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N on-m agnetic ground states are a fascinating possibility allowed by the physics of quantum antiferrom agnets. These states \{ which lack the classical periodical long-range order \{ can be stabilized whenever reduced dim ensionality, a sm all spin value, and/or the presence of com peting interactions lead to strong enough zero-point quantum uctuations.

O nedim ensionalorquasi-one dim ensionalspin-halfH eisenberg antiferrom agnets often have non m agnetic ground states. Indeed for the 1D nearest-neighbors H eisenberg m odel

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=J_{\mathrm{J}}^{\mathrm{J}: \mathrm{n}:} \hat{S}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{X}} \hat{\mathrm{~S}}_{\mathrm{j}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the exact solution due to B ethe [1] predicts the absence of true long-range antiferrom ag-


Fig. 1. \{ Sketch of a spin liquid (a) and of a sym m etry-broken (b) non-m agnetic RVB state. Each stick represents a singlet bond.
netic order even if the ground state is very close to have a broken sym m etry, w ith a gapless excitation spectrum and a pow er-law decay of spin-spin correlations. This is also the case for any array consisting of an odd num ber of chains (odd-leg ladder system s). $T$ he ground state oftw o chains or in generalofany even-leg ladder system is non-m agnetic too. H ow ever \{ in contrast to the previous cases \{ here the correlation length is nite and the spectrum is gapped.[2] Such a gap is known to decrease exponentially $w$ th the num ber of legs [3] leading to a gapless spectrum in the tw o dim ensional lim it, where the ground state of the $H$ eisenberg $m$ odelhas genuine long-range antiferrom agnetic order.[4]

C om peting interactions $m$ ay allow in principle the stabilization of a non-m agnetic ground state even in truly two dim ensional system $s$. O ne of the simplest exam ples of these frustrated system $s$, which has been also recently realized experim entally [5], is the so-called $J_{1} \quad J_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odel $[6,7]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=J_{n m:}^{X} \hat{S}_{i} \hat{S}_{j}+J_{n: n:}^{X} \hat{S}_{i} \hat{S}_{j} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the antiferrom agnetic alignm ent betw een neighboring spins (due to $J_{1}>0$ ) is hindered by a next-nearest-neighbors antiferrom agnetic coupling ( $J_{2}>0$ ).

C lassically, the $m$ inim um energy con guration of the 2D $J_{1} J_{2} m$ odel has the conventional N eel order w th m agnetic w ave vector $Q=(;)$ for $J_{2}=J_{1}<0: 5$. Instead for $J_{2}=J_{1}>0: 5$ the m inim um energy con guration is the so-called collinear state $w$ ith the spins ferrom agnetically aligned in one direction and antiferrom agnetically in the other, corresponding to $m$ agnetic $w$ ave vectors $Q=(; 0)$ or $Q=(0 ;) .[8]$ Exactly at $J_{2}=J_{1}=0: 5$ any classical state having zero total spin on each elem entary square plaquette is a m inim um of the total energy. These states inchide both the N eel and the collinear states but also $m$ any others $w$ ith no long-range order so that the occurrence of a non-m agnetic ground state in the quantum case, for a sm all spin value, is likely around this value of the $J_{2}=J_{1}$ ratio. Indeed, at present there is a general consensus on the fact that the com bined e ect of frustration and zero-point $m$ otion leads to the disappearance

$F$ ig. 2. \{ V ariationalestim ate of the $m$ agnetic structure factor for the spin-half $H$ eisenberg chain and tw o-leg ladder ( lled circles). Em pty dots are the num erically exact results obtained w ith the G reen's function M onte C arlo m ethod.[22]
of the long-range antiferrom agnetic orderm arked by the opening of a nite spin gap for
$0: 4<J_{2}=J_{1}<0: 55 .[9,10] T$ he nature ofthis non $-m$ agnetic ground state is one of the $m$ ost interesting puzzles of the physics of frustrated spin system s. In particular an open question is $w$ hether the ground state of the $J_{1} J_{2} H$ eisenberg $m$ odel is a hom ogeneous spin liquid, i.e., a state $w$ th all the sym $m$ etries of the $H$ am iltonian, as it $w$ as originally suggested by F igueirido et al. [11]. T he other possibility is a ground state which is still SU (2) invariant, but nonetheless breaks som e crystal sym $m$ etries, dim erizing in som e special pattem (see below ). $[12,13,14,15,16,10]$

A sim ple picture of a non-m agnetic ground state can be given in term $s$ of the socalled Resonating Valence B ond (RVB) states.[17] T hese are linear supenpositions of valence bond states in which each spin form $s$ a singlet bond with another spin on the opposite sublattioe (say A and B) [18]
(3) $\quad j_{\text {RVB }} i=\sum_{i 2 A ; j 2 B}^{X} h\left(r_{1}\right)::: h\left(r_{\frac{N}{2}}\right)\left(i_{1} j_{1}\right):::\left(i_{\frac{N}{2}} ; j_{\frac{N}{2}}\right)$;
where $N$ is the num ber of sites of the lattice, $r_{m}$ is the distance betw een the spins form ing the $m^{\text {th }}$ singlet bond ( $i_{n} i_{m}$ ), and $h\left(r_{m}\right)$ is a bond weight factor. These states form in general a (overcom plete) basis of the $S=0$ subspace so that any singlet $w$ ave function can be represented in term $s$ of them. H ow ever, they represent a non-m agnetic state whenever the short-ranged bonds dom inate the supenposition (3). M ore precisely, it has been num erically show n by Liang, D oucot and A nderson [18] that the RVB state (3) has no long-range antiferrom agnetic order for bonds that decay as rapidly as $h(r) \quad r p$, w th p 5. Such bonds can be either hom ogeneously spatially distributed on the lattioe, w ith short-range correlations am ong each other (spin liquid) [ 9.1 (a)], or they can break som e sym m etries of the $H$ am iltonian, w ith the dim ers frozen in som e special pattems [ g. 1 (b)] as originally predicted for the $J_{1} J_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odel in the regim e ofstrong frustration.
$[12,13,14,15,16,10]$
In a sem inal paper, [19] A nderson proposed that a physically transparent description of a RVB state can be obtained in ferm ionic representation by starting from a BCStype pairing $w$ ave function, of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& i ; j \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

This wave function is the ground state of the well-known BCSH am iltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{BCS}}=\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k} ;}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{kC}_{\mathrm{k} ; "}^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{k} ; \#}^{\mathrm{y}}+\mathrm{h}: \mathrm{C}:\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $=$ ";\#, $k=2\left[\operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{x}}+\operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{y}}\right]$ is the free-electron dispersion and $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{k}$ is the (real) gap function, provided the Fourier transform $f_{k}$ of the pairing function, $f_{i ; j}$, satis es: $f_{k}={ }_{k}=\left({ }_{k}+P \frac{k_{k}^{2}+{ }_{k}^{2}}{2}\right)$. The non-trivial character of this wave function em erges when we restrict to the subspace of xed number of electrons (equal to the num ber of sites) and enforce G utzw iller pro jection onto the subspace w ith no double occupancies: singlet pairs do not overlap in real space and this wave function can be described by a superposition of valence bond states of the form (3).[19, 20, 21]

This projected-BCS ( $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{BCS}$ ) wave function tums out to be an alm ost exact representation of several low -dim ensional spin system $w$ ith non $-m$ agnetic ground states. For instance it provides an excellent variationalansatz of the ground state of the H eisenberg chain and of the two-leg ladder giving a very accurate estim ate of the ground-state energy ( g .2 ) and reproducing alm ost exactly the antiferrom agnetic correlations. In the rst case the spin structure factor $S(q)=h \hat{S}_{q} \hat{S} q^{i}$ show $s$ a cusp at $q=$ while for tw o-leg ladders it has a broad $m$ axim um at $q=(;)$. These features are rem arkably w ell reproduced by the ( $p-B C S$ ) variationalw ave function ( $g .2$ ), which generates robust antiferrom agnetic correlations at short distances $w$ ith a very sim ple param eterization of the gap function: $k=1 \cos k+2 \cos 3 k$ for the chain and $k=x \operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{x}}+{ }_{\mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{Cosk}} \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{y}}$ for the ladder. [22]

In tw o dim ensions this w ave function has been already studied for the pure H eisenberg $m$ odel by several authors[20,21] for $k /\left(\operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{x}} \operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)$. In this case it provides a reasonable prediction for the ground-state energy but it fails in reproducing correctly the long-range antiferrom agnetic order of the ground state. H ere we show that this type of RVB state represents an extrem ely accurate variationalansatz for the $J_{1} J_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odel in the non $m$ agnetic phase when the gap function $k$ is carefully param eterized. In particular, a de nite symmetry is guaranteed to the p-BCS state provided $k$ transform saccording to a one dim ensional representation of the spatial sym $m$ etry group. A carefulanalysis $[23,24]$ show s that the odd com ponent of the gap function $k=k+(;) m$ ay have spatial sym $m$ etries di erent from those of the even com ponent $k=k+(;)$. Indeed, the best variationalenergy is obtained w hen the form er hasd $\mathrm{x}^{2} \mathrm{y}^{2}$ sym $m$ etry, whereas the latter ether vanishes or it has $d_{x y}$ sym $m$ etry. In order to determ ine the best variational


Fig. 3. \{ A ccuracy of the ground-state energy, and overlap betw een the ground state and the p BCS state (full dots) as a function of $\mathrm{J}_{2}=\mathrm{J}_{1}$, for $N=6$ 6. Empty dots are the energy accuracy of a $N$ eel ordered spin-w ave wave function. $[26,7]$ Lines are guides for the eye and the shaded region indicates the location of the expected transition point to the non-m agnetic phase.


Fig. 4. \{ A verage sign of the $\operatorname{pBCS}$ state (filldots) as a fiunction of $J_{2}=J_{1}$, for $N=6$. Empty dots are the $M$ arshall sign obtained $w$ ith the $p-B C S$ state $w$ ith only the $d_{x^{2}} y^{2}$ com ponent of the gap function. Lines are guides for the eye and the shaded region indicates the location of the expected transition point to the non-m agnetic phase.


Fig. 5. \{ A ntiferrom agnetic structure factor of the $d_{x^{2}} y^{2}$ (top left panel) and $d_{x^{2}} y^{2}+d_{x y}$ (top right panel) $p-B C S$ wave functions. Low er panel: size scaling of $S(;)$ for the $d_{x^{2}} y^{2} p-B C S$ state.
wave function of this form we have used a recently developed quantum M onte Carlo technique [25] that allows to optim ize a large num ber of variational param eters w ith m odest com putationale ort.

The rem arkable accuracy of the p-BCS w ave function in describing the ground state of the 2D $J_{1} J_{2} \mathrm{~m}$ odel in the regim e of strong frustration can be show $n$ by calculating the variationalenergy and the overlap w th the exact ground state, joi, for the largest square cluster $N=66$ where the solution can be num erically determ ined by exact diagonalization. As show $n$ in $g .3$ the accuracy of the $p-B C S$ wave function rapidly increase by increasing the frustration ratio $J_{2}=J_{1}$ whereas conventional $N$ eel ordered spin-wave wave functions [26, 7] quickly becom e less and less accurate. Entering the regim e of strong frustration $J_{2}=J_{1} \quad 0: 45 \quad 0: 05$, where a gapped non $-m$ agnetic ground state is expected, the p-BCS wave fiunction becom es im pressively accurate $w$ ith a relative accuracy on the ground-state energy of order $410^{3}$ and an overlap to the exact ground state of $99 \%$, both im proved by $m$ ore than an order ofm agnitude $w$ ith respect to the $J_{2}=0$ case. $T$ his fact implies that the ground state in the strongly frustrated regim $e$ is alm ost exactly reproduced by a RVB wave function.

Interestingly, the transition to the regin e of strong frustration is $m$ arked by the stabilization at the variational level of a non-zero $d_{x y}$ com ponent of the gap function. $T$ his allow s to reproduce correctly the phases of the actual ground-state con gurations as illustrated in g.4. A measure of the accuracy of a variational wave function $j v i$


Fig. 6. \{ Variationalestim ate of $S(q)$ for (from the low er to the upper curve) $N=6$ 6,10 10, and 14 14. Large em pty circles are the exact diagonalization results for the $\mathrm{N}=6 \quad 6$. Inset: size-scaling of the order param eter squared.
in repropducing the phases of the ground state can be given in term $s$ of the average sign
 such phases are determ ined by the so-called $M$ arshall sign rule [27]: on each real space con guration jxi, the sign of the ground-state wave function is determ ined only by the num ber of spin dow $n$ in one of the two sublattioes. This feature, rigorously valid for $J_{2}=0$, tums out to be a very robust property for weak frustration ( $~_{2}=J_{1}<0$ :3). [28] H ow ever, it is clearly violated when frustration plays an im portant role. It can be show $n$ $[23,24]$ that the $M$ arshall sign (i.e., $h S i=1$ for $J_{2}=J_{1}=0$ ) can be obtained using the $p-$ BCS w ave function, w ith only the $d_{x^{2}} y^{2}$ com ponent, so that this $w$ ave function provides an alm ost exact representation of the ground-state phases for w eak frustration. H ow ever, for $J_{2}=J_{1}>\quad 0: 4$, the phases of the $w$ ave function are considerably a ected by the strong frustration and only when a sizable $d_{x y}$ com ponent is stabilized at the variational level, this property can be correctly reproduced.

A $n$ even $m$ ore rem arkable e ect associated $w$ th the $d_{X Y}$ com ponent of the gap function is the change induced on antiferrom agnetic correlations. As it is shown, in $g .5$ the nite-size $m$ agnetic structure factor of the $d_{x^{2}} \quad y^{2} p-B C S$ state is shanply peaked around the antiferrom agnetic $w$ ave vector $Q=(;)$ giving rise to a logarithm ic divergence in the therm odynam ic lim it. Such a divergence is instead washed out in presence of the $d_{x y}$ com ponent of the gap function, leading to a state $w$ ith weaker short-range antiferrom agnetic correlations which is of course m ore suitable to describe the spin-gapped strongly-frustrated phase.

O fcourse, the accuracy in the energy does not necessarily guarantee a corresponding

 xed the position of the bond ( $i ; j$ ) (double stick) and moving the bond ( $k ; 1$ ) (single stick) along the indicated pattems. $d$ is the $M$ anhattan distance. 66 (left), 1010 (right). Large em pty circles are the exact diagonalization results for the $N=66$.
accuracy in correlation functions. However, as shown in g. 6, the com parison of the $m$ agnetic structure factor $w$ th the exact result gives a clear indication that correlation functions obtained by the variational approach are essentially exact. Furtherm ore using the stochastically im plem ented Lanczos technique and the variance extrapolation $m$ ethod [25] we have veri ed that the accuracy of the $p-B C S$ wave function is preserved by increasing the lattioe size.[23]

In order to investigate the existence of long-range dim er-like correlations, as in the colum nar or the plaquette valence bond state, we have calculated the dim er-dim er correlation functions, ${ }_{i ; j}^{k ; 1}=h \hat{S}_{i}^{z} \hat{S}_{j}^{z} \hat{S}_{k}^{z} \hat{S}_{1}^{z} i \quad h \hat{S}_{i}^{z} \hat{S}_{j}^{z} i h \hat{S}_{k}^{z} \hat{S}_{1}^{z} i$. In presence of some broken spatial sym $m$ etry, the latter should converge to a nite value for large distance. This is clearly ruled out by our results, show $n$ in $g .7$, with a very robust con $m$ ation of the liquid character of the ground state for $J_{2}=J_{1}, 0: 5$, which is correctly described by our variational approach.

A totally sym $m$ etric spin-liquid solution proposed for this $m$ odel in ref. [11] was actually rather unexpected after the work of Read and Sachdev, [14] providing argum ents in favor of spontaneous dim erization. T his conclusion w as supported by series expansion $[16,10]$ and quantum $M$ onte $C$ arlo studies included the one done by two of us.[9] It is
clear how ever that it is very hard to reproduce a fully sym $m$ etric spin liquid ground state, w ith any technique, num erical or analytical, based on reference states explicitly breaking som e lattice sym $m$ etry.

In conclusion, the spin-liquid RVB ground state, originally proposed to explain highTem perature superconductivity, is indeed a very robust property of strongly frustrated low-dim ensional spin system s. D ue to the success in reproducing the non-m agnetic ground states of other low-dim ensional spin system s like the 1D chain and the twoleg ladder, [22] we expect that the p-BCS RVB wave function represents the generic variational state for a spin-half spin liquid, onœ the pairing function $f_{i ; j}$ is exhaustively param eterized according to the sym m etries of the Ham iltonian. W ork is in progress on this line of research. [24, 29]
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