Calculations on Electronic States in QDswith Saturated Shapes

WeiCheng

Key laboratory in University for Radiation Beam Technology and Materials

Modication, Institute of Low Energy Nuclear Physics,

Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, P.R.China

Shang-Fen Ren

D epartm ent of Physics, Illinois State University, Normal,
Illinois 61790-4560
(January 19, 2022)

Abstract

Electronic States of Si and GeQDs of 5 to 3127 atoms with saturated shapes in a size range of 0.57 to 4.92 nm for Si and 0.60 to 5.13 nm for Ge are calculated by using an empirical tight binding model combined with the irreducible representations of the group theory. The results are compared with those of Si and Ge quantum dots with spherical shape. The electronic states in QDs are discussed.

31.15.p, 02.20.a, 73.20.r,81.05.Cy

I. IN TRODUCTION

Sem iconductor quantum dots (QDs) have attracted much research attention in recent years because of their in portance in the fundam ental understanding of physics and potential applications [1]. One of the most important properties of sem iconductor QDs is the change of the electronic band structure of QDs when the size of the QDs changes [2{6]. The blue shifts of the bandgap of sem iconductor QDs has been demonstrated by numerous experim ental observations [1], and there exist many successful theoretical investigations on this and other related in portant physical properties. Am ong theoretical models, the excive m ass approach (EMA) which predicted the increase of the band gap as the size of the QDs decreases [2{4], which is simple to understand and provides qualitatively correct description of the increase of the band gaps. The electronic structures of sem iconductor QDs has also been investigated by microscopic models [2{12], including an empirical tight-binding approach combined with the irreducible representations of the group theory [8] that has obtained many interesting results. One of the most important results is the existence of a critical size in spherical sem iconductor QDs [7]. In above models, the shape of the QDs treated is taken as spherical. More shapes and structure of Si and Ge QDs are proposed [1,13], i.e., a complicated structure for Si_{10} , and between Si_{20} and Si_{30} alters from being elongated to spherical [14]. In this work, we have calculated the electronic states of QDs with saturated shapes at dierent sizes. Our results are compared with those of the spherical shape, and the e ects of the shapes of QD s on electronic states in QD s are discussed.

This paper is organized as the following: rst, we will describe the saturated shape of the QDs and brie y describe our theoretical approach, then we will show our results and have discussions.

II. SATURATED ODS AND THEORETICAL APPROACH

The sem iconductorm aterials we discuss in the work, Siand Ge, have diam ond structures. In QDs, this structure remains. The saturated shapes of semiconductor QDs discussed in this work are built up in the following way: rst we start from a center atom with its four nearest neighboring atoms. This is a saturated QDs with the minimum size. Then we add all the next neighbors to the four surface atoms to form the next saturated QD, which has 17 atoms in total. Then we add all the next neighbors to the twelve surface atoms to form the next saturated QD again, which now has 41 atoms. The larger saturated QDs are built up by repeating this procedure. The number of Si (Ge) atom swe calculated here are 5, 17, 41, 83, 147, 239, 363, 525, 729, 981, 1285, 1647, 2071, 2563, and 3127. In Fig. 1, we show the shapes of the saturated QDswith 363, 1647, and 3127 atoms. The shape is a truncated cube. For large QD the four small and four large triangles will have nearly the same size, and six rectangles will be six squares. There are a few features of the saturated shape of QD s that we want to mention. First, when the number of atom s in QD s is equal or less than 17, the structure of the saturated QDs is exactly the same as the spherical QDs. So our calculated results should agree with the existing results of spherical QDs. At the beginning of this work, we did check it, and they agree exactly. Second, the saturated shape is not spherical, so the distances from surface atom s to the center of the QDs are not the same. We have de ned the radius r of the QDs in the following way: N m = $\frac{4}{5}$ r³), when N is the total number of atoms in the QD, m is the m assofa 70 Ge atom, is the density of the bulk material, and r is an equivalent radius of the spherical QDs with the same number of atom s. Third, one important feature of the saturated shape is that even though it looks like m ore complicated, it keeps the same T_d symmetry of the bulk material. Because of this, the irreducible representations of the group theory applied in the spherical QDs can also be applied in QDs with saturated shape. This makes the comparison with the results more convenient.

We employed the same empirical tight-binding approach and parameters as the calcu-

lations on spherical QDs [5{8]. This empirical tight-binding model reproduces the correct bandgap of bulk Si and Ge in the limit of in nite clusters by construction [15], and its sim plicity makes the calculation for very large QDs feasible. We also made the following assum ptions following the calculations of spherical QDs: rst, we take the hydrogen saturated approximation, ie., the dangling bonds of Si and Ge atoms at the surface of the QDs are term inated with hydrogen atom s; second, atom s in QD stake the diam ond lattice sites. The hydrogen saturated dangling bonds at the surface of the QD s are assumed to have the same length as the nature H-Sior H-Ge bond length ($d_{\rm H~Si}$ = 0:148nm , and $d_{\rm H~Ge}$ = 0:153nm). Then the electronic structures are evaluated by using the empirical Hamiltonian [15], that produces the accurate valence bands and good conduction bands near the fundam ental band gap for bulk Si and Ge. We have considered ve basis orbitals per Si or Ge atom for the Ham iltonian: s, p_x , p_y , p_z , and an excited s state. In this Ham iltonian, only on-site and nearest neighbor interaction matrix elements are considered as non-zero. Each hydrogen atom has only one single s orbital. Since the hydrogen free atom energy level (-13.6 eV) is close to the s-state energy level of Si (13.55 eV), the on-site s energy level of hydrogen is taken to be the same as that of Si. The nearest neighborm atrix elements $V_{H~Si}~(V_{H~Ge})$ between H and Si (Ge) are taken to be the same as Si-Si (Ge-Ge), but scaled inversely as the square of the bond length d according to Harrison's rule [16]. The QDs we have calculated ve Si (Ge) atom swith twelve surface hydrogens to 3127 Si (Ge) atom swith 1188 hydrogens. Without group theory the dimension of the largest Hamiltonian matrix for the saturated QD of 3127 atoms is 16823=3127 5+1188. Such large matrices are dicult to be diagonalized directly, so the projection operators of the irreducible representations of the group theory [5{8] are employed to reduce the computational intensity. By employing the group theory, for example, the above matrix of size of 16823 can be reduced to ve matrices in vedi erent representations of A, A₂, E, T₁, and T₂, with the sizes of 849, 568, 1397, 1962, and 2242 respectively. Therefore, the original problem is reduced to a problem that can be easily handled by most reasonable computers. Furthermore, the employment of the group theory proves to have played a much more important role than expected. Not

only it allows the investigation of electronic states in QDs with a much larger size, but also it allows the investigation of electronic states in QDs with dierent symmetries. This group theory formalism has been also used in calculations of phonon modes in semiconductor QDs. These investigations lead to many interesting physics that otherwise can not be revealed [5{8,17{21}.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

With this model, we have calculated the electronic states in saturated Si and Ge sem iconductor QDs. Our results for Si saturated QDs are plotted in Fig. 2 (a) and (b) for Si. Fig. 2 (a) shows the calculated lowest unoccupied energy levels for saturated SiQDs ranging from 5 to 3127 Si atoms. Two levels are shown for each of the vedi erent irreducible representations. Fig. 2 (b) shows the calculated highest occupied energy levels for the same sets of SiQDs, and also two levels are shown for each of the vedi erent irreducible representations.

Our results show that when the QDs have only 5 or 17 Si (Ge) atoms, the results are exactly the same as those of sphericalQDs (the corresponding results for Si sphericalQDs are in Fig. 2 of reference [6], and those of Ge sphericalQDs are in Fig. 2 of reference [5]). This is in this size range the saturated QDs and the sphericalQDs have exactly the same structures. When the size of QDs increases, the shape of saturated QDs are difference from the sphericalQDs, so the electronic structures of the saturated QDs have obvious differences from those of sphericalQDs.

A. Low est unoccupied states of Sisaturated QDs

From Fig. 2 (a) we see that all of the lowest unoccupied levels go up m onotonically as the QDs decreases, while the very lowest three are always one from A_1 E, and T_2 each for QDs larger than 2.0 nm in diameter. These levels are well separated from all other energy levels above them but very close to each other. This is the same as the spherical QDs [6], and can be explained as that these three states are directly developed from the conduction m in im um

in the bulk. When the QDs size is big, the coupling between dierent conduction minimum can be neglected, and all these three lowest unoccupied states have almost the same energy. As the size of QDs decrease, the coupling between dierent states increases, the originally almost indistinguishable energy levels of A_1 , E, and T_2 develop to three separated ones. The other character similar to spherical QDs is as the QD size greater than 2.0 nm the two lowest T_1 modes have nearly the same energy.

B. Highest occupied states of Sisaturated QDs

Fig. 2 (b) shows the highest occupied levels of above Si saturated QDs. We see that all the occupied levels go down monotonically as the size of the QDs decreases. On this gure, the highest occupied level is always a T_2 level, and the next one is always a T_1 level. Diesent from those of spherical SiQDs (Fig. 2 (b) of Reference [6]) where there are two crossovers of the T_1 and T_2 states, the list the highest occupied state changes from a T_2 state to a T_1 state in the size range between 1.08 and 1.41nm, then the highest occupied state changes from a T_1 state to a T_2 state in the size range of 2.03 to 4.91nm. In our gure, the highest occupied state is always a T_2 state, and there is no crossover of T_2 and T_1 states in the size range we calculated here. This is because in the saturated QDs there are more hydrogen atoms, the interaction of hydrogen can increase the T_2 energy levels.

C.Low est unoccupied states of G e saturated QDs

Fig. 3 (a) shows the lowest unoccupied levels of G e saturated Q D s that go up monotonically as the QD s decreases. The very lowest two are always from A_1 and A_2 each for QD s larger than 1.5 nm in diameter. These levels are well separated from all other energy levels above them but very close to each other. This is the same as the spherical QD s (Fig. 2 (a) of Reference [5]), and can be explained as that these two states are directly developed from the conduction minimum in the bulk, which is at L point in the Brillouin Zone. When the QD s size is big, the coupling between different conduction minimum can be neglected, and these

two lowest unoccupied states have almost the same energy. As the size of QDs decrease, the coupling between dierent states increases, the originally almost indistinguishable energy levels of A_1 and T_2 develop to two separated ones. The other character similar to spherical QDs is as the QD size greater than 3.5 nm the two lowest T_1 modes have nearly the same energy.

D.H ighest occupied states of Ge saturated QDs

Fig. 3 (b) shows the lowest unoccupied levels of above G e saturated QD s. All the occupied levels go down monotonically as the QD s decreases. On this gure, the highest occupied level is a T_1 level when the size of QD s is large, and the next one is a T_2 level. On the other hand, when the size of QD s is small, the highest unoccupied level is a T_2 level, and the next one is a T_1 level. We see obviously that there is a crossover of the T_1 and T_2 states at the size of 3 nm in diameter. This is dierent from those of spherical G e QD s (Fig. 3 (b) of Reference [5]), where the crossover is at 2 nm and the T_1 level is always a highest one in the same size range. Since we know that in bulk material, the highest unoccupied level is a T_2 level, we can image that there is another crossover of T_1 and T_2 at a larger QD size.

E.M ore about the C rossover of H ighest O ccupied T $_{\rm 2}$ and T $_{\rm 1}$ States

We see from above that the highest occupied levels for both Si and G e saturated Q D s are diesent from those of corresponding spherical Q D s. The sym metry of the highest occupied levels is in portant, because there could exist a critical size in these sem iconductor Q D s that when the size of Q D s decreases pass the size, the originally direct sem iconductor becomes indirect and the originally indirect sem iconductor becomes less indirect [7]. Our results show that when the shape of Q D s is dierent, the crossover of the T_2 and T_1 , if they exist, will happen at dierent size range. Therefore, if the crossover of the T_2 and T_1 states is desired, the selection of the shapes might help.

IV.SUM M ARY

In sum mary, we have calculated electronic states in Si and GeQDs of 5 to 3127 atoms with saturated shape in a size range of 0.6nm to 51.33nm in diameter. The calculated results are compared with those of corresponding QDs in spherical shape, and similarities and dierences are discussed in detail. Our results show that the in uence of the shape of QDs on the electronic states is important, and it may play an important role in the band gap property of semiconductor QDs.

ACKNOW LEDGM ENTS

This research is supported by the National Science Foundation (INT0001313), and W C is also supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under G rant No. 10075008, V isiting Scholar Foundation of Key Lab in University, Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education under Grant No. 20010027005, and Excellent Young Teacher Foundation of the Education M inistry of China. We thank Professor Shang-Yuan Ren for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.D.Yo e, Adv. Phys. 42, 173 (1993); A.D.Yo e, Adv. Phys. 50, 1 (2001).
- [2] Y.W ang and N. Herron, J. Phys. Chem. 95, 525 (1991).
- [3] L.E.Brus, Appl. Phys. A 53, 465 (1991).
- [4] A.P. A livisatos, Science 271, 933 (1996).
- [5] S.Y.Ren, Solid State Comm. 102, 479 (1997).
- [6] S.Y.Ren, Phys. Rev. B 55, 4665 (1997).
- [7] S.Y.Ren, Jpn.J.Appl.Phys. 36, 3941 (1997).
- [8] S.Y.Ren and J.D.Dow, Phys.Rev.B 45, 6492 (1992).
- [9] R. Kumar, Y. Kitoh, K. Shigematsu, and K. Hara, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 33, 909 (1994).
- [10] M.V. Ramakrishna and R.A. Friesner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 429 (1991).
- [11] J. Pan and M. V. Ramakrishna, Phys. Rev. B 50, 15431 (1994).
- [12] A. Bahel and M. V. Ramakrishna, Phys. Rev. B 51,13849 (1995).
- [13] K.M. Ho, A.A. Shvartsburg, B.C. Pan, Z.Y. Lu, C.Z.W ang, J.G.W acker, J.L. Fye, and M.F. Jarrold, Nature 392, 582 (1998).
- [14] D.C. Parent and S.L. Anderson, Chem. Rev. 92,1541 (1992).
- [15] P. Vogl, H. P. H. jalm arson, and J. D. Dow, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 44, 365 (1983).
- [16] W.A. Harrison, Electronic Structures and the Properties of Solids, San Francisco, 1980.
- [17] S.F.Ren, Z.Q.Gu and D.Y.Lu.Solid State Comm. 113, 273 (2000).
- [18] S.F.Ren, D.Y.Lu, and G.Qin, Phys. Rev. B 63, 195315 (2001).
- [19] G.Q in and S.F.Ren, J.Appl.Phys.89 (11), 6037 (2001).

[20] G.Q in and S.F.Ren, Soild State Comm. 121, 171 (2002).

[21] W . Cheng and S.F.Ren, Phys.Rev.B 65, 205305 (2002).

FIGURES

- FIG. 1. Schematic illustration of saturated shape which is a truncated cube with six rectangles, four small, and four large triangles (a). Surface atoms in saturated QD viewed from one side of the cube for 363 (b), 1647 (c) and 3127 (d) atom QDs. (e) and (f) are the 3127 atom QD viewed in two dierent directions. It can be shown that for large QD the four small and four large triangles will have nearly the same size, and six rectangles will be six squares.
- FIG. 2. (a) The two lowest unccupied energy levels for each of the ve irreducible representations as functions of the saturated SiQDs size. Note that the A_1 , E, and T_2 lowest unoccupied states are the very lowest three states and are almost well spperated from other levels above. (b) The two highest occupied energy levels for each one of the ve irreducible representations as functions of the size of above saturated SiQDs. Note that the T_2 and T_1 highest occupied states are almost always teh very highest two levels and well separated from other levels below.
- FIG. 3. (a) The two lowest unccupied energy levels for each of the ve irreducible representations as functions of the saturated G = QD s size. Note that the A_1 and T_2 lowest unoccupied states are the very lowest two states and are almost well spperated from other levels above. (b) The two highest occupied energy levels for each one of the ve irreducible representations as functions of the size of above saturated G = QD s. Note that the T_2 and T_1 highest occupied states are always the very highest two levels and well separated from other levels below, and there is a crossover of the T_2 and T_1 states at the size of about 2 nm in diameter.









