

## Anomalous diffusion, nonlinear fractional Fokker-Planck equation and solutions

E. K. Lenzi<sup>1,2</sup>, L. C. Malacarne<sup>2</sup>, R. S. Mendes<sup>2</sup> and I. T. Pedron<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup>Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, R. Dr. Xavier Sigaud 150, 22290-180 Rio de Janeiro-RJ, Brazil<sup>2</sup>Departamento de Física, Universidade Estadual de Maringá,

Av. Colombo 5790, 87020-900 Maringá-PR, Brazil

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

We obtain new exact classes of solutions for the nonlinear fractional Fokker-Planck-like equation  $\partial_t = \partial_x D(x) \partial_x^{-1} - F(x)$  by considering a diffusion coefficient  $D = D|x|^\alpha$  ( $\alpha \geq 2$  and  $D > 0$ ) and a drift force  $F = -k_1 x + \bar{k} |x|^{\alpha-1}$  ( $k_1, \bar{k} \geq 2$ ). Connection with nonextensive statistical mechanics based on Tsallis entropy is also discussed.

PACS numbers: PACS numbers: 82.20.Db, 66.10.Cb, 05.60.+w, 05.40.+j

## I. INTRODUCTION

The nonlinear or fractional Fokker-Planck-like equations [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] have been used to analyze several physical situations that present anomalous diffusion. A typical nonlinear diffusion equation is  $\partial_t = D \partial_{xx}^2$ , usually denominated as porous medium equation. It has been employed in the analysis of percolation of gases through porous media ( $\alpha = 2$ ) [6], thin saturated regions in porous media ( $\alpha = 2$ ) [7], a standard solid-on-solid model for surface growth ( $\alpha = 3$ ), thin liquid films spreading under gravity ( $\alpha = 4$ ) [8], among others [9]. In the presence of an external drift force, a drift term is incorporated to the porous medium equation. For example, such situation has been considered in the study of escape time, or mean first passage time, by considering a nonlinear Fokker-Planck-like equation, leading eventually to a generalization of the Arrhenius law [10]. On the other hand, the fractional diffusion equations are frequently considered as alternative approaches to continuous time random walk models, generalized Langevin equations, or generalized master equations. For instance, we can mention that a generalized master equation, related to a fractional Fokker-Planck equation, has been used in the modelling of non-Markovian dynamical processes in protein folding [11], describe relaxation to equilibrium in system (such as polymers chains and membranes) with long temporal memory [12], and in anomalous transport in disordered systems [13]. Another class of anomalous diffusion can be obtained using a spatial dependent diffusion coefficient  $D = D(x)$ . For instance, in [14]  $D = D|x|^\alpha$  has been employed to analyse the diffusion in a fractal medium.

Typical physical situations where these kinds of equations are applied concern anomalous diffusion of the correlated type (both sub- and superdiffusion; see [15] and references therein) and of the Levy type (superdiffusion; see [16] and references therein). The anomalous correlated diffusion, when it has a finite second moment  $\langle x^2 \rangle / t$ , corresponds to superdiffusion, normal diffusion and subdiffusion for  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , respectively;  $\alpha = 0$  basically indicates a localization. For Levy diffusion (Levy distributions), there is no finite

second moment, i.e.,  $\langle x^2 \rangle$  diverges.

We dedicate the present work to investigate the features that emerge from considering a mixing between fractional derivative, nonlinear aspects and spatial dependent diffusion coefficient. More precisely, we focus the nonlinear fractional Fokker-Planck-like equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho(x;t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} D(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}^{-1} [\rho(x;t)] - F(x) \rho(x;t); \quad (1)$$

where  $\alpha \geq 2$ ,  $D(x) = D|x|^\alpha$  is a (dimensionless) diffusion coefficient ( $\alpha \geq 2$ ), and  $F(x) = -dV(x)/dx$  is a (dimensionless) external force (drift) associated with the potential  $V(x)$ . We use the Riemann-Liouville operator [18] for the fractional derivative, and we also work with the positive  $x$  axis and, later on, we employ symmetry to extend the results to the entire real axis (in other words, we are working with  $\partial_x^{-1} = \partial_x^{-1}$ ). In addition, the initial condition  $\rho(x;0) = \delta(x)$  and the boundary condition  $\rho(\pm 1;t) = 0$  are used. Notice that the normalization of  $\rho$  is time independent. Indeed, if we write the equation in the form  $\partial_t \rho = \partial_x J$  and assume the boundary conditions  $J(\pm 1;t) = 0$ , it can be shown that  $\int_{-1}^1 dx \rho(x;t)$  is a constant of motion.

As indicated above, Eq.(1) can be used to describe a large class of anomalous diffusion processes since it contains, as a particular case, the porous medium equation, Levy superdiffusion, as well as a mix of them. For  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\alpha = 1$ , Eq.(1) recovers the standard Fokker-Planck equation with a drift term. The particular case  $F(x) = 0$  (no drift),  $D(x) = \text{constant}$ ,  $\alpha = 2$ , and arbitrary  $\alpha$  has been considered by Spohn [19]. The case  $\alpha = 2$  has also been considered in [20, 21, 22] with simple drifts. The case  $\alpha < 2$  with  $F(x) = 0$  and  $D = \text{constant}$  has been addressed in [23]. Our present analysis involves several extensions of these cases, in particular, by employing the external force  $F = -k_1 x + \bar{k} |x|^{\alpha-1}$ . Indeed, a variety of systems, which presents anomalous diffusion, can be described by short or long-range forces when the previous one is employed. This analysis is presented in Sec. II for the case  $\alpha = 2$ ; the solution is obtained in a closed form. In Sec. III, we also obtain exact results for the case  $\alpha \neq 2$ , i.e., for the external drift  $F = -k_1 x$  we ob-

tain solutions for  $2 \leq R$ , and for  $F = -k_1 x + k_2 x^2$  we find solutions for  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha = 1$ . Finally, in Sec. IV we present our conclusions.

## II. NONLINEAR FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION

Before starting our discussion, it is interesting to note that the results presented in [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25] may be essentially obtained by using normalized scaled solutions of the type

$$(x;t) = \frac{1}{(t)} \sim \frac{x}{(t)} \quad (2)$$

in Eq.(1). Similar approach has been employed, for instance, in [3, 24]. To illustrate this procedure let us insert Eq.(2) into Eq.(1) with  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $F(x) = 0$  and  $D(x) = D = \text{constant}$ . Thus,

$$\frac{-(t)}{(t)^2} \frac{d}{dz} [z \sim(z)] = \frac{d}{dz} \left[ \frac{D}{(t)^{2+\alpha}} \frac{d}{dz} [\sim(z)] \right] \quad (3)$$

In the following, we eliminate the explicit time dependence on Eq. (3) choosing

$$[ (t) ] \frac{d}{dt} (t) = k; \quad (4)$$

where  $k$  is a constant. Thus, Eq. (4) replaced into Eq. (3) gives us

$$k \frac{d}{dz} z \sim(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \left[ D \frac{d}{dz} [\sim(z)] \right] \quad (5)$$

From Eq. (4) we obtain

$$(t) = [(1 + \alpha)kt]^{1/(1+\alpha)}; \quad (6)$$

where we have adopted the solution which satisfies  $(0) = 0$  for  $\alpha > 1$ . Finally, the solution of Eq. (5) leads to

$$(x;t) = \frac{1}{(t)} \exp_q \left[ \frac{k}{2D} \frac{x}{(t)} \right]^{2+\alpha}; \quad (7)$$

with  $q = 2/(2+\alpha)$  and  $\exp_q(x) = [1 + (1-q)x]^{1/(1-q)}$  being the  $q$ -exponential function. This generalized exponential arises within the nonextensive thermodynamical formalism by optimizing, under appropriate constraints, the entropic form  $S_q = (1 - \int dx [(x;t)]^q) / (q-1)$  [4, 26]. The constant  $k$  can be fixed from the normalization condition  $\int_0^1 dx (x;t) = 1$ .

Let us now go back to Eq. (1) with  $F = -k_1 x + k_2 x^2$  ( $k_1 \notin k_2$ ) and  $D(x) = D x^2$  ( $2 \leq R$ ). Firstly, we address our discussion to case  $\alpha = 2$ . In this case, Eq.(1) reduces to

$$\frac{-(t)}{(t)^2} \frac{d}{dz} [z \sim(z)] = \frac{d}{dz} \left[ \frac{z D}{(t)^{2+\alpha}} \frac{d}{dz} [\sim(z)] \right] + \frac{zk_1}{(t)} \frac{z \sim(z)}{(t)^2} \quad (8)$$

when  $(x;t)$ , given by Eq. (2), is employed. We are interested in situations for which the scaled solution of the type indicated in Eq. (2) is still valid, i.e., we would like to uncouple the  $t$  and  $z$  dependence in Eq. (8) as performed in Eq. (3) via Eq. (4). This can be accomplished when  $\alpha + \alpha = 0$ . If this condition is satisfied, we obtain

$$\frac{-(t)}{(t)^2} + \frac{k_1}{(t)} = \frac{k^0}{(t)^{2+\alpha}} \quad (9)$$

and

$$k^0 \frac{d}{dz} z \sim(z) = \frac{d}{dz} \left[ D z \frac{d}{dz} [\sim(z)] \right] - k z \sim(z); \quad (10)$$

where  $k^0$  is a constant that plays a role analogous to  $k$  in Eq. (4), and it is to be determined through the normalization.

From the solutions of Eq. (9) and Eq. (10), which generalize the results of the previous example, we have

$$(t) = \frac{k^0}{k_1} \left[ 1 - e^{-(1+\alpha)k_1 t} \right]^{1/(1+\alpha)} \quad (11)$$

and

$$(x;t) = \frac{1}{(t)} \exp_q \left[ \frac{1}{D} \frac{k^0}{2+\alpha} \frac{x}{(t)} \right]^{2+\alpha} \ln_q \left[ \frac{x}{(t)} \right]; \quad (12)$$

where  $\ln_q x = (x^{1-q} - 1)/(1-q)$  is the  $q$ -logarithm function (that is the inverse function of the  $q$ -exponential).

In the previous study for the case  $\alpha = 2$ , we notice that the analytical solution (12) takes fractal and nonlinear aspects into account, since there is a spatial dependence on the diffusion coefficient ( $\neq 0$ ) and a nonlinear term ( $\neq 1$ ). In fact, it contains results obtained in [14, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27] as particular case. Moreover, it reduces to the Rayleigh process [28] case in the limit  $\alpha \rightarrow 1$  and  $\beta = 0$ .

### III. NONLINEAR FRACTIONAL FOKKER-PLANCK EQUATION

In order to give an extension for the previous discussion ( $\alpha = 2$ ), we address our investigation concerning Eq. (1) by considering  $\beta \neq 2$ . Without loss of generality we are considering  $D = 1$ . We follow the procedure employed in [24, 27] taking the generic property

$$\frac{d}{dx} G(ax) = a \frac{d}{d\bar{z}} G(\bar{z}) \quad (\beta \neq 2, R) \quad (13)$$

with  $\bar{z} = ax$  into account. This basic property holds not only for the ordinary derivative but also for all fractional operators that we are considering here. Thus, substituting Eq. (2) into Eq. (1) and imposing

$$\frac{-(t)}{(t)^\beta} + \frac{k_1}{(t)} = \frac{\bar{k}^0}{(t)^{\beta+1}}; \quad (14)$$

in order to uncouple  $t$  and  $z$  dependence, where  $\bar{k}^0$  is an arbitrary constant. Therefore, we obtain

$$(t) = \frac{\bar{k}^0}{k_1} \frac{1}{\bar{k}_2} e^{-(\beta+1)k_1 t} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\bar{k} \frac{d}{dz} [z \sim(z)] = \frac{d}{dz} z \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{\beta-1} [\sim(z)] - k z^{\beta+2} \sim(z) \quad (16)$$

with  $\beta = \alpha + 2$  and  $\bar{k}_2$  being an arbitrary constant. If  $\bar{k}_2 = 0$  we have  $\sim(0) = 0$  for  $\beta + 1 > 1$ , recovering Eq. (11) when  $\beta \rightarrow 2$ . By considering  $\bar{k}_2 = 0$  corresponds  $\sim(x;0) / \sim(x)$ . On the other hand, employing  $\bar{k}_2 \neq 0$  leads to  $\sim(x;0)$  less concentrated than  $\sim(x)$ . A more tractable equation to  $\sim(z)$  is obtained after an integration of Eq. (16), i.e.,

$$\bar{k} z \sim(z) = z \frac{d}{dz} \frac{1}{\beta-1} [\sim(z)] - k z^{\beta+2} \sim(z) + C; \quad (17)$$

where  $C$  is another arbitrary constant. In the following, we address our discussion focusing two situations of Eq. (17). The first one is characterized by a linear drift,  $F = k_1 x$ . In the second one, we consider the drift  $F = k_1 x + k x^{\beta+1}$  for particular values of  $\beta$ .

A. The drift  $F = k_1 x$

Let us start our discussion by considering Eq. (17) with  $k_1 \neq 0$  and  $k = 0$ . To solve it, we can use the procedure employed in [23] with  $C = 0$  and

$$\sim = \frac{2}{1+\beta} : \quad (18)$$

In this case, we have

$$\sim(x;t) = \frac{A}{(t)} \frac{z^{(\beta+1)(1+\beta)}}{(1+bz)^{(1+\beta)(1+\beta)}} \quad (19)$$

with  $(t)$  given by Eq. (15),  $z = x/(t)$ , and

$$A = \frac{\bar{k}^0}{\bar{k}} \frac{(\beta+1)}{(\beta+1)} : \quad (20)$$

Note that Eq. (19) reduces that one obtained in [27] in the absence of drift. We notice that the presence of source (absorber) term,  $\beta = \text{const}$ , in the right hand of Eq. (1) modifies Eq. (15) as follows:

$$(t) = \frac{\bar{k}^0}{\bar{k}_2} \frac{(\beta+1)k_1}{(\beta+1)k_1 + (\beta+1)k_1} e^{-(\beta+1)k_1 t} e^{-(\beta+1)k_1 t} : \quad (21)$$

B. The drift  $F = k_1 x + k x^{\beta+1}$

Now, we consider other particular cases, namely  $\beta = 0$  and  $\beta = 1$ . Let us start with  $\beta = 0$  and arbitrary  $\alpha$ . The corresponding equation is

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \sim(x;t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} x \int_0^Z \sim(y;t) dy + k_1 x \bar{k} x^{\beta+2} \sim(x;t) : \quad (22)$$

To solve it let us go back to Eq. (17) with  $\alpha = 0$  and  $C = 0$ , i.e.,

$$\bar{k} z \sim(z) = z \int_0^z d\bar{z} [\sim(\bar{z})] \bar{k} z^{\alpha+2} \sim(z); \quad (23)$$

whose solution is given by

$$\sim(z) / \frac{1}{\bar{k} z^{1+\alpha} + \bar{k} z^{\alpha+2}} = 1 + C \int_0^z d\bar{z} \bar{k} z^{1+\alpha} + \bar{k} z^{\alpha+2} = (1 + C \bar{k} z^{\alpha+2})^{-1}; \quad (24)$$

where  $C$  is a constant.

Let us now address our analysis to the case  $\alpha = 1$ . It corresponds to investigate the equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \langle x; t \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} [ \langle x; t \rangle ] + k_1 x \bar{k} x^{\alpha+1} \langle x; t \rangle : \quad (25)$$

To obtain the solution of this equation employing the ansatz (2) we use Eq.(17). It follows that

$$\bar{k} z \sim(z) = z [\sim(z)] \bar{k} z^{\alpha+1} \sim(z) + C : \quad (26)$$

The solution corresponding to  $C = 0$  is

$$\sim(z) / \frac{1}{\bar{k} z^{1+\alpha} + \bar{k} z^{\alpha+2}} = (1 + C \bar{k} z^{\alpha+2})^{-1} : \quad (27)$$

Let us finally indicate a connection between the results obtained here and the solutions that arise from the optimization of the nonextensive entropy [4]. These distributions do not coincide for arbitrary value of  $\alpha$ . However, the comparison between the asymptotic behaviors (large  $|x|$ ) enables us to identify the type of tails. For instance, by taking the behavior exhibited in Eq.(19) for large  $|x|$  with the asymptotic behavior  $1=|x|^{\frac{2}{q-1}}$  into account, which appears in [4] for the entropic problem, we obtain

$$q = \frac{3 + \alpha}{1 + \alpha} : \quad (28)$$

This relation is the same obtained in [27] in the absence of drift. It also recovers that one already established in [23] for  $\alpha = 0$ .

#### IV . C O N C L U S I O N S

In summary, we have considered the one-dimensional nonlinear fractional Fokker-Planck equation (Eq.(1) for

some space-dependent (power-law) classes of external drift and diffusion coefficient. We have shown that it admits exact solutions where space scales with a (usually simple) function of time (as indicated in Eq.(2)), and have fully and explicitly worked out some of those. In particular, we first consider the case  $\alpha = 2$  in the presence of the drift,  $F = k_1 x + \bar{k} x |x|^{-1}$ , with  $k_1, \bar{k} \in \mathbb{R}$ . After, we analyse the case  $\alpha \neq 2$  in the presence of the same drift for some situations. We have also discussed the connection with nonextensive statistics, when appropriated, providing the relation between the entropic index  $q$  and the exponents appearing in the Fokker-Planck equation. Thus, by extending here results such as those discussed in [23, 24, 25, 27], we hope to make possible further applications to physical systems exhibiting suitable mix of different forms (nonlinear  $\alpha \neq 1$ , fractional derivative  $\alpha \neq 2$  and fractal  $\alpha \neq 0$ ) of anomalous diffusion.

Acknowledgements

We thank CNPq, PRONEX and Fundaç o Arauc ria (Brazilian agencies) for partial financial support. We also thank C. Tsallis for useful discussions.

- 
- [1] M.F. Shlesinger, G.M. Zaslavsky and U. Frisch *Levy Flights and Related Topics in Physics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994).  
 [2] R.Metzler and J.Klafter, *Phys. Rep.* 339, 1 (2000).  
 [3] N. Goldenfeld, *Lectures On Phase Transitions and The*

- Renormalization Group*, (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., Reading, 1992)  
 [4] S.R.A. Salinas and C. Tsallis, *Nonextensive Statistical Mechanics and Thermodynamics*, *Braz. J. Phys.* 29, Number 1 (1999); S. Abe and Y. Okamoto, *Nonexten-*

- sive Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications, Lecture Notes in Physics (Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 2001); P. Grigolini, C. Tsalis and B.J. West, Classical and Quantum Complexity and Nonextensive Thermodynamics, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals 13, Number 3 (Pergamon-Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2002); G. Kaniadakis, M. Lissia and A. Rapisarda, Non Extensive Thermodynamics and Physical Applications Physica A (Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2002), in press.
- [5] R. Hilfer, Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics (World Scientific, Singapore, 2000).
- [6] M. Muskat, The Flow of Homogeneous Fluid Through Porous Media (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1937).
- [7] P.Y. Polubarinova-Kochina, Theory of Ground Water Movement (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1962).
- [8] J. Buckmaster, J. Fluid Mech. 81, 735 (1984).
- [9] W.L. Kath, Physica D 12, 375 (1984).
- [10] E.K. Lenzi, C. Anteneodo and L. Borland, Phys. Rev. E 63, 051109 (2001).
- [11] S.S. Plotkin and P.G. Wolynes, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 5015 (1998).
- [12] J.F. Douglas, in Ref. [5] pp. 241-331; H. Schiessel, Chr. Friedrich and A. Blumen, in Ref. [5] pp. 331-376; H. Schiessel and A. Blumen, Fractals 3, 483 (1995).
- [13] R. Metzler, E. Barkai and J. Klafter, Physica A 266, 343 (1999).
- [14] B. Shaughnessy and I. Procaccia, Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 455 (1985).
- [15] L. Borland, Phys. Rev. E 57, 6634 (1998).
- [16] C. Tsalis, S.V.F. Levy, A.M.C. Souza and R. Maynard, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3589 (1995) [Erratum: 77, 5442 (1996)]; D.H. Zanette and P.A. Alemany, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 366 (1995); M.O. Caceres and C.E. Budde, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2589 (1996); D.H. Zanette and P.A. Alemany, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2590 (1996); M. Buiatti, P. Grigolini, and A. Montagnini, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 3383 (1999); D. Prato and C. Tsalis, Phys. Rev. E 60, 2398 (2000).
- [17] H. Risken, The Fokker-Planck Equation (Springer, New York, 1984).
- [18] K.B. Oldham and J. Spanier, The Fractional Calculus (Academic Press, New York, 1974).
- [19] H. Spohn, J. Phys. I France 3, 69 (1993).
- [20] A.R. Plastino and A. Plastino, Physica A 222, 347 (1995); C. Giordano, A.R. Plastino, M. Casas and A. Plastino, Eur. Phys. J. B 22, 361 (2001).
- [21] C. Tsalis and D.J. Bukman, Phys. Rev. E 54, R2197 (1996).
- [22] I.T. Pedron, R.S. Mendes, L.C. Malacame and E.K. Lenzi, Physical Review E 65, 41108 (2002).
- [23] M. Bologna, C. Tsalis and P. Grigolini, Phys. Rev. E 62, 2213 (2000).
- [24] C. Tsalis, Physica A 221, 227 (1995).
- [25] L.C. Malacame, I.T. Pedron, R.S. Mendes and E.K. Lenzi, Phys. Rev. E 63, 30101R (2001).
- [26] C. Tsalis, J. Stat. Phys. 52, 479 (1988); E.M.F. Curado and C. Tsalis, J. Phys. A 24, L69 (1991) [Corrigenda: 24, 3187 (1991) and 25, 1019 (1992)]; C. Tsalis, R.S. Mendes and A.R. Plastino, Physica A 261, 534 (1998).
- [27] C. Tsalis and E.K. Lenzi, Chem. Phys. in press (2002).
- [28] C.W. Gardiner, Handbook of Stochastic Methods: For Physics, Chemistry and the Natural Sciences, (Springer Series in Synergetics, New York, 1996).