555 | 367 | 79 |
| 12 | 986 | 594 | 144 |
| 13 | 1757 | 1073 | 249 |
| 14 | 3138 | 1888 | 432 |
| 15 | 5618 | 3567 | 756 |

TABLE I: The sequences $n, B_{n}$, and $G_{n}$.

C onsequently, there are closed recursion relations for the $m$ om ents. W hile consistent $w$ ith the exact equation $[\underline{Z})$, the em erging recursion relations for the higher $m$ om ents are only approxim ate. A nalysis of these equations results in ordinary scaling behavior, $h x_{n}^{k} i / h x_{n} i^{k}$, contrary to Eq. (4 $\mathbf{l}^{\prime}$ ). Therefore, strong correlations develop, correlations that a ect the statistical characteristics.
$W$ e now tum to modelII. H ere, the average $A_{n}$ satis es a recursion relation sim ilar to Eq. (2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}=\frac{2}{n}_{j=0}^{\mathbb{X}} A_{j}: \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simplifying this equation to $A_{n}=1+n^{1} A_{n} \quad 1$ and using the initial condition $\mathrm{A}_{0}=1$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}=n+1: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ um erical sim ulations con $m$ this linear grow th. $F$ igure 3 show s that the norm alized $m$ om ents rem ain nite asym ptotically as

$$
\begin{equation*}
h x_{n}^{k} i^{\prime} \quad{ }_{k} n^{k}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In contrast $w$ ith $m$ odel , the average properly characterizes higher order $m$ om ents. T herefore, the probability distribution $P_{n}(x)$ adm its the scaling form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})^{\prime} \mathrm{n}^{1}(\mathrm{z}) ; \quad \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{xn}^{1} ; \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the asym ptotic $\lim$ it $x ; n$ ! 1 w ith the scaling variable $z=x n^{1} \quad$ xed (see Fig. 4).

This scaling behavior enables quantitative characterization of extrem al statistics. N um erically, we nd that the scaling function exhibits the follow ing extrem al behaviors

$$
(z) / \quad \begin{array}{ll}
z & z!  \tag{14}\\
\exp (z) \\
z! & 1
\end{array}
$$

w ith 0:4 0:5 (see the inset to Fig. 4). T he sm all$z$ behavior can be understood by considering the m inim al value $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=2$. This occurs w hen the rst elem ent


FIG. 3: Them om ents $h x_{n}^{k} i^{1=k}$ versusn form odel II. $T$ he data represents an average over $10^{8}$ realizations.
$\mathrm{x}_{0}=1$ is chosen tw ige and therefore, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{n}}(2)=\mathrm{n}^{2}$. Combining this w th Eq. (131), gives $\left(2 \mathrm{n}^{1}\right)=\mathrm{n}^{1}$, in agreem ent with the asym ptotics $(z) / z$ in the $z!0$ lim it. The large-z behavior is $m$ ore subtle as it depends on the entire sequence evolution. C ontrary to the sm all argum ent behavior, analysis of the $m$ axim al sequenœes $x_{n}=2^{n}$ does not elucidate the large argum ent tail. Indeed, such sequences occur w ith probability $1=n!$, m uch sm aller than the exponentially sm all probabilities dom inating the large-z behavior.
$T$ he ordinary scaling behavior indicates that meaneld theory $m$ ay provide better insight in the case of m odel II. Ignoring the history by which sequenœes evolve yields the follow ing recursion for the distribution

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}(x)=n 2^{x^{1} x^{1} x^{1}} P_{1}(y) P_{m}(x \quad y): \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing Eq. ${ }^{(1-3)}$ ), and replacing sum $m$ ations by integration, equation $\left(15_{1}^{5}\right)$ reduces into the integral equation

The convolution structure suggests using the Laplace transform, and indeed, $F(s)=$ dze $s z(z)$ satis es a sim ple equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(s)=Z_{0}^{Z_{1}} d F(s)^{2}: \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he auxiliary function $G(s)={ }_{R_{s}} d s^{0} F\left(s^{0}\right)$ obeys the ordinary di erential equation $\mathrm{dG}=\mathrm{ds}=(\mathrm{G}=\mathrm{s})^{2}$ from which $G(s)=s=(1+c s)$ and then $F(s)=(1+c s)^{2} . T$ he sm all$s$ behavior $F(s)=1 \quad s$ implies $c=1=2$. Inverting the Laplace transform $F(s)=(1+s=2)^{2}$ yields the scaling function

$$
\begin{equation*}
(z)=4 z \exp (2 z): \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$



F IG . 4: The scaling distribution (z) vs. z. The distributions were obtained from an average over $10^{7}$ realizations. Shown also is the mean eld theory (MFT) result (18). The inset show s the large argum ent tail.

In the sm all-z lim it, $m$ ean- eld theory is correct because $m$ em ory is irrelevant for $x \quad n$, (see $F$ ig. 3). In contrast, for $x \quad n, m$ em ory is im portant and the exponent $=1$ is larger than the num ericalvalue $0: 4 \quad 0: 5$. A dditionally, one $m$ ay com pare the prefactors characterizing the $m$ om ents de ned in Eq. $\left.{ }_{(1-2}^{12}\right)$. U sing $k=d z z^{k} \quad(z)$ gives $k=(k+1)!\sum^{k}$ and in particular $k=1,3=2,3$, for $\mathrm{k}=1,2,3$. The corresponding num erical values are $1,1: 84,5: 76$, respectively. A though the history independent approxim ation is quantitatively inaccurate, it still provides useful insights for $m$ odel II.

W e have seen that the sequence grow th sensitively depends on the details of the $m$ odel. In fact, the stretched exponential or algebraic grow th can be tuned by varying the recurrence rules. For exam ple, introducing a multiplicative factor to $m$ odel $I I, x_{n}=C\left(x_{p}+x_{q}\right)$, leads to the algebraic grow th $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{n}^{2 \mathrm{C}}{ }^{1}$. Functionally di erent grow th law s naturally em erge as well. If in $m$ odel $I$, $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}} 1+\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{q}}$, the m em ory range is $0 \quad \mathrm{q}$ [bn] w th $0<\mathrm{b}<1$, one nds log-nom algrow th

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{n}} / \exp C \ln ^{2} \mathrm{n} ; \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith $C=[2 \ln (1=b)]^{1}$. This grow th law is slower than stretched exponentialbut faster than pow er law.

In sum $m$ ary, we have introduced a class of stochastic integer sequences where each sequence elem ent is a sum of two previous elem ents, at least one of which is random ly chosen. W hile the sequence $m$ ay attain a vast range of possible values, the dynam ics chooses a much narrow er range of values. D epending on the goveming rules, there is a w ide spectrum of grow th from algebraic to log-norm alto stretched exponential. In $m$ odel I, there are in nitely m any relevant scales underlying the mo m ents. In contrast, for m odel $I I$, there is a single scale and consequently, a $m$ ean- eld approxim ation is qualitatively correct.

G enerally, the phase space has an intricate structure. It contains altemating sequences of consecutive integers $m$ arking allow ed and forbidden sequence values. T he gap structure consists of increasingly com plex pattems. Interesting determ in istic integer sequences such as the size of the phase space, the num ber of gaps, and the size of the rst accessible sequence underlie this phase space.
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