## Exciton-relaxation dynam ics in lead halides

Masanobu Iwanaga<sup>1</sup>, and Tetsusuke Hayash<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>G raduate School of Hum an and Environm ental Studies, K yoto University, K yoto 606–8501, Japan

<sup>2</sup>D epartm ent of Fundam ental Sciences, Faculty of Integrated Hum an Studies, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan

(D ated: M arch 22, 2024)

We survey recent comprehensive studies of exciton relaxation in the crystals of lead halides. The lum inescence and electron-spin-resonance studies have revealed that excitons in lead brom ide spontaneously dissociate and both electrons and holes get self-trapped individually. Sim ilar relaxation has been also clari ed in lead chloride. The electron-hole separation is ascribed to repulsive correlation via acoustic phonons. Besides, on the basis of the tem perature pro-les of self-trapped states, we discuss the origin of lum inescence components which are mainly induced under one-photon excitation into the exciton band in lead uoride, lead chloride, and lead brom ide.

## I. IN TRODUCTION

M ost of lead-com pound crystals have the bandgap in connection with the 6s-to-6p gap in lead ions and tend to become highly lum inescent coming from the bdd' transition. In fact, excitonic transitions in lead halides are partly explained by the 6s-to-6p transition in lead ions [1, 2]. Lum in escence in cubic PbF<sub>2</sub>, PbC  $\downarrow$ , and PbB  $r_2$ is composed of broad Gaussian bands with large-Stokes shifts and is indicative of strong exciton {acoustic-phonon interaction [1] while lum in escence in PbI2 shows freeexciton (FE) natures [3]. At the early stage of the study, the lum in escence in lead halides was attributed to electric dipole transition from the 6p to 6s states [1, 4]. However, the description is too simple to explain the experim ental results such as two-photon excitation spectra of photolum inescence (PL) [5, 6]. Cluster calculation for cubic  $PbF_2$ ,  $PbC_2$ , and  $PbBr_2$  shows that the conduction bands consist of  $Pb^{2+}$  (6p states), and the top of valence bands is composed of 68% Pb<sup>2+</sup> (6s states) and 32% (2p states), 48% Pb<sup>2+</sup> (6s) and 52% Cl (3p), and F 35%  $Pb^{2+}$  (6s) and 65% Br (4p), respectively [2]. The tendency of the mixed ratio in the valence bands is qualitatively supported by the recent electron-spin-resonance (ESR) study manifesting self-trapping hole centers of  $Pb^{3+}$  in  $PbC \downarrow [7]$  and  $Br_2$  in  $PbBr_2$  [8].

M ixed crystal of P bI<sub>2(1 x)</sub> B r<sub>2x</sub> is an example to show the variety of exciton dynam ics coming from lead-halogen complex; it enables us to observe the change from FE luminescence into self-trapped-exciton (STE) luminescence by increasing the ratio of bromide ions [9]. This system implies that halogen ions add the variety in exciton dynam ics and play a crucial role in realizing the localization of excitons. This example tells us that the simple description based on the inner transition of lead ions is imperfect in discussing the lattice dynam ics of excitons.

M oreover, it was recently reported that com posite lum inescence in C sP bC L, com prised of FE and STE lum inescence, presents the recovery of FE -lum inescence intensity and the sudden disappearance of the STE lum inescence at a phase transition under the condition of raising tem perature [10]; the excitonic transition stems from octahedrons of Pb<sup>2+</sup> (Cl)<sub>6</sub>, and the crystal has the valence and conduction bands sim ilar to PbC  $\downarrow_2$  [11]. Thus, lead-halogen com plex m akes it possible to realize a diversity of exciton relaxation.

W e overview recent experim ental results on PbB  $r_2$  and PbC  $l_2$  in the next section, and discuss the exciton relaxation resulting in spontaneous electron-hole separation in Sec. III. Furtherm ore, the properties of STE-like' lum innescence in cubic PbF<sub>2</sub>, PbC  $l_2$ , and PbB  $r_2$  are exam ined from comparison with electronic localized states.

## II. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS:LEAD BROM IDE AND LEAD CHLORIDE

W e m ainly show optical and lum inescent properties of P bB  $r_2$  in this section because P bB  $r_2$  and P bC  $l_2$  are sim ilar in the electronic-band structures [2] and lum inescence properties [1, 6, 12]. Localized states of electrons and



FIG.1: Photolum inescence (PL) spectrum (left solid line), polarized re ectance spectra (right solid line), and two-photon excitation spectra (dots) of PbB  $r_2$ . A llspectra were measured below 8 K. The PL was induced by 4.43-eV photons.

E lectronic address: iw anaga@ phys.h.kyoto-u.ac.jp





FIG. 2: (a) Decay curve (solid line) of PL in Fig. 1. (b) Recombination model that reproduces the decay curve; the calculated curve from the model is the dashed line in (a).

holes in PbBr<sub>2</sub> and PbC  $\frac{1}{2}$  are also presented, which have been investigated with the ESR technique. M ore details of the lum inescence spectroscopy and ESR study have already been reported in Refs. [5, 6, 7, 8].

Figure 1 show sphotolum inescence (PL) spectrum (left solid line), re ectance spectra (right solid line) for E ka and E kb con gurations, and two-photon excitation spectra (dots) of PbB  $r_2$ ; all spectra were measured below 8 K. The PL at 2.55 eV was induced by 4.43-eV photons and is called blue-green-PL (BG-PL) band from now on. The two-photon excitation spectra indicate discrete peaks of exciton absorption at 3.93 and 4.07 eV, and the band edge is identi ed at 4.10 eV from the continuous rise. C onsequently, the binding energy of the low est exciton is estim ated to be 170 m eV. Sim ilarly, the binding energy in PbC  $l_2$  is found to be 200 m eV [6].

Figure 2 (a) presents a decay curve (solid line) of the BG  $\rightarrow$ L band in Fig.1; the curve is plotted with the loglog scale. The intensity decays in proportion to 1=t for t 10 s. Such a decay curve cannot be explained by radiative transition in two-level systems but requires a process including tunneling motion [13]. Figure 2 (b) depicts an electron-hole recombination model for the BG – PL band; a pair of a self-trapped electron (STEL) and a self-trapped hole (STH) separated by distance R gets close by tunneling motion, form s a STE, and recombines with the decay time . The was determined by time-



FIG. 3: Typical ESR spectra of (a) PbB  $r_2$  and (b) PbC  $\frac{1}{2}$  after photoimadiation below 8 K with 120-fs-width and 3.10eV laser pulses. Both spectra were measured below 8 K. The resonant microwave frequencies were (a) 9.385 G H z and (b) 9.400 G H z. Solid lines indicate resonances, and dashed lines show the ESR positions calculated from the spin H am iltonian in R ef. [B].

resolved PL m easurement in the microsecond range and is equal to 1.2 s [5]. By assuming the distribution of pair density n (R) / R<sup>2</sup>, the model reproduces the measured decay curve well; the calculated curve is expressed as I(t) = (A=t) [1 exp(t=)], where A is a proportionality constant, and is represented with the dashed line in Fig. 2(a). In PbC  $l_2$ , an intrinsic BG -PL band at 2.50 eV also shows a phosphorescent decay prole similar to the BG-PL band in PbB  $r_2$  [6].

The recombination model hypothesizes the existence of STELs and STHs in PbBr<sub>2</sub>. In fact, they are found in the ESR measurements as shown in Fig. 3; the ESR signals measured below 8 K were induced by photoirradiation below 8 K with pulsed 120-fs width light at 3.10 eV, which induces two-photon interband transitions e - ciently. Curve (a) in Fig. 3 presents the STEL centers of Pb<sub>2</sub><sup>3+</sup> and the STH centers of Br<sub>2</sub> in PbBr<sub>2</sub>. The con gurations of the STEL and STH centers were determined from the spin-Ham iltonian analysis of rotationangle dependence of the ESR signals [8]; the dimermodecular STEL centers orient along the a axis, and, on the other hand, the STH centers have two possible con-

gurations in the unit cell, which are symmetric for the bc plane, re ecting the crystallographic symmetry. Experimental nding of coexistence of STELs and STHs is the rst case to our know ledge.

Curve (b) in Fig. 3, which was measured like curve (a), shows the STH centers of  $Pb^{3^+}$  in PbC ½. Though the STEL centers of  $Pb_2^{3^+}$  in PbC ½ were rst observed after x-or -ray irradiation at 80 K [14, 15], the STEL centers are not detected at 0{1700 m T after photoirradiation below 50 K as shown in Fig. 3(b); indeed, the STEL centers of  $Pb_2^{3^+}$  appears therm ally above 60 K [7]. If electrons below 50 K do form self-trapping centers of  $Pb_2^{3^+}$ , they could be detected in the range of



FIG.4: Schem atic description of exciton-relaxation dynam ics in PbBr<sub>2</sub> and PbC  $l_2$ . In the latter crystal, the STEL has to be replaced with a trapped electron.

 $0{1700 \text{ m T}}$  because they usually have g values of  $1{1.6}$  [14, 15, 16]. However, since they are not observed, other electron-trapping centers associated with lattice defects are most likely below 50 K.

Therm al stability of the STELs and STHs in PbBr<sub>2</sub> supports the correlation with the BG -PL band; in particular, the quenching of the STHs at 20{30 K corresponds to that of the BG -PL band. Thus, the STEs responsible for the BG -PL band are closely associated with the STHs.

The BG-PL band observed below 100 K in PbC  $\downarrow$  corresponds to the STH centers of Pb<sup>3+</sup> in the stable tem – perature range [7]. Therefore, the recombination m odel in Fig. 2(b) is applicable to the BG-PL band in PbC  $\downarrow$  except for replacing the STEL with a trapped electron.

# III. EXCITON RELAXATION IN LEAD HALIDES

Phosphorescent decay of the BG -PL bands in PbB  $r_2$ and PbC  $l_2$  is closely related to spatially separated electron-hole pairs as depicted in Fig. 2(b). However, can it happen that excitons with the binding energy of about 200 m eV dissociate spontaneously?

R elaxed states of excitons were theoretically classi ed by Sum i [17]; as a result, excitons can relax into pairs of a STEL and a STH when both electrons and holes strongly interact with acoustic phonons. Particularly, when the signs of coupling constants of electron {acoustic-phonon and hole{acoustic-phonon interactions are opposite, re-

C ry <i>s</i> tals	STEL	STH	
CubicPbF <sub>2</sub>		Pb <sup>3+</sup>	[18]
PbCl	Pb <sub>2</sub> <sup>3+</sup> [14,15]	$Pb^{3+}$	[7]
PbBr <sub>2</sub>	Pb2 <sup>3+</sup> [8]	Br <sub>2</sub>	[8]
PbI <sub>2</sub>			

TABLE I: Structures of STEL and STH centers in lead halides. denotes no report of self-trapping.

pulsive force interplays between the electron and hole via acoustic phonons. Thus, the repulsive correlation is ascribable to the origin of electron-hole separation. Taking all the results and discussion into account, exciton-relaxation dynam ics in PbB  $r_2$  and PbC  $l_2$  is schem atically depicted in Fig. 4. The spontaneous breaking of initial bound states, namely excitons, takes place by the repulsive correlation via accustic phonons; in view of bipolaron dynam ics, the breaking is in contrast with the form ation of C ooper pairs mediated by acoustic phonons.

Table I sum m arizes the self-trapped states of excited electrons and holes. Self-trapping is observed in cubic PbF<sub>2</sub>, PbC  $l_2$ , and PbB  $r_2$ ; in particular, self-trapping of both electrons and holes is realized in PbC  $l_2$  and PbB  $r_2$ . STHs in cubic PbF<sub>2</sub> irradiated with rays or neutrons were observed only at 77 K, and STELswere not detected at the tem perature [18] though they m ight be observed at tem peratures lower than 77 K.

From comparison with the stable temperature range of self-trapped states, we discuss another PL component induced mainly under excitation into the exciton band in each of PbF<sub>2</sub>, PbC  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and PbB  $\frac{r_2}{2}$ . Figure 5 shows the PL bands (solid lines) and the excitation spectra (dashed lines) of PbC  $\frac{1}{2}$  and PbB  $\frac{r_2}{2}$  below 8 K; both of them appear in the energy range higher than the BG -PL bands. In cubic PbF<sub>2</sub>, such a PL band appears at about 4 eV. The PL components have been assigned to STE lum ines-



FIG.5: (a) PbC  $\Bbbk$  and (b) PbB  $r_2:$  PL (solid lines) and the excitation spectra (dashed lines). All spectra were measured below 8 K.PL in (a) and (b) was induced by 4.80-eV and 3.81-eV photoexcitation, respectively. The excitation spectra were observed at (a) 3.76 eV and (b) 2.95 eV.

cence by m any researchers [1, 12, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23]. How ever, the stable range disagrees with that of self-trapped states in each crystal: In cubic PbF<sub>2</sub>, the PL component at 4 eV is quenched around 30 K [1, 21] while the STHs are bleached around 175K [18]. In PbC 1, the PL com ponent at 3.8 eV disappears at 25 K [19, 20] while the STHs are stable up to 80 K and the STELs appear above 60 K [7]. In PbBr<sub>2</sub>, the PL component at 2.7 eV disappears at 30 K while the STHs become unstable at 20 K and the STEL are stable up to 120 K [8]. In addition, the PL component is mainly induced under excitation into the exciton band while the STHs are induced also under interband excitation. Thus, all PL components attributed to STE lum inescence show vital discrepancies with the STELs and STHs. If the PL components are intrinsic, the STEs responsible for the PL components need the di erent self-trapping centers from the STELs and STHs already detected with the ESR technique; however, further nding of the di erent self-trapping centers is quite improbable in these crystals.

To consider the origin of the PL component, we point out that cubic PbF<sub>2</sub> is a super-ionic conductor [24], and PbC  $\downarrow_2$  and PbBr<sub>2</sub> are high-ionic conductors [25, 26]; therefore, they inevitably contain dense anion vacancies at higher than 10<sup>17</sup> cm<sup>3</sup>. In particular, lattice ions are absent with a high probability in the surface region because of the halogen desorption connected to the highionic conductivity. The vacancies may a ect and trap the excitons induced by one-photon excitation only in the surface region. The excitation pro les of the PL components in the three crystals suggest that the excitons created by one-photon excitation behave sin ilarly in the relaxation, and moreover the excitons are not coincident with any self-trapping center found experimentally to date. Therefore, the vacancy-associated relaxation of 4

excitons seems to be a convincing explanation. At the end of discussion, we note that experimental data on cubic  $PbF_2$  are partly inconsistent [1, 21]; the excitation proles of PL component at 4 eV presented in the two references are dimensional erent with each other. As for  $PbF_2$ , further study of luminescence and structures of localized states is necessary to clarify the exciton relaxation fully.

### IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We have surveyed exciton relaxation in lead halides on the basis of recent experimental results. In PbB  $r_2$ and PbC  $l_2$ , spontaneous exciton dissociation has been revealed with the lum inescence spectroscopy and ESR technique. On the other hand, PbF<sub>2</sub> requires further investigation in view of lum inescence properties and structures of localized states.

In cubic  $PbF_2$ ,  $PbC \downarrow_2$ , and  $PbB r_2$ , the PL components with similar excitation proles are discussed from the correlation with the STELs and STHs, so that they are inconsistent with any STEL and STH. Consequently, they are unlikely to be intrinsic. To identify the origins, the examination with the optically detected magnetic-resonance technique is most preferable.

#### A cknow ledgm ents

W e would like to thank J. Azuma, M. Shirai, and K. Tanaka for the collaboration in ESR experiments and the valuable discussion about exciton relaxation in lead halides.

- [1] G. Liidja, Vl. Plekhanov, J. Lum in. 6 (1973) 71, and earlier references cited therein.
- M. Fujita, M. Itoh, Y. Bokumoto, H. Nakagawa,
  D.L.A. lov, M. Kitaura, Phys. Rev. B 61 (2000) 15731.
- [3] R.Kleim, F.Raga, J.Phys.Chem. Solids 30 (1969) 2213.
- [4] A J.Rybalka, V K. M iloslavskii, V B.Blokha, Opt. Spectrosk. 43 (1977) 237.
- [5] M. Iwanaga, M. W atanabe, T. Hayashi, Phys. Rev. B 62 (2000) 10766.
- [6] M. Iwanaga, M. Watanabe, T. Hayashi, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 15 (2001) 3677.
- [7] M. Iwanaga, M. Shirai, K. Tanaka, T. Hayashi, Phys. Rev.B 66 (2002) 064304.
- [8] M. Iwanaga, J.A zum a, M. Shirai, K. Tanaka, T. Hayashi, Phys. Rev. B 65 (2002) 214306.
- [9] J.Takeda, T.Sakam oto, T.Arai, S.Kurita, Int.J.M od. Phys. B 15 (2001) 3845.
- [10] T.Hayashi, T.Kobayashi, M.Iwanaga, M.Watanabe, J. Lum in. 94-95 (2001) 255.
- [11] K. Heidrich, W. Schafer, M. Schreiber, J. Sochtig, G. Trendel, J. Treusch, T. Grandke, H.J. Stolz, Phys.

Rev.B 24 (1981) 5642.

- [12] M.Kitaura, H.Nakagawa, J.Lum in. 72-74 (1997) 883.
- [13] C J. D elbecq, Y. Toyozawa, P H. Yuster, Phys. Rev. B 9 (1974) 4497.
- [14] S.V. N istor, E.G oovaerts, D. Shoem aker, Phys. Rev. B 48 (1993) 9575.
- [15] T.Hirota, T.Fujita, Y.K azum ata, Jpn.J.Appl.Phys., Part 1, 32 (1993) 4674.
- [16] E. Goovaerts, S.V. N istor, D. Shoem aker, Phys. Rev. B 28 (1983) 3712.
- [17] A.Sum i, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn.43 (1977) 1286.
- [18] M. Nishi, H. Hara, Y. Ueda, Y. Kazum ata, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 42 (1977) 1900.
- [19] T.Fujita, K. Soeda, K. Takiyama, M. Nishi, T. Hirota, J. Lumin. 28 (1983) 267.
- [20] K. Polak, D J.S. Birch, M. Nikl, Phys. Status Solidi B 145 (1988) 741.
- [21] M. Itoh, H. Nakagawa, M. Kitaura, M. Fujita, D L. A lov, J. Phys. C 11 (1999) 3003.
- [22] M. K itaura, H. Nakagawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70 (2001) 2462.

pp.1.

- [23] V. Babin, A. Krasnikov, M. Nikl, A. Stolovits, S. Zazubovich, Phys. Status Solidi B 229 (2002) 1295.
- [24] G A. Sam ara, in: Solid State Physics, ed. H. Ehrenreich and D. Turnbull (A cadem ic Press, O rlando, 1984) vol. 38,

[25] J.F. Verwey, J. Schoonm an, Physica 35 (1967) 386.

[26] J. Oberschm idt, D. Lazanus, Phys. Rev. B 21 (1980) 5813.