

## Polarization of super uid turbulence

Carlo F. Barenghi, Sarah Hulton and David C. Samuels  
 Mathematics Department, University of Newcastle, Newcastle NE1 7RU, UK  
 (March 22, 2024)

We show that normal uid eddies in turbulent helium II polarize the tangle of quantized vortex lines present in the flow, thus inducing super uid vorticity patterns similar to the driving normal uid eddies. We also show that the polarization is effective over the entire inertial range. The results help explain the surprising analogies between classical and super uid turbulence which have been observed recently.

PACS 67.40Vs, 47.37.+q

Our concern is the experimental evidence that turbulent helium II appears similar to classical hydrodynamic turbulence [1]. For example, Smith et al [2] found that the temporal decay of helium II turbulence behind a towed grid is the same as that expected in an ordinary uid. Maurer & Tabeling [3] observed the classical Kolmogorov  $k^{-5/3}$  dependence of the energy spectrum on the wavenumber  $k$  in helium II continuously agitated by rotating propellers. In other experiments it was found that if helium II is forced at high velocity along pipes and channels the same pressure drops [4] are detected which are observed in a classical liquid. Furthermore, when a sphere moves at high velocity in helium II, the same drag crisis [5] is measured that occurs if the uid is air.

These apparently classical results are surprising because helium II is a quantum uid. According to Landau's two-uid theory, it consists of the intimate mixture of an inviscid super uid component and a viscous normal uid component. The latter is similar to a classical Navier-Stokes uid, so, when made turbulent, it consists of eddies of various sizes and strengths. On the contrary quantum mechanics constrains the rotational flow of the super uid to discrete quantized vortex lines, each vortex characterized by the same quantum of circulation  $\Gamma = 9.97 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2/\text{sec}$ . Unlike what happens in a classical Euler uid, super uid vortex lines can reconnect with each other [6]. They also interact with the normal uid via a linear mutual friction force [7], and, when helium II is made turbulent, they form a disordered, apparently random tangle. Clearly the experimental results described above call for an explanation in terms of the basic physical ingredients of the problem (normal uid eddies and super uid vortices) and their interaction. What is remarkable is that these classical aspects of helium II turbulence are observed to be independent of temperature, whereas the relative proportion of super uid and normal uid is a strong function of temperature.

A possible solution of the puzzle is that both uids independently obey the classical Kolmogorov scaling. A few years ago Nore et al [8] solved the Gross-Pitaevskii equation for a tangled system of vortices and noticed that the energy spectrum follows a  $k^{-5/3}$  dependence. Unfortunately the effect only took place over a small range in  $k$  space and further calculations performed using the vortex filament model [9] did not reproduce it. Another difficulty with this argument is that one has also to explain why the mutual friction coupling between the two uids does not change the shape of the spectra which the two uids would have independently of each other.

A second explanation of the experiments has been suggested by Vinen [10]. He argued that at spatial scales larger than the average separation between the quantized vortex lines, the super uid and normal uid are coupled by a small degree of polarization of the almost random tangle of super uid vortex lines. If that is the case, on these scales helium II behaves as a single uid of density  $\rho = \rho_s + \rho_n$ , consistently with the experiments ( $\rho_s$  and  $\rho_n$  are the super uid and normal uid density respectively).

The aim of this letter is to support Vinen's argument with quantitative evidence of polarization. First we shall introduce some simple models which, although very idealized, capture the essential physics of polarization. Secondly we shall look for evidence of polarization by direct numerical simulation.

Our first model is concerned with the reaction of super uid vortices to a normal uid shear. Consider a row of point vortices of alternating circulation  $\Gamma_n$  initially set along the  $x$  axis at distance  $y_n$  from each other. We assume that the normal uid is  $v_n = V_n \cos(ky)$ . The governing equation of motion of a vortex point is [13]

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \gamma V_n \cos(ky); \quad (1)$$

where  $\gamma$  is a known temperature dependent friction coefficient [7]. The solution of Eq. (1) corresponding to the initial condition  $y(0) = 0$  is

$$y(t) = \frac{2}{k} \arctan \left( \frac{1}{4} + \tan^{-1}(e^{-kV_n t}) \right); \quad (2)$$

Given enough time ( $t \rightarrow \infty$ ), positive and negative vortices will reach stable locations  $y_1 = \pm 2/k$  respectively. In a turbulent normal flow, however, the shear does not last longer than few times the turnover time  $\tau_h = 1/kV_n$ .

Since  $\beta$  is small (it ranges from 0.037 at  $T = 1.3K$  to 0.35 at  $T = 2.16K$ ) we have  $\gamma(\theta) \approx b$  where  $b = \beta/k$ . Within the lifetime of the shear we have thus created a separation  $2b$  between positive and negative vortices, that is to say we have polarized the initial configuration. The velocity of this Kam an -vortex street is approximately [11]  $V_s \approx 2$  for  $k \ll 1$  in the direction along the  $x$  axis where the normal uid (which induced the polarization in the first place) is stronger. The result suggests that it is not necessary to create extra vortex lines to generate a super uid pattern that mimics the normal uid one - rearranging existing vortices is enough. We also notice that the induced polarization is proportional to  $\beta$ .

Our second model is concerned with the expansion of favourably oriented super uid vorticity. We think of the super uid vortex tangle as a collection of vortex rings of radius approximately equal to the average separation of vortices in the tangle,  $R_0$ . We assume for simplicity that the rings are on the  $x,y$  plane with equal numbers of rings oriented in the  $\pm z$  directions. Depending on whether they have positive or negative orientation, the rings move along  $\pm z$  with self induced speed given by

$$V_{R_0} = \frac{L}{4 R_0}; \quad (3)$$

where  $L = \ln(8R_0/a_0)$ ,  $L \approx 2$  is a slowly varying term and  $a_0 \approx 10^{-8} \text{ cm}$  is the vortex core radius. Now we apply a normal uid velocity  $V_n$  in the  $z$  direction. The radius  $R$  of a ring is determined by [7]

$$\frac{dR}{dt} = -\frac{1}{s} (V_n - V_R); \quad (4)$$

where  $s$  is a known friction coefficient and  $s \approx s_0$  at almost all temperatures of interest. Eq. (4) shows that  $V_n$  selectively changes radius and velocity of vortex rings moving in opposite directions. A ring which grows (shrinks) by an amount  $\Delta R = \int (V_n - V_R) dt$  slows down (speeds up) by an amount  $\Delta V_R = V_R - V_{R_0}$ ,  $R = R_0$ . In this way a super flow is induced in the same direction of the normal uid which induced the polarization in the first place. A simple estimate of the spatial averaged magnitude of this super flow yields [12]  $V_s \approx 3V_{R_0}$ ,  $R = R_0$ .

Our third model is concerned with the rotation of existing super uid vorticity. We represent a super uid vortex line as a straight segment pointing away from the origin and study how its orientation is changed by a normal uid rotation about the  $z$  axis. Using spherical coordinates  $(r; \theta; \phi)$ , we assume that the vortex is initially in the plane  $\theta = \pi/2$ . The normal uid's velocity is  $\mathbf{v} = (0; 0; r \sin \theta)$  and the motion of the vortex segment is determined by [14]

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} = -\sin(\theta); \quad (5)$$

and  $dr/dt = 0$  and  $d\phi/dt = 0$ . The solution to Eq.(5) is

$$\theta(t) = 2 \tan^{-1}(e^{-t}); \quad (6)$$

with  $r$  and  $\phi$  constant. Given enough time, the vortex segment will align along the direction of the normal uid rotation ( $\theta \rightarrow 0$  for  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ), but the lifetime of the eddy is only of the order of  $\tau = 1$ , so the vortex can only turn to the angle

$$\theta \approx 2 \quad : \quad (7)$$

Despite the smallness of the angle, the effect is sufficient to create a net polarization of the tangle in the direction of the normal uid's rotation, provided that there are enough vortices. The following argument shows how this is possible. The normal uid is like a classical viscous Navier - Stokes uid, and, if left to itself, its spectrum  $E_k$  would obey Kolmogorov's law

$$E_k = C \lambda^{-2/3} k^{-5/3}; \quad (8)$$

Eq. (8) is valid in the inertial range  $\lambda^{-1} < k < \lambda_0^{-1}$  in which big eddies break up into smaller eddies, transferring energy to higher and higher wavenumbers without viscosity playing a role. Here  $k$  is the magnitude of the three dimensional wavevector,  $\lambda_0^{-1}$  is the rate of energy dissipation per unit mass,  $C$  is a constant of order unity,  $\lambda_0$  is the integral length scale (the scale at which energy is fed into the energy cascade) and  $\lambda$  is the Kolmogorov scale at which kinetic energy is dissipated by the action of viscosity. In reality the normal uid is not alone but is forced by the quantized vortex filaments. We know little of the effects of this forcing (it has been studied only for very simple geometries [15]) so, for lack of further information, we assume that the classical relation Eq. (8) is valid for the normal uid.

The quantity which is often used to describe the intensity of the superfluid vortex tangle is the vortex line density  $L$  (length of vortex line per volume  $V$ ) because it is easily measured by detecting the attenuation of second sound. From  $L$  one infers the average separation between vortices,  $L^{-1/2}$ . The quantity  $L$  can be interpreted as the total m.s.vorticity of the superfluid. Note that the net amount of superfluid vorticity in a particular direction can be much less than  $L$  (even zero, if the tangle is randomly oriented).

The key question is whether, as a result of mutual friction, sufficient quantized vortex lines can re-orient themselves within a normal fluid eddy of wavenumber  $k$  so that the resulting net superfluid vorticity matches the vorticity  $\frac{1}{2}\omega$  of that eddy. The process must take place in a time scale shorter than the typical lifetime of the eddy, which is of the order of few times the turnover time  $\tau_k$ . At this point we use the result of the third model, for which the initial condition  $\langle \theta \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\omega$  represents the average case. In doing so we remark that, if the initial orientation of the vortex is toward the origin rather than away from it, then the vortex segment turns to  $\langle \theta \rangle = \frac{1}{2}\omega + \pi$  rather than  $\frac{1}{2}\omega$  but still contributes to positive vorticity in the  $z$  direction. Therefore in the time  $\tau_k$ , re-ordering of existing vortex lines creates a net superfluid vorticity  $\omega_s$  of the order of  $L \tau_k$  in the direction of the vorticity  $\frac{1}{2}\omega$  of the driving normal fluid eddy of wavenumber  $k$ . Since  $\frac{1}{2}\omega$  is approximately  $\frac{1}{2}\omega = \frac{1}{2}k^2 E_k$  we have  $\omega_s = C^{1/2-1/3} k^{2/3}$ . Matching  $\omega_s$  and  $\frac{1}{2}\omega$  would then require

$$\frac{1}{3} L = C^{1/2-1/3} k^{2/3}; \quad (9)$$

The normal fluid vorticity increases with  $k$  and is concentrated at the smallest scale ( $k = 1/\lambda$ ), so a vortex tangle with a given value of  $L$  may satisfy the above equation only up to a certain critical wavenumber  $k_c$ . Substituting  $\frac{1}{3} L = \frac{1}{3} \omega_s$  where  $\nu_n$  is the normal fluid's kinematic viscosity (the viscosity of helium II divided by  $\rho_n$ ), we obtain  $(\omega_s = \frac{1}{3} L) = C^{1/4} (\frac{1}{3})^{1/2} (\nu_n)^{1/2} (k_c)^{1/3}$ . If  $k_c = 1/\lambda$  then

$$\omega_s = C^{1/4} \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{\nu_n} \quad (10)$$

In the temperature range of experimental interest  $\rho_n$  ranges from 0.43 at  $T = 1.3K$  to 5.86 at  $T = 2.15K$ , so  $\omega_s = 0$  (1) and we conclude that matching of the two vorticities ( $k_c = 1/\lambda$ ) is possible throughout the inertial range.

Due to the computational cost, numerical simulations of superfluid turbulence do not produce vortex tangles dense enough to cover the range  $k < 1/\lambda$  and determine unambiguously the dependence of the energy spectrum on  $k$  in this range. To make progress in the problem and confirm the above arguments we study the reaction of the superfluid vortex tangle to a single scale ABC normal flow [16] given by  $\vec{u} = (A \sin(kz) + C \cos(ky); B \sin(kx) + A \cos(kz); C \sin(ky) + B \cos(kx))$  where  $k = 2\pi/\lambda$  is the wavenumber,  $\lambda$  is the wavelength and  $A, B$  and  $C$  are parameters. ABC flows are solutions of the Euler equation and the forced Navier-Stokes equation and have been used as idealized model of eddies in fluid dynamics, magneto-hydrodynamics and superfluid hydrodynamics [17]. For the sake of simplicity we take  $A = B = C$  and  $\lambda = 1$ .

Following Schwarz [18], we represent a superfluid vortex filament as a space curve  $\vec{s} = \vec{s}(\sigma; t)$  where  $\sigma$  is arclength and  $t$  is time. Neglecting a small transverse friction coefficient, the curve moves with velocity

$$\frac{d\vec{s}}{dt} = \vec{v}_{si} + \vec{s}^0 \cdot (\vec{v}_n - \vec{v}_{si}); \quad (11)$$

where  $\vec{s}^0 = d\vec{s}/d\sigma$  and the self induced velocity  $\vec{v}_{si}$  is given by the Biot-Savart integral

$$\vec{v}_{si} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \frac{(\vec{r} - \vec{s}) \times d\vec{s}}{|\vec{r} - \vec{s}|^3}; \quad (12)$$

The calculation is performed in a cubic box of volume  $V = 1 \text{ cm}^3$  with periodic boundary conditions. The numerical technique is standard [18] and the details of our algorithm, including how to perform vortex reconnections, have been published elsewhere [17].

We start the calculation with  $N = 50$  superfluid vortex rings set at random positions and orientation and integrate in time at a variety of temperatures ( $\rho = 0.1, 0.5$  and  $1.0$ ) and normal fluid's velocities ( $A = 0.01, 0.1, 1.0$  and  $10.0 \text{ cm/sec}$ ). The vortex length ( $\lambda = 76.8 \text{ cm}$  at  $t = 0$ ) increases or decreases depending on whether the ABC flow is strong enough to feed energy into the normal fluid via instabilities of vortex waves (for example, for  $\rho = 1.0$ , the normal length is as high as  $781.3 \text{ cm}$  at  $A = 10.0 \text{ cm/sec}$ , and as low as  $56.67 \text{ cm}$  at  $A = 0.01 \text{ cm/sec}$ ) The rings interact with each other and with the normal fluid, get distorted, reconnect, and soon an apparently random tangle is formed (see figure 1).

The quantity  $\langle \cos(\theta) \rangle = \langle \vec{s}^0 \cdot \hat{n} \rangle$ , which we monitor during the evolution, gives us the tangle-averaged projection of the local tangent to a vortex in the direction of the local normal fluid vorticity,  $\hat{n} = (\frac{1}{2}\omega_n) / \omega_n$ , where

$\angle_n = r_{v_n} \cdot \text{Att} = 0 < \cos(\cdot) = 0$  due to the random nature of the initial state, and it is apparent from Figure 2 that  $\langle \cos(\cdot) \rangle$  increases with time, no matter whether  $\langle \cos(\cdot) \rangle$  decreases or increases.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

- [1] C.F. Barenghi, R.J. Donnelly and W.F. Vinen, *Quantized Vortex Dynamics And Superfluid Turbulence*, Springer Verlag (2001).
- [2] M.R. Smith, R.J. Donnelly, N.G. Goldenfeld and W.F. Vinen, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 71, 2583 (1993).
- [3] J. Maurer and P. Tabeling, *Europhys. Lett.* 43, 29 (1998).
- [4] P.L. Walstrom, J.G. Weisend, J.R. Maddocks and S.W. VanSciver, *Cryogenics* 28, 101 (1998).
- [5] M.R. Smith, D.K. Hilton and S.V. VanSciver, *Phys. Fluids* 11, 751 (1999).
- [6] J. Koplik, J. and H. Levine, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 71, 1375-1378 (1993); M. Leadbeater, T. Winiacki, D.C. Samuels, C.F. Barenghi and C.S. Adams, *Phys. Rev. Letters* 86 1410 (2001).
- [7] C.F. Barenghi, R.J. Donnelly and W.F. Vinen, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* 52 189, (1983).
- [8] C. Nore, M. Abid and M.E. Brachet, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 78 3896 (1997).
- [9] T. Araki, M. Tsubota and S.K. Nemirovskii, *J. Low Temp. Phys.* 126, 303 (2002).
- [10] W.F. Vinen, *Phys. Rev. B* 61, 1410 (2000).
- [11] We estimate the velocity of the double row of vortices at  $(m a; b=2)$  and  $((m+1)a; b=2)$  (where  $m$  is integer and  $a = 2\lambda$ ) at the origin and obtain  $V_s = (-a)\sinh(b-a) / [\cosh(b-a) - 1] = 2\lambda$ .
- [12] Let  $n$  be the number of positive and negative rings. Their areas and velocities are respectively  $A = R_0^2$  and  $V = V_{R_0}$ . At  $t = 0$  the average velocity is  $V_s = [n R_0^2 V_{R_0} - n R_0^2 V_{R_0}] / [n R_0^2 + n R_0^2] = 0$ . At time  $t$  we have  $A = (R_0 + V_R t)^2$  and  $V = (V_{R_0} + V_R)$ , so  $V_s = [n (R_0 + V_R t)^2 (V_{R_0} + V_R) - n (R_0 + V_R t)^2 (V_{R_0} + V_R)] / [n (R_0 + V_R t)^2 + n (R_0 + V_R t)^2] = 3V_{R_0} - V_R = V_{R_0}$ .
- [13] Eq. (1) follows from Eq. (11) in the absence of  $v_{si}$ .
- [14] Again, Eq. (5) follows from Eq. (11) neglecting the self induced motion which is zero for a straight vortex.
- [15] D.K. Iotides, C.F. Barenghi and D.C. Samuels, *Science*, 290, 777 (2000); O.C. Idowu, A. Willis, C.F. Barenghi and D.C. Samuels, *Phys. Rev. B* 62 3409 (2000).
- [16] T. Dombre, U. Frisch, J.M. Greene, M. Henon, A. Mehr and A.M. Soward, *J. Fluid Mechanics* 167, 353 (1986).
- [17] C.F. Barenghi, D.C. Samuels, G.H. Bauer and R.J. Donnelly, *Phys. Fluids* 9, 2631 (1997).
- [18] K.W. Schwarz, *Phys. Rev. Letters* 49, 283 (1982); *Phys. Rev. B* 31, 5782 (1985); *Phys. Rev. B* 38, 2398 (1988).
- [19] D.K. Iotides, J.C. Vassilios, D.C. Samuels and C.F. Barenghi, *Europhys. Letters*, 57 845 (2002).
- [20] L. Skrbek, J.J. Niemela and R.J. Donnelly, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 85, 2973 (2000).

## FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 2. Average polarization  $\langle \cos(\theta) \rangle$  versus time  $t$  computed for different values of  $A$  and  $\tau$ .

Figure 3.  $\langle \cos(\theta) \rangle = \langle \cos(\theta) \rangle_{\text{avg}}$  versus scaled time  $t/\tau$  where  $\tau$  is the eddy's lifetime (same symbols as in Figure 1).





