

S.S. Aplesnin

L.V. Kirenskii Institute of Physics, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences,  
Krasnoyarsk, 660036, Russia, FAX 07 3912 438923, E-mail: apl@iph.krasn.ru

We have carried out theoretical studies of the phonons and spinons excitation spectra of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_3$  in terms of a one-dimensional model with non-adiabatic interaction magnetic and elastic subsystems using continuous time Monte Carlo method. Two gaps in triplet excitation spectrum are found. The bond defects concentration estimated is well agreement with the experimental data. The formation of magnetic moment on site at low temperature  $T_N$  5K is caused by freezing quantum spin liquid with correlation radius of antiferromagnetic ordering  $\approx a$  300 500.

PACS: 75.10.Jm, 75.30.Fv, 67.20.+k, 63.20.Ry

## 1. Introduction

Quasi-one-dimensional spin systems with antiferromagnetic interactions have received considerable attention because of a number of basic physical phenomena in low dimensions, such as the existence of a spin gap depending on the spin value or the frustration antiferromagnetic exchange, spin Peierls transitions, accompanied by the structural symmetry breaking. Orthorhombic compound  $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_3$  is considered as quasi-1D antiferromagnetic system. However, Raman studies reported that extra peaks were observed along the Cu-O chain directions [1] which implies that there might be some structural instabilities. This effect is confirmed by polarization-dependent infrared-active phonon spectra which have shown splitting of the stretching mode in the Cu-O chain direction about  $20\text{cm}^{-1}$  and became evident as  $T$  decreases [2]. These unusual behavior are not expected by the previous theoretical calculations.

Another unclear behavior is the uniform spin susceptibility  $\chi^0(q=0)$  have decreased steeply below  $T = 33\text{K}$  without the signature of three-dimensional short range order approaching the Neel state by NMR Knight shift at the in-chain oxygen. The nuclear spin-lattice relaxation rate sets the  $1/T$  increase of the staggered spin susceptibility down to  $10\text{K}$  and depends on frequency. The  $q=0$  mode of spin transport is ballistic and a diffusion-like contribution at  $T \ll J$  [3]. The bulk susceptibility measurements have shown decreasing above  $10\text{K}$  [4]. The NMR lineshape have been changed in a very specific way, giving rise to "features", which have been explained by the chain edges and the presence of mobile bond-defects. A "defect" is defined as a local change in the magnetic bond coupling. As the translational symmetry of the spin system is broken, a local alternating magnetization develops around the defects in the direction of the applied field. The density of bond-defects decreases linearly with  $T$ . The source of the bond-defects might be related to the interstitial excess oxygen. However, at the lowest temperature,  $T = 20\text{K}$ , the density of bond-defects  $\approx 2.8 \cdot 10^3$  remains more than ten times larger than the concentration of the residual spin-1/2 impurities ( $\approx 1.3 \cdot 10^4$ ) after annealing and the observed  $T$  dependence of  $\chi$  is not a constant [5].

The concept of mobile "bond-defects" have been used for explanation of the temperature dependence and the anisotropy of the thermal conductivity below 50 K as the main source of spinon scattering at low temperatures [6]. The calculated mean distance between two neighboring bond defects, consistent with the NMR data between 20 and 60 K. The results of the specific heat measurements have been fit to the data above 4 K using the approximation  $C = \gamma T + \beta T^3 + \alpha T^5$ . The linear in T contribution is due to spinons excitations of a 1D Heisenberg spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic system with exchange  $J = 2620 \pm 100$  which is 18% larger value than  $J = 2200$  deduced from magnetic susceptibility data [4] and NMR data [5]. The Neel temperature  $T_N$  depends on the measurement time and shifted to lower temperature with increasing time. So Neel temperature is  $T_N = 5.4$ K from neutron scattering [7], 4.15K by SR [8] and 3.5K from the anomalies of the specific heat [6]. Possibly, here it is nonequilibrium process. Physical origin of the bond-defects remains unclear also as abrupt change susceptibility at different temperatures determined by NMR and by external magnetic field.

In the paper we try to answer on these questions basing on non-adiabatic interaction between spins. Numerical methods, such as exact diagonalization and density-matrix renormalization group, face the potential difficulty in dealing with a very large Hilbert space of the phonons. Here, we use Monte Carlo approaches restricted to finite chains  $L = 100; 200$  but without any adiabatic approximation and the truncation of the infinite phonon Hilbert space. The method [9] is based on a path-integral representation for discrete system in which we work directly in the Euclidean time continuous. All the configuration update procedures contain no small parameters. Being based on local updates only, it allows to work with the grand canonical ensemble and to calculate any dynamical correlation function, expectation values.

## 2. Model and method

The ratio interchain to intrachain exchange in  $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_4$  is an extremely small  $10^{-3}$  and demonstrates a good one-dimensionality. The structural distortion were only observed along chain at low temperature. As a result a spin-phonon interaction were considered along chain. The model Hamiltonian of an spin-phonon system takes the form :

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^L [J + (u_i - u_{i+1})] [S_i^z S_{i+1}^z + (S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- + S_i^- S_{i+1}^+) = 2] + M \frac{\dot{u}_i^2}{2} + K \frac{(u_i - u_{i+1})^2}{2} \quad (1)$$

Here  $S^z, S^\pm$  are a spin operator components associated with the site  $i$ ,  $J > 0$  is the usual antiferromagnetic exchange integral,  $\gamma$  is the spin-phonon coupling constant,  $u_i$  is the displacement in the  $z$ -direction,  $M$  is the mass of the ion and  $K$  the spring constant. Using the quantum representation for phonon operators  $b, b^\dagger$ , the Hamiltonian becomes

$$H = \sum_{q=-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^L [J + \frac{\gamma}{M} \frac{2\hbar}{\sin \frac{q}{2}} \cos(q(i - 0.5)) (b_q + b_q^\dagger)] [S_i^z S_{i+1}^z + (S_i^+ S_{i+1}^- + S_i^- S_{i+1}^+) = 2] + \sum_q \hbar \omega(q) b_q^\dagger b_q; \quad \omega(q) = 2 \frac{K}{M} \sin^2 \left( \frac{q}{2} \right); \quad \omega_0 = 2 \frac{K}{M}; \quad q = 2\pi \frac{n}{L}; \quad n = 1; 2; \dots; L \quad (2)$$

The phonon frequency ( $\omega_0$ ), spin-phonon coupling ( $\gamma$ ), energy  $E$ , temperature  $T$  are normalized on the exchange  $J$  and  $\hbar = 1; M = 1$ . The temperature used in calculation is  $T = J = 25$ . Our system consists of the two subsystem interacted. The elastic subsystem is described by phonons with the number occupation  $n_{ph} = 0; 1; 2; \dots$  and magnetic subsystem

is characterized by the number occupation  $n_m = 0;1$  and Pauli operators  $a; a^\dagger$  which coincide with S spin operators. We start with the standard Green function of the phonon in the momentum  $q$ -in imaginary-time representation:

$$G(q; \tau) = \langle \text{vac} | b_q(\tau) b_q^\dagger(0) | \text{vac} \rangle; \quad 0; b_q(\tau) = \exp(H) b_q \exp(-H); \quad (3)$$

$$G(q; \tau) = \sum_j \langle b_q^\dagger | \text{vac} \rangle \int_0^\tau \exp[-(E(q) - E_0)\tau']$$

where  $j >$  is a complete set of the Hamiltonian  $H$  in the sector of given  $q$ ,  $H |j(q)\rangle = E(q) |j(q)\rangle$ ;  $H | \text{vac} \rangle = E_0 | \text{vac} \rangle$ ;  $E_0 = 0$ . Rewriting Eq.(3) as

$$G(q; \tau) = \sum_0^{Z-1} d! A(q; !)\exp(-!\tau); A(q; !) = \sum_0^X (! - E(q)) \langle b_q^\dagger | \text{vac} \rangle \int_0^! \quad (4)$$

one defines the spectral function  $A(q; !)$ . We calculate a one-particle spin Green function

$$\langle a(\tau) a^\dagger(0) \rangle = \sum_0^{Z-1} d! \tau_t(!)\exp(-!\tau); \quad (5)$$

where  $\tau_t(!)$  -spectral density function. Correlation functions are determined on the basis of a complete set of eigenvector of the Hamiltonian:

$$\langle O \rangle = \frac{\sum_P \langle S_i^z S_{i+h}^z \rangle}{\sum_P \langle S_i^z S_j^z \rangle}; \quad (6)$$

where  $O$  is the longitudinal spin-spin correlation function  $\langle S_i^z S_{i+h}^z \rangle$  and the phonon density-density  $\langle n_{ph}(q) n_{ph}(q+p) \rangle$ . The distribution of phonons number  $n_{ph}(q)$  and the magnons number  $n_m(k)$  as a function of momentum are simulated.

### 3. Results and discussion

The upper boundary of acoustic phonon band  $\omega_0$  is in direct proportion to Debye temperature of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_3 = 441 \pm 10\text{K}$  [6] in term of Debye approximation. Using known data for  $\text{CuGeO}_3$ ,  $\omega_{0,\text{CuGeO}_3} = 330\text{K}$  [10],  $\omega_{0,\text{Ge}} = 1440\text{K}$  [11] we estimate  $\omega_0 = 2100\text{K}$  for  $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_3$ . The ratio of  $\omega_0 = J = 0.95$  will be used for calculation of dynamical characteristics. The spectral density of triplet excitations are shown in Fig.1. At the critical value of spin-phonon coupling  $\kappa_{c1}$  the spectral density  $\tau = 0$  for  $E < \Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the gap in the triplet excitation spectrum. The gaps energies presented in inset of Fig.1 fit satisfactory on the straight line  $\Delta = 0.17(2) + 1.16(5)\kappa$  in the range of  $\kappa_{c1} < \kappa < \kappa_{c2}$  with  $\kappa_{c1} = 0.15(1)$ .

For small spin-phonon coupling  $\kappa < \kappa_{c1}$  the composite quasiparticle consisted spinon and phonon are formed. The inelastic interaction between spinon with momentum  $k$  and phonon with  $q$  lead to new quasiparticle with energy  $E = E_q + E_k$  and momentum  $k+q$ . It follow from the distribution of the phonon number versus momentum as plotted in Fig.2a. The density of spinon excitations exhibits the singularity at the  $E(k = \pi/2)$  and phonon excitations at the  $E(q = 0)$  and summed momentum agrees with MC result  $N_{ph}(q) \propto 0$ . Quasiparticles with  $q = k$  are absent. It may be attributed to the repulsion spin-phonon quasiparticle with momentum  $q = k$  from spinon with the same energy  $E = E_k = E_q$ . Whereas the density



troscopy [12] and the on-site Coulomb interaction on oxygen site  $U_p = 4.4\text{eV}$  [13]. The elastic fluctuation lead to change of the electron density on oxygen site and discontinuity of antiferromagnetic exchange that causes formation of the paramagnetic spin  $1/3 \cdot 10^4$  observed at low temperature  $4\text{K}$ .

There are spin-phonon quasiparticles with energy  $E_{sph} = 1040\text{cm}^{-1}; 562\text{cm}^{-1}; 287\text{cm}^{-1}, 144\text{cm}^{-1}; 72\text{cm}^{-1}; 36\text{cm}^{-1}; 18\text{cm}^{-1}$ . The optic phonon mode observed at  $\omega_1 = 550\text{cm}^{-1}$  corresponds to stretching mode and mode with  $\omega_2 = 569\text{cm}^{-1}$  is attributed to spin-phonon quasiparticle with  $k = \pi/4$ ;  $\omega^M C = 562\text{cm}^{-1}$ . The relaxation time (or lifetime) of the bound spin-phonon quasiparticle is decreased at heating and at  $T = E^M C = 800\text{K}$  it is decomposed that qualitatively agrees with experimental data. The phonon width of optical conductivity spectrum is increased from  $\gamma = 17.4\text{cm}^{-1}; T = 10\text{K}$  to  $\gamma = 35.1\text{cm}^{-1}; T = 300\text{K}$  and the strength of the oscillator is decreased from  $S = 0.09\text{cm}^{-1}\text{eV}; T = 10\text{K}$  to  $S = 0.06\text{cm}^{-1}\text{eV}; T = 300\text{K}$ . The phonon width and the strength of the oscillator of mode  $\omega_1 = 550\text{cm}^{-1}$  don't change in the range  $10\text{K} < T < 300\text{K}$  [2].

The bond defects observed by NMR and heat transport arise from formation of the standing vibration sites. The density of bond defects  $\rho = 1/l$  is in direct proportional to wavevector of the standing vibration  $k = \pi/l$  and estimated density is satisfactory with experimental data at  $T = 20\text{K}; \rho^M C = 5 \cdot 10^3; \rho^{ex} = 2.8 \cdot 10^3$ . If discrete spectrum of spin-phonon quasiparticles map to continuum the temperature dependence may be presented as  $\rho = 2T = \omega_0$  which well agree with NMR data [5]. It is also explained the linear dependence of the specific heat at low temperatures. Interaction between loosely coupled phonon and spinon modes in the center of Brillouin band may be presented as  $(k = \pi/v_{ph})(k = \pi/v_{sp}) = \sim^2$ , where  $v_{ph} = \omega_0/2; v_{sp} = \pi/2J; \sim = \pi/T_0$ . It lead to repulse of these branches and formation of gap in the spinon spectrum with  $(k = 0) = J = \pi/2J = 0.019$ . This gap  $(k = 0) = 43\text{K}$  causes abrupt decrease of the dynamical spin susceptibility for  $k = 0$  at  $T = 33\text{K}$ . The  $k = 0$  mode of spin transport has a diffusion like contribution for  $T \ll J$  as a result of the fact that the finite density of bound spin-phonon quasiparticles exists at  $E = 0$ .

At low temperature the spin liquid with non-linear topological excitations having  $S = 1/2$  is freezed that lead to small magnetic moment on site and elastic neutrons scattering. So in compound  $\text{Cu}_x\text{Ge}_{1-x}\text{O}_3$  having transition singlet-triplet at  $T = 14\text{K}$  a long range order is observed at low temperatures when ions Cu or Ge are displaced by Mg or Sr. Breaking a exchange between the nearest neighbors results in a finite spin density near breaking. Between the generated magnetic moments the interaction is existed per phonon field in 3D dimensional space. The critical field may be estimated by mean field (MF) approximation  $T_N = 2Sc(J_0 + 2J_1)$ , where  $c$  impurities concentration,  $S = 1/2$ ,  $J_0$  and  $J_1$  is accordingly intra- and interchain exchange in simple cubic lattice. The calculated critical temperatures for  $\text{Cu}_{1-x}\text{Mg}_x\text{GeO}_3$  are in well agreement with experimental data  $T_N^{MF} = 2.4\text{K}; T_N^{ex} = 2.5\text{K}; x = 0.016; T_N^{MF} = 3.2\text{K}; T_N^{ex} = 3\text{K}; x = 0.0216$  [14]. Using similar evaluation for  $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_3$  with concentration of bond defect at  $T = 6\text{K}; c = 2 \cdot 10^3$  we determined the critical temperature  $T_N^{MF} = 4.5\text{K}$  which is in well agreement with experiment  $3.5\text{K} < T_N^{ex} < 5.4\text{K}$ . Scatter of temperatures may be due to dependence of freezing bond defects from frequency. The average distance between bond defects is  $2 \cdot 10^3\text{\AA}$  and the periodic local alternating magnetization exists which is observed by NMR at low temperatures. Mean square displacement is  $\langle U^2 \rangle = 10^{-6}$  that may give rise the structural deformation along chain  $a = \sqrt{\langle U^2 \rangle} = 10^{-3}\text{\AA}$  for  $a = 3.9\text{\AA}; M = 10^{22}\text{g}$ .

In summary, the spectrum of spinon excitations along chain is asymmetric relative wavenumber  $k = \pm 2$  with two gaps  $(k = 0) = 43\text{K}$  and  $(k = \pi) = 18\text{K}$  that account for steeply decrease of the bulk susceptibility and the dynamical spin susceptibility for  $k = 0$  at the temperatures  $T \sim 10\text{K}$ ;  $T \sim 33\text{K}$ . The spectrum of bound spin-phonon excitations is due to bond defects the density of which is linearly increased against temperature. At low temperature  $T \sim 5\text{K}$  the non-linear spin-phonon excitations are frozen on the breaking exchange and induce magnetic moment on site with correlation radius of antiferromagnetic ordering  $1200 - 2000\text{\AA}$ . The magnetic properties of  $\text{Sr}_2\text{CuO}_3$  are similar to  $\text{CuGeO}_3$  with impurities having two transitions on temperature. The paramagnetic contribution in the bulk susceptibility at  $T < 4\text{K}$  result from a structural defects on oxygen ions due to spin-phonon coupling.

The authors are grateful to V. A. Kashumikov, A. S. Mishchenko, N. B. Prokof'ev, B. S. Svistunov for useful assistance and discussions of Monte Carlo method in the continuous time.

## References

- [1] Abrashev M. V., Litvinchuk A. P., Thom sen C., Popov V. N. 1997 Phys. Rev. B 55 R 8638.
- [2] Lee Y. S., Noh T. W. and et. al. 2000 Phys. Rev. B 62 5285.
- [3] Thurber K. R., A. W. Hunt and et. al. 2002 cond-mat/ 0108272.
- [4] Motoyama N., Eisaki H. and Uchida S. 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 3212.
- [5] Boucher J. P., Takigawa M. 2000, Phys. Rev. B 62 367.
- [6] Sologubenko A. V., Felder E. and et. al. 2000 Phys. Rev. B 62 R 6108.
- [7] Kojima K. M. et. al. 1997 Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 1787.
- [8] Keren A. et. al. 1993 Phys. Rev. B 48 12 926.
- [9] Beard B. B., and Wiese U. J. 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 5130 ; Prokofev N. V., Svistunov B. V. 1998 Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 2514 ; Prokofev N. V., Svistunov B. V., Tupitsin I. S. 1998 Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 114, 570 , [JETP 87 , 310 (1998)]; Mishchenko A. S., Prokofev N. V., Sakamoto A., Svistunov B. V. 2000 Phys. Rev. B 62 6713 .
- [10] Lasjaunias J. C., Monceau P., Remenyi G. and et. al. 1997 Solid State Commun. 101 677 .
- [11] Aplesnin S. S. 2002 submitted in Phys. Rev. Lett., cond-mat/0207118.
- [12] Maiti K., Samad D. D. and et. al. 1997 Europhys. Lett. 37 359.
- [13] Neudert R., Drechsler S. L. and et. al. 2000 Phys. Rev. B 62 10752.
- [14] Takeya J., Tsukada I. and et. al. 2000 Phys. Rev. B 62 R 9260.

Fig.1 The spectral density of the one-particle excitations with  $\omega_0 = 0.95$ ;  $\gamma = 0.05$  (1);  $0.15$  (2) (a);  $\gamma = 0.2$  (1);  $0.4$  (2) (b). In insert, the gap energy  $\Delta$  in the excitation spectrum as a function of spin-phonon coupling  $\gamma$ .

Fig.2 Distribution of phonon numbers versus momentum for  $\gamma = 0.05$  (1);  $0.2$  (2) (a);  $\gamma = 0.4$  (1);  $0.6$  (2) (b):

Fig.3 The normalized correlation function of the phonon numbers versus wavenumber for  $\gamma = 0.2$  (1);  $0.4$  (2);  $0.6$  (3) (a) and the spin-spin correlation function as a function of distance for  $\gamma = 0.2$  (1);  $0.6$  (2) (b). Solid line is shown the exponential dependence  $A \exp(-r/a)$ ;  $A = 0.008$  (8);  $a = 9.1$  (9).

Fig.4 Distribution of magnon numbers versus momentum for  $\gamma = 0.05$ ;  $0 < k < \pi$  (a);  $\gamma = 0.2$ ;  $0 < k < \pi$  (b);  $\gamma = 0.6$ ;  $0 < k < \pi$  (c):

Fig.5 The average phonons number  $\langle N_{ph,av} \rangle$  (a) and the mean-square displacement of ions  $\langle u^2 \rangle$  (b) versus  $\gamma = \gamma_{c2}$ .

Fig.6 The normalized spectral density of phonon excitations  $\rho_{ph} = \rho_{ph,max}$  versus energy for the spin-phonon coupling  $\gamma = 0.25$  (a);  $\gamma = 0.4$  (b);  $\gamma = 0.7$  (c).

j



























