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#### Abstract

W e determ ine the e ective dipolar interaction betw een single dom ain tw o-dim ensional ferrom agnetic particles (islands or dots), taking into account their nite size. The rst correction term decays as $1=D^{5}$, where $D$ is the distance betw een particles. If the particles are arranged in a regular tw o-dim ensional array and are $m$ agnetized in plane, we show that the correction term rein forces the antiferrom agnetic character of the ground state in a square lattice, and the ferrom agnetic one in a triangular lattice. W e also determ ine the dipolar spin-w ave spectrum and evaluate how the C urie tem perature of an ensemble of $m$ agnetic particles scales with the param eters de ning the particle array: height and size of each particle, and interparticle distance. O ur results show that dipolar coupling betw een particles $m$ ight induce ferrom agnetic long range order at experim entally relevant tem peratures. H ow ever, depending on the size of the particles, such a collective phenom enon $m$ ay be disguised by superparam agnetism .


PACS num bers: $75.75 .+\mathrm{a}, 75.30 \mathrm{D} \mathrm{s}, 77.80 \mathrm{Bh}, 75.20$.-g

## I. IN TRODUCTION

In this paperw e are interested in tw o-dim ensionalm agnetic particles interacting through the long range dipolar forces. These particles have a tw o-dim ensional character in tw o respects: rst, because they are platelet shaped, that is to say their thickness $t$ is $m$ uch sm aller than their linear size L; second, because they are arranged on a two dim ensional substrate.

They can be obtained, e.g., grow ing by M olecular Beam Epitaxy (MBE) a m agnetic elem ent on a high sym $m$ etry substrate. In this case, grow th is driven by surface di usion, nucleation and aggregation in the subm onolayer regim $e$, the $m$ agnetic overlayer is $m$ ade up of an ensemble of atom ically thick islands that generally are not uniform in size neither arranged in a regular array. T he distribution of islands $m$ ay be regular if nucleation (and therefore island form ation) takesplace on, reconstructed
 particles can be produced via lithographic techniques $\frac{h_{1}^{1}}{\mathbf{n}^{1}}$ in this case, they are $m$ uch bigger in size and their distribution is generally uniform.

In the follow ing, sm all particles obtained by MBE grow th in the subm onolayer regim e will also be called islands and large particles obtained by lithographic techniques will also be called dots. P article is a generic term forboth cases.

Each particle is made up of a large number $N$ of spinsw hich interact ferrom agnetically through the strong intra-particle exchange interaction. In an island, $N$ $10^{2} \quad 10^{4}$, while in a dot N m ay be several orders of m agnitude greater.

Su ciently sm allparticles are expected to be in a sin$g l e$ dom ain state, even if their actualm agnetic state $m$ ay depend on several factors: the shape of the particle, the strength of the anisotropies, the single crystal or polycrystalline character of the particle, and so on. In this
paper we are assum ing that particles are in a single dom ain state and have a crystalline structure. W ithin these hypotheses, the $m$ agnetic state of an isolated particle is
xed, rst of all, by the balancing betw een dipolar interaction (which has an easy-plane e ect) and possible anisotropies favouring the direction penpendicular to the plane ( $z$ direction, in the follow ing). In the absence of quartic and higher order anisotropies a canted con guration is im possible and the resulting e ect $m$ ay be easyaxis or easy-plane only.

Thee ect ofdipolar interaction betw een spins belonging to the same (ultrathin) particle has been studied in a previous paper ${ }^{5} \mathrm{~W}$ e showed that in-plane shape anisotropy is weak: this $m$ eans that if the $m$ agnetization of the particle is w thin the m plane, its orientation is expected to be settled by the sym $m$ etry of the underlying lattice (through $m$ agnetocrystalline anisotropies) rather than by the shape of the particle (through the intraparticle dipolar coupling). Such a feature was indeed experim entally observed in M BE-grown Co on $\mathrm{Cu}(100)$ ultrathin particles ${ }^{6 / 1}$

In this paper we aim to study the interparticle dipolar interaction and to address the follow ing questions:
i) A ssum ing the particle to be in a single dom ain state, how is the dipolar interaction betw een particles modi ed by their nite size?
ii) A ssum ing the particles to be arranged in a regular array, is the dipolar ferrom agnetic (FM) state a stable con guration?
iii) If the ground state is ferrom agnetic, is it stable at nite tem perature and what is the value of the $C$ urie tem perature?

The previous questions are addressed in Sections II,
 drawn.


FIG.1: $I_{1}$ and $I_{2}$ are two generic interacting particles. E ach spin of the rst particle, located in $\mathrm{R}_{1}$, interacts w ith each spin of the second particle, located in $\mathrm{R}_{2}$. U sing reference fram es centered in the centers of $m$ ass of the particles, the spatialpositions of the tw o spins are $\Re_{1} ; \Re_{2}$ so that the distance between the two spins can be expressed as $\mathrm{R}_{12}=\mathrm{R}_{2} \quad \mathrm{R}_{1}=$ $\tilde{D}+\mathscr{x}_{2} \quad \mathbb{x}_{1} \quad \bar{D}+\mathscr{x}$.

## II. EFFECTIVED IPOLAR INTERACTION BETW EEN PARTICLES

In the follow ing we are going to consider tw o particles $I_{1} ; I_{2}$ of any shape (see $F i g .1$ ), $w$ th linear sizes $L_{1} ; L_{2}$ and thicknesses $t_{1} ; t_{2}$. E ach particle is a discrete collection of spins and it is supposed to be in a single dom ain state: each spin of the two particles is indicated by $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$, respectively. T he e ective dipolar interaction betw een the tw o particles is evaluated by taking the interaction betw een a spin $s_{1}$ located in $\mathrm{K}_{1}$, a spin $s_{2}$ located in $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ and sum $m$ ing up on all them:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d} \text { ip }}=\frac{1}{2}{\frac{\mathrm{t}_{1} \mathrm{t}_{2}}{\mathrm{c}_{0}^{2}}}^{\mathrm{R}_{1}} \mathrm{R}_{2} \quad \mathrm{X}_{12}^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{R}_{12}^{3} \quad 3 \quad \frac{\left(\mathbf{s}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{12}\right)\left(\mathrm{s}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{12}\right)}{\mathrm{R}_{12}^{5}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

 B ohrm agneton) and $c_{0}$ is the interplane distance in the $z$ direction. In the previous expression, we have supposed that the thickness of each particle is m uch sm aller than its linear size, $t \quad \mathrm{~L}$. In this hypothesis, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{dip}}$ is just linear in the num bers of atom ic planes, $t_{1}=c_{0}$ and $t_{2}=c_{0}$, and $\mathrm{K}_{12}$ are two dim ensional vectors.

A s explained in A ppendix $\bar{A} \bar{A}$, the two quantities appearing in square brackets in Eq . (11) can be expanded in the ratio $r=D, w$ here $\bar{\sim}$ is the distance betw een the centers ofm ass of the particles and $\mathscr{\varkappa}^{2}=\mathscr{1}_{2}$ iq (see Fig.1). Thee ective dipolar interaction betw een tw o particles at distance $\widetilde{\sim}$ takes the approxim ate form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{d i p} \quad E_{d i p}^{(0)}+E_{d i p}^{(2)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{d i p}^{(0)}$ is the zero-order coupling and $E_{d i p}^{(2)}$ takes into
account the nite size of the particles:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{d \text { ip }}^{(0)}=\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{S_{1} S_{2}}{D^{3}} \quad 3 \frac{\left(S_{1} \Gamma\right)\left(S_{2} \tau\right)}{D^{5}}  \tag{3}\\
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d} \text { ip }}^{(2)}=\frac{9 I_{12}}{4} \frac{S_{i}^{?} S_{i}^{?}}{\mathbb{D}^{5}} \\
& +\frac{3 I_{12}}{4} \frac{S_{1}^{k} S_{2}^{k}}{D^{5}} \quad 5 \frac{\left(S_{1}^{k} \tilde{D}\right)\left(S_{2}^{k} \tilde{D}\right)}{D^{7}} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Each particle behaves as a single spin $S=N$ s, where N is the total num ber of spins in the particle. If L is the linear dim ension of a particle and $t$ its thickness, denoting by $a_{0}$ the in-plane atom ic distance, one has $N=\left(L=a_{0}\right)^{2}\left(t=c_{0}\right)$, where is a geom etric factor, depending on the shape of the particle and the lattice structure. The correction term $s$ included in $E_{d i p}^{(2)}$ decay $w$ ith distance as $1=D^{5}$, whilst the usual dipolar interaction decays as $1=D^{3}$. $M$ ore precisely, $E_{d i p}^{(2)}$ is a factor $I_{12}=D^{2}$ sm aller than $E_{\text {dip }}{ }^{(0)}$, where $I_{12}=\frac{1}{2}\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right)$ is the sem isum of the $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ om ents of inertia" $I_{i}$ of the tw o particles (see


In the continuum approxim ation, we have the follow ing expressions. For a square particle of side $L, I=L^{2}=6$; for a circular particle of radius , $I={ }^{2}=2$; for a triangular (equilateral) particle of side $L, I=L^{2}=12$.

F inally, we w ould like to rem ark that the isotropic coupling term, proportionalto ( $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ), has di erent correction term $s$ according to the orientation of the spins: see Eq. (4'1). In other words, such a tem is no m ore isotropic once the nite size of the particle is taken into account.

In Fig. 2 we compare the exact dipolar coupling $E_{d i p}$ (sym bols) $w$ ith the zero order approxim ation $E_{d i p}^{(0)}$ (dashed lines) and w th the second order approxim ation $\left(E_{d i p}^{(0)}+E_{d i p}^{(2)}\right)$ (full lines), in two cases: i) the particles are $m$ agnetized penpendicularly to the plane, along the $\hat{z}$ axis (positive coupling energies), and ii) the particles are m agnetized in plane along the $\hat{\mathrm{x}}$ axis (negative coupling energies). We can see that the second order approxim ation is fairly good except at very sm all distances: the sm allest allow ed distance betw een centers (w thout superposing the particles) is $D_{m \text { in }}={ }^{P} \overline{2}\left(L+a_{0}\right)=29: 7 a_{0}$, $w$ here $L=20 a_{0}$ is the side of the square particle. The sm allest value of D plotted in F ig. 2 is $\mathrm{D}=30 \mathrm{a}_{0}$.

In order to understand which con gurations are energetically favoured, let us start by considering just a couple of spins $S_{1} ; S_{2}$, located in plane along the $\hat{x}$ axis. The dipolar coupling can be generally w ritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}_{12} & \mathrm{E}_{12}^{(0)}+\mathrm{E}_{12}^{(2)} \\
& { }_{0}\left(\mathrm{~S}_{1} \quad \mathrm{~S}_{2} \quad 3 \mathrm{~S}_{1}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~S}_{2}^{\mathrm{x}}\right) \\
+ & { }_{2}\left(2 \mathrm{~S}_{1}^{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{~S}_{2}^{\mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \quad 5 \mathrm{~S}_{1}^{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{~S}_{2}^{\mathrm{x}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the explicit expressions of $\sim_{0}$ and $\sim_{2}$, depending on the distance betw een spins and on the size of the particles, are irrelevant. $W$ e observe that $S_{1} ; S_{2} m$ ay be either


FIG. 2: D ipolar energy between two square particles, one m onolayer thick, of side $\mathrm{L}=20 \mathrm{a}_{0}$ on a square lattice (21 spins per side). T he centers of the two particles have the coordinates $(0,0)$ and $(D=\overline{2} ; D=\overline{2})$. The sides of the squares are parallel to the axis $\hat{x} ; \hat{y}$. Positive and negative energies refer to spins parallel to $\hat{z}$ and $\hat{x}$, respectively. $T$ he exact calculation $E_{d i p}$ (sym bols) is com pared $w$ th $E_{d i p}^{(0)}$ (dashed lines) and $\left(E_{d \text { ip }}^{(0)}+E_{d \text { ip }}^{(2)}\right)$ (full lines). Inset: the case of spins parallel to $\hat{x}$, in a log-log scale.
veritable spins $s_{1} ; s_{2}$ or they $m$ ay represent the e ective spins of tw o particles $S_{1} ; S_{2}$ : in the form er case one has $\sim_{2}=0$, while in the latter $\sim_{2}$ is the correction due to the nite sizes of the particles.

B oth $E_{12}^{(0)}$ and $E_{12}^{(2)}$ are the sum of com petitive interactions. For the sake of de niteness, let us consider $\mathrm{E}_{12}^{(2)}$. The sum $2 S_{1}^{z} S_{2}^{z}+S_{1} \quad S_{2}$ favours an antiferrom agnetic (AFM) alignm ent of the spins in the $\hat{z}$ direction, penpendicular to their joining vector. T he term $5 S_{1}^{x} S_{2}^{x}$ favours a ferrom agnetic ( $F M$ ) alignm ent along the $\hat{x}$ axis. T he energy of the form er con guration is $3_{2}$ and the energy of the latter one is $\quad 4^{\sim}{ }_{2}$. A s proved in A ppendix 'B', where a m ore detailed discussion is given, the latter conguration is the ground state indeed.
In conclusion, tw o spins interacting through the dipolar coupling $m$ inim ize their energy by ordering ferrom agnetically along the joining line.

W e are now going to discuss the m ore com plex cast of a two dim ensional lattige of spins. It is well knownil that in the presence of a direct exchange interaction, the system is ferrom agnetic and $m$ agnetized in the plane, because of an easy-plane e ect of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d} \text { ip }}$. If such an exchange interaction is absent, the easy-plane e ect survives, but the actualcon guration in the plane strongly depends on the lattice structure's It is usefiul to explain the origin of such a dependence on the spin arrangem ent.

W e have seen that spins would like to point along the line joining them : in a tw o dim ensionallattice it is im possible, of course, to ful 11 this requirem ent for all couples of spins. It is possible, how ever, for a chain of spins: so, we can start by addressing the nature of the coupling be-
 spins along the $\hat{y}$ axis of the plane and evaluate the dipolar eld $\tilde{r}_{\text {dip }}$ generated at a point at distance d. Spins are oriented in the $+\hat{Y}$ direction and $\tilde{H}_{d i p}=H_{d i p} y$. In the continuum approxim ation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{d i p}= & \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~S}^{Z^{1}+1} \text { dy } \frac{1}{r^{3}} \\
& \frac{Z^{1}}{r^{5}} \\
\frac{3}{4} & \mathrm{SI}_{1}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $r=p \overline{y^{2}+d^{2}}$.
B oth integrals have the form $\quad \mathrm{dy}\left(\frac{1}{r^{3+n}} \quad(3+n) \frac{y^{2}}{r^{5+n}}\right)$. It is su cient to integrate by parts the term $1=r^{3+n}$ to prove that the integral vanishes for any $n$ and for any $d$. Therefore, in the continuum approxim ation $H_{d i p}=0$.

A n exact calculation on a discrete lattice gives a nite value for $H_{d i p}$, but its sign depends on the actual lattioe structure. T herefore, the reason for the sensitivity of the ground state on the spin arrangem ent is clear. D ipolar interaction favours the form ation ofspin chainsm agnetized ferrom agnetically along the chain: these chains are very weakly coupled, in the continuum approxim ation being even uncoupled. T he sign of the coupling and therefore the nature of the ground state do depend on the lattige structure.

In the next Section we are going to analyze the nature of the ground state and to study the spin-w ave spectrum $w$ ith respect to a ferrom agnetic alignm ent.
III. GROUND STATESAND SP $\mathbb{N}-W A V E$ SPECTRA IN TWO-D IMENSIONAL LATTICES

In the follow ing w e consider an orthorom bic lattige (see Fig. 3a) w ith prim tive vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}_{1}=\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{a} ; \quad \mathrm{b}) ; \mathrm{w}_{2}=\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

w here $\mathrm{a}=2 \mathrm{D}_{0} \sin (=2), \mathrm{b}=2 \mathrm{D}_{0} \cos (=2)$, and $\mathrm{D}_{0}$ is the mombus side. For $=\frac{\overline{3}}{}$ and $=\frac{\overline{2}}{}$, the orthorombic lattice reduces to a triangular and to a square lattice, respectively. T he angle can be supposed sm aller than $\overline{2}$,because if $>\overline{2}$ there is just an interchange betw een $a$ and $b$ (see F iff 3 a ) .

It is know nim that the ground state is ferrom agnetic for < c 80 and antiferrom agnetic for larger values of the angle. It is notew orthy that we nd $c$ to be alm ost una ected when $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{dip}}^{(2)}$ is added to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{dip}}^{(0)}$ : as a rst approxim ation, the nite size of the particle has therefore negligible e ects on the ground state. W e can say as well that the nite size of the particles (i.e. the term $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d} \text { ip }}^{(2)}$ ) reinforces the FM character of the ground state for a triangular lattice, $=\frac{3}{3}$, and the AFM one for a square lattice, $=\frac{2}{2}$. T his statem ent w ill be substantiated by the analysis of the spin-w ave spectra at the end of this Section.


F IG . 3: (a) O rthorom bic lattice w ith coordinate axes directed along the rhom bus diagonals, a and b; is the rhom bic angle. (b) $R$ ecip rocal lattice of the orthorom bic lattice. The angle $k$ denotes the orientation of the in-plane $w$ avevector $\widetilde{K} w$ ith respect to the $\hat{x}$ axis.

Let us now calculate the frequency of spin-w ave excitations $w$ ith respect to a ferrom agnetic con guration w ith m agnetization directed in plane. W e rem ind that, depending on the value of the rnom bic angle, the ferrom agnetic ground state is di erentii for $=3$, the dipoles are oriented along the short diagonal of the mom bus (i.e. along the $\hat{x}$ axis in $F$ ig. 3a) while for $=3 \quad \mathrm{c}$, along the long diagonal ( $\hat{y}$ axis).
The e ective dipolar interaction betw een particles of spins whose centers of $m$ ass are located on the sites $\widetilde{D}_{i}$ of an orthorom bic lattice is $H_{d i p}=H_{d i p}^{(0)}+H_{d i p}^{(2)}$; it is obtained from Eqs. $(3,4)$ sum $m$ ing over all sites

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\frac{3 I_{i j}}{2} \frac{S_{i} S_{j}}{D_{i j}^{5}} \quad 5 \frac{\left(S_{i} \widetilde{D}_{i j}\right)\left(S_{j} \widetilde{\Sigma}_{i j}\right)}{D_{i j}^{7}}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\widetilde{D}_{i j}=\widetilde{D}_{j} \quad \widetilde{D}_{i}$ and $I_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(I_{i}+I_{j}\right)$ is the sem isum of the $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ om ents of inertia" oftw o particles w hose centers
of $m$ ass are located in $\widetilde{D}_{i}$ and $\widetilde{D}_{j}$, respectively. In the follow ing we are assum ing to have an array of identical particles, so that $I_{i} \quad I$.

Taking the $\hat{y}$ direction as quantization axis, we perform the $H$ olstein $P$ rim ako transform ation from spin to boson operators
$S_{j}^{x}={ }^{r} \overline{\frac{S}{2}}\left(a_{j}^{y} \quad a_{j}\right) ; S_{j}^{y}=S \quad a_{j}^{y} a_{j} ; S_{j}^{z}=\frac{r}{\frac{S}{2}}\left(a_{j}+a_{j}^{y}\right)$
$N$ ext, exploiting the translational invariance in the m plane, we introduce the Fourier transform

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}={\overline{\frac{1}{N_{k}}}}_{\tilde{K}}^{X} a_{\tilde{K}} e^{i \tilde{k} \tilde{D}_{i j}} ; a_{j}^{y}={\overline{N_{k}}}_{\tilde{K}}^{X} a_{\tilde{K}}^{y} e^{i \tilde{K} \tilde{D}_{i j}} ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{K}=\left(k_{x} ; k_{y}\right)$ is the two-dim ensional in-plane wavevector ranging over the rst B rillouin zone, generated by the prim itive vectors (see Fig. 3b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall_{1}=2\left(\frac{1}{a} ; \frac{1}{b}\right) ; v_{2}=2\left(\frac{1}{a} ; \frac{1}{b}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{k}}$ is the total number of spins in the twodim ensional lattice. T he spin-w ave H am iltonian takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{d i p}=A_{\mathbb{K}}^{X} a_{\overparen{K}}^{y} a_{\overparen{K}}+\frac{1}{2} B_{\overparen{K}}\left(a_{\overparen{K}} a_{\nwarrow}+a_{\overparen{K}}^{Y} a_{\overparen{K}}^{y}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coe cients $A_{\tilde{k}}=A_{\overparen{K}}^{(0)}+A_{\check{K}}^{(2)}$ and $B_{\tilde{K}}=B_{\tilde{K}}^{(0)}+B_{\tilde{K}}^{(2)}$ can be expressed (see A ppendix C) through the dipolar sums ( ; = x;y;z)

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{(n)}(\widetilde{K})=\mathbb{X}_{\sigma_{j}}^{\frac{1}{D_{i j}^{3+n}}} 1 \quad(3+n) \frac{D_{i j} D_{i j}}{D_{i j}^{2}} e^{i K D_{i j}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for $n=0$ and $n=2$ one has respectively the zero and second order expressions in the ratio $L=D_{0}$ betw een the linear dim ension of the particle and the interparticle distance. T he spin-w ave energy is

In the continuum lim 斗 $\widetilde{K}!~ 0$, one nds the approxim ate analytic expression

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{h} \\
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l}
\text { ( ) } \frac{4 k}{a b}+O\left(k^{2}\right) \\
\end{array} \\
& 0()+\frac{4 k}{a b} \cos ^{2} k+O\left(k^{2}\right)^{i_{1=2}} \tag{13}
\end{array}
$$

where 0 and 1 , de ned in A ppendix $C$, depend on the angle. In particular, 0 vanishes for the highly symmetric cases $=3$ (triangular lattioe) and $==2$ (square lattioe). The angle $k$ de nes the orientation of the in-plane $w$ avevector $\mathbb{K} w$ th respect to the $\hat{x}$ axis.

It is worth stressing that ${ }_{\mathbb{K}}$ has the sam e structure both at zero and second order, because $H_{d i p}^{(2)}$ does not contribute to the linear term in $k$. In particular, we have that the linear term in the quantity ( $A_{\check{K}}+B_{\check{K}}$ ) vanishes $w$ hen $\mathbb{K}$ is oriented along the $m$ agnetization $(k==2)$. Its sign is therefore decided by the quadratic term, which is di erent for di erent lattioes. It is positive for the triangular lattice (see Fig. 4) and it $m$ ay be either positive ( $F$ ig. 5b) or negative ( $F$ ig. 5a) for the square lattice, depending on the orientation of the $m$ agnetization.

In $F$ igs. 4,5 we show the dispersion relation of the spin waves, as obtained from Eq. (121), in the case of a triangular lattice ( $==3$ ) and of a square one ( $==2$ ), for di erent orientations $k$.

In the rem aining part of this Section we are $m$ aking general com $m$ ents on these results.

D ipolar interaction, since it couples the spins to the underlying lattice, is not rotationally invariant, contrary to, e.g., the H eisenberg interaction ( $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{Js}{ }_{2}$ ) . Therefore, the energy of a generic spin con guration depends on how the spins are oriented $w$ ith respect to the lattice. H ow ever, lattices w ith four-fold ( $==2$ ) or six-fold ( $==3$ ) sym $m$ etries are special, in the sense that the energy of certain con gurations (the ferrom agnetic one, for exam ple) is rotationally invariant. This $m$ eans that the dipolar ferrom agnetic ground state of a triangular lattice has a continuous degeneracy.

The coupling between spins and crystal lattice $m$ anifests itself in two ways (we are considering the highly sym m etric cases, $o()=0)$. First, the spin-w ave energy ${ }_{k}$ depends on the orientation of the w avevector in
 ${ }_{\kappa} \quad j \cos { }_{k} \mathrm{k}^{1=2}$, show ing that the $k$-dependence of ${ }_{k}$ is $m$ aintained in the $\lim$ it $\widetilde{k}!0$.

Second, even ifthe energy of the FM state is degenerate $w$ ith respect to its orientation in the plane, the spin-w ave energy is not (see Fig. 5). This is true for the triangular lattice as well (not show $n$ ), but it has $m$ ost striking consequences for the square lattice. In this case, the FM con guration is known to have a higher energy than the structure w here spins are ferrom agnetically coupled along lines and antiferrom agnetically coupled betw een neighbouring lines ${ }^{\frac{81}{2}} \mathrm{~T}$ The FM state, if oriented along an axis of the square lattice (the typicalcon guration considered in the literature) is not even locally stable. This is clearly show $n$ in $F$ ig. 5a where the square of the spin-w ave energy is plotted, in the case of $\mathbb{K}$ and $M$ parallel to the a side of the square lattice. T he square of the energy is negative, signaling that the corresponding FM state is unstable. H ow ever, if the $m$ agnetization is oriented along the diagonal, the FM state is no m ore unstable, as show $n$ in $F$ ig. 5b (we show just the case of $\mathbb{K}$ parallel to $M^{r}$, but no instability appears for any value of $k$ ).
$F$ igures 4 and 5 display the e ect of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{dip}}^{(2)}$ on the spinwave spectrum : the energy increases for any value and orientation of $\widetilde{K}$. This result corroborates our statem ent that the nite size of the particles reinforoes ferrom ag-


FIG.4: Spin-w ave dispersion curves calculated for the triangular lattice (rhom bic angle $=3$ ) both num erically (Eq. (12), symbols) and in the continuum lim it (Eq. (131), lines). Solid circles and full lines refer to $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dip}}^{(0)}$; open circles and dashed lines refer to $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dip}}^{(0)}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{dip}}^{(2)}\right.$ ), with $\mathrm{I}=0: 1$ (units w ith $\mathrm{D}_{0}=1$ are used). Them agnetization is assum ed to lie along the $\hat{y}$ axis and three di erent propagation directions are reported: (a) $k=0$; (b) $k==6$; (c) $k==2$. N ote the di erent periodicities of the sp in-w ave energy.
netism in the triangular lattice ( $F$ ig. 4). For the square lattice w e have show ed that ferrom agnetism is locally stable ifMr is oriented along a diagonal of the square lattice ( $F$ ig. 5b) and locally unstable ifMr is parallel to a side of the square lattioe ( $F$ ig. 5a) : $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{dip}}^{(2)}$ reinforces the stability in the form er case and the instability in the latter one.


FIG. 5: The same as in Fig. 4, but for the square lattice (rhom bic angle $==2$ ). (a) W hen m agnetization and wavevector $\widetilde{K}$ are directed along a side of the square lattice, the square of the spin-w ave energy is found to be negative, signaling the instability of the ferrom agnetic con guration. (b) W hen m agnetization and wavevector $\Uparrow$ are directed along the diagonal of the square, the ferrom agnetic state is found to be $m$ etastable.
IV. THECURIETEMPERATURE

The Curie transition tem perature of an orthorom bic lattice of particles $w$ ith $m$ agnetization directed in plane along the $\hat{y}$ axis can be estim ated in the fram ew ork of spin-w ave theory. T he relative deviation of the magnetization from the saturation value takes the form ${ }^{\eta_{1}}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{S}{S}={\frac{1}{N_{k} S}}_{K}^{X} \operatorname{ha}_{K}^{y} a_{K} i=\frac{V_{2}}{(2)^{2} S} \\
& \mathrm{~d}^{2} \tilde{K} \frac{\mathrm{~A}_{\tilde{k}}}{\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{k}}} \frac{1}{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{k}}=\mathrm{T}} \quad 1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{~A}_{\tilde{k}} \tilde{k}}{2_{\mathfrak{k}}} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $V_{2}$ is the volum e of the two-dim ensional unit cell and the integration is over the rst B rillouin zone. T he rst term on the rh.s. of Eq. ${ }_{1}(14)$ gives the tem perature dependence of the $m$ agnetization, while the second term represents the zero-point spin deviation, which can be safely neglected. A rough estim ate of the C urie tem perature $T_{C}$ is obtained by im posing that $S\left(T_{C}\right)=S \quad 1$.

Since the only energy scale in the problem is given by the dipolar interaction, $T_{C}$ is expected to be of order
$W_{\text {eff }}=S^{2}=D_{0}^{3} \quad\left(g_{\text {в }} S\right)^{2}\left(L=a_{0}\right)^{4}\left(t=C_{0}\right)^{2}=D_{0}^{3}$, the e ective dipolar interaction betw een di erent particles.

The sonvergence of the integral $\left(\overline{1} \overline{4} \overline{1}^{\prime}\right)$ in $\widetilde{K}=0$ is easily proved ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ For generic orthorom bic lattioes, it is guaranteed by the gap in the dispersion curve, 0 . For square and triangular lattioes, the spin-w ave energy vanishes for $\mathrm{k}!0$ and one can expand the exponential on the denom inator of Eq. (14) , because the possible divergence is infrared-like. T hus, the integral giving the tem perature dependent spin deviation is found to converge, provided that the positive ${ }^{111} \circ\left(\mathrm{k}^{2}\right)$ term s in the spin-w ave energy are taken into account. Eq. (14 $4_{1}^{\prime}$ ) can be rew ritten as follow s:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{S}{S} \quad \frac{T^{Z_{2}}}{W_{\text {eff }}}{ }_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}^{Z_{q_{M}}}} \frac{\mathrm{qdq}}{\mathrm{C}_{1} q \cos ^{2}+\mathrm{qq}^{2}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

w here $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{kD} \mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{o}}, \quad=\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ are positive constants, and $q_{1}$ 1. The double integralcan be easily evaluated, giving

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{C} \quad W_{\text {eff }} \frac{c_{2}}{2} \ln \frac{q_{M}+p \frac{q_{M}^{2}+\left(c_{1}=c_{2}\right)^{2}}{q_{1}=C_{2}} \#_{1}:}{}: \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the $\lim$ it $c_{1}=0, ~ \check{K}=c_{2} q^{2}$ reproduces the dispersion curve of the $H$ eisenberg ferrom agnet and the $C$ urie tem perature $T_{C}$ vanishes, in agreem ent $w$ ith the $M$ em inW agner theorem 1
$F$ inally, it is interesting to discuss the case where a uniaxialsingle-ion anisotropy , favouring the in-plane $\hat{y}$ axis, is present in the system. The e ect of the nite size of the particle is straightforw ard, in this case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {ani }}=N_{\sigma_{i}}^{X}\left(S_{i}^{y}\right)^{2}=\sim_{\sigma_{i}}^{X}\left(S_{i}^{y}\right)^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{\sim}=\mathrm{N}$ and, as usual, $S=\mathrm{N}$ s.
Thee ect of $E_{a n i}$ on the spin-w ave energy is sim ply to add the quantity 2 to $A_{\overparen{k}}$; for large particles, this constant factor dom inates the dipolar term s in Eq. (131). Because of that, spin wave approxim ation is no $m$ ore suitable and Eq. (14) can not be used to evaluate $T_{C}$ : in fact,止 would give a C urie tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}} \quad 2 \mathrm{~N}$, which diverges when ! 1 .

On physical grounds, we expect that $T_{C}$ is an increasing function of , but in the lim it ofstrong an isotropy, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is alw ays of order $W$ eff . An analogy can be done w the the three dim ensionalH eisenberg $m$ odelin the presence of an easy-axis anisotropy $: T_{C}$ increases with , but $T_{C}(0)$ and $T_{C}(1)$ are of the sam e order of $m$ agnitude and are both of order $J$, the exchange coupling constant. In our case, $W$ eff replaces $J$ and the dim ension of the system is tw o instead of three (the tw o-dim ensional H eisenberg m odel is not ordered at nite tem perature in the absence of anisotropy).

In order to corroborate our argum ent, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{w}$ ill be calculated in the $m$ ean eld approxim ation, starting from
the H am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{M F}=X_{\tilde{D}_{i}}^{\mathrm{K}} S_{i}^{Y} \sim S_{i}^{Y} 2^{i} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{hS}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{iD}_{\mathrm{yy}}^{(0)}(0)$. The m agnetization is given by
where $M, M^{2}$ denote the eigenstates of $S^{y},\left(S^{y}\right)^{2}$ respectively. As T ! $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ one hashS ${ }^{Y}$ i! 0 so that ! 0 and the exponential can be expanded. Thushs ${ }^{Y} i \quad \overline{T_{c}} R$ where $R$ is de ned as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{P_{M=S}^{S} M^{2} e^{\frac{M^{2}}{T_{C}}}}{P_{M=s}^{S} e^{\frac{\mu^{2}}{T_{C}}}}=h\left(S^{Y}\right)^{2} i_{T_{C}}: \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For ${ }^{\sim}=0$ one hasR $=\frac{1}{3} S(S+1)$, so that $T_{C}(\sim=0)=$ $\frac{1}{6} \quad\left[\quad D_{y y}^{(0)}(0)\right] S(S+1) \quad W_{\text {eff }}$.

For ${ }^{\sim}$ \& the mean eld critical tem perature is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}(\sim)}{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}(\sim=0)}=\frac{3}{\mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{~S}+1)} \mathrm{h}\left(\mathrm{~S}^{\mathrm{y}}\right)^{2} \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}} ; \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $m$ ean on the r.h.s. $m$ ust be calulated num erically. N ow we observe that in the lim it ~ ! 1 , one has $h\left(S^{Y}\right)^{2} i_{T_{C}}$ ! $S^{2}$, so that the ratio $T_{C}(1)=T_{C}(0)$ tends to the nite value $3 S=(S+1) . W$ e conclude that for any value of $\sim$, the $C$ urie transition tem perature rem ains of the order of the e ective dipolar interaction $W_{\text {eff }}$, $w$ ith a prefactor changing by a factor three as ~ increases from 0 to 1 .

## V. D ISCUSSIO N

Let us get back to the three questions form ulated at the end of the Introduction.
i) $W$ hat is the e ective dipolar interaction between single dom ain particles?

In the hypothesis that spins are strongly coupled ferrom agnetically inside each particle, it is straightforw ard to de ne an e ective dipolar coupling between ( $m$ icroscopic) spins s of two particles at distance D : W eff $=$
$(\mathrm{sN})^{2}=\mathrm{D}^{3}$, where $=\left(\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{2}$ and N is the num ber of spins in each particle. IfL and tare respectively its linear size and thickness, $W_{\text {eff }} \quad\left(g_{в} S\right)^{2}\left(L=a_{0}\right)^{4}\left(t=C_{0}\right)^{2}=D^{3}$. If a single-ion anisotropy is present, its e ective value is just ${ }^{13}$ eff $=N \quad\left(L=a_{0}\right)^{2}\left(t=c_{0}\right)$.

The full dipolar interaction between particles can be expanded in (even) powers of ( $L=D)^{m}$. The rst correction term $(m=2)$ gives an interaction decaying as $1=D^{5}$ w ith the distance betw een particles. A couple of rem arks are in order here. First, the purely' spin term in the
dipolar interaction (i.e., the term not coupled to the lattice) is no $m$ ore rotationally invariant: the $z$-com ponents are $m$ ore strongly coupled than in-plane com ponents. Second, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{dip}}^{(2)}$ preservestw o im portant features: it is m inim ized w hen spins are aligned ferrom agnetically along the joining line, and the dipolar eld generated by a continuum ' line ofspins aligned along the line in a point outside the line, vanishes.
ii) W hat is the dipolar ground state of an ordered array of $m$ agnetic particles?

W e have considered the class of orthorom bic lattices, which com prises the triangular and the square lattices. It is known that in the case of a lattice of spins, the ground state for the six-fold sym $m$ etry is ferrom agnetic and for the four-fold sym $m$ etry has zero net $m$ agnetization. These results are not $m$ odi ed when single-dom ain particles replace single spins and $\mathrm{E}_{\text {dip }}^{(2)}$ is considered in addition to $E_{d i p}^{(0)}$. The e ect of $E_{d i p}^{(2)}$ is to reinforce, in som e sense, the e ect of $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{dip}}^{(0)}$. In particular, therefore, a triangular lattige of two dim ensional particles interacting through the dipolar interaction has a ferrom agnetic ground state.
iii) $W$ hat is the nite tem perature behaviour of a inplane ferrom agnetically ordered array of particles?

In two dim ensional system $s$, long range order at nite tem perature is not certain : how ever, the long range dipolar forces are know $n$ to grant it. In this respect, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{dip}}^{(2)}$ is of $m$ inor im portance, because it decays as $1=D^{5}$ and consequently the dipolar sum $s$ in the $\widetilde{\kappa}$-space do not contribute to the term $s$ linear in $\widetilde{K}$, but to the quadratic term s only.

In the absence of anisotropies, it is elem entary that the $C$ urie tem perature $T_{C}$ is of order of the e ective dipolar coupling, $T_{C} \quad W_{\text {eff }}$, because it is the only energy scale in the problem. If in-plane easy-axis anisotropies are present, we have show $n$ that $T_{C}$ is expected to increase, but not to change in order of $m$ agnitude: according to $m$ ean eld theory, $T_{c}$ increases by a factor three passing from the weak' anisotropy regim e into the strong' anisotropy regim e.

Denoting by $w=(g \text { в } S)^{2}=a_{0}^{3}$ the dipolar coupling between $m$ icroscopic spins on a two-dim ensional lattioe $w$ ith atom ic distance $a_{0}$, the e ective dipolar interaction between particles of linear dim ension $L$ and thickness $t$ at distance $D_{0}$ can be rew ritten as $W_{\text {eff }}=$ $\mathrm{w}\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{a}_{0}\right)^{4}\left(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{c}_{0}\right)^{2}=\left(\mathrm{D}_{0}=\mathrm{a}_{0}\right)^{3}$. Thus, the Curie tem perature $T_{C} \quad W_{\text {eff }}$ of an ensemble of $m$ agnetic particles $m$ ay be signi cantly larger than the $C$ urie tem perature of a two-dim ensional lattice of $m$ icroscopic spins, which is of order $w$. H ow ever, $L$ cannot be $m$ ade larger than $D_{0}$, because $D_{0}$ scales $w$ th $L$ : at the best, therefore, $T_{C} \quad w\left(L=a_{b}\right)\left(t=c_{0}\right)^{2}$.

Even ifwe have no de nite evaluation of the num erical prefactor appearing in the previous estim ate for $T_{C}$, we suggest that regular arrays of tw o-dim ensional particles m agnetized in plane m ight sustain long range order at experim entally relevant tem peratures (see also Ref. ${ }^{1} 1_{1}^{1}$ ). H ow ever, this collective phenom enon $m$ ay be $m$ asked by
the supenparam agnetic behaviour of the single particle, appearing below the blocking tem perature $T_{B}$ eff $\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{a}_{0}\right)^{2}\left(\mathrm{t}=\mathrm{c}_{0}\right)$.
The condition $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ is equivalent to $=\mathrm{w}>$ $\mathrm{L}^{2} \mathrm{t}=\mathrm{D}_{0}^{3}$, where is an unknown num erical factor. If such a condition is satis ed, the C urie phase transition at $T=T_{C}$ is not visible because them odinam ic equilibrium cannot be attained below $T_{B}$. So, if we decrease tem perature from the high- T region each particle becom es superparam agnetic when dipolar forces are still unable to induce a long range order in the system. W hen $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ dipolar interaction com es into play, but the $m$ agnetization of each particle is frozen and the system is unable to attain equilibrium. In the opposite case, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}>\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{a}$ phase transition at $T_{C}$ should be visible.

Recently, the system $\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Cu}(001)$ hass drawn the attention because it has been suggested ${ }^{1511^{1}-1}$ that for $t<$ $1: 8 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{L}$ (M $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{m}$ onolayer) this system displays a dipolar induced ferrom agnetic order. $T_{C}(t)$ is seen $n_{L}^{n, 5}$, to be nite and increase from $T_{C}(1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{L})=25 \mathrm{~K}$ to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}(1.8 \mathrm{ML})=200 \mathrm{~K}$, where it has a sudden jum $p$, attributed to the percolation in the second layer.

The grow th m orphology of the system Co/Cu(001) is com plicated by the alloying-1 $1^{171}$. between the two elem ents, which m ainly takes place in the rst layer (75\% in $\mathrm{Co}, 25 \%$ in Cu ). H ow ever, w ith such a high peroentage of Cobalt, it is hard to suppose that the rst layer is $m$ ade up of an ensemble of disconnected Co-islands which interact only through long range forces (in R ef. 1 1' the percolation threshold is theoretically estim ated to be of order 60\% ). M ore likely, an in nite cluster of C obalt does exist in the rst layer and therefore the $m$ agnetic be-
haviour of the system, (and the value of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}$ ) follow s from the combining e ect of the direct exchange interaction betw een spins and long range forces.

Finally, we would like to mention an additional di culty in the interpretation of experim entaldata conceming an array of $m$ agnetic islands: the random character of deposition and di usion gives rise to a non-uniform distribution of sizes and positions $1^{141}$ This fact, along $w$ ith frustration due to dipolar interaction, $m$ akes di cult even the determ ination of the ground state, because the system has a glassy behaviourw th a lot ofm etastable states.

## APPENDIX A:MULTIPOLAR EXPANSION OF

 IN TERPARTICLE INTERACTIONW e start from Eq. [1]i), assum ing single m onolayers $\underline{1}^{-181}$ Summ ations on $\mathrm{R}_{1 ; 2}$ are replaced by sum s on $\varlimsup_{1 ; 2}$ (see Fig. 1 for notations):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d} \text { ip }}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{X}^{\mathbf{x}_{1}} \quad \mathrm{X}_{2} \quad \frac{\text { s. }}{\mathbf{s}_{1} \quad{ }_{2} \mathbf{s}_{12}^{3}} \quad 3 \frac{\left(\mathbf{s}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{12}\right)\left(\mathbf{s}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{12}\right)}{\mathrm{R}_{12}^{5}} \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

W em ake the expansions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{R_{12}^{3}} \quad \frac{1}{D^{3}} 1 \quad 3 \frac{2 \sim}{D^{2}} \quad \frac{3}{2} \frac{\mathrm{r}^{2}}{\mathrm{D}^{2}}+\frac{15}{2} \frac{(x)^{2}}{\mathrm{D}^{4}} \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\left(\mathbf{s}_{1} \mathrm{R}_{12}\right)\left(\mathbf{s}_{2} \mathrm{R}_{12}\right)}{\mathrm{R}_{12}^{5}} \quad \frac{1}{\mathrm{D}^{5}} \quad\left(\mathbf{s}_{1} \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{D}}\right)\left(\mathbf{s}_{2} \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{~J}}\right) \quad 1 \\
& \left.+\left[\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\mathbf{s}_{1}\right. & \tilde{D})\left(\mathbf{s}_{2}\right. & \Upsilon
\end{array}\right)+\mathfrak{s} \tilde{D}\right)\left(\mathbf{s}_{1}\right. \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

The follow ing expressions are easily calculated:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{llll}
\mathrm{X} & & \\
& 1=\mathrm{N}_{1} & \mathrm{X} \quad 1=\mathrm{N}_{2}
\end{array} \\
& {\underset{ }{\varkappa_{1}}} \quad{ }^{\Re_{2}} \\
& \mathscr{P}=\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\left(\mathrm{hr}_{2} \mathrm{i} \quad \mathrm{hn}\right. \text { i) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{X}^{\boldsymbol{q}_{1}} \quad{ }^{\boldsymbol{q}_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{x_{1}} \quad \mathscr{r}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{lll}
\nabla_{1} & \text { hai) })\left(\nabla_{2}\right. & \text { haí })]
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the previous expressions, $N_{1 ; 2}$ are the num ber of spins in the particles $I_{1 ; 2}$ and $\nabla_{1 ; 2}$ are generic vectors.

It is alw ays possible to choose the origin of the reference system for a given particle in its center ofm ass, so that hre $i=0$ and the $\backslash m$ om ent of inertia" $I_{i}$ of a particle is $I_{i}=h r_{i}^{2} i$. C onsequently, we have the follow ing results

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathrm{h}(\widetilde{\Gamma} \quad & \mathrm{i} \psi^{2} \mathrm{i} & =\frac{1}{2} D^{2} I_{i} \\
h\left(\nabla _ { 1 } \quad i \ngtr \left(\nabla_{2} \quad i \ngtr i\right.\right. & =\frac{1}{2} I_{i} \nabla_{1}^{k} \quad \nabla_{2}^{k} \tag{A5}
\end{array}
$$

where $\nabla^{k}$ is the in-plane com ponent of the generic vector $\nabla$.

Ifwe de ne $\mathrm{I}_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(I_{1}+I_{2}\right)$ and $S_{i}=N_{i} s_{i}$, we obtain the follow ing expression for the e ective dipolar interac-
tion betw een tw o particles at distance D :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d} \text { ip }}= & \frac{1}{2} \frac{S_{i}^{?} S_{i}^{?}}{\mathrm{D}^{3}} 1+\frac{9}{2} \frac{I_{12}}{\mathrm{D}^{2}}  \tag{A6}\\
+ & \frac{1}{2} \frac{S_{1}^{\mathrm{k}} S_{2}^{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{D}^{3}} 1+\frac{3}{2} \frac{I_{12}}{\mathrm{D}^{2}}  \tag{A7}\\
& \frac{3}{2} \frac{\left(S_{1}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~S}\right)\left(S_{2}^{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~T}\right)}{\mathrm{D}^{5}} 1+\frac{5}{2} \frac{I_{12}}{\mathrm{D}^{2}} \tag{A8}
\end{align*}
$$

> APPENDIX B:M IN IM IZATION OF THE D IPOLAR COUPLING BETWEEN TWO SPINS

Let us consider two unitary spins $S_{1} ; S_{2}$ located at a distance $R_{12}$ along the in-plane $\hat{x}$ axis, taken as the polar axis, while the $\hat{z}$ axis is perpendicular to the plane. $T$ heir orientations are de ned by the polar and azim uthal angles $i_{i}{ }^{\prime}{ }_{i}$.

The dipolar coupling can be generally w ritten as

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{12} \quad & E_{12}^{(0)}+E_{12}^{(2)} \\
& \sim_{0}\left(S_{1} S_{2} \quad 3 S_{1}^{\mathrm{x}} S_{2}^{\mathrm{x}}\right) \\
+ & \sim_{2}\left(2 S_{1}^{\mathrm{z}} S_{2}^{\mathrm{z}}+\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \quad 5 \mathrm{~S}_{1}^{\mathrm{x}} S_{2}^{\mathrm{x}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{\sim}_{0}=\frac{1}{2} \quad S^{2}=R_{12}^{3}$ and $\sim_{2}=\frac{3}{4} I_{12} \quad S^{2}=R_{12}^{5} \quad$ (see Eqs. (

B oth term $\mathrm{S} \mathrm{E}_{12}^{(0)}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{12}^{(2)}$ are m inim ized by the sam e con guration. First, let us treat the zero-order term. We have to $m$ in in ize the function

$$
\mathrm{E}_{12}^{(0)}=\sim_{0}=\sin 1 \sin 2 \cos \left(\prime_{1} \quad '_{2}\right) \quad 2 \cos _{1} \cos 2(\mathrm{~B} 1)
$$

By taking the derivatives w ith respect to ' $1 ; 2$ we nd that $\left(\begin{array}{ll}r_{1} & \left.r_{2}\right)=0 \text {; or that one } i\end{array}\right.$ at least $m$ ust vanish. If, e.g., $1=0$, it is straightforw ard to derive that $2=0$ as well. Ifboth $1 ; 2$ are not vanishing, taking the derivatives $w$ ith respect to them implies cos $1_{1}=\cos \mathcal{S}_{2}=0$, i.e. $1_{1 ; 2}=\frac{\overline{2}}{}$. In simple words, it is su cient to consider two kinds of con gurations: i) the con guration where both spins are perpendicular to the joining vector and they are parallel or antiparallel; ii) the con guration where both spins are aligned along the joining vector. The form er con guration, w ith antiparallel spins, corresponds to the $m$ inim ization of ( $S_{1} S_{2}$ ) and its energy is
$\sim_{0}$. The latter con guration, w ith parallelspins, corresponds to them inim ization of ( $3 S_{1}^{\times} S_{2}^{\times}$) and its energy is $\sim_{0} 3^{\sim}{ }_{0}=2_{0} \tilde{0}$.W e conclude that $\mathrm{E}_{12}^{(0)}$ ism inim ized by the ferrom agnetic con guration $w$ ith spins aligned along their joining vector.

The m inim ization of $\mathrm{E}_{12}^{(2)}$ proceeds along the sam e lines, $w$ th the $m$ inor di erence that con gurations $w$ ith both spins perpendicular to the joining line are no $m$ ore degenerate $w$ ith respect to a global rotation around the $\hat{x}$ axis: the low est energy one corresponds to antiparallel spins along the $\hat{z}$ direction and its energy is $3^{\sim}{ }_{2}$. On the other hand, the ferrom agnetic con guration $w$ ith
both spins parallel to the $\hat{x}$ axis has the energy $\quad 4^{\sim}{ }_{2}$, so the conclusion is unchanged.

## APPENDIX C:D POLAR SUMS FOR THE ORTHOROMBIC LATTICE

For spins ferrom agnetically oriented along the y axis, the coe cients of the spin-w ave H am iltonian in Eq. (1]) take the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{A_{K}^{(0)}}{S}=\frac{1}{2} D_{z z}^{(0)}(\widetilde{K})+\frac{1}{2} D_{x x}^{(0)}(\widetilde{K}) \quad D_{y y}^{(0)}(0) \\
& \frac{B_{\tilde{K}}^{(0)}}{S}=\frac{1}{2} D_{z z}^{(0)}(\mathbb{K}) \quad \frac{1}{2} D_{x x}^{(0)}(\widetilde{K})+i D_{z x}^{(0)}(\widetilde{K}) \tag{C1}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{A_{\tilde{K}}^{(2)}}{S \frac{3}{2} I}=\frac{1}{2} D_{z z}^{(2)}(\widetilde{K})+\frac{1}{2} D_{x x}^{(2)}(\widetilde{K}) \quad D_{y y}^{(2)}(0)+E^{(2)}(\widetilde{K}) \\
& \frac{B_{\tilde{K}}^{(2)}}{S \frac{3}{2} I}=\frac{1}{2} D_{z z}^{(2)}(\widetilde{K}) \quad \frac{1}{2} D_{x x}^{(2)}(\widetilde{K})+i D_{z x}^{(2)}(\widetilde{K})+E^{(2)}(\widetilde{K}) \tag{C2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $N$ is the num ber of spins in each particle and the dipolar sum sare de ned as ( ; = x;y;z)

$$
\begin{align*}
& D^{(n)}(\widetilde{K})=X_{\sigma_{j}}^{D_{i j}^{3+n}} 1 \quad(3+n) \frac{D_{i j} D_{i j}}{D_{i j}^{2}} e^{\text {ik } \sigma_{i j}} \\
& E^{(n)}(\widetilde{K})=\frac{X}{\sigma_{j}} \frac{e^{i \mathcal{K} D_{i j}}}{D_{i j}^{3+n}} \tag{C3}
\end{align*}
$$

W e observe that in the ultra at particle lim it, one has $E^{(n)}(\mathbb{K}) \quad D_{z z}^{(n)}(\widetilde{K})$ and $D_{z x}^{(n)}(\mathbb{K}) \quad 0$ and the $D$ 's can be approxim ately expressed

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
D_{x x}^{(n)}(\mathbb{K}) & Y^{(n)}(\mathbb{K}) & (2+n) X^{(n)}(\mathbb{K}) \\
D_{y y}^{(n)}(\mathbb{K}) & X(n) \widetilde{K}) & (2+n) Y^{(n)}(\mathbb{K}) \\
D_{z z}^{(n)}(\widetilde{K}) & X^{(n)}(\widetilde{K})+Y^{(n)}(\widetilde{K}) \tag{C4}
\end{array}
$$

in term $s$ of the dipolar sum $s$

$$
\begin{align*}
& X^{(n)}(\mathbb{K})=\frac{X}{} \frac{\left(D_{i j}^{X}\right)^{2}}{D_{i j}^{5+n}} e^{i \mathbb{K} D_{i j}} \\
& Y^{(n)}(\mathbb{K})=X_{D_{j}}^{X} \frac{\left(D_{i j}^{Y}\right)^{2}}{D_{i j}^{5+n}} e^{i \mathbb{K} \tilde{D}_{i j}}: \tag{C5}
\end{align*}
$$

T he latter sum s can be num erically calculated in a very e cient way follow ing a $m_{1}$ ethod, developed som e years ago by Benson and M ills, $1^{191}$ sim ilar to Ew ald's one for the evaluation of lattice sum s . For $\mathrm{n}=0$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{x}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}\right)=\mathrm{U}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)+\mathrm{V}^{(0)}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) \tag{C6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $U$ is a sum $m$ ation over the sites of the orthorom bic lattice which are located at integer multiples of $a$ and $b$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U^{(0)}\left(k_{x} ; k_{y}\right)=\frac{16}{3} \frac{1}{b} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{l}=1}^{1} \cos \left(k_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{al}\right) \\
& \mathrm{X}^{1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~b}}+\frac{k_{\mathrm{y}}}{2}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \quad 2 \mathrm{alj} \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~b}}+\frac{k_{\mathrm{y}}}{2} j
\end{aligned}
$$

while $V$ refers to sem i-integer multiples

$$
\begin{gathered}
V^{(0)}\left(k_{x} ; k_{y}\right)=\frac{16}{3} \frac{1}{b} X_{l=0}^{1} \cos k_{x} a\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right) \\
X^{1} \quad(1)^{m}\left(\frac{m}{b}+\frac{k_{y}}{2}\right)^{2} K_{2} \quad 2 a\left(l+\frac{1}{2}\right) j \frac{m}{b}+\frac{k_{y}}{2} j
\end{gathered}
$$

For $n=2$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}\right)=\mathrm{U}^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)+\mathrm{V}^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}\right) \tag{C7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U^{(2)}\left(k_{x} ; k_{y}\right)=\frac{32}{15} \frac{1}{a b}_{l=1}^{X^{1}} \frac{\cos \left(k_{x} a l\right)}{l} \\
& X^{1} \quad\left(\frac{m}{b}+\frac{k_{y}}{2}\right)^{3} K_{3} \quad 2 a l j \frac{m}{b}+\frac{k_{y}}{2} j
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{V}^{(2)}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{Y}}\right)=\frac{32}{15} \frac{1}{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{l}=0}^{1} \frac{\cos \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{l}+\frac{1}{2}\right)}{\left(\mathrm{l}+\frac{1}{2}\right)} \\
& \mathrm{X}^{1} \quad(1)^{m}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~b}}+\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}}{2}\right)^{3} \mathrm{~K}_{3} 2 \mathrm{a}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\right) j \frac{\mathrm{~m}}{\mathrm{~b}}+\frac{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}}}{2} j
\end{aligned}
$$

Here above, $K_{2}(z)$ and $K_{3}(z)$ are the modi ed Bessel functions of second and third order, respectively. By sym $m$ etry reasons, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{(n)}\left(k_{x} ; k_{y} ; a ; b\right)=X^{(n)}\left(k_{y} ; k_{x} ; b ; a\right) \tag{C8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ inally, in the continuum lim it $\widetilde{K}!0$, one can obtain analytical expressions for the dipolar sum $s$. Denoting by k the m odulus of the two-dim ensional wavevector $\tilde{K}=$ ( $k_{x} ; k_{y}$ ) and by $k$ the angle that $\widetilde{k}$ form $s w$ th the $\hat{x}$ axis, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
X^{(0)}(\mathrm{k}) & \mathrm{X}^{(0)}(0) & \frac{2}{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{k} & 1+\frac{1}{3} \cos (2 \mathrm{k}) \\
\mathrm{Y}^{(0)}(\mathrm{k}) & \mathrm{Y}^{(0)}(0) & \frac{2}{\mathrm{ab}} \mathrm{k} & 1 \tag{C9}
\end{array} \frac{1}{3} \cos (2 \mathrm{k}), ~ l
$$

and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X^{(2)}(k) & X^{(2)}(0)+O\left(k^{2}\right) \\
Y^{(2)}(k) & Y^{(2)}(0)+O\left(k^{2}\right) \tag{C10}
\end{array}
$$

where for the triangular lattice one has $X^{(0)}(0)=$ $5: 5170879=D_{0}^{3}, X^{(2)}(0)=3: 3809493=D_{0}^{3}$ and for the square lattice $\mathrm{X}^{(0)}(0)=4: 5168109=\mathrm{D}_{0}^{3}, \mathrm{X}^{(2)}(0)=$ $2: 5451291=D_{0}^{3}$. From the previous expressions one obtains approxim ate expansions for the quantities in Eqs. (12, $14^{\prime}$ ) :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{A_{k}}{} B_{k} \\
S & 0+\frac{4 k}{a b} \cos ^{2} k+O\left(k^{2}\right) \\
\frac{A_{\overparen{k}}+B_{k}}{S} & 1 \frac{4 k}{a b}+O\left(k^{2}\right)  \tag{C11}\\
\frac{A_{k}}{S} & 2
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=3^{h} Y^{(0)}(0) X^{(0)}(0)^{i}+\frac{15}{2} I^{h} Y^{(2)}(0) \quad X^{(2)}(0)^{i} \\
& 1=3 Y^{(0)}(0)+\frac{3}{2} I^{7} 7 Y^{(2)}(0)+2 X^{(2)}(0) \\
& 2=\frac{3}{2}{ }^{2} Y^{(0)}(0) \quad X^{(0)}(0)+\frac{9}{4} I^{4} Y^{(2)}(0) \quad X^{(2)}(0) \tag{C12}
\end{align*}
$$

H ence we observe that for a generic orthorom bic lattice one has $X^{(n)}(0) \not Y^{(n)}(0)$, so that the dispersion curve ${ }_{K}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}A_{\overparen{K}} & B_{\overparen{K}}\end{array}\right)\left(A_{\overparen{K}}+B_{\overparen{K}}\right)^{1=2}$ presents a gap for $\widetilde{K}!0$. For the special cases of the triangular and the square lattioe one has $X^{(n)}(0)=Y^{(n)}(0)$ by sym $m$ etry reasons; this implies that $0=0$, so that a Goldstone mode is present in the dispersion curve: ${ }_{\mathfrak{k}}!0$ for $\mathbb{K}!0$.
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