# The A sym m etric A valanche P rocess. 



## A bstract

An asym m etric stochastic process describing the avalanche dynam ics on a ring is proposed. A general kinetic equation which incorporates the exclusion and avalanche processes is considered. T he Bethe ansatz $m$ ethod is used to calculate the generating function for the total distance covered by all particles. It gives the average velocity of particles which exhibits a phase transition from an inter$m$ ittent to continuous ow . W e calculated also higher cum ulants and the large deviation function for the particle ow. T he latter has the universal form obtained earlier for the asym $m$ etric exchusion process and con jectured to be com $m$ on for all $m$ odels of the $K$ ardar-P arisiZhang universality class .
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## 1 Introduction.

Interacting particle system $s w$ th stochastic dynam ics [1] ] and particularly the one-dim ensional asym m etric exclusion process (A SEP) have been in-
 C ows [ $\overline{[6}]$, the noisy B urgers equation $[\bar{\eta}]$ and the $K$ ardar $P$ arisi-Zhang ( K P Z ) equation [lold. B eing one of the sim plest exam ples of integrable nonequilibrium system $s$, the A SEP allow s calculation of som e dynam icalprop-
 [12'].

In a standard form ulation [1] is alw ays at $m$ ost one particle per site on the one-dim ensional lattice. E very particle hops to its right or left w ith biased probabilities provided the target site is em pty. O therw ise, it does not move. U sing a tra c tem inology, this kind of interaction betw een particles can be called a \soft braking".
 a particle pushes the particle in front of it and then stops. The displaced particle shifts the next particle in front, if any, and so on. As a result, a chain of adjacent particles is shifted by one lattioe space left or right at the sam em om ent of tim e. D espite apparent non-locality of dynam ics, the B ethe ansatz $m$ ethod is still applicable and the resulting B ethe equations are solvable parallelto the A SEP case. Further generalizations of the A SEP have been proposed $\left.\left[\overline{1} \overline{\sigma_{1}}\right],[\overline{[ }] \bar{T}_{1}\right]$. In every case, how ever, an elem entary $m$ otion of a particle produces a dèterm in istic reconstruction (localor non-local) of the preceding lattige state.

The beginning of intensive study of the A SEP nearly coincides $w$ th a burst of interest to the threshold dynam ics and avalanche processes. Appeared originally in the sandpile $m$ odel of self-organized criticality [ld], the avalanche processes have been show $n$ to be related to $m$ any_di erent phenom ena ranging from an interface depinning to earthquakes [19'].

A san exam ple of the threshold dynam ics one can again considerA SEP like stochastic m odelat the one dim ensional lattioe. In this case, how ever, we adm it multiple occupation of a lattioe site by particles. Like in the A SEP , each particle hops to its right or left. If the num ber of particles $n$ at given site exceeds som e critical value $n_{c}$, the site is unstable and $m$ ust relax im m ediately. The relaxation consists in spilling of $m 0 n$ particles from the given site to neighboring sites by a xed rule. If the neighboring sites becom e unstable, they relax as well. Thus, an avalanche of relaxations spreads over the lattice. The tim e intervalbetw een beginning and ending of every avalanche is negligible in com parison w ith characteristic hopping tim e of a single particle.

C om paring to the A SEP w ith the aggressivebraking, a fundam entaldifference appears, when the spilling rule is stochastic [201]. In this case, the structure of avalanche becom es com plicated. U nstable states $m$ ay appear random ly even if an underlying structure of the lattice state is regular be-
fore an avalanche starts. T hen, the distance at which avalanches propagate and the totalm ass of particles involved in an avalanche are random values described by probabilistic distributions. T he con gurations of particles in the lattice states before and after an avalanchem ay di er considerably, and the latter results from the rst by a series of stochastic spillings.

A nother peculiarity of avalanche dynam ics is a speci c transition into a totally unstable state, w hen the density of particles exceeds som e critical value and an avalanche never stops in the them odynam ic lim it of in nitely large lattioe $\left[\underline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{1}\right]$. This transition corresponds to change of the tim e scale characterizing the system, which can be de ned for exam ple as a ratio of system size to average velocity of particles. W hile for low density, the slow di usion processes prevail, the fast avalanches dom inate above the transition point. The existing of two tim e scales was shown to be responsible for reaching of the self-organized critical state in system $s w$ ith avalanche dynam ics.

The aim of this paper is to give a m athem atical description of one kind of avalanche processes, the one-dim ensional asym $m$ etric avalanche process (A SAP ) [2"]. The A SA P is a partially asym m etric di usion process $w$ ith the totally asym $m$ etric avalanche propagation. T he sim ilar directed onedim ensional stochastic avalanches have been considered in [ $[2]=$ 3n where the asym ptotic of avalanche distributions in the self-organized critical state have been calculated exactly for the open lattice in the them odynam ic lim it. Instead, we study the ASAP on a ring with a xed number of particles. In this case, the critical value of the density exists, depending on spilling probabilities, which corresponds to the transition from the interm ittent to continuous ow when the fast avalanche dynam ics becom es dom inating. In this paper, we concentrate on dynam ical properties of the ASAP below this point.

O ne of the reasons for the intensive interest to the A SEP is that it being exactly solvable gives a discrete version of the $K$ ardar-P arisi-Z hang (K P Z ) equation [10]. In the scaling lim it, one can get analytically the universal quantities like criticalexponents and scaling functions characterizing a vast class ofnonequilibrium phenom ena belonging to the K P Z universality class. O n the other hand, the universal scaling properties of avalanche dynam ics are $m$ uch less investigated. There are very few successful attem pts, $\left.\overline{2} \underline{4}_{1}^{1}\right]-$ [ ${ }^{2}$-1], to nd analytical argum ents allow ing one to relate the avalanche-like processes w th one of well-de ned univensality classes such as the KPZ , $E d w$ ards $W$ ilkinson or directed percolation [2].

In the present work, we show that the generating function of the total distance $Y_{t}$ travelled by particles in the A SA P is given in the scaling lim it by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t!1} \frac{\ln e^{Y_{t}}}{t} \quad K_{1}+K_{2} G\left(K_{3}\right) ; \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(x)$ does not depend on param eters of the $m$ odel and has the fol-
low ing param etric form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x)=x_{s=1}^{x}(C)^{s=2} \\
& x=x_{s=1}^{x}(C)^{s} s^{3=2} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\mathrm{K}_{1} ; \mathrm{K}_{2}$; and $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ are m odeldependent param eters. T his universal form of function $G(x)$ was claim ed to be the feature of the KPZ universality
 function characterizing the deviations of the integrated particle current from its average value. These results give an evidence that the ASAP shares the KPZ universality class $w$ ith the ASEP despite the signi cant di erence in their dynam ics.

The article is organized as follow s. In Section 2, we consider the m aster equation for a generalstochasticm odelw hich leads to the A SEP and A SA P in particular cases. In Section 3, we derive the Bethe ansatz equations for the generating function of the total displacem ent of all particles. A particular case of the A SAP is considered in Section 4 where the ASAP becom es identical to a drop-push version of the ASEP. The general case of the ASAP is considered in Section 5. U sing the m ethod developed in [32], [3] 3 , we analyse the integral equation corresponding to the density of roots of the $B$ ethe equations and derive the generating function for the total displacem ent of particles. From it we obtain the expression for the cum ulants of total distance travelled by particles, like $m$ ean velocity and variance, its large deviation function, and dem onstrate that the ASAP belongs to the K P Z univensality class

## 2 The m aster equation.

In this section we are going to obtain the $m$ aster equation describing A SA P , which is de ned as follow s. C onsider the system of p particles on a ring of N sites as show n in Fig. $\overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1} 1$. P articles jum $p$ left or right w ith probabilities $L d t$ or Rdt ; respectively, for in nitesim al time dt independently of each other. W hen a particle com es to already occupied site after the hopping either from left w ith the rate $R$ or from right $w$ th the rate $L$, an avalanche starts. It develops step by step according to the follow ing dynam ical rules.

If, at som e step of the avalanche, $n \quad(n=2 ; 3 ;:::)$ particles are at site x , then
w ith probability $n$, $n$ particles go to the site $\mathrm{x}+1$;
$w$ ith probability $1 \quad n, n \quad 1$ particles go to the site $x+1$ and one particle stays at the current site $x$.

[^1]W e im ply that an avalanche takes in nitesim altim e to end, i.e. from the point of view of oissonian processes it plays a role of interaction resulting in the transition between con gurations w ith single particle occupation. $T$ he totally asym $m$ etric case discussed in [2"] corresponds to particular choige of the rates, $L=0 ; R=1$. In the case of the ASEP a particle step to already occupied site is forbidden. H ow ever, it w ill be show n below to be closely connected with the ASAP. To m ake the presentation m ore system atic we start from the system of free particles then going to A SEP and ASAP dynam ics.

The state $C$ of the system at tim et is characterized by the probability $P_{t}(C)$ satisfying the $m$ aster equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t} P_{t}(C)={ }_{f C}{ }^{0}{ }_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{M}\left(C ; C^{0}\right) P_{t}\left(C^{0}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The o -diagonal elem ents $\mathrm{M}\left(\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{C}^{0}\right.$ ) of the m atrix M are rates of transitions from con gurations $C^{0}$ to $C$ and therefore are alw ays positive. The diagonal elem ents $M$ ( $C$; C) that give the total rate of the transition from the state $C$ to all other con gurations, enter the $m$ atrix $M$ with a minus sign. C onservation of probability requires the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(C ; C)=\underbrace{}_{f C} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{g}} \mathrm{M}\left(C^{0} ; C\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us retum to particles at the lattioe. C onsider noninteracting particles jum ping left or right w ith probabilities $\mathrm{L} d t$ or $\mathrm{R} d t$; respectively, for in nitesim al time $d t$. The probability $P_{t}\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{p}\right)$ for particles to occupy sites $x_{1} ;::: ; x_{p}$ obeys the $m$ aster equation
$@_{t} P_{t}\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{p}\right)=p P_{t}\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{p}\right)+$
$L^{X^{p}} P_{t}\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{i}+1 ;::: ; x_{p}\right)+R_{i=1}^{X^{p}} P_{t}\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{i} \quad 1 ;::: ; x_{p}\right)$
if $\mathrm{x}_{\text {i+ }} 1 \quad \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}>1 \mathrm{~W}$ e im pose the condition $\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{R}=1$ by an appropriate choige of tim e scale.

In the ASEP, the form of the $m$ aster equation should be modi ed if con guration $C$ contains pairs of neighboring occupied sites. If there are only tw o neighboring sites, $x ; x+1$; occupied by particles, the $m$ aster equation di ens from Eq. (2, by the extra term $\operatorname{LP}_{\mathrm{t}}(::: ; \mathrm{x}+1 ; \mathrm{x}+1 ;:::)+$ $R P_{t}(::: ; x ; x ;:::) \quad P_{t}(::: ; x ; x+1 ;:::)$. If there are $m$ ore than one pair, one $m$ ust substract the other unw anted term $s$ from the Eq. (2, ) for every pair to obtain equations taking into account the exclusion rules. Instead, one can reduce the consideration to the free $m$ aster equation only, if one equates the appeared extra term $s$ to zero putting the boundary conditions for the physicaldom ain $x_{1}<x_{2}<:::<x_{p}:$

```
LP
```

The tem s like $P_{t}(::: ; x ; x ;::)$ corresponding to multiple occupation of sites do not contribute to the dynam ics due to the exclusion rule. T herefore, they can be considered as auxiliary non-physical term $s$ and rede ned by the boundary conditions so that all extra term $s$ in free equation vanish giving the correct equation for the system $w$ ith interaction.

C onsider now m ore general condition of type Eq. $(2.4)$ with the coe cients and which do not coincide necessarily $w$ ith the rates $L$ and $R$ in Eq. $\cdot\left(23_{1}^{3}\right)$ and will be de ned later,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}(::: ; x ; x ;:::)+P_{t}(::: ; x+1 ; x+1 ;:::) \quad P_{t}(::: ; x ; x+1 ;:::)=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To provide the probabilistic m eaning of the Eq. (2, Eq. (2.5), som e constraints should be imposed on and. In this case, we still use the exclusion nule that allows one to use the term $s$ of type $P_{t}(::: ; x ; x ;::)$ as auxiliary ones which should be rede ned in appropriate way. T he condition Eq. (2. 5 ofextra term syet. $N$ evertheless, we can try to use this condition to replace the unw anted term s by term $s$ consisting of allow ed con gurations only. To this end, we can exploit the fact that tw o unphysical tem s in Eq. (2) are of sim ilar structure and consider this relation as a recursion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}(::: ; x ; x ;:::)=\frac{1}{-} P_{t}(::: ; x ; x+1 ;:::) \quad-P_{t}(::: ; x+1 ; x+1 ;:::) ; \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To proceed, it is convenient to consider the tw o-particle case separately.

### 2.1 The case of tw $\circ$ particles.

If there are only two particles at the lattice, the recursion Eq. (2. $\mathbf{2}_{-1}^{-1}$ ) can be im $m$ ediately solved in term s of allow ed con gurations only:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}(x ; x)=\frac{1}{n}_{n=0}^{x^{1}} \quad-{ }^{n} P_{t}(x \quad n \quad 1 ; x \quad n) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, due to periodic boundary conditions, all coordinates are integers modulo N . Substituting Eq. (2.7) into the Eq. (2, 2 ) rew ritten for the two particle case under the condition $x_{2}=x_{1}+1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& @_{t} P_{t}(x ; x+1)=L P_{t}(x ; x+2)+R P_{t}(x \quad 1 ; x+1) \quad P_{t}(x ; x+1)(2.8) \\
& +\quad-\quad 1 P_{t}(x ; x+1)+\frac{L}{-}\left(+\frac{R}{L}\right)^{x^{1}}{ }^{n=1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $=\quad=$. To give a probabilistic meaning to the transition rates, the term s corresponding to the processes when the system leaves the con guration ( $x ; x+1$ ) should be non-positive and those for com ing into ( $x ; x+1$ ) from other con gurations should be non-negative. To keep probabilities positive, we have to im pose the condition that either is positive or $=\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{L}$, when the term containing the in nite sum vanishes. In addition, conservation of probability, Eq.(2-2), requires

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\quad=1: \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, the condition $=\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{L}$ implies $=\mathrm{R}, \quad=\mathrm{L}$, i.e. the ordinary ASEP. In the case $>0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad=(1 \quad) ; \quad=1=(1 \quad) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and can rew rite Eq. $(2.8)$ in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
& @_{t} P_{t}(x ; x+1)=L P_{t}(x ; x+2)+R P_{t}(x \quad 1 ; x+1) \quad R_{t}(x ; x+1) \text { (2.11) } \\
& +(R+L)\left(P_{t}(x ; x+1)+(1 \quad)^{x^{3}} \quad{ }^{n=1}\right.
\end{aligned}{ }^{1} P_{t}(x \quad n ; x \quad n+1)
$$

In term s of , the boundary condition, E q. $\left.(2,-1,)_{1}^{-1}\right)$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{t}(x ; x)=(1 \quad) P_{t}(x \quad 1 ; x)+P_{t}(x \quad 1 ; x \quad 1) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The expression Eq. ( $(\underline{-1}, \overline{1}-1)$ show $s$ that in addition to the P oissonian hopping given by the original kinetic equation, new term $s$ appear in the equation which correspond to transitions to the con guration $C=(x ; x+1)$ from the con gurations fC ${ }^{0} g=f(x \quad n ; x \quad n+1) ; n=1 ; 2 ;:: g$. The rates of the transitions are $(\mathbb{R}+\mathrm{L})(1 \quad)^{n}{ }^{1}$. In the case of tw o particles, these rates determ ine the avalanche dynam ics de ned above.

To show the relation betw een A SE P and A SA P before going into details of the solution, let us consider the $m$ om ent when an avalanche starts. T his happens if either the left particle of tw o neighboring ones $m$ oves right w ith the rate $R$ or the right particle $m$ oves to the left $w$ th the rate $L$ and then tw o particles together $m$ ake at least one step together $w$ th probability . $T$ hen an avalanche starts w th the rate ( $R+L$ ). This expression indeed enters those parts of kinetic equation, which correspond to the avalanche dynam ics. Thus, the rate ofbeginning of an avalanche becom es zero when
$=\quad \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{L}$, and only exchusion dynam ics rem ains. T herefore, w e m ay treat ASEP as an analyticalcontinuation ofASAP w th a param eter taking a special negative value.

## 2.2 $M$ any particle processes.

O ne can expect that the $n$-particle interactions im poses $n$ new constrains on the $m$ aster equation Eq.(2, 2 ). H ow ever, in this section we will show
that under certain constraint on toppling probabilities $n$ no new boundary conditions appear and Eq. $(\underline{1} \overline{1} \overline{2})$ is su cient to take into account the interaction of arbitrary num ber of particles.

To generalize the boundary condition, Eq. ( $\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{2})$, for the description of $m$ any particle dynam ics de ned above one should express an unstable conguration via con gurations appeared at the previous steps of an avalanche. $T$ he form of these conditions depends on the fact whether the site $\mathrm{x} \quad 1$ is occupied or not:
if the site $\mathrm{x} \quad 1$ is occupied, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { n }{ }_{1} P_{t}(::: ; \underbrace{}_{n}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 ;: ; x \\
\end{array}\right\}^{\mp x ;:::)} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

if $x \quad 1$ is em pty.
Like the tw o-particle boundary condition, Eq. (2-12), the $m$ any-particle
 this recursion step by step to in nity, we generate an in nite series that consist of the transition probabilities betw een stable con gurations only.
 $m$ ally applying the two-particle boundary condition Eq. $\left(\frac{-n}{-1}-\overline{1}\right)$, reducing sequentially the num ber of particles in unstable sites. A s a result, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(1 \quad{ }_{n}\right) P_{t}(::: ; \underbrace{}_{n} \frac{1 ;}{\{:: ; x}\}^{\ddagger x ;:::)} \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

D ue to recursion, param eters $n$ are expressed through the only param eter :

$$
\begin{equation*}
2=; \quad 3=(1 \quad) ;{ }_{\mathrm{n}}=(1 \quad)_{\mathrm{n}}={ }_{1}+\mathrm{n}_{2} ; \mathrm{n}>3 \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}=\frac{1\left(\mathrm{~g}^{1}\right.}{1+}: \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

 nal series entering the kinetic equation which result from the sequential use of either latter or two form er of them $w$ ill be the sam e, provided that the transition probabilities $n$ from Eqs. $(\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{3})$ and $(\overline{2} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{4})$ goveming the avalanche dynam ics satisfy Eqs. (2.1-7) and $(\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{6})$.

Indeed, every term of resulting series represents a nite avalanche. It contains the product of term $s \mathrm{n}$ and ( $1, \mathrm{n}$ ) com ing from the successive use of the recurrent relations, Eqs. $(\overline{2} . \overline{1} \overline{3}),(\overline{2} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{4})$ ) or Eq. $(\overline{2} . \overline{1} \overline{5})$. T he rst term of the r.h sofE q. ( $\left(\underline{-1} \mathbf{1 3}^{\prime}\right)$ increases the num ber ofparticles at the unstable site by 1 in com parison w ith the lh s. T he second term of the rhs of Eq. (2.14) decreases the num ber of particles by 1.0 bviously, in every nite avalanche, the num bers of decreasing and increasing events are equal. T herefore, the
 the product corresponding to the avalanche in pairs and interchanging their places do not a ect the structure of the nal series. On the other hand, the interchanging $n \quad 1$ and $(1, \ldots)$ betw een Eqs. $(\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{3})$ and $(\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{4})$ leads to Eq. (2.15) obtained from Eq. (2.12) .

T hus, we have show $n$ that the two-particleboundary condition, Eq. $(\underline{2} . \overline{1}-1)$, is su cient for obtaining the kinetic equation for $m$ any particle avalanche process govemed by the above dynam ical rules. In the Bethe ansatz for$m$ alism, a sim ilar procedure is know $n$ as the tw o-particle reducibility [3d] and provides integrability of a system .

## 3 The B ethe A nsatz for generating function.

C onsider the generating function of the total path $Y$ travelled by all particles betw een tim e 0 and $t$ provided that the system is in con guration $C$ at timet

$$
F_{t}(C)=X_{Y=1}^{X} P_{t}(C ; Y) e^{Y} ;
$$

$w$ here $P_{t}(C ; Y)$ is the joint probability that the system is in con guration $C$ and the totaldistance is $Y$ to the tim $e t$ : $T$ he equation for the generating function and a boundary condition can be obtained from the $m$ aster equation Eq. (2, 2 ) and the boundary condition for the probability Eq. $(\overline{2} \overline{1} \overline{2})$ by $m$ ultiplying by e (e ) every term corresponding to increasing (decreasing) distance $Y$ by 1 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& @_{t} F_{t}\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{p}\right)=L e{ }^{X^{p}} F_{t}\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{i}+1 ;::: ; x_{p}\right)+ \\
& +R^{X^{p}}{ }_{\quad{ }^{p}=1}\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{i} \quad 1 ;:: ; x_{p}\right) \quad p_{t}\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{t}(::: ; x ; x ;:::)=(1 \quad)=F_{t}(::: ; x \quad 1 ; x ;:::)+  \tag{3.3}\\
& e^{2} F_{t}(::: ; \quad 1 ; x \quad 1 ;:::):
\end{align*}
$$

The sum of the function $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{C}$ ) over all con gurations gives the generating function ofm om ents of totaldistance $Y_{t}$, whose behavior is determ ined by the largest eigenvalue ( ) of Eq. (i-2) for large $t$.

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{fC} \mathrm{~g}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{t}}(\mathrm{C})=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}} \mathrm{~s} e^{() \mathrm{t}}:
$$

$T$ he derivatives of ( ) at $=0$ give the cum ulants of the distance $Y_{t}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t!1} \frac{h Y_{t} i_{c}}{t}=\lim _{t!1} \frac{h Y_{t} i}{t}=\frac{@(~)}{@}=0  \tag{3.5}\\
& \lim _{t!1} \frac{Y_{t}{ }^{2}{ }_{c}}{t}=\lim _{t!1} \frac{Y_{t}{ }^{2} h Y_{t} i^{2}}{t}=\frac{@^{2}()}{@^{2}}=0  \tag{3.6}\\
& \lim _{t!1} \frac{Y_{t}^{3}{ }_{c}}{t}=\lim _{t!1} \frac{Y_{t}^{3}+2 h Y_{t} i^{3} 3 Y_{t}^{2} h Y_{t} i}{t}=\frac{@^{3}()}{@ 3}=0 \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he quantity of our $m$ ain interest is the large deviation function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})=\lim _{\mathrm{t}!1} \frac{1}{\mathrm{t}} \ln \mathrm{P} \text { rob } \frac{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}}{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{y} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which characterizes deviations of the distance $Y_{t}$ from the average value and can be expressed also trough the largest eigenvalue ( ) :

$$
\begin{align*}
f(y) & =() \quad \frac{d()}{d}  \tag{3.9}\\
y & =\frac{d}{d}(): \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we have to nd the dependence of ( ) on the param eter. The
 to use the B ethe ansatz in a usual form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{t}\left(x_{1} ;::: ; x_{p}\right)=e^{t_{(1 ;:: ; p)}^{X} A\left(z_{1} ;::: ; z_{p}\right) z_{1}^{x_{1}}::: z_{p}^{x_{p}} ;} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum $m$ ation is over all perm utations of ( $1 ;::: ; p$ ):The eigenvalue corresponding to eigenvalue Eq. ( $\overline{(3)} \overline{1} \overline{1} 1)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=R_{i=1}^{X^{p}} e z_{i}+L_{i=1}^{X^{p}} \frac{1}{e z_{i}} \quad p: \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he param eters $z_{i}$ satisfy the $B$ ethe equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{k}^{N}=(1)^{p}{ }_{j=1}^{Y^{p}} \frac{1}{1} \quad(1 \quad) e z_{k} \quad e^{2} z_{j} z_{k} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

 riodic boundary conditions. T he largest eigenvalue of the m aster equation corresponds to the stationary state ofM arkov process, so, one has to choose the solution of qs. ( $(3-13$. $)$ which provides the eigenvalue of the $m$ aster equation for probability of Eq. (2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{!}(\quad)=0: \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The P erron -Frobenius theorem ensures that this eigenvalue has no crossing in the whole range of .

To solve the B ethe equations, it is conven ient to transform the variables $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}$. A fter the change of variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{k}=\frac{1}{1+x_{k}} x_{k} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

the system ( $\overline{3} \mathbf{- 1} \overline{1} \overline{-1})$ can be rew rilten in the follow ing $w$ ay

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{N} \frac{1 x_{k}}{1+x_{k}}=(1)^{p 1 Y^{p}} \frac{x_{k}+x_{j}}{x_{j}+x_{k}} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

C orresponding eigenvalue has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=x_{k=1}^{X^{p}} R \frac{1}{1+x_{k}}+L \frac{1+x_{k}}{1} x_{k} \quad p \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

4 The lim it $=0$.
In the lim it ! 0; the ASAP becom es a particular case of the twoparam eter fam ily of exclusion processes discussed in [[][5] which, in tum, degenerates into the $n=1$ drop-push $m$ odel [14'] in the totally asym $m$ etric case $L=0$. In this case, particles perform the partially asym $m$ etric random walk with rates $L$ and $R$. Going right, a particle jumps to the closest unoccupied site at its right overtaking all adjacent particles next to五, whereas the $m$ otion to left obeys the exclusion rule.
$T$ he sim ple form of the $B$ ethe equations in this case allow s one to use the $m$ ethod proposed in [1] [1] to obtain a fullsolution of the problem. If one introduces the param eter

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=(1)^{p 1} e^{N}{ }_{j=1}^{Y p} x_{j} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the solution of Eqs.( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{-} \overline{-1} \bar{e}_{1}\right)$ w ill be given by the roots of the polynom ial equation

$$
B \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & x \tag{4.2}
\end{array}\right)^{N} \quad x^{p}=0:
$$

To get the largest eigenvalue, one has to choose $p$ roots approaching zero when ! 0. Follow ing ["] [1] ] one can use the C auchy theorem to evaluate the sum over these roots integrating along the contour enclosing all the roots in a sm all vicinity of zero.

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{j=1}^{X^{p}} f\left(x_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{2 i}^{I} d x f(x) \frac{\frac{N}{1 x}+\frac{p}{x}}{1 B \frac{(1 x)^{N}}{x^{P}}}: \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting $R(1 \quad x)+L=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & x\end{array}\right) \quad 1$ instead of $f(x)$, we get the expression for the eigenvalue in term $s$ of series in pow ers of $B$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.()=X_{k=1}^{X^{\mathrm{A}}} B^{k}(1)^{k p} C_{N k}^{p k} 1_{1} \frac{R}{k(1} \quad\right)+1=\mathrm{N} \quad \frac{L(1}{k \quad 1=\mathrm{N}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{a}!(\mathrm{b}!(\mathrm{a} \quad \mathrm{b})!$ ) is the binom ial oge cient. On the other hand, one can get the expression for requiring $p=1 z_{j}=1$; which is correct for the groundstate solution. Taking the logarithm of this product and using Eq. ( (4,

$$
\begin{equation*}
={\frac{1}{p_{k=1}}}^{X^{\mathrm{A}}} \frac{\mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{k}}}{\mathrm{k}}(1)^{\mathrm{kp}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{Nk} k}^{\mathrm{pk}}{ }_{1}^{1}: \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Resolving tw o series of $\mathrm{qs} .(4.4)$, 4. we obtain the expressions for cum ulants of the total distance traveled by particles:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t!1} \frac{h Y_{t} i_{c}}{t}=N \quad \frac{R}{(1 \quad)+1=N} \quad \frac{L(1 \quad)}{1 \quad 1=N} \\
& \left.\left.\lim _{\mathrm{t}!1} \frac{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}{ }^{2} \mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{N} \frac{{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~N}}^{2 \mathrm{p}} \mathrm{l}_{1}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{p}} 1_{1}^{1}{ }^{2}} \frac{\mathrm{~L}(1)}{(1} 1=\mathrm{N}\right)(2 \quad 1=\mathrm{N}) \mathrm{l}\right) \\
& \text { R } \\
& \overline{\left.(1 \quad)+1=\mathrm{N})\binom{\text { (1 }}{(1}+1=\mathrm{N}\right)} \\
& \left.\lim _{\mathrm{t}!1} \frac{\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{t}}^{3}{ }_{\mathrm{c}}}{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{N}^{2} \quad 3 \frac{\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{~N}}^{2 \mathrm{P}} 1_{1}^{2}}{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{P}} 1_{1}^{4}} \frac{\mathrm{~L}(1)}{(1} 1=\mathrm{N}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
(2 \\
1=\mathrm{N})
\end{array}+\right. \\
& \frac{\mathrm{R}}{((1 \quad)+1=\mathrm{N})(2(1 \quad)+1=\mathrm{N})}+
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mathrm{R}}{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(1 & )+1=\mathrm{N})(3(1 \quad)+1=\mathrm{N})
\end{array}\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

The scaling lim it, $N$ ! 1 , of these expressions is of interest for us because it provides inform ation about the large scale behavior independent of the details ofm icroscopic dynam ics. W hile the A SEP keeps the sam e universal behavior for any value of, scaling properties of the ASAP $m$ ay change depending on how close to criticalpoint the system is. In the case $=0$, the criticaldensity corresponds to fulloccupation of the lattice, $c=1$. In the subcritical regim e which corresponds to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1 \quad) \quad 1=\mathrm{N} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the situation is sim ilar to the ASEP. In the subcritical region, the generating function, ( ), takes the universal scaling form, Eq. (in il which has been already obtained for the A SEP and claim ed to be universal for all models of KPZ universality class [1]in, [3"d]. Three m odel-dependent constants $\mathrm{K}_{1} ; \mathrm{K}_{2} ; \mathrm{K}_{3}$ in Eq.(1) 1

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\mathrm{K}_{1}=\mathrm{N} \frac{\mathrm{R}}{(1}\right) \mathrm{L}(1 \quad) ;  \tag{4.10a}\\
& \mathrm{K}_{2}=\mathrm{N}^{3=2} \overline{\mathrm{r}} \overline{2(1)} \frac{\mathrm{R}}{(1 \mathrm{P})^{2}}+\mathrm{L}(1 \quad) \text {; }  \tag{4.10b}\\
& \mathrm{K}_{3}=\mathrm{N}^{3=2^{\mathrm{P}}} \overline{2(1 \quad)} \text { : } \tag{4.10c}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he average velocity of particles,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.V_{1}=\frac{1}{p} \lim _{t!} \frac{h Y_{t} i_{c}}{t}, \frac{R}{(1}\right) \quad L(1 \quad) ; \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the other cum ulants of the distance travelled by particles becom e divergent in the them odynam ic lim it when approaches 1 . H ow ever, the physicalquantities characterizing the nite system should obviously be nite. A s an exam ple, one can consider sim ultaneous lim it ! 1; N ! 1 . Substi-
 one gets the expressions for cum ulants of $Y_{t} w h i c h$ rem ain nite for nite N. The velocity of particles in this case, $V_{1} \quad N^{\#}$, becom es explicitly dependent on $N$. Being nite for nite $N$, it is divergent $w$ hen $N$ tends to in nity. The upper lim it for the exponent \#, given by Eq. (4.9), is due to the term $1=\mathrm{N}$ in Eq. (4.4). This term plays the role of "infrared cuto " at the scale $N$, which ensures $V_{1}$ to rem ain of order $N$ if ( $1 \quad$ ) becom es zero. W hen ( $1 \quad$ ) becom es of order of $1=\mathrm{N}$, the generating function,
( ), looses its universal structure, E q. (i). Ii). P ractically, this $m$ eans that the presence of characteristic length $N$ breaks the scale invariance speci c for the K P Z dynam ics:

$$
\mathrm{N}!\quad \mathrm{N} ; \quad \mathrm{K}_{1}>\mathrm{K}_{1} ; \quad \mathrm{K}_{2}>{ }^{3=2} \mathrm{~K}_{2} ; \quad \mathrm{K}_{3} \quad>\quad{ }^{3=2} \mathrm{~K}_{3} ;
$$

which is held in the subcritical region, E q. (4.9). T The character of particle $m$ otion near the critical line becom es strongly collective. Eventually, in the
lim it $N=p$, the process is equivalent to totally asym m etric di usion of a single particle, $w$ th the distance, $Y_{t}$, and tim $e, t$, rescaled as follow $s$

$$
Y_{t}!Y_{t} N ; \quad t!t R N:
$$

A lthough the solution for $=0$ allow s one to approach the vicinity of critical line, it seem $s$ to be very speci $c$ as it does not, in fact, involve the avalanche dynam ics. H ow ever, as we will see below, it catches the basic universal scaling properties of the subcritical dynam ics of the $m$ odel for arbitrary .

## 5 The case of arbitrary < 1 .

In the case of arbitrary ; the B ethe equations Eq. ( $\overline{-1} \overline{-1}$ ) cannot be reduced to a polynom ialequation. $N$ evertheless, they still can be solved in the lim it N ! $1 ; \mathrm{p}!1 ;=\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{N}=$ const. Let us consider the equation obtained by taking the logarithm of both parts of Eq. (3'10 $\overline{1}$ )

$$
\begin{gather*}
p_{0}\left(x_{k}\right) \quad \frac{1}{N}_{j=1}^{x^{p}}\left(x_{j}=x_{k}\right) \quad=2 \quad i 乙\left(x_{k}\right)  \tag{5.1}\\
(y=x)=\ln x \quad \ln y+\ln \frac{1+y=x}{1+x=y} \\
p_{0}=\ln \frac{1 \quad x_{k}}{1+x_{k}} \tag{5.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

We de ne ( $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{x}$ ) at the com plex plane of variable y w ith branch cuts shown in $F$ ig. ( $\bar{l}_{1}$ ). For sm all positive ; the solution corresponding to the largest eigenvalue w as show $n$ in [3] ${ }^{[10}$ ] to behave as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{k} \quad r e^{2 \frac{k}{p}} ; \quad r \quad 1=p ; \quad 0 \tag{5,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The radius $r$ behaves nonanalytically when approaches zero, so in the $\lim$ it $p$ ! 1 , radius $r$ becom es nite no $m$ atter how is close to zero. It can be easily veri ed that this is also correct for non-zero at least in the lim it $=0$. The analyticalfinction $Z(x)$ is xed by the choice of logarithm branches. The distribution of roots given by Eq. (5) corresponds to the follow ing choice:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left(x_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{N} \quad j \quad \frac{p+1}{2}: \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, assum ing that the roots are at sm ooth contour at the com plex plane, the derivative of $Z(x) w$ ith $m$ inus sign has a $m$ eaning of density of roots along the contour

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x)=\frac{@ z(x)}{@ x}: \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Instead of Eq. $(5.21)$, we are going to solve the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{0}(x) \frac{1}{N}_{j=1}^{X^{p}}\left(x_{j}=x\right) \quad=2 \quad i Z(x) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

togetherw ith Eqs. ( $5.4,-5)$. 5 an analytical function of $x$. To solve these equations one need to transform Eq. $(5.6)$ to the integral form . This procedure is not straightforw ard and depends very much on properties of the function $Z(x)$, which should be rst assum ed and then can be checked a posteriori.

A sim plest $m$ ethod is based on the replacem ent of the sum by the integralalong som e contour in com plex plane in the them odynam ic lim it [3][]. The analytic solution then can be found for som e special cases, particularly for the case of the contour closed around zero [3] particular solution ofour problem corresponding to single value of , $=0$. A developm ent of this idea is the expansion $m$ ethod proposed in $\left.[3]_{1}\right]$ for the investigation of the conical point of ferroelectric six-vertex $m$ odel, which allow s calculation of the leading term of the rst cum ulant of $Y_{t}$ [2k]. To obtaine higher cum ulants one needs to calculate the nite size corrections to the therm odynam ic solution. This has been done for the ASEP [3]_] $w$ th the help of the $m$ ethod of perturbative expansion of the $B$ ethe equations proposed by K im $\left[\begin{array}{c}3 \\ 3\end{array}\right]$. For the A SAP we use the m odi cation of his approach, which allow s us to calculate the nite size corrections, avoiding som $e$ assum ptions $m$ ade in the original $m$ ethod. At least in the leading orders the results do not depend on these assum ptions and we reproduce the results by Lee and Kim in the particular case $=\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{L}$. To simplify the presentation we leave the details of the solution for the A ppendices (A, 'C ' 1 ), going directly to the results.
$T$ he solution of the $B$ ethe equations results in the generating function obtained in the scaling $\lim$ it $\mathrm{N}^{3=2}=$ const

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=K_{1}+K_{2} G\left(K_{3}\right): \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $G(x)$ in a param etric form is de ned by the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
G(x) & =\quad L i_{j=2}(C) ;  \tag{5.8}\\
x & =L i_{3=2}(C) ; \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

and the function $L i_{k}(x)$ is the polylogarithm de ned by series

$$
\begin{equation*}
L i_{k}(x)=x_{n=1}^{x^{1}} \frac{x^{n}}{n^{k}} \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $\dot{x} j<1$. For arbitrary negative $x$, the integral de nition can be used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L i_{k}(x)=\frac{1}{(k)} \int_{0}^{Z} \frac{s^{k}{ }^{1} d s}{1 x^{1} e^{s}} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

 are $m$ odel dependent param eters

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{2}=N \quad \frac{3=2+}{P_{\overline{2}}^{1+}}  \tag{5.13}\\
& X_{s=1}^{1} \frac{L \quad R\left(q^{1}\right.}{1\left(\frac{9}{1}\right.} \prod_{1} \frac{s^{2}(s \quad 1+2)}{((1))^{3=2}} \\
& K_{3}=N^{s=1} 2^{3(1 \quad)}: \tag{5.14}
\end{align*}
$$

The function $G(x)$ has been already obtained for the ASEP []$\left._{1}^{1}\right]$ and
 form of the eigenvalue ( 5.7 ) allow s one to de ne a general expression for the cum ulants of the integrated particle current in scaling lim it

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t!1} \frac{h Y_{t} i_{c}}{t}=K_{1} \quad K_{2} K_{3}=p\left(V_{A V} \quad V_{A S E P}\right) \\
& \lim _{t!1} \frac{h Y_{t}^{n} i_{c}}{t}=K_{2} K_{3}^{n} G^{(n)}(0)=C_{A V}^{(n)}+C_{\text {ASEP }}^{(n)} ; \quad n>2 ;
\end{aligned}
$$

where $G{ }^{(n)}(0)$ is $n$th derivative of the function $G(x)$ at $x=0$. Here we divided the expressions for cum ulants into two parts, which bring di erent physical content. P articularly, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\text {ASEP }}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{AV}} \prime \frac{\mathrm{~L}+\mathrm{R}}{(\mathrm{c})^{2}} \mathrm{~F}_{0}(; \mathrm{c}) \frac{\mathrm{F}_{1}(; \mathrm{c})}{\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{c})^{2}} ;  \tag{5.17}\\
& \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ASEP}} \prime \mathrm{~L}(1+)(1 \quad) 1+\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N}} ; \tag{5.18}
\end{align*}
$$

give the contributions to the average velocity com ing from the avalanche part of the dynam ics and its ASEP-like part respectively. The critical density, $c$, is de ned as follow s

$$
c=\frac{1}{1+} ;
$$

which gives the phase diagram show $n$ in $F$ ig. 1 and $F_{1}(;$ c) are nonsingularbelow and at the criticalpoint, $=c$ so that the form of Eq. ( $\left.\mathbf{I}_{-1} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{1}\right)$ explicitly show critical singularities of the average velocity. W hile $\bar{V}_{\text {ASEP }}$ gives the average velocity of the ASEP when =
$R=L, V_{A} v$ vanishes at the same time. This is also the case for higher cum ulants, which reproduce the results by Lee and K im in this lim it

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{A V}^{(n)}, N^{\frac{3(n-1)}{2}} \frac{L+R}{(c \quad)^{4}}\left(2 \quad(1 \quad)^{)^{\frac{n}{2}}} G^{(n)}(0) F_{1}(; \quad \text { ) ; (5.19) }\right. \\
& C_{\text {ASEP }}^{(n)}, L(1+) N^{\frac{3(n-1)}{2}} \frac{(2 \quad(1 \quad))^{)^{2}}}{2} G^{(n)}(0): \tag{520}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, when the density of particles, , approaches its critical value, $c$, the divergency of average velocity of particles is characterized by the pow er law

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
V & V_{A} V & (c & ) \tag{521}
\end{array}
$$

w th the critical exponent $=2$. In [22] this exponent has been show $n$ to be nonuniversal w ith respect to the choige of di erent sets of dynam ical rules $n$. The other cum ulants diverge as 4-th power of ( c). The corrections to these law $s$ are given in term $s$ of the scaling variable, $N^{1}$ ( c) ${ }^{2}$. The condition this variable is small de nes the lim its of applicability of the perturbative schem e and bounds the subcritical region.

$$
j \quad c j \quad 1=\frac{p}{N} \text { : }
$$

C loser to the critical line, we expect that the scaling will change as it did in the case $=0$. In addition, the case with anbitrary allows one to consider the region of phase diagram above the critical line, where the average velocity of particles will grow w ith N. This case requiring the m odi cation of nite size expansion schem e is not considered here.

To obtain the cum ulants, one needs know ing only the behavior of eigenvalue in the vicinity of the point $=0$. At the same time, for the large deviation function, the whole range of is relevant. The solution considered above is valid for $s m$ all negative. H owever, the de nition of $G(x)$, Eqs. $(5.815,9$, $m$ aintains analyticity in the region $\mathbb{C} j<1$. This im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
L i_{3=2}(1)<K_{3}<L i_{3}=2(1): \tag{522}
\end{equation*}
$$

Beyond this dom ain one has to consider the solutionswith di erent choice of the function $Z(x)$. Instead, we can directly use the analytical continuation of $G(x)$ proposed in $\left[1 \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. To probe the whole range of negative ; one can use the de nition, E qS. ( 5 are de ned in the integral representation Eq. ( $\overline{5} . \overline{1} 1 \mathbf{1})$. For positive outside of the dom ain Eq. $(5 \overline{2} \overline{2})$, we use the follow ing expression

$$
\begin{align*}
G(x) & =\frac{8 p}{3}-\left[\ln (C)^{3}\right]^{2} \quad L i_{3}(C)  \tag{523}\\
x & =4^{p}-\left[\ln (C)^{1}\right]^{2}+L i_{3}=2(C) ; \tag{524}
\end{align*}
$$

where $0<C<1$. Finally, using the de nitions of $G(x)$ in di erent dom ains of , we get the large deviation function in the scaling lim it

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{y})=\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{H} \quad \frac{\mathrm{y}}{\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{~K}_{3}} \tag{525}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the universal function $H(x)$ is given by the follow ing param etric expression

$$
\begin{align*}
H(x) & =G() \quad G^{0}()  \tag{5,26}\\
x & =G^{0}(): \tag{5.27}
\end{align*}
$$

In $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ this function has been show $n$ to be skew, i.e. to have di erent asym ptotic behavior for its argum ent taking on a large negative or positive value. This is so for the ASEP, since the speeding up and slow ing dow $n$ are not equivalent due to the exclusion interaction. Speci cally, it is much easier to slow down process by stopping or $m$ oving backward a single particle than to speed it up by moving forw ard all particles sim ultaneously. Sim ilar qualitative interpretation of this asym $m$ etry for the ASAP is also possible. O ne can see from the explicit form of Eqs. (5.18), that the leading term $s$ of contributions to average velocity com ing from the A SEP and avalanche parts of dynam ics have di erent signs, the positive term corresponding to avalanches and the negative being for the A SEP drift. This is why the universal function $G(x)$ and subsequently $H(x)$ are di erent from it's standard A SEP form in the $m$ inus sign before its argum ents, Eqs. (12 210 in our case the speeding up and slow ing dow $n$ are interchanged com paring to the ASEP. Indeed, to initiate an avalanche one particle should $m$ ove faster or slow er then the others to reach eventually an occupied site. To prevent an avalanche all particles should $m$ ove sim ultaneously in the sam e direction. The latter has much less probability then the form er. H ow ever, com paring to the A SEP the situation is even m ore peculiar. T he solution of the ASEP is usually holds in the dom ain with de nite direction of the drift, for exam ple L < R. The opposite direction can be obtained by form al coordinate inversion x ! x that is equivalent to $L \$ \mathrm{R}$. $T$ he solution of the ASAP holds for all values of $L<1$ lim ited only by the condition $j j<1$. Thus, for sm all densities, , and the rate of the left driving, $L$, close to 1 the situation $m$ ay take place when $V_{\text {ASE }}>V_{A v}$, so that the resulting average velocity w ill be negative. This, how ever, does not a ect the function $H$ ( x ) as its skew ness is related only to the avalanche direction rather than to the Poissonian drift. At the sam e tim e full inversion transform ation in the A SAP corresponds to sim ultaneous transform ations $L \$ R$ and ! $1=$, which changes the direction of avalanches as well as that ofP oissonian drift. At the sam e tim $e$, if one considers the uctuations of the particle ow in the reference frame attached to the average ow of particles the $m$ acroscopic uctuations are quite sim ilar to the A SEP up to change of the distance scale

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1+\sum_{s=1}^{+R=L^{X}} \prod_{1}^{\mathrm{X}} \frac{()^{s}}{1(\mathrm{~s}} \frac{\mathrm{s}^{2}(\mathrm{~s} 1+2)^{\#}}{2((1))^{2}}:(5.28)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 6 Sum m ary and discussion.

To conclude, we have considered the asym $m$ etric avalanche process on the ring. To introduce the avalanche dynam ics to the $m$ aster equation for the P oissonian process, we used the technique of the recurrent boundary conditions. $W$ e have solved the $m$ aster equation by the Bethe ansatz and studied the solution corresponding to the stationary state. A s a result, we have calculated the cum ulants of the integral particle current, exactly in the case $=0$ and in the scaling lim it for general. T he large deviation function has been obtained, which has the structure, typical for models belonging to K P Z universality class. To calculate the nite size corrections, we used the m odi cation of the perturbative schem e proposed by $K$ im in [32']. W hile in the leading orders the standard and $m$ odi ed approaches give the sam e results, it would be interesting to nd out ifthis is so in arbitrary orders. O ur investigation is valid for the densities below the critical point. H ow ever the $m$ odel at the nite lattice can be considered for an arbitrary density of particles. O ne $m$ ay expect that the scaling behavior of physical quantities should change above the critical point. The question of interest is how to m odify the schem e to study the behavior of the $m$ odel at the critical line and above.
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## A Solution of the $B$ ethe equations.

$F$ irst, we suppose that the roots of the $B$ ethe equation are placed along the closed contour encircling zero, and the solution stillpreserves the invariance with respect to com plex conjugation like the solution corresponding to ! $0, \mathrm{Eq} \cdot(\overline{5} \cdot \mathbf{3})$. If we suppose also that the m onovalued analytical function $Z \quad 1 \quad \frac{p \mp T}{2 N} \quad \frac{j}{N}$ can be de ned everyw here at this contour, we obtain the $m$ apping $j!x_{j}$, which allow us to use the Euler $-M$ aclaurin sum $m$ ation form ula for transform ation of the sum over the roots of $B$ ethe equations into an integralalong the segm ent $0<j<p$ in the plane $j w$ ith a correction term. The integralalong the segm ent can be $m$ apped into the integralalong contour in the plane $x$ (see A ppendix B B')

where $f: s: c:$ is the correction term .
The functions of interest, e.g. the function $(y=x)$ can be represented on as power series w ith additional logarithm ic term $S$. In this case, the
only correction term appears which corresponds to a contribution originating from the logarithm branch cut. A s a result, the equation Eq. (5. ${ }^{(1)}$ sim pli es to the follow ing form


The reference point $x_{0}$ is the cross point of the contour $w$ th the positive part of the real axis, which can be de ned as $x_{p} e^{2}{ }^{i}$. The equation
 after replacing the sum by the integral and neglecting the nite size corrections. It tums out that the solution of Eq. (A) gives the exact solution of B ethe equations, provided that the inverse fiunction $Z^{1} \frac{p+1}{2 N} \quad \frac{j}{N}$ is an analytical function in the segm ent $1<j<p$, what should be checked afterw ards from the solution obtained.
 Taking into account the norm alization

$$
I
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x) d x=: \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}(x)=\frac{1}{2 i} \frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{1}: \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ccording to Eq. $[-5.51$ ) the function $Z(x)$ corresponding to this solution, can be obtained by the integrating of the density $R(x)$ :

$$
Z_{0}(x)=\frac{(p+1)}{2 N} \quad \frac{1}{2 i} \quad \ln \frac{x}{x_{0}} \quad \ln \frac{1}{1} x_{0}
$$

U sing the de nition of $Z(x)$, Eq. $\left(5.4^{-1}\right)$, we obtain the equation for the roots $x_{j}$ of the B ethe equations.

$$
\begin{equation*}
j=\frac{N}{2 i} \quad \ln \frac{x_{j}}{x_{0}} \quad \ln \frac{1}{1} \quad x_{j} x_{0} \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$C$ onsidering $j$ as a continuous param eter varying from 0 to $p$; q. (A can be treated as an im plicit de nition of the contour. It is easy to check that Eq. (A른) has a solution corresponding to a closed contour around zero when $x_{0}$ varies in the interval $06 x_{0} 6 \mathrm{x}$. The upper point x , de ned by the equation $x \quad(1 \quad x) \quad(1 \quad f=0$, is a m onotonous function of changing in the range $06 \times 61=2$ when changes from 0 to 1 . E very value of $x_{0}$ less then $x$ corresponds to the particular position of the contour passing through $x_{0}$. A s it was shown above, in the case of nite $p$ and $=0$, all the roots are collapsed into one point $x=0$. This situation
is realized when $x_{0}=0$. The nonzero values of $x_{0}$ correspond to tw olim its
$!0 ; p!1$ taken sim ultaneously. O ne can see from the Eq. (5.3) that if we put $\quad{ }^{p} ;(1 \ll 0)$, the value of $x_{0}$ takes di erent lim its $w$ hen p ! 1 depending on . At last, the case $x_{0}=x$ is realized when the decay of $w$ ith grow th of $p$ is slower than exponential and particularly, when the $\lim$ it p ! 1 is taken for a xed and then is put to zero. $T$ his case is of special interest for us because it gives the zero order of the solution we are looking for. W hen $x_{0}>x$, the equation ( $A$ ( $5_{1}^{\prime}$ ) has no solutions corresponding to a closed contour encircling the zero point.
$W$-hereas the dependence of $x$ on is de ned by the irrationalequation Eq. (A. ${ }^{-1}$ ), for $x_{0}=x$, the connection between and the cross point of $w$ th the negative part of real axes, $x_{C}$ is $m$ uch sim pler

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{C}=-1: \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Im portance of this point lies in vanishing of the root density $R_{0}(x)$ at $x_{C}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}\left(x_{c}\right)=0 \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his fact is crucial for the K im 's perturbative schem e [32], [33]. P ractically,
 above that Eq. (A_-2') to be correct, the analytical function Z $\quad 1 \frac{1}{2 N} \quad \frac{j}{N}$ should be de ned to $m$ ap the segm ent $06 j<p$ to contour. It is possible only if the rst derivative $\mathrm{Z}^{0}(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{x})$ di ers from zero everyw here in a given region $\overline{3} \overline{3} \overline{9} 1$. A ccording to Eq. (A A one point if $\quad 0$. Thus, we cannot apply the above argum ents to whole contour. To overcome this di culty, we can separate into two parts and apply the sum $m$ ation form ula to intervals where the requirem ents of analyticity are satis ed. It seem s natural that all troubles w ith analyticity are concentrated around the point ofcrossing the contour w th the negative part of the realaxis.

C onsider the solution for odd $p$, and $s m$ all positive. Then, the two roots closest to the negative part of the real axis, are conjugated to each other and can be denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.x_{(p} \quad 1\right)=2=x_{C} e^{i} ; \quad x_{(p+1)=2}=x_{C} e^{i} ; \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{C}$ and are unknow $n$ real param eters. W e exclude a sm all part of the contour betw een $x_{(p, 1)=2}$ and $x_{(p+1)=2}$ and assum e the analyticity of $Z \quad 1 \frac{p+1}{2 N} \frac{j}{N}$ at the segm ents $1<j<(p \quad 1)=2$ and $(p+1)=2<j<p$. This allow s us to apply the Euler - M aclaurin form ula for these segm ents separately. H ow ever, points $j=(p+1)=2$ and $j=(p 1)=2$ are located in the vicinity of a point on the realaxis where $Z^{1} \frac{p+1}{2 N} \quad \frac{j}{N} \quad$ looses its analyticity. Therefore, the higher the order ofderivatives ofZ $\quad 1 \quad \frac{\mathrm{p}+1}{2 \mathrm{~N}} \quad \frac{j}{\mathrm{~N}}$ at these points, the $m$ ore singular their behavior. P ractically, this $m$ eans that all term sof the E uler M aclaurin series have the sam e order in $N$. This
is why an altemative variant of the sum $m$ ation form ula in the AbelP lana form has been applied in [10]. The A belP lana form ula requires, how ever, the analyticity of $Z{ }^{1} \frac{p^{-1}}{2 N} \quad \frac{j}{N}$ in the strips $1<\operatorname{Rej}<(p \quad 1)=2$ and $(p+1)=2<R e j<p$, which is assum ed below .

U sing the A belP lana form ula, we can transform the sum over the roots of $B$ ethe equations into tw o integrals along the segm ents $1<j<(p \quad 1)=2$ and $(p+1)=2<j<p$ with a nite size correction term $f: s: c: T$ hen, these tw o integrals can be rew ritten as the integralin the plane $x$ along the closed contour $m$ inus the integralalong the sm allsegm ent connecting the points $\left.x_{(p l)} \quad 1\right)=2$ and $x_{(p+1)=2}$.

> I
> $f(x) R(x) d x \quad f(y) R(y) d y+f: s: c:$ $\left.x_{(p)} \quad 1\right)=2$

To get the expression for the nite size correction term, we have to know the behavior of the function $Z^{1}()$ in the vicinity of points $=0 ; 1=\mathrm{N}$. O nce we know $Z(x)$, the inverse function can be constructed by inversion of its series at every point. H ow ever, the inverse function $Z^{1}$ ( ) becom e singular at the points $=0 ; 1=\mathrm{N}$ in the $\lim$ it N ! 1 . This should be taken into account in constructing its series.
$C$ onsider the Taylor series of $Z(x)$ in points $x=x_{C} e^{i}$ :

$$
Z\left(x_{c} e^{i}+t\right)=x_{n=0}^{x^{2}} \frac{z_{n}}{n!} t^{n}
$$

$W$ e can introduce new shifted variables $y=t \quad$ and consider the series expansion in $y$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\left(x_{C} e^{i} \quad+y\right)=z_{0}+\quad+x_{n=1}^{x^{n}} \frac{b_{n}}{n!} y^{n} ; \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{0} & =Z\left(x_{C} e^{i}\right)=\frac{1}{N} ; \quad z_{0}^{+}=Z\left(x_{C} e^{i}\right)=0 ; \\
& =X^{A} \frac{z_{n}}{n!}{ }^{n} ; \quad b_{n}=X_{k=n}^{A} \frac{z_{k}{ }^{k n}}{(k \quad n)!}
\end{aligned}
$$

Two signs , m arking allparam eters here are to rem ind that we consider tw $o$ expansions around points $x_{(p, 1)=2}=x_{C} e^{i}$ and $x_{(p+1)=2}=x_{C} e^{i}$, and generally the param eters $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}} ; \quad ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$; are di erent from $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}^{+} ;{ }^{+} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}^{+}$; +.

The shift of param eters is de ned by the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}_{1}=0 \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

that determ ines the shift of the expansion to the point where the density $R(x)$ is zero. U sing the expansion ( $\binom{(1)}{$\hline} , we can construct the inverse series

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z^{1} z_{0}+\bar{N}=X_{n=0}^{A_{n}} a_{n} \sum^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad \begin{array}{r}
i \\
\bar{i}^{\frac{N}{i}}
\end{array}  \tag{A.11}\\
& \mathrm{a}_{0}=\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}}+; \quad \mathrm{a}_{1}=\frac{\mathrm{r}}{\frac{2}{2}} ; \quad \mathrm{a}_{2}=\frac{\mathrm{b}_{3}}{3 \mathrm{~b}_{2}^{2}} ; \\
& \mathrm{a}_{3}=\frac{1}{18^{\mathrm{p}} \overline{2}}{\frac{1}{\mathrm{~b}_{2}}}^{\frac{7}{2}} 5 \mathrm{~b}_{3}^{2} \quad 3 \mathrm{~b}_{2} \mathrm{~b}_{4} ;:::
\end{align*}
$$

H ere, we om itted the indices at variables a;b; ; still im plying tw o different expansions. To ensure the proper choice of a branch of the square root in both expansions, one can check if Eq. (A A1') for $=0$ is satised. Finally, after som e algebra (see A ppendix $\bar{C} \bar{C}^{\prime}$ ) we rew rite the B ethe equations in the follow ing form :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{(\mathrm{s} 1)}{2 \mathrm{i}} \frac{1}{1} \frac{()^{j \mathrm{sj}}}{1 j^{j \mathrm{sj}}}  \tag{A.12}\\
& f \frac{1}{4 N}+x_{+}^{s} \quad x^{s}+R_{s} \ln \frac{x_{+}}{x}+ \\
& \frac{1}{2 i}_{n \in s}^{X} \frac{R_{n}}{s} n^{s} x_{+}^{n} \quad x^{s}+\frac{1}{4 N}+x_{+}^{s} \quad x^{s}+ \\
& \frac{1}{4 N}_{n=1}^{X} \frac{1}{2 i N}_{\frac{n}{2}}^{\left.\frac{(n}{2}+1\right)} \frac{\frac{n}{2}+1}{2} \\
& C_{n ; s} L \frac{i_{n}^{2}+1}{}(e) \quad{ }^{n} L \frac{i_{n}^{2}+1}{}(e) \\
& C_{n ; s}^{+} L i_{\frac{n}{2}+1}\left(e^{+}\right) \quad\left(\text { i) } L i_{\frac{n_{n}}{2}+1}\left(e^{+}\right) g\right.
\end{align*}
$$

if $s \in 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{0}=x_{s \in 0 ; s=1}^{x_{s}} R_{s} x_{C}{ }^{s} \frac{\sin s}{s}+\frac{1}{2 i N} \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

otherw ise. For and , we have respectively

$$
\begin{aligned}
& ={\frac{1}{2 N i_{n=1}}}_{X^{\mathrm{I}}} \frac{1}{2 i N}{ }^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)}{\frac{n}{2}+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{n}}^{+} \operatorname{Lin}_{\frac{i_{2}}{2}}\left(\mathrm{e}^{+}\right) \quad\left(\text { i) } \operatorname{Lin}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}+1\left(\mathrm{e}^{+}\right)+\right. \\
& \frac{i}{2 N}\left(\ln x \quad+\ln x_{+}\right)+\frac{R_{0}}{2} \ln ^{2} x \quad \ln ^{2} x_{+}+ \\
& \text {X } R_{s} \frac{x_{+}{ }^{n} x^{n}}{n^{2}}+\frac{x_{+}{ }^{n} \ln x_{+} x^{n} \ln x}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
=2 \quad i R_{0}: \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere we introduced notations ; $\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{s}}$ which are de ned as follow s

and $R_{s}$ are the expansion coe cients of Laurent series

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x)=X_{s=1}^{X^{s}} \frac{R_{s}}{x^{s+1}}: \tag{A.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

 sion of eigenvalue in term $s$ of $R_{s}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
()=2 i N_{n=1}^{X^{A}}(\quad)^{n}{ }_{n} R_{n} ; \tag{A.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{L} \quad \mathrm{R}\left(\Re^{1}(1+)\right.
$$

 sim pli cation takes place if the follow ing conditions are satis ed:

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
+ & =\quad=; & \text { (A 20a) } \\
\mathrm{x}_{+} & =\mathrm{x}=\mathbb{F} & \text { (A 20b) } \\
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{s}} & =\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{s}}^{+}=\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{s}}: & \text { (A 20c) }
\end{array}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{s}=\frac{\left(s l^{1}\right)}{2 i} \frac{1}{2 i} \frac{()^{j s j}}{\left(j^{j j j}\right.} \\
& {\frac{1}{N^{3=2}}}_{n=0}^{X^{A}} \frac{i}{2 N} \quad \frac{\left(n+\frac{3}{2}\right)}{n+\frac{3}{2}} \frac{c_{2 n}+1 ; s}{P} L i_{n+\frac{3}{2}}^{2 i}(e) \\
& =\quad{\frac{1}{N^{3=2}}}_{n=0}^{X^{A}} \frac{i}{2 N}_{n}^{n+\frac{3}{2}} \frac{\left(n+\frac{3}{P}\right)}{{\underset{P}{2 n+1}}^{2 i}} L i_{n+\frac{3}{2}}(\text { e ); (A 21) }
\end{aligned}
$$

which together with Eq. ( $\bar{A} \cdot 19_{1}^{\prime}$ ) reproduces the results of paper $\left[\overline{3} \overline{2}^{\prime}\right]$. The
 tions. Equation (A 20a) is equivalent to equality $+=$ (see Eq.(32) in
 one point $\&$ where $\mathrm{Z}^{\circ}(\mathbb{*})=0 \mathrm{which}$ is used as the expansion center in [ $\left.\left.{ }^{3}\right]^{2}\right]$ ]. In our consideration, two com plex con jugated points, $x_{+}$and $x$; are possible that $m$ erge into one point $\mathbb{m}$ in the $\lim$ it $p!1$. The third equality Eq. (A $\overline{2} \overline{2} \overline{0})$ is a direct consequence of rst two. Generally, there are no obvious reasons for Eqs. ( $\bar{A}-20 \bar{a}$ ) and ( $\bar{A}-20 \bar{b})$ to be satis ed.$T$ hey
 obtained. $W$ e checked them in rst orders of the perturbative solution and found that they are correct in the rst three orders w hich are necessary to reproduce the results of [332].

To obtain the solution of the Eqs. ( $\left.\bar{A}-12)^{2}\right)-\left(\bar{A}-15_{1}\right)$ which is consistent w th the exact solution in the case $=0$; one has to assume to behave as $N^{1=2}$ when $N$ ! 1 . Therefore, we assum e the follow ing expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
=X_{k=1}^{X^{2}} \frac{i}{N^{\frac{k}{2}}}: \tag{A22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The other values in the Eqs. (A. pansions

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{S}=X_{k=0}^{X^{A}} \frac{R_{s}^{(k)}}{N^{\frac{k}{2}}} ; \quad X_{k=0}^{X^{A}} \frac{i}{N^{\frac{k}{2}}} ; \quad X_{k=3}^{X^{3}} \frac{i}{N^{\frac{k}{2}}}: \tag{A23}
\end{equation*}
$$

 should be solved order by order in powers of $N^{1=2}$. The scaling dependence of corresponds to $\mathrm{N}^{3=2}=$ const. The lim it ! 0 corresponds to the $\lim$ it $1!0$. The other param eters $2 ; 3 ;:::$ depend on the way, how approaches zero when N ! 1 . H ow ever, the physical results do not depend on these param eters due to analyticity of eigenvalue. Solving the Eqs. given in Eqs. (5, in

## B Evaluation of sum sover roots of the B ethe equations.

To evaluate the sum over roots of the Bethe equation, one can use the asym ptotic form ula approxim ating the sum by the integrals

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i=n}^{X^{m}} f(j)=\underbrace{Z^{n}}_{n} f(j) d j+\operatorname{corr}(f ; n ; m) \tag{B.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the correction term given by the asym ptotic Euler -M aclaurin series

$$
\operatorname{corr}(f ; n ; m)=\frac{1}{2}(f(m)+f(n))+X_{i=2}^{X^{1}} \frac{B_{i}}{i!}\left(f^{(i 1)}(m) \quad f^{(i 1)}(n)\right) \quad(B 2)
$$

or by the A belp lana integral form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{corr}(f ; n ; m)=\frac{1}{2}(f(m)+f(n))+  \tag{B,3}\\
& \frac{1}{i}_{0}^{Z} \frac{f(m+i t)}{} \begin{array}{llll}
\text { Z } & f(m & \text { it) } & f(n+i t)+f(n \\
e^{2} t & \text { it }
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

The form er requires the analyticity of the function $f(j)$ at the segm ent of real axes $j 2[n ; m]$ and the latter at the strip of com plex plane, Rex 2 [n;m]: Let us suppose, that the analytical structure of the function $Z(x)$ allows one to de ne the analytical inverse function $Z \quad 1 \quad \frac{p+1}{2 N} \quad \frac{j}{N}$ that m aps the segm ent $\mathrm{j} 2[0 ; p]$ into the closed contour encircling zero in the plane of the variable $x$. Then the derivatives $w$ ith respect to $j$ can be expressed in term $s$ of $x_{j}$ as follow $s$

$$
\frac{@}{@ j} f(j)!\quad \frac{1}{N R\left(x_{j}\right)} f^{0} \quad Z\left(x_{j}\right) N+\frac{p+1}{2}: \quad \text { (B .5) }
$$

W e are interested in calculation of sum s of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{N}_{j=1}^{X^{p}} F\left(X_{j}\right) \tag{B.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where F (x) can be represented at the contour as Laurent series w ith additional logarithm ic term

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\bar{F} \ln x+{ }_{s=1}^{X_{s}} F_{s} x^{s}: \tag{B.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ he root $x_{p}$ lies at the real part of positive axes. We can introduce its "tw in" at the other side of logarithm branch cut, $x_{0}=e^{2}{ }^{i} x_{p}$, which corresponds to $j=0$. Then, application of Euler $M$ aclaurin form ula Eq. (Bin')
gives

$$
\frac{1}{N}_{j=1}^{X^{p}} F\left(x_{j}\right)=\frac{1}{N} @_{j=0}^{0} F\left(X_{j}\right) \quad F\left(x_{0}\right)^{A}=I \quad F(y) R(y) d y+\frac{i}{N} \bar{F} \quad(B .8)
$$

Indeed, all derivatives in the EulerM aclaurin series Eq. (BA ${ }^{-1}$ ) taken at the points $x_{0}$ and $x_{p}$, being equal, are cancelled by each other. The only contribution to correction term com es from di erence betw een im aginary parts of the logarithm at the banks of its branch cut. A s it is show $n$ in the section ( $\bar{W}^{\prime}$ '), this case is lim ted by $=0$.

Let us consider the case when the roots $x_{(p)} 1_{1}=2$, and $x_{(p+1)=1}$ are $10-$ cated in the vicinity of the point $x_{c}$ satisfying Eq. (A. 7 ), and, therefore, we can not guarantee existence of an analytical function $Z \quad 1 \quad \frac{p+1}{2 N} \quad \frac{j}{N}$ that $m$ aps the segm ent j 2 [0;p] to closed contour . W e, how ever, still assum e, that the $m$ apping like this exists at tw o its segm ents, which connect points $\left.\mathrm{x}_{0} ; \mathrm{x}_{(\mathrm{p}} 1\right)=2$ and $\mathrm{x}_{(\mathrm{p}+1)=1} ; \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{p}}$. O ne can see from Eq. (1)._-1), that every derivative $w$ ith respect to $j$ brings the com petitive coe cients $1=\mathrm{N}$ and $1=R\left(x_{j}\right)$, i.e. when $N$ tends to in nity $R \quad x_{(p l)} 1,=1$ goes to zero. This is why we use A belP lana sum $m$ ation form ula Eq. (B_3) instead of Eq. (B_(1) to take into account all contributions of the sam e order in N. A pplying it to each of tw o segm ents separately and using form ula Eq. (AA _(B) for the roots $x_{(p \quad 1)=2}$ and $x_{(p+1)=1}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{N}_{j=1}^{X^{p}} F\left(X_{j}\right)= & X_{s=1}^{A} R_{s}\left(I_{s}^{0}+I_{s}\right)+\frac{i}{N} \bar{F}+  \tag{B.9}\\
& \bar{F} \frac{\ln x_{C}}{N}+T+X_{s=1}^{X} F_{s} \frac{x_{C}^{s} \cos s}{N}+\frac{\left\{_{s}\right.}{N}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{s}^{0}=2 i \begin{array}{c}
\left(\begin{array}{c}
F_{s} \frac{\bar{F}}{s x_{0}^{s}} \\
F_{0}+\bar{F}\left(\ln x_{0}+i\right) \\
s=0
\end{array} ; ~ ; ~\right.
\end{array} \\
& F_{s}+\underset{n \in s ; n=1}{p} F_{n} \frac{x_{c}^{n} \frac{s}{s i n}(n s)}{(n s)}+\quad s \notin 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{_{s}=\frac{1}{i}_{0}^{Z} Z^{1}\left(\frac{1+i t}{N}\right)^{s} \quad Z^{1}\left(\frac{1 \quad \text { it }}{N}\right)^{s}\right. \\
& Z^{1}\left(\frac{\text { it }}{N}\right)^{s}+Z^{1}\left(\frac{\text { it }}{N}\right)^{s}=e^{2 t} \quad 1 d t ; \\
& T=\frac{1}{i}^{Z} \ln Z^{1}\left(\frac{1+i t}{N}\right) \quad \ln Z^{1}\left(\frac{1 \quad i t}{N}\right) \\
& \ln Z^{1}\left(\frac{\text { it }}{N}\right)+\ln Z^{1}\left(\frac{\text { it }}{N}\right)=e^{2 t} 1 d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and $R_{s}$ are the coe cients of the Laurent expansion of the density de ned in Eq. (AA 18 ).

## C D erivation of equations for $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}$.

Rew riting the sum in the Eq. (5. (1) w the help of Eq. (B_(1) and collecting coe cient of the sam e powers of $x$ we get
$s \notin \quad 0:$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{(\mathrm{s} \mathrm{c}}{2 \mathrm{i}} \frac{1}{1} \frac{()^{j \mathrm{sj}}}{1\left(j^{j j}\right.} \tag{C.1}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { n) } R_{h} \frac{x_{c}^{s}}{2 i N} \cos s \frac{\left\{_{s} A\right.}{2 i N} A
\end{aligned}
$$

$s=0:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{0}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{s} \in 0 ; s=1}^{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{~s} \frac{\sin \mathrm{~s}}{\mathrm{~s}}+\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{iN}} \quad \text { (C 2) }} \\
& =2 i \quad x^{X^{1}} \quad \frac{x_{C}^{s}}{s} \quad \cos s \frac{\sin s}{s} R_{s}+\frac{T}{N} \\
& \text { (C 3) } \\
& \text { s€ 0;s= } 1 \\
& =2 \mathrm{i} \mathrm{R}_{0} \\
& \text { (C. .4) }
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use the function $(y=x)$, treated as a function of the variable $y$; as the expansion valid at the contour with coe cients de ned like in Eq. (B7)

To go further one needs to obtain the explicit expressions for $\left\{_{s} ; T\right.$ in term $s$ of $R_{s}$. U sing the expansion of $Z^{1} z_{0}+\bar{N}, E q \cdot\left(\overline{\mathbb{A}} \cdot \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{_{s}=\frac{1}{2}_{n=1}^{X^{A}} \frac{1}{2 i N}^{\frac{n}{2}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{s}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{i} ; \mathrm{s}}^{+} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{n}}^{+} \quad\right. \text { (C.5) } \\
& T=\frac{1}{2}_{n=1}^{X^{+}} \frac{1}{2 i N}^{\frac{n}{2}} Y_{n} \bar{C}_{n} \quad Y_{n}^{+} \bar{C}_{n}^{+} ; \\
& \text {(C.6) }
\end{aligned}
$$

 $Y_{n}$ are given by

$$
Y_{n}=\frac{1}{i}{ }_{0}^{Z} \frac{\left(\sum_{i}^{p} \frac{t+}{i}\right)^{n} \quad\left(\frac{p}{i} \overline{t+} e^{n}\right.}{e^{t}} d t \quad \text { (C.7) }
$$

The $m$ ethod of evaluation of these integrals is described in detail in [3]_]. $F$ inally, for $Y_{n}$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y_{n}= & \frac{1}{i} \quad i \frac{1}{\frac{n}{2}+1} \quad \underset{i}{p} i^{n}+ & \text { (C. 8) } \\
& \frac{\left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)}{\frac{n}{2}+1} \operatorname{Lin} \frac{n}{2}(e) \quad\left(\text { in } L \frac{i n}{2}+1(e)\right. & :
\end{aligned}
$$

U sing the equalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\mathrm{C}} \overline{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad \underset{\mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{iN}^{\frac{n}{2}}=\ln Z^{1}\left(\mathrm{z}_{0}\right) \quad \overline{\mathrm{q}} ; \quad \text { (C.10) }} \\
& \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{n}=1}^{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{s}} \quad \underset{\mathrm{i}}{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{1}{2 i N}{ }^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\frac{n}{2}+1}=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{Co}_{0}+\mathrm{s}+\quad \text { (C.11) } \\
& z_{Z}^{1}\left(z_{0}\right) \\
& \mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{s} R}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{dx} \text {; } \\
& c_{0 ; s} \\
& X_{n=1}^{\mathrm{C}_{n}} \quad \underset{\dot{i}}{\mathrm{p}} \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{n}} \frac{1}{2 i N}{ }^{\frac{n}{2}} \frac{i}{\frac{n}{2}+1}=N \quad \bar{C}_{0}+  \tag{C.12}\\
& \text { N } \\
& \ln x R(x) d x ;
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{1}\left(z_{0}\right)=x_{c} e^{i} ; \quad c_{0 ; s}=x^{s} ; \tag{C.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

 ( (A.14i).
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Figure 1: T he asym m etric avalanche process.

Figure 2: The analytical structure of the contour . Z igzag lines show the branch cuts of the function $(y=x)$ in the com plex plane of the variable $y$. The broken segm ent of the contour should be excluded from integration when 0 .
$F$ igure 3: $T$ he phase diagram of the asym $m$ etric avalanche process.
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