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#### Abstract

W e study shortest paths and spanning trees of com plex netw orksw ith random edge weights. Edges which do not belong to the spanning tree are in active in a transport process with in the netw ork. The introduction of quenched disorderm odi es the spanning tree such that som e edges are activated and the netw ork diam eter is increased. W ith analytic random walk $m$ appings and num erical analysis, we nd that the spanning tree is unstable to the introduction of disorder and displays a phase-transition-like behavior at zero disorder strength " = 0. In the in nite netw ork-size lim it (N!1), we obtain a continuous transition $w$ ith the density of activated edges grow ing like ${ }^{1}$ and with the diam eter-expansion coe cient grow ing like " ${ }^{2}$ in the regular network, and rstorder transitions $w$ th discontinuous jum ps in and at $=0$ for the sm all-w orld (SW ) netw ork and the Barabasi-A lbert scale-free (SF) network. The asym ptotic scaling behavior sets in when $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$, where the crossover size scales as $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}} \quad "^{2}$ for the regular netw ork, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}} \exp \left[{ }^{2}{ }^{2}\right]$ for the $S W$ netw ork, and $N c \quad \exp \left[j \ln " J{ }^{2}\right.$ ] for the $S F$ netw ork. In a transient regin ew ith $N \quad N_{c}$, there is an in nite-order transition with $\exp \left[=\left({ }^{2} \ln N\right)\right]$ for the $S W$ network and $\exp [\quad=(\ln \ln N=\ln \ln N)]$ for the $S F$ netw ork. It show $s$ that the transport pattem is practically m ost stable in the SF netw ork.


PACS num bers: 89.75.-k, 05.10.-a, $75.10 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{r}, 05.40 \mathrm{Fb}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

A network is a new paradigm to study com plex system $s$ in $m$ any disciplines in science $\left[\begin{array}{lll}1,1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$. A com plex system consists of a large num ber of interacting units, and the nature of the interaction determ ines equilibrium and dynam ical properties of the system. Frequently the sim plifying assum ption is $m$ ade that the units are arranged to form a sim ple pattem like a regular lattice or to interact $w$ ith all others as in a $m$ ean-eld theory. Recent studies, how ever, have revealed that the structure of com plex system $s$ is $m$ uch richer this structure is captured by a network which consists of vertioes representing the units and edges connecting interacting vertex pairs.

C om plex netw orks exhibit so-called sm all-w orld phenom ena: vertices are highly clustered and the average separation betw een vertices grow s slow ly w ith the total num ber of vertices. $W$ atts and Strogatz $\left[\begin{array}{l}-1 \\ -1\end{array}\right]$ introduced a sm all-w orld (SW ) netw ork as a model for these phenom ena. It is obtained from a regular lattioe w ith edges random ly rew ired w ith probability $p_{r}$. Later it $w$ as found that som e com plex netw orks have a pow er-law distribution $P_{\text {deg: }}(z) \quad z$ of the degree $z$. The degree of a vertex is the num ber edges incident upon it. The class of netw orks w ith a power-law degree distribution is called the class of scale-free (SF ) netw orks and is found in $m$ any areas including physics, com puter science, biology, sociology, etc (w e refer readers to $R$ ef. [2] for exam ples). T he B arabasi-A $\mathbb{I}$ bert $m$ odel [ $[\mathbb{4}]$ generate a SF network $(=3)$ grow ing via a preferential attachm ent rule [-1/1]. Initially one starts $w$ ith $Z_{0}$ vertices and introduces a new vertex at each step [grow ing]. It is then attached to $Z$ existing vertices, which are selected w ith probability linearly proportional to their degree [preferential attachm ent].

The discovery of the new classes of netw orks triggers extensive research. O rder-disorder phase transi-
 have been studied. Interestingly, the critical behavior is described well by $m$ ean- eld theories and strongly depends on the degree distribution $\left.\overline{17}_{1}\right]$. Stability of com plex netw orks has also been studied against a strong disorder such as a vertex dilution $\left.[10,1]_{1}^{1}, 1\right]$ of diluted vertices increases, à netw ork $m$ ay disintegrate into nite clusters undergoing a percolation-type transition. In this paper, we study the e ect of weak disorder on the transport properties of netw orks.

The shortest path plays an im portant role for the transport w ith in a netw ork $\left.[13,1]^{\prime} 1_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. A path denotes a sequence of vertioes, successive pairs of which are connected via edges. In general there exist $m$ any paths connecting two given vertioes. The shortest path is the one $w$ ith $m$ inim um path length am ong all the paths. The m inim um path length is called a separation betw een the two vertioes. Suppose one needs to send, e.g., a data packet from one com puter to the other through the Intemet. The shortest path provides an optim al path way since one would achieve a fastest transfer and save system resources. The shortest path is also im portant in studying an intemal structure of a netw ork [15]. The separation can be used as a m easure of intim acy betw een vertioes. $T$ he num ber of shortest paths that pass through a vertex
 re ects the im portance of a vertex in $m$ utualrelationship or in transport. T he load follow suniversalpow er-law distributions in scale-free netw orks [13, 114, 116].

C onsider shortest paths from a vertex $s$, called the source, to all other vertices in a network. In an unweighted netw ork the length of a path is just the num ber of edges that it contains and shortest paths can simply be found using the \breadth- rst search algorithm " [17.1.


FIG. 1: A network (left) and its spanning tree (right) $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$. Vertices in $T_{s}$ are arranged in the hierarchical order of the separation l. The vertex $v_{6}$, and hence $v_{5}$, has tw ofold degenerate shortest paths, so T s contains a loop. D ashed lines represent edges which do not belong to $T_{s}$.

A sub-netw ork consisting of all the shortest paths from $s$ is the spanning tree $T s$, which characterizes the optim al transport pattem. Figure ${ }_{1}^{11}$ show s an exam ple of a netw ork and its spanning tree. It is convenient to represent the spanning tree by a diagram in which vertioes are arranged hierarchically in the ascending order of their separation from the source. Then, the shortest path to each vertex is given by a directed path on $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$. In general, the spanning tree does not have a tree structure. If there are degenerate shortest paths, $T_{\text {s }}$ contains a loop. Som e edges do not belong to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$. They do not contribute to any ow from/tos.

It has been assum ed that alledges are equivalent having the sam e cost. H ow ever a real netw ork would be described better $w$ ith weighted edges. A weight of an edge $m$ ay represent an access cost, a physical length, or an intim acy between vertioes $1155_{1}^{\prime}, 181$. For exam ple, edges betw een scientists in scienti c collaboration netw orksm ay have weights which depends on the num ber of coauthored papers [1] [1]. In a weighted netw ork, the path $w$ ith the $m$ inim um num ber of edges is not necessarily an optim alone. In this work, we study disordered netw orks w ith random ly-w eighted edges and investigate the e ect of the disorder on the transport pattem. T he regular netw ork, the $S W$ netw ork $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$, and the B arabasi-A lbert SF network [4] are considered. This paper is organized as follow s: In Sec.in, we de ne the shortest path and the spanning tree in the disordered netw ork. The disorder m odi es the shape of the spanning tree. T he response is described for the regular and SW netw orks in Sec.III and for the SF netw ork in Sec. 'IV'.1. W e conchude in Sec.'V'.
II. SHORTEST PATHSAND THE SPANNING TREEOFD ISORDERED NETWORKS

Consider a disordered undirected netw ork. An edge e between tw $o$ vertices $u$ and $v w i l l$ be denoted as $e=$ $[\mathrm{u} ; \mathrm{v}]=[\mathrm{v} ; \mathrm{u}]$. To each edge e a non-negative weight $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{e})$ is assigned which is called the edge cost of e. H ere we neglect all system -dependent details and assum e that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(e)=1+\quad(e) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (e)'s are random variables distributed independently w ith distribution $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{)}(\mathrm{e})>1)$.

In a disordered netw ork, a $m$ inim um-oost path plays the role of the shortest path in a pure $(=0)$ network. For given vertices $u$ and $v$, the $m$ inim um -cost path is given by the one $w$ ith $m$ inim um path cost. $T$ he path cost is de ned as the sum of alledge costs in the path. W ithout disorder ( (e) = 0 for alle), the path cost is equivalent to the path length and the $m$ inim um-cost path is the sam e as the shortest path. H ereafter, the $m$ inim um -cost path will be called the shortest path, and the $m$ inim um cost is denoted as a distance. The path length of the shortest path is called the separation of the tw o vertices connected by it.

The spanning tree $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ of a disordered netw ork can be found using the $\backslash \mathrm{D}$ ijkstra algorithm " [1] $\left.\bar{T}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ : D ivide allvertioes into two sets $S$ and its complem ent $S$. Initially $S=$ fsg and the source is assigned to a distance label $d(s)=0$ and a separation label $l(s)=0$. At each iteration, one selects an optim al edge $e^{?}=\left[u^{?} ; v^{?}\right]$ that has a $m$ inim um value of $d(u)+c([u ; v])$ am ong alledges $e=[u ; v] w$ th $u 2 S$ and v $2 S$. Then, the vertex $v^{?}$ gets the labels $d\left(v^{?}\right)=d\left(u^{?}\right)+c\left(\left[u^{?} ; v^{?}\right]\right)$ and $l\left(v^{?}\right)=l\left(u^{?}\right)+1$ and a predecessor label pred $\left(v^{?}\right)=u^{?}$, and is shifted from $S$ to $S$. The iteration term inates when the set $S$ is em pty. The shortest path to each vertex is then found by tracing the predecessor iteratively back to s . T he distance and separation from $s$ to each vertex $v$ are given by $d(v)$ and $l(v)$, respectively. The average separation $D_{\mathrm{s}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~N} \quad 1}{ }_{\mathrm{v} \ddagger \mathrm{s}} \mathrm{l}(\mathrm{v}) \mathrm{w}$ ill be called a diam eter.

In a hom ogeneous (e.g. regular) netw ork and a weakly disordered netw ork (e.g. SW netw ork), all vertices are equivalent after an average over alldisorder realizations. $T$ he diam eter is independent of the source, $D_{s}=D$. In such cases we select the source s anbitrarily. O $n$ the other hand, the SF netw ork has a highly inhom ogeneous structure. For the SF netw ork, we select the hub which has the largest degree as the source $s$ since it plays the $m$ ost im portant role in the transport [13]
$T$ he spanning tree $T_{s}$ of a disordered netw ork $w$ ill be di erent from $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$ of the sam e netw ork w thout disorder. If the disorder has a continuous distribution, w ith probability one all shortest paths are uniquely determ ined. $T$ herefore, $T_{s}$ has a tree structure, whereas $T_{s}^{0} m$ ay have loops. $W$ thout loops, $T_{s}$ consists of only (N 1) edges. $M$ oreover, a vertex $m$ ay have a shortest path that cannot be found in $T_{s}^{0}$. For exam ple, a path ( $\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{v}_{1} ; \mathrm{v}_{9} ; \mathrm{v}_{8} ; \mathrm{v}_{6}$ ) in a netw ork in Fig. .111 $m$ ay be a shortest path to $v_{6}$, so that $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ includes the edge $\left[\mathrm{V}_{8} ; \mathrm{v}_{6}\right]$ which does not belong to $T_{s}^{0}$. Such an edge of $T_{s}$ that does not belong to $T_{s}^{0}$ will be called an activated edge. The disorder activates it to play a role in the transport. The activated edge results in a drastic change in the shape of the spanning tree and increases the netw ork diam eter. W e quantify the change by the density of disorder-induced activated edges, which is given by the num ber of activated edges in $T_{s}$ divided by ( $\mathbb{N} \quad 1$ ), and the diam eter-expansion $\mathrm{CO}^{-}$ e cient $=\left(D D_{s} D_{s}^{0}\right)=D_{s}^{0} w$ th $D_{s}\left(D_{s}^{0}\right)$ the diam eter


FIG.2:A regular netw ork with $Z=2$ in (a) and its spanning trees w ithout disorder in (b) and with disorder in (c) and (d). (c) show s a spanning tree of type B and (d) show s a spanning tree w ith both segm ents of type A and type B. Vertices in (b), (c), and (d) are arranged in the hierarchical order in the separation from the source s. A ctivated edges are represent by thick lines in (d).
w ith (w ithout) disorder. The activated edge em erges as a result of com petition betw een allpaths connecting a vertex to the source. So netw orks w ith di erent structures respond di erently. In the next section we w ill study the evolution of the spanning trees of the regular netw ork and the sm all-w orld netw ork [13]].

## III. SM ALL-W ORLD NETWORK

C onsider a regular netw ork consisting of N vertioes on a one-dim ensional ring, each of which is connected up to $Z$ th nearest neighbors w ith undirected edges. The sm all-w orld (SW ) netw ork is obtained by rew iring each edge w ith probability $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}$ (see Ref. [\$W l ] for a detailed procedure). Except for extrem e cases w ith $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}=0$ (regular netw ork) and $p_{r}=1$ (random netw ork), the SW network displays the sm all-w orld phenom ena [3] We introduce a quenched disorder in edge costs as in Eq. (İ) w ith the disorder distribution

$$
F()=\begin{array}{cl}
\left(\frac{1}{2^{n}}\right. & \text { for } \quad "  \tag{2}\\
0 & \text { otherw ise. }
\end{array}
$$

D isorder strength is controlled by the param eter " (< 1). First we focus on the regular netw ork ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}=0$ ) w th $\mathrm{Z}=$ 2, which gives us a lot of insights.
A. Regular netw ork ( $p_{r}=0$ ) with $Z=2$

A regular netw ork w ith $\mathrm{Z}=2$ and $\mathrm{N}=18$ is show n in Fig. s. $W^{-}$thout disorder $("=0)$, the shortest path to $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is unique. On the other hand, there are $n$ degenerate shortest paths to $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}$. So the spanning tree $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$ has a ladder
shape w ith diagonal rungs from $b_{n}$ to $a_{n+1}$ as show $n$ in

$W$ ith in nitesim al disorder, all loops break up in the spanning tree. So either $\left[a_{n} 1 ; a_{n}\right]$ or $\left[b_{n} 1 ; a_{n}\right]$ should be rem oved from $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$. C onsequently, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$ has a tree shape w th a single branch for $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$ 's and w ith sub-branches for
 tree. The branching points are determ ined from recursion relations for the distances $d\left(a_{n}\right)$ and $d\left(b_{n}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& d\left(a_{n}\right)= m \operatorname{infd}\left(a_{n} \quad 1\right)+c\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a_{n} & 1
\end{array} ; a_{n}\right]\right) ; \\
&\left.d\left(b_{n} \quad 1\right)+c\left(b_{n} \quad 1 ; a_{n}\right]\right) g  \tag{3}\\
& d\left(b_{n}\right)= d\left(b_{n} \quad 1\right)+c\left(\left[\begin{array}{l}
b_{n} \\
1
\end{array} ; b_{n}\right]\right): \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

If $d\left(a_{n} \quad 1\right)+c\left(\left[\begin{array}{ll}a_{n} & \left.\left.1 ; a_{n}\right]\right)<d\left(b_{n} 1\right.\end{array}\right)+c\left(\begin{array}{ll}b_{n} & 1 ;\end{array} a_{n}\right]\right)$, the predecessor of $a_{n}$ is $a_{n} 1$, otherw ise the predecessor is
 type-B structure, which is valid as long as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(a_{n}\right)+c\left(\left[a_{n} ; b_{n}\right]\right)>d\left(b_{n}\right): \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen it is violated, the predecessor of $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}$ is $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}$, [ $\left.\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]$ becom es activated, and $T_{s}$ changes its shape.

W e introduce now a random walk interpretation of the recursion relation. De ne $X_{A}(n)$ _ $d\left(a_{1}\right) \quad n$ and
 one obtains $\left.X_{B}(n)=X_{B}\left(\begin{array}{ll}n & 1\end{array}\right)+\left(\mathbb{R} 1 ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}\right]\right) ; \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{n})$ can be interpreted as the coordinate of a one-dim ensional random walker (walker B) after $n$ jumps. $X_{A}(n)$ is given by the $m$ inim um of $X_{A}\left(\begin{array}{ll}n & 1)+\left(\left[a 1 ; a_{n}\right]\right) \text { and }\end{array}\right.$ $X_{B}\left(\begin{array}{ll}n & \left.\left.1)+(\mathbb{l}) ; a_{n}\right]\right) \text {. The rst term also suggests }\end{array}\right.$ that $X_{A}(n)$ can be interpreted as the coordinate of another random walker (walker A) after n jumps. But its $m$ otion is constrained: A fter each jum p, one has to com pare the current position of A the rst term ] w th the position of $B$ the second term' $\left.X_{B}(n)+O(")\right]$, and take the $m$ inim um as $X_{A}(n)$. Therefore, one $m$ ay assum e a hard-core repulsion betw een tw o walkers (the interaction range uctuates by an am ount of $O$ (")). The inequalty in Eq. ( $\bar{S}_{1}$ ) can be rew ritten as $X_{B}(n) \quad X_{A}(n)<$ $c\left(\left[a_{n} ; b_{n}\right]\right)=1+O(")$, which im poses a constraint on the random walk motion.

It is convenient to introduce $X_{R}(n) \quad X_{B}(n)$
$X_{A}(n)$. It can be intenpreted as the coordinate of a onedim ensional random walker $R$ in the presence of a uctuating re ecting wall at $X_{R}=O(")$ and a uctuating absorbing wall at $X_{R}=1+O(")$. At each tim e step, the walker $R$ perform ${ }^{\text {R }}$ a jup $p$ of size ${ }^{0}$ obeying the distribution $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}\left({ }^{0}\right) \quad \mathrm{d}_{1} \mathrm{~d}_{2} \mathrm{~F}(1) \mathrm{F}(2)(0 \quad 1+2)$. H ereafter the boundary walls are assum ed to be xed at $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}=0$ and $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}=1$. T he uctuations do not m odify the scaling behavior of and with a possible change in the coe cient of the leading order term.
$T$ he random walker $R$ determ ines the shape of the spanning tree. If the walker does not touch either wall at $X_{R}=0$ or 1 at a $m$ om ent $n$, then the predecessor of $a_{n}\left(b_{n}\right)$ is $a_{n} 1\left(b_{n} 1\right)$. $W$ hen it bounces at the re ecting wall in step $n, a_{n}$ has $b_{n} 1$ as its predecessor, and the spanning tree has a new sub-branch, cf. $a_{3}, a_{4}$, and
$a_{7}$ in Fig. ${ }^{12}$ (c). If it collides w ith the absorbing wall at step $\mathrm{n}_{0}$, the inequality ( $\overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) is violated and $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}_{0}}$ has $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}_{0}}$ as predecessor instead of $\bar{b}_{n_{0}} \quad$ (see the spanning tree in Fig. ${ }^{2}$, $(\mathrm{d})$ which has $n_{0}=5$ ).

The same random -walk $m$ apping can be established after an edge $\left[a_{n_{0}} ; b_{n_{0}}\right]$ is activated. If one interprets $s^{0}=a_{n_{0}}$ as a new source and re-de nes $a_{n}^{0}=a_{n}+n_{0}$ and $b_{n}^{0}=b_{n+n_{0}} \quad 1$, the distances from $s^{0}$ to $a_{n}^{0}$ and $b_{n}^{0}$ satisfy the sam e recursion relation as in Eqs. ( the sam e constraint as in Eq. (515) w ith a (b) replaced by $b^{0}\left(a^{0}\right)$. T hus, the spanning tree consists of a single branch for $a^{0}$ s and sub-branches for $b^{0}$ 's. This shape $w i l l$ be denoted as a type A, see Fig. branches and the sw itch into type-A tree are described by the sam e random $w$ alk $m$ apping used for the type $B$ segm ent.
$C$ ombining the $m$ appings for type $-A$ and type $B$ seg$m$ ents, the shape of the $w$ hole spanning tree can be deter$m$ ined by the the random walker $R$ in the presence of two hard-core walls at $X_{R}=0$ and 1. Initially $X_{R}(0)=0$, and the wall at $X_{R}=0$ (1) is re ecting (absorbing). W hen the absonbing $w a l l$ is at $\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{R}}=1$ ( 0 ), the spanning tree has the type $B$ (type-A) shape. $T$ he sub-branch em erges when the random walker collides w th the reecting wall. W hen it collides w ith the absorbing wall, the role of the two walls are exchanged and the spanning tree sw itches its shape. It is interesting to note that the random walk w ith two types ofboundary walls were used to nd the exact ground states of one-dim ensional random - eld Ising-spin chain $\left[\underline{2}^{\prime} \underline{O}^{\prime}\right]$.
$T$ he shape ofTs is characterized by tw o length scales 1 and 2 , see Fig. ind. The form er characterizes the length of the sub-branch, and the latter the length of each type-A or type-B segm ent. They are given by the $m$ ean time scales between successive collisions w ith the re ecting walland the absorbing wall, respectively. T hen, 1 can be approxim ated as the life tim e of the random walkerR, being at the origin initially, in the presence oftw o absonbing walls at $\mathrm{X}=0$ and $\mathrm{X}=1$. And 2 is given by the m ean life tim e of the random walker $R$, being at the origin initially, in the presence of two absorbing walls at $\mathrm{X}=1$. Such tim e scales are calculated in tim e-continuum lim it
 w ith $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{p} \frac{\text { and }}{3-2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{~d}^{0} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{R}}\left({ }^{0}\right)^{\infty}=\frac{2^{-} "^{2}}{3}$, we obtain that $1_{1}, P \overline{3=2} "^{1}$ and ${ }_{2}=(3=2)^{2}{ }^{2}$. N ote that the $O$ (") uctuation of the walls does not change the scaling exponents, but $m$ ay $m$ odify the coe cient of 1 .

O ne edge am ong $Z$ edges in a row is activated when a sub-branch appears only in the type-A segm ent (see Fig. $\overline{2}(\mathrm{~L})$ ). So, the activated-edge density is inversely proportional to 1 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { REG }(")=\frac{1}{Z} \frac{Z}{Z} 1, P_{1}^{24} " ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

 segm ents. $W$ hen the spanning tree changes its shape at a certain vertex (cf. $a_{5}$ in $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}^{2}(\mathrm{~d})$ ), its all descendent vertioes increases their separation from $s$ by one. So, the


FIG. 3: REG in (a) and REG in (b) for the regular network w ith $Z=2$ and $N=10000$ ( ), 20000 ( ) , 40000 ( ), and 80000 (4). T he straight lines represent $0: 24 \mathrm{x}$ in (a) and $0: 32 x^{2}$ in (b). Insets show $Z$ dependence of the coe cients

$$
\text { (REG' ") and (REG' } \mathbf{" R}^{2} \text { ). }
$$

diam eter-expansion coe cient is inversely proportional to 2 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { REG }(\text { " })=\frac{1}{Z} 2^{1}=\frac{1}{3} "^{2}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The results are valid in the asym ptotic lim it where $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{max}[1 ; 2]=2$, which suggests the nite-size-scaling form REG (";N) = N ${ }^{1=2} G\left(" N N^{1=2}\right)$ and REG $(" ; N)=N{ }^{1} G\left(" N^{1=2}\right)$. The scaling functions behave as $G(x)^{\prime} \quad x$ and $G(x)^{\prime} \quad x^{2}$ for $x \quad 1$. $T$ he scaling behavior is con m ed num erically. W e com pute both quantities for the regular netw ork with $Z=2$ and $N=10000 ;::: ; 80000$, which were averaged over 200 sam ples. T hey are plotted in $F$ ig. very well. From a least-square tting we obtained that
' $0: 24$ and , $0: 32$, which is close to the analytic results $\left(\bar{\sigma}_{\mathbf{G}}\right)$ and $\left(\bar{T}_{1}\right)$.

The extension to the regular netw orks with $Z>2$ is straightforw ard. Vertices are labelled as ( $\mathrm{s} ; \mathrm{v}_{1}^{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{v}_{1}^{2}$, $\mathrm{v}_{2}^{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{v}_{2}^{\mathrm{Z}} ;:::$ ) starting from the source s . Then, w thout disorder, the spanning tree $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$ has a Z -leg ladder structurew ith diagonalrungs. Each leg ( $i=1 ;::: ; 7$ ) consists of vertioes $f v_{n}^{i} g$. The predecessors of a node $v_{n+1}^{i}$ are $v_{n}^{j}$ $w$ ith $j=i ;::: ; Z . E d g e s f\left[v_{n}^{i} ; V_{n}^{j}\right] g$ with $i \not j$ do not belong to $T_{s}^{0}$. W hen the disorder tums on, there em erge activated edges. Until one nds an activated edge, the distance to each vertex from the source satis es recursion relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(v_{n}^{i}\right)=\min _{j=i_{i}::: ; z} f d\left(v_{n}^{j} \quad 1\right)+c\left(\left[v_{n}^{j} \quad ; v_{n}^{i}\right]\right) g: \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The recursion relations are valid as long as

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(v_{n}^{i}\right)+c\left(\left[v_{n}^{i} ; v_{n}^{j}\right]\right)>d\left(v_{n}^{j}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $i \in j$. $W$ ith the $m$ apping $X_{i}(n)=d\left(v_{n}^{i}\right) \quad n$, one can intenpret $X_{i}(n)$ as a coordinate of a random walker
$A_{i}$ after $n$ jumps (each jump has the distribution $\left.F()\right)$. Then, Eq. (G) im plies a hard-core interaction betw een walkers, so $\bar{A}_{i}$ cannot overtake $A_{j}$ 's with $j>$ i. Effectively, it su ces to consider the hard-core interaction only between $A_{i}$ and $A_{i+1}$. If $A_{i}$ and $A_{i+1}$ collide at step $n$, then the vertex $v_{n}^{i}$ takes $v_{n}^{i+} 1_{1}$ as its predecessor. O therw ise, $v_{n}^{i} \quad$ is the predecessor of $v_{n}^{i}$. T he constraint $(\overline{9})$ im plies that the relative distance betw een all walkers should be less than $1+O$ ("), that is, $\mathrm{X}_{1} \quad \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{j}^{<} 1$. An activated edge $\left[a_{n_{0}}^{1} ; a_{n_{0}}^{z}\right]$ appears when this inequalty is violated in the $\left(n_{0}+1\right)$ th step. Then, we can use the sam e random $-w a l k ~ m$ apping after a cyclic perm utation ( $\mathrm{A}_{1} ;::: ; \mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{z}}$ ) ! ( $\left.\mathrm{A}_{2} ;::: ; \mathrm{A}_{z} ; \mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$, which continues repeatedly. As in the case with $Z=2$, the shape of the spanning tree is characterized by the length scale 1 , the $m$ ean tim e scale for a collision betw een adjacent walkers, and 2 , the $m$ ean time scale for violating the constraint $\mathrm{X}_{1} \quad \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{z}} j^{<} 1$. The tim e scales are approxim ately equal to those for the two-random -w alker problem with a constraint $\mathrm{X}_{1} \quad \mathrm{X}_{2} j^{<} 2=\mathrm{Z}$. W ith this approxim ation, one gets $1 \quad\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right)^{1}$ and $2 \quad\left(Z^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2}$. Using REG $=\frac{Z 1}{Z^{2} 1}$ and REG $=\frac{1}{Z_{2}^{2}}$, we nally obtain REG' " ${ }^{1}$ and REG" ${ }^{2}$ with $Z$-dependent coe cients / (1 $\frac{1}{\mathrm{Z}}$ ) and / Z. The scaling exponents are universal for all $Z$. W e determ ine the coe cients of " and " ${ }^{2}$ for REG and REG, respectively, num erically and plot them as a function of $Z$ in the insets of Fig. . $\overline{3} 10$. O ne sees that $/(1 \quad 1=Z)$ and $/ Z$, as estim ated above.

W e conclude that quenched disorder is a relevant perturbation to the spanning tree of the regular netw ork. U sing the random walk m apping, we have show n that a nite fraction of edges in the spanning tree are $m$ odi ed at nonzero disorder strength. This fraction is linearly proportional to the disorder strength, REG ". We have also shown that the diam eter-expansion coe cient is proportional to the square of the disorder strength, REG ${ }^{12}$.

## B . Sm all-w orld netw ork

Next we study the e ect of the quenched disorder in the SW network. Figure', work and its spanning tree $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0} \mathrm{w}$ ithout disorder $("=0)$. $T$ he random rew iring ofedges random izes $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$, too, which is not suited for an exact description. T herefore we study Sw and sw rst num erically. We calculate Sw in SW networks with $Z_{2}=4$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}=0: 2$ and compare it w ith REG in Fig. '「ָ'1. Sw shows two notioeable features: (i) Atsm all", sw appears to display a threshold behavior at a nonzero value of". (ii) sw has a strong size dependence. sw does not approach an asym ptotic saturation at any value of " and $N$ considered. The sam e feature is com $m$ only observed at other values of $Z$ and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}$, and also for sw . In what follow $s$ we describe the response of the spanning tree w ith an e ective random walk process and we w ill show that the origin of the ap-


F IG . 4: A sm all-w orld netw ork (left) w ith $\mathrm{N}=40, \mathrm{Z}=2$, and $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{r}}=0: 1$ and its spanning tree $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$ (right) w ithout disorder. T he dashed lines indicate edges which do not belong to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$.


F IG . 5: A ctivated edge densities in the regular netw ork Re G $w$ ith $Z=4$, the $S W$ netw ork $s w i$ ith $Z=4$ and $p_{r}=0.2$, and the SF netw ork SF w ith $Z=Z_{0}=4$.
parent threshold behavior is the presence of a w ell-de ned "-dependent crossover size in the netw ork.

A $l l$ edges that connect vertices at the sam e hierarchy level in $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}$, as represented by dashed lines in the exam ple in F ig. $\overline{4}$, are candidates for an activated edge. Focus on a pair of vertioes $a$ and $b$ with $[a ; b] z T_{s}^{0}$ in $F$ ig. $\bar{A} \overline{4}$. They are descendants of a com $m$ on ancestor $s^{0}$. $\bar{T}$ he edge [a;b] belongs to $T_{s}$ if a di erence between costs of the two paths (denoted by thick lines) from $s^{0}$ to $a$ and to $b$ is larger than the edge cost $c([a ; b])=1+([a ; b])=1+O(")$, where $O(")$ term can be neglected. The probability w ith which this happens will be denoted as $\mathrm{P}_{\text {act: }}$ ( $[\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}]$ ). Let $l(\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b})$ be the separation of $a$ and $b$ to their com $m$ on ancestor $s^{0}$ in $T_{s}^{0}$. U sing Eq. ( $\underline{1}_{1}^{1}$ ), the path costs are given by a sum of $l(a ; b)$ independent random variables 's (phus $l(a ; b))$. So, w th the com $m$ on term $l(a ; b)$ discarded, they can be interpreted as coordinates of two one-dim ensional random walkers after $l(a ; b)$ jumps. Each jump follows the distribution $F$ ( ). $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{act}}$ : ( $[a ; b]$ ) is then given by the probability that the distance between two random walkers is larger than 1 after 1 jumps. Or equivalently, it is given by the probability that a random walker deviates from a starting position by a distance larger than
 $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{R}}\left({ }^{0}\right)=\mathrm{d}_{1}{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right) \mathrm{F}(2)\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1\end{array}{ }_{2}\right)$. The probability distribution of the random walker after 1 steps is gǐgen by $P_{R: w}:(x ; l)=\left(2^{2} l\right)^{1=2} e^{x^{2}=\left(2^{2} l\right)}$ with $2 \mathrm{~d}^{0} \complement^{Q_{\mathrm{F}}} \mathrm{F}\left({ }^{0}\right)=2^{2}=3$. T herefore, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\text {act }}([a ; b])=\operatorname{erfc} \frac{p_{\overline{3}}^{p}}{2^{n} \frac{1}{l(a ; b)}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where erfc $(x) \quad p^{2}=\begin{gathered}R_{1} \\ x\end{gathered} e^{x^{2}}$ is the com plem entary error function.

Now we make a mean-eld-type approxim ation that each edge e $z T_{s}^{0} m$ ay be an activated edge independently. $T$ hat is only true for the edges $f e_{i}=\left[a_{i} ; b_{i}\right] \not \approx T_{s}^{0} g$ if the paths from $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}$ to their com $m$ on ancestor do not overlap for di erent $e_{i}$ 's. O therw ise, tw o probabilities $P_{\text {act: }}$ (e) and $P_{\text {act: }}\left(e^{0}\right)$ are not independent. If $e$ is activated, it m odi es the shape of the spanning tree and hence $P_{\text {act: }}\left(e^{0}\right)$, and vice versa. The approxim $a-$ tion would give the correct scaling behavior if the spanning tree would be random and self-averaging. In the $m$ ean- eld schem e, the activated edge density is proportional to the probability in Eq. (1 $\left.\underline{d}^{\mathbf{d}}\right)$ w ith $1(a ; b)$ replaced by the average value, i.e., the diam eter of the netw ork $D_{s} \quad \ln N$ [21]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { sw }\left(\mathbb{N} ;{ }^{\prime \prime}\right)^{\prime} \quad 1 \text { erfc } \frac{2}{\mathbf{n}^{p} \frac{2}{\ln N}} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith constants $1 ; 2$ being independent of " and $N$.
$T$ he scaling function behaves as erfc $(x)$ ' $1 \quad p^{2}=x$ for sm all $x$. So, in the asym ptotic lim it where $N \quad N_{c}{ }^{\prime}$ $e^{2}{ }^{2}{ }^{2}$, the activated edge density is a constant [ sw '
${ }_{1}$ ] in the in nite ( $\mathbb{N}$ ! 1 ) network for all values of " \& 0. Therefore, the spanning tree of the SW netw ork undergoes a discontinuous transition at " = " ${ }_{C}=0$ in the asym ptotic lim it, which is contrasted to the continuous transition in the regular netw ork.
$T$ he asym ptotic behavior sets in when the netw ork size is bigger than the crossover size $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{e} \mathrm{e}_{2^{2} "^{2}}$. It grow s very fast as " goes to zero. For instance, when " $=0: 1$ w th $2^{\prime}$ 1, only SW netw orksw ith $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}{ }^{\prime} 10^{43}$ are in the asym ptotic region, which is im probable in any real netw orks. So, the behavior in the non-asym ptotic regim e is $m$ ore im portant in practice. $U$ sing erff $(x)^{\prime} \frac{1}{x} e^{x^{2}}$ for $x \quad 1$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { sw } \frac{{ }_{1}{ }^{p} \frac{{ }^{p} \overline{\ln N}}{p-}}{2} \exp \frac{2}{2} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$. It has an essential singularity at " $=0$ and increases continuously and extrem ely slow ly. Therefore, the spanning tree of nite SW networks undergoes an in nite-order transition at " $=0$.
N um erical data are in good agreem ent w ith the m eaneld results. In Fig. ${ }^{\prime} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}$ we plot sw as a function of the scaling variable " $\ln N$. D ata at di erent values of $N$


FIG. 6: Scaling plot of $s w$ vs. " $[n \mathrm{~N}]^{1=2}$ according to Eq. (111). The inset show, that $\ln , a+b=\ln N$ for $x e d$ values of ", follow ing Eq. (12). The straight lines are obtained from a least-square tting.
collapse onto a single curve, which supports the scaling form in Eq. (111). In the inset, we plot $s w$ at given values of " against $1=\ln N$ for $N=100 ;::: ; 800000$ in the sem ilog scale. W e observe that the data align along straight lines, which support the result in Eq. (12 ) . Extrapolating the straight lines to the lim it ln N ! 1 , we obtained that sw 's converge to $1_{1}$ ' 0:25.

In the regular netw ork, an activated edge $m$ ay orm ay not increase the separation of vertioes from the source, which leads to the di erent scaling behaviors of REG
" and Reg ${ }^{12}$. However, in the SW network, all activated edges considered above increase the separation. So sw show sthe sam e scaling behavior as sw, which we also con med num erically.

## IV. BARABASI-ALBERT SCALEFREE NETWORK

In this section we study the response of the spanning tree of a SF netw ork to the quenched disorder. W e consider the B arabasi-A lbert (BA) netw ork w ith the degreedistribution exponent $=3$ ['[-] $]$. Am ong all vertices, the hub which has the largest degree plays an im portant role in the SF netw ork [ ${ }^{[13}$ ']. So we consider the spanning tree of the BA network w th the hub as the source. SF is $m$ easured for the $B A$ network $w$ ith $Z_{0}=Z=4$, and com pared w th Reg and $S w$ in Fig. '핀. SF behaves sim ilarly to sw .

Though the SF network is di erent from the SW network in m any aspects, its spanning tree also has a random structure. Thus, we expect that the scaling behavior of SF can be explained $w$ th the sam e $m$ ean-eld-type approxim ation as sw. U nder the approxim ation, each edge that does not belong to $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}^{0} \mathrm{~m}$ ay be activated independently. Then, the $m$ ean density of the activated edges is obtained by replacing $l(a ; b)$ in Eq. $1 \underline{1} \underline{1})$ w ith the
diam eter of the netw ork. R ecently, it was found that the scale-free netw ork is ultra sm all in diam eter [2]. In particular, for $=3$ ( : the degree-distribution exponent) the diam eter scales as $D \quad \ln N=\ln \ln N$, i.e., $w$ ith a double logarithm ic correction to the SW scaling D $\ln N$. It leads us to conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S F={ }_{1}^{0} \text { erfc } \frac{0}{" P \frac{2}{\ln N=\ln \ln N}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th constants ${ }_{1}^{0}$ and ${ }_{2}^{0}$.
This scaling form is indeed supported by our num erical data. In Fig. $\overline{1}_{1}$, , we plot $S F$ for the BA netw ork w ith $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{Z}_{0}=2$ as a function of the scaling variable " $\overline{\ln N=\ln \ln N}$, and obtain a good data collapse. Though the double-logarithm ic correction is very weak, the data do not collapse at all w ithout it. W e note that the data also scale wellw ith a scaling variable " (ln N ) $)^{1=4}$, how ever, we presum e that this is accidental since the ratio betw een ( $\ln \mathrm{N}=\ln \ln \mathrm{N}$ ) and ( $\ln \mathrm{N})^{1=2}$ rem ains alm ost constant up to $N=800000$. The inset in F ig. $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ show s that $\ln S_{F}$ is linear in $1=\left({ }^{2} \ln N=\ln \ln N\right)$. It im plies that the scaling function has the essential singularity as in Eq. (12 $\overline{2})$. The sam e scaling behavior is observed universally for other values of $Z=Z_{0}=3$ and 4 , and also for $S F$.

The SF network shares the same scaling behavior with the SW network with the scaling variable " $[n N=\ln \ln N]^{1=2}$. The spanning tree of the SF network undergoes a discontinuous transition in the asym ptotic regim e: $S_{F F}$ and $S_{F}$ in the in nite $S F$ networks jump from zero at " $=0$ to nite values as the disorder tums on. The asym ptotic behavior sets in only when $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad \exp \left[j \ln\right.$ " $\mathrm{J}^{2}$ ] w ith a positive constant. In the transient regim ew ith $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$, the spanning tree of the SF netw orks undergoes a continuous in nite-order transition $w$ ith the essential singularity in SF and SF at " $=0$. N ote that the crossover size $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ of the SF network grow smuch faster than that of the SW netw ork. Therefore we conclude that the scale-free netw ork has the $m$ ost stable transport pattem.

## V. CONCLUSION

In a network the shortest paths between vertices play an im portant role. The optim al transport pattem from / to a vertex $s$ is characterized by the spanning tree $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{s}}$, a set of all shortest paths. W e have investigated the response of the spanning tree of com plex netw orks to a quenched random ness in edge costs, and found that quenched disorder is a relevant perturbation. A s the disorder tums on, the spanning tree evolves with a nite fraction of edges $m$ odi ed and the netw ork diam eter expands.

For the regular netw ork, the shape of the spanning tree can be described exactly using the random walk m apping. The spanning tree undergoes a continuous transition with Reg " and reg " w with " the disorder


FIG. 7: Scaling plot of SF vs. $\quad[\ln N=\ln \ln N]^{1=2}$ for the Barabasi-A lbert netw orks with $Z=Z_{0}=2$ and $N=$ 20000;:::;800000. Inset shows a plot of SF vs. $1=\left("^{2}[\ln N=\ln \ln N]\right)$ in sem ilog scale.
strength. For the SW and SF netw orks, we obtain the scaling form $(" ; N)=e^{e}\left(D^{1=2}\right)$ where $D$ is the network diam eter. It scales as D $\ln N$ in the $S W$ network and $D \quad \ln N=\ln \ln N$ in the BA netw ork [2-2 $\left.\frac{1}{2}\right]$. The diam eter-expansion coe cient satis es the sam e scaling form . The scaling function approaches a constant as its argum ent $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{"D}^{1=2}!1$. It has the essential singularity, ${ }^{e}(x) \quad \exp \left[\quad x^{2}\right] w$ th a positive constant , as $\mathrm{x}!$ 0. Therefore, and have a discontinuous jum $p$ at " = 0 in in nite-size $S W$ and SF networks. It show s that the SW network and the SF network are more affected by the quenched disorder than the regularnetw ork. H ow ever, the asym ptotic scaling behavior em erges only when the netw ork size is larger than the crossover size $N_{C}$. It growsas $N_{C} \exp \left["^{2}\right]$ for the $S W$ netw ork and $N_{c} \quad \exp \left[j \ln " j{ }^{2}\right.$ ] for the BA netw ork w ith a positive constant as " vanishes. Since the crossover size grow s extrem ely fast as " goes to zero, the behavior in the transient regim ew ith $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ is m ore im portant in practice. In contrast to the discontinuous jump when $N \quad N_{c}$, at $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ grow s continuously and very slow ly w ith the essential singularity at " $=0 . \mathrm{N}$ um erically we obtained that $<10{ }^{7}$ for " $<0: 1$ up to $N=800000$. Therefore, we conclude that the transport pattem in nite SW and SF networks is extrem ely robust against the quenched disorder. In particular, the SF netw ork is m ost stable.

The interesting scaling behavior of the SW and SF networks can be explained $w$ ithin a $m$ ean-eld picture. and are proportional to the probability that a displacem ent of a random walker with di usion constant $\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{2}\right)$ is larger than 1 after D, the network diam $\mathrm{e}^{-}$ ter, tim e steps. The crossover size is determ ined from the condition "2 $\mathrm{D}\left(\mathbb{N}_{C}\right)$, 1 , and the spanning tree is very stable against the disorder as long as $\mathrm{N} \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$. So, the exponential divergence of the crossover size $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and hence the extreme stability of the spanning tree are the direct consequence of the sm all-w orldness, i.e.,
$D(\mathbb{N}) \quad \ln N \quad\left[\begin{array}{c}{[2]}\end{array}\right]$ and $\left.D(\mathbb{N}) \quad \ln N=\ln \ln N "_{[2}^{[2} 2\right]$, for the sm all-w orld and the scale-free netw ork, respectively. The diam eter of the_SF netw orks w ith $2 \ll 3$ scales as D $(\mathbb{N}) \quad \ln \ln N$ [ $2 k]$ and $w$ ithin the $m$ ean- eld picture one expects the crossover size of these netw orks to scale like $N_{c} \quad \exp \left[\exp \left[\quad{ }^{2}\right]\right.$ ].

A nother consequence of the disorder is that the shortest path from one vertex to the other becom es unique. $R$ ecently, it has been reported that the load distribution in SF networks follows a power-law distribution w th the universal load-distribution exponent, $2: 2$ or 2:0 [1], 1] ]. P henom enologically, the SF netw orks w ith degenerate shortest paths seem to have , $2: 2$ [1], il $\left.{ }^{-1}\right]$ whereas those w thout or only a few degenerate shortest paths seem to have $2: 0$ [ [14, 16]. It w ould be interesting to study the load distribution in the disordered SF netw orks, which w ill shed light on the role of the degeneracy on the universality of the load distribution. This work is in progress.
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## APPENDIX A:RANDOM WALK IN THE PRESENCEOFABSORBINGWALL

Consider a discrete-tim e random walk in one dim ension in the presence of absorbing walls at $\mathrm{x}=0$ and $x=L . T h e w a l k e r$ is killed upon a collision $w$ ith the absorbing walls. Initially it is at $x=a \operatorname{and}$ its position after $t$ steps is given by $x(t)=a+{ }_{i=1}^{t} x_{i} w$ ith random variables $x_{i}$ 's $w$ ith $h x_{i} i=0$ and $h x_{i}^{2} i={ }_{i}^{i=1} x_{i}$. In the tim e-continuum lim it, the probability density $P(x ; t)$ satis es a di usion equation $@ P=@ t=@^{2} P=@ x^{2} w$ ith a di usion constant $={ }^{2}=2$. The absorbing walls im pose boundary conditions $P(0 ; t)=P(L ; t)=0$, and the ini-
tial condition im plies $P(x ; 0)_{1} \quad(x \quad a)$. In the Fourier series expansion $P(x ; t)=\sum_{n=1}^{1} p_{n}(t) \sin \frac{n x}{L}$, the diffusion equation becom es $d p_{n}=d t=\left(r^{2}=L^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) n^{2} p_{n} w$ th the solution $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}(0) \mathrm{e}^{\left(2=\mathrm{L}^{2}\right) \mathrm{n}^{2} \mathrm{t}}$. The coe cients $p_{n}(0)$ are determ ined from the intial condition. U sing the Fourier series expansion of the delta function, we obtain that

$$
P(x ; t)=\frac{2}{L}_{n=1}^{x^{2}} \sin \frac{n a}{L} \quad \sin \frac{n x}{L} e^{o_{n}^{2} t}: \quad(A 1)
$$

The survival probability $S(t)$ of the random walker is given by the spatial integral of the probability density:

$$
S(t)=-_{n=0}^{X^{A}} \frac{\sin ((2 n+1) \quad a=L)}{2 n+1} e^{\left(2=L^{2}\right)(2 n+1)^{2} t}:
$$

$T$ hen the death probability during $t$ and $t+d t$ is given by $S(t) \quad S(t+d t){ }^{\prime} D(t) d t w$ ith $D(t) \quad \frac{d S}{d t} R_{1}^{T h e r e f o r e ~ t h e ~}$ $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ ean life tim e of the walker is given as $\stackrel{\mathrm{R}_{1}}{=} \mathrm{dt} t \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{t})=$ $\begin{gathered}R_{1} \\ 0\end{gathered} d t S(t) . U$ sing $\binom{-1}{-1}$, one obtains that

$$
\begin{equation*}
={\frac{8 L^{2}}{23}}_{n=0}^{X^{A}} \frac{\sin ((2 n+1) a=L)}{(2 n+1)^{3}}: \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It becom es sim pler in a special case. W hen $a=L=2$, $=\frac{8 L^{2}}{23^{3}}$ (3) with (s) $\quad P_{n=0}(1)^{n}(2 n+1){ }^{s} \cdot U \operatorname{sing}$ (3) $)^{3}=32$ [ $\left.{ }^{2} 3_{1}^{2}\right]$, one obtains that

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\mathrm{L}^{2}}{4^{2}}: \tag{A3}
\end{equation*}
$$

W hen a $L$, one can use an expansion $\sin (n \quad a=A)$ n a $=\mathrm{A}$, which yields that $=\frac{8 a \mathrm{~L}}{22^{2}}$ odd $\mathrm{n}^{2}$. U sing that ${ }^{P}$ odd $n P_{1}{ }_{1}^{2}=\frac{3}{4} \quad(2)=\frac{2}{8}$ w th $R$ iem ann zeta function (s) $\quad{ }_{n=1}^{1} n^{s}$ [231], one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{a L}{2}: \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$
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