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#### Abstract

C ontinuing our investigation into the num erical properties of the $H$ ierarchical Reference $T$ heory, we study the square well uid of range from slightly above unity up to 3.6. A fterbrie y touching upon the core condition and the related decoupling assum ption necessary for num erical calcu lations, we shed som e light on the way an inappropriate choice of the boundary condition im posed at high density m ay adversely a ect the num erical results; we also discuss the problem of the partial di erential equation becom ing sti for close-to-critical and sub-critical tem peratures. W hile agreem ent of the theory's predictions w ith sim ulational and purely theoretical studies of the square well system is generally satisfactory for $>2$, the combination of sti ness and the closure chosen is found to render the critical point num erically inaccessible in the current form ulation of the theory for $m$ ost of the system swith narrow er wells. T he $m$ echan ism responsible for som e de ciencies is ilhum inated at least partially and allow s us to conclude that the speci c di culties encountered for square wells are not likely to resurface for continuous potentials.


## I. IN TRODUCTION

In a large part of the density-tem perature plane, integral equation theories are-a reliable tool for studying them odynam ic and structuralproperties of, am ong others, sim ple one-com ponent uid's'; unfortunately, in the vicinity of a liquid-vapor critical point, integralequations are haunted by a host of di culties, leading to a variety of shortcom ings such as incorrect and non $-m$ atching branches of the binodal, classigal values at best for the critical exponents, or other deviations from the correct behavior at the critical singularity ${ }^{2 / 2}$. A sym ptotically close to the critical point, on the other hand, renorm alization group ( $r g$ ) theory is the instrum ent of choice for describing the uid; in general, how ever, rg approaches do not allow one to derive non-universal quantities from $m$ icroscopic inform ation only, i. e. from know ledge of the forces acting betw een the uid's particles alone. O ne of the theories devised to bridge the conceptualgap betw een these com plem entary approaches is the $H$ ierarchicalR eferenc $T$ heory ( $h r t$ ) rst put forw ard
 $r g$ theory and, for every value of $Q$, of a renorm alized potential $v^{(Q)}(r) m$ eans that only non-critical system s have to be considered at any stage of the calculation; consequently, integral equations $m$ ay successfully be applied to every system w ith $Q>0$, and critical behavior characterized by non-classical critical exponents is recovered only in the lim it Q! 0 。

W hile applicability-p£h rt to a num ber of interesting system s,-ranging from a lattice gas or Ising m odeill to various
 reference system ${ }_{1}^{1}-$, was dem onstrated early on, the $m$ ain focus of research on hrt has since shifted to the richer phase behavior of binary system $9^{1}{ }^{612}{ }^{181}$. N evertheless, in the light of hrt's high prom ise and low penetration into the liquid physics com $m$ unity, further study and critical assessm ent of this theory seem worthw hile, even and forem ost in the case of sim ple one-com ponent uids: indeed, it is in this com paratively sim ple setting that wem ay gain im portant insights into the num erical side of the theory, and barring specialm echan ism s relevant to som e speci cm odel system only, any problem s uncovered here $m$ ust be expected to haunt $m$ ore advanced applications of hrt, too. In.
 purely pairw ise additive interactions via a potentialv $(r)$ obtained from the supenposition of an in nitely repulsive hard sphere serving as reference system, $\mathrm{v}^{\text {ref }}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{hs}}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$, and a predom inantly attractive tailw $(\mathrm{r})$, wo $(0)<0$. H ere we have $m$ ade use of the notation introduced previously ${ }^{19}$ : superscripts alw ays denote the system a quantitiy refers to (here, \ref" and \hs" for the reference system and hard spheres, respectively; sim ilarly, <br>(Q)" for the system with cut-o Q ), and a tilde indicates Fourier transform ation. In the present contribution we apply our recent re-im plem entation of the theory $y^{19}$ - to one of the sm plest potentials exhibiting phase separation, viz. the square well potential $\mathrm{sw}^{\text {sw }}$ [ ; ; ] (cf. sub-section III B of ref. ${ }^{1} \underline{19}_{1}^{1}$ ):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{V}^{\mathrm{hs}[]}(\mathrm{r})=\begin{aligned}
+1 & : r< \\
0 & : r>
\end{aligned} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

C onsidering density-independent potentials only and chosing the hard core diam eter and the well's depth as units of length and energy, respectively, the attractive well's range is the sole rem aining param eter; in th is report we w ill study values of from slightly above unity up to 3.6.
$W$ ith just one param eter, viz. , to vary, square wells obviously m ake for a convenient test case of hrt and, indeed, of liquid state theories in general; consequently, a great $m, a n y$ sim ulational and theoreticale orts have been directed at this system, and studies of its phase behavior aboundil 1 \{27. B ut square wells are also of interest in their ow right,
 $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{n}$-pentane and n -butane 33139 while current interest in this potential derives m-ainly from the
nding that square wells capture the essential features of the interactions found in colloidalsystem m otivation for this rst application of hrt to square $w e l l s$ com es from a recent, very accurate sim ulation study ${ }^{11}$. con m ing and quantifying the presence in the system w ith $=1: 5$ of the $Y$ ang -Y ang ( $\mathrm{Y} Y$ ) anom aly expected and experim entally found for asym $m$ etric uid ${ }^{n} 1: 42!$.

D ue to the extensive am ount of data available in the literature the $m$ ore recent of $w$ hich $w$ ill shortly be presented later on, and in view of som e of the lim itations of hrt in its current form ulation we cannot expect to gain new insight into the system at hand with a level of precision com parable to that of the m ore sophisticated sim ulation schem es. Instead, in the present contribution our focus of interest lies on som e aspects of hrt's num erical side, speci cally on those that are sensitive to the potential's range: indeed, as stated already in ref. "1 $\overline{1}$, for a potential as pronouncedly short-ranged as square wells som e of the num erical problem $s$ should shpw up $m$ udh $m$ ore prom inently than in other system s like, e. g., the hard-core Yukaw a uid previously considered. where they are, of course, in principle still present but do not $m$ anifest them selves as clearly.

In accordance w ith the preceding rem arks, another reason why application of $h r t$ to square wells $m$ ight be worthwhile lies in the closure underlying seem ingly all applications so far of hrt to sim ple one-com ponent uids with hard sphere reference part: As the usual form ulation of $h r t$ in these cases relies on an ansatz for the tw o-particle direct
 the equivalent $O$ ptim ized $R$ andom $-P$ hase A pproxim ation $1^{45}$ (orpa) by A ndersen and Chandler, the direct correlation function can never extend to larger r values than the potentialv (r) itself. In particular, for the square well potential
 exist, which is obviously at variance w ith the correct behavior near the critical point ${ }^{46}$; furtherm ore, at interm ediate

 square wells should bring out related problem sof hrt w ith the usual loga/orpa-style closure much more clearly, and the num erical procedure's response should provide us w th a signature to be looked out for in other system S , too; also, even w thin the loga / orpa-style approxim ation the im plem entation of the core condition via approxim ate ordinary di erential equations (odes) for the relevant expansion coe cients easily show $n$ to be inadequate for very short-ranged potentialli! casts som e doubt on the range of values am enable to an hrt treatm ent in the current form ulation of the theory. D eterm ination of the adm issible range, on the otherhand, is particularly interesting in
 and co-w orkers as an extension of ilson's phase-space cellm ethod ${ }^{4}{ }^{8 \prime}$ 'to the liquid state; it is only by com bin ing tests intemal to the theory and com parison w ith data available by other $m$ eans that we are able to answ er this question.

In this contribution, after a sketchy presentation of the underlying theory itself (section '피) and the im plem entation used (section 'IIII'), in section 'IVI', we tum to the results of applying hrt to square well system's of variable range. A fter a short sum $m \overline{a r y}$ of the criticalpoint's location as obtained from sim ulation-based and other purely theoreticalstudies of square wells for various values of (sub-section ('IV À') we rst look into the core condition's im plem entation, which provides usw th a rst hint regarding the range of values accessible to hrt in its current form ulation and once $m$ ore highlights the decoupling assum ption's role (sub-section $\mathbb{I V} \mathrm{B}_{1}$ ). The latter is also im plicated in the correct choige of the boundary condition im posed at high density as discussed, alongside the boundary condition's location's e ect, in sub-section $\bar{I} \overline{\mathrm{~V}} \mathrm{CI}$. | A particularly grave aspect of hrt's num erical side is the sti ness of the partial di erential equation (pde) for close-to-criticaland sub-critical tem peratures (sub-section ' $\bar{I} \bar{V} \mathbf{D}^{\prime}$ ), the vestiges of which are evident in the results obtained for quasi-continually varying as presented in sub-section 'INE'. A short sum $m$ ary of our ndings and conclusions ends our contribution (sectioni Vivi ).

## II. THETHEORY

The de nite resource on hrt, is, the review' by the theory's originalauthors, sum $m$ arizing its form alism as developed in a series of earlier publicationsi\{ ${ }^{4}$ ta , so that, we here present only an overview of the theory used and of its form ulation, recapitulating som e ofour earlier ndings'; the notation em ployed here ofcourse co-incides w ith that of our preceding contributionts.

A s m entioned already in section 'II, hrt's mainstay is the im plem entation of the suppression of long-w avelength uctuations characteristic of $r g m$ ethods by $m$ eans of a renorm alized potential $V^{(V)}$. Thus, rather than directly going from a reference uid the properties of which are assum ed known to the fully interacting system, i. e. from pair potential $v^{\text {ref }}(r)$ to $v(r)=v^{\text {ref }}(r)+w(r)$, hrt proceeds via a succession of rather arti cialid interm ediate potentials $\mathrm{V}^{(Q)}(\mathrm{r})$ : For every value of the cut-o $w$ ave number $Q$, $\mathrm{V}^{(Q)}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{v}^{(Q)}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{ref}}(\mathrm{r})+\mathrm{w}^{(Q)}(\mathrm{r}) \\
& W^{(Q)}(\mathrm{k})=\quad \begin{array}{cl}
\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{k}) & : k>Q \\
0 & : k<Q
\end{array} \\
& W^{5 W}[; ;](k)=4 \frac{\sin }{k} \frac{k \cos k}{k^{3}} \text {; }
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last line specializes to the square well potential of eq. (İI); obviously, $v^{\text {ref }}$ and $v$ are recovered in the lim its $Q!1$ and $Q$ ! 0 ,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{v}^{(1)}(\mathrm{r}) & =\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{ref}}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{hs}}(\mathrm{r}) \\
\mathrm{v}^{(0)}(\mathrm{r}) & =\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{sw}}(\mathrm{r}) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

allow ing hrt, to gradually tum on uctuations of ever increasing wavelength by lowering $Q$ from 1 to zero (num erically $1^{19}-$, from $Q_{1}$ to $Q_{0}$ ); as mentioned before, criticality (together $w$ th non-classical critical exponents) and phase separation (w ith isothem s rigorously at in the two phase region) are obtained in the lim it $Q$ ! 0 . In this procedure it is essential to $m$ aintain the di erentialpicture im plied by $r g$ theory and to $m$ ake sure that the transition from $Q$ to in nitesim ally smaller cuto $Q \quad d Q$ is continuous even in the lim it $Q!0$. The latter requirem ent necessitates replacing the usual free energy $A{ }^{(Q)}$ and two-particle direct correlation fiunction $C_{2}^{(Q)}(r)$ of the hypothetical system with cut-o $Q$ and potential $V^{(Q)}(r)$, the $\backslash Q$-system $"$, by suitably m odi ed quantities, viz.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{A^{(Q)}}{V}=\frac{A^{(Q)}}{V} \quad \frac{0^{2}}{2} \quad \sim(0) \quad \sim(Q)(0)+\frac{\circ}{2} \quad(0) \quad(Q)(0) \\
C(Q)(r)=C_{2}^{(Q)}(r)+\quad(r) \quad(Q)(r) \\
=W \quad=1=\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T} ;
\end{gathered}
$$

where \% is the num ber density of the system at hand; the higher order correlation fiunctions $c_{n}^{(Q)}\left(r_{1} ;::: ; r_{n}\right)$, $n \quad 3$, are free from suph problem s. (N ote that all the direct correlation functions including $C^{(Q)}(r)$ are taken to include the ideal gas tem s.
$W$ ith this set of quantities continuous even in the lim it $Q!0$, viz. $A(Q), C(Q)$, and the $c_{n}^{(Q)}, n \quad 3$, hrt is derived as a non-term inating hierarchy of coupled odes at xed density \%, calculating the properties of the $Q$-system by treating the system at in nitesim ally higher cut-o $Q+d Q$ as a reference system; of these equations, usually only the evolution equation for $A^{(Q)}$, viz.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d Q} \frac{A^{(Q)}}{V}=\frac{Q^{2}}{4^{2}} \ln \quad 1 \quad \frac{\sim^{\sim}(Q)}{C^{(Q)}(Q)} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as the im portant com pressibility sum -rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{(Q)}(0)=\frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho \%^{2}} \frac{A^{(Q)}}{V} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for any cut-o $Q$ directly enter practical calculations.
 pde that we will concem ourselves with in the rem ainder of this text. Said closure, rem iniscent of loga/orpa but adding one free param eter to allow im posing them odynam ic consistency as em bodied in eq. (ǐi), is given, just as in our earlier contribution ${ }^{191} \stackrel{1}{-1}$, by

$$
\begin{align*}
C^{(Q)}(r ; \%) & =(r ; \%)+0^{(Q)}(\%) u_{0}(r ; \%)+K^{(Q)}(r ; \%) \\
K^{(Q)}(r ; \%) & =G^{(Q)}(r ; \%)+C_{2}^{\text {ref }}(r ; \%)  \tag{4}\\
G^{(Q)}(r ; \%) & =\sum_{n=1}^{(Q)}\left(\frac{(\%)}{0} u_{n}(r ; \%) ;\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where we have generalized to density-dependent potentials. B asis function $u_{0}(r ; \%)$ is chosen to coincide with $\bar{d}$ $\mathrm{w}(\mathrm{r} ; \%)=\mathrm{w}(0 ; \%)$, and the higher basis functions $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{r} ; \%), \mathrm{n} \quad 1$, vanish outside the core; for our speci choige of basis functions see appendix B of ref. 19. In order to ensure that both the core condition, i. e. $g(r ; \%)=0$ for $r<$ ( $\%$ ) where $g(r ; \%)$ is the pair distribution function, and sum rule ( $\overline{3} \overline{1})$ are $m$ et it is necessary to choose the correct set of expansion coe cients $n_{n}^{(Q)}(\%), n \quad 0$, at every cut-o $Q$ and for every density $\%$; assum ing their validity for $Q=1$ and adopting the short hand notations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (Q) }(\%)=\frac{@^{3}}{\varrho_{@}^{@ \%^{2}}} \frac{A^{(Q)}}{V} \\
& (k ; \%) ; \%]=R^{3} \frac{d^{3} k}{(2)^{3}} \frac{(k ; \%)}{e_{2}^{(Q)}(k ; \%)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(here, is an arbitrary function of $k$ and \%), both relations can be combined to

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{n=1}^{P} \hat{I}^{(Q)}\left[W_{j}(k ; \%)\left(w_{n}(k ; \%) \quad w_{0}(k ; \%) a_{n}(0 ; \%)\right) ; \%\right] \frac{Q_{0}^{(0)}(\%)}{@ Q} \\
& n=1=(Q)(\%) \hat{I}^{(Q)}\left[a_{j}(k ; \%) w_{0}(k ; \%) ; \circ\right]  \tag{5}\\
& +\frac{Q^{2}}{2^{2}} \frac{\left.\sim(Q ;)^{2}\right)\left(a_{j}(Q ; \%)\right.}{\left.\left.C^{(Q)}(Q ;)_{0}\right)\left(C^{(Q)}(Q ;)_{0}^{\sim}\right)(Q ; \%)\right)} ; \quad j \quad 1 \text {; }
\end{align*}
$$

th is set of equationsm ust, of course, be truncated to a nite num ber $1+N_{C C}$ ofbasis functions, and it is also necessary to neglect non-localcontributions to @ $\hat{I}^{(Q)}$ [ ( $k ; \%$ ) ; \% ] ${ }^{(Q Q}$ Q to allow convenient evaluation at arbitrary $Q$. B oth of these approxim ations have been discussed at length in our previous contribution ${ }^{19}$, and while the value of $N_{c c}$ w as found to strongly in uence the quality of the results obtained, determ ination of the ${ }_{n}^{(Q)}$ ( $\%$ ) from eq. ( for the slow ly converging $\hat{I}$-integrals' $Q$-dependence leads to system atic de, ciencies at sm all $r$ in $g(r)$ as determ ined
 decoupling assum ptionsi, viz. (Q) $(\%)=0$; as can easily be seen, this is not only $m$ athem atically incom patible w ith therm odynam ic consistency but even su ces to decouple the pde implied by eqs. ( odes at xed density only lacking therm odynam ic consistency and thus unable to predict clear phase boundaries:; furthem ore, we cannot rule out that decoupling $m$ ay have a signi cant in uence on the solution generatelin, which is particularly troublesom $e$ as the $m$ uch longer range of $u_{0}(r) /(r)$ when com pared w th the other basis fiunctions was originally invoked as justi cation for setting (Q) (\%) = 0: for square wells, this assum ption is certainly even less justi ed than for the rather long-ranged hard-core Yukaw a system ( $z=1: 8=$ ) considered in refi 19.

Retuming to the pde, for the num erical im plem entation's bene $t w e$, too, adopted a re-form ulation in term $s$ of an auxiliary function $f(Q ; \%)$ sim ply related to the $m$ odi ed free energy's derivative $w$ ith respect to $Q$. The details of the procedure leading to a pde of the form
and the coe cient functions $d_{0 i}$ them selves can be found in appendix $A$ of ref. 1
The above form ulation ( $(\bar{\sigma})$ ) of the problem, of course still coupled to the odes im plem enting the core condition, obviously has to be am ended by intial and boundary conditions; while the form er easily follow from $n_{n}^{\left(Q_{1}\right)}=0$, $\mathrm{n} \quad 0$, (which is su cient to also determ ine $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{1} ; \%\right)$ ), choige of appropriate boundary conditions is slightly m ore com plicated: if, as is the case in $m$ ost of the calculations reported here (exceptions see below), the low density boundary is located at $\%_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $=0$, we can m ake use of the divergence of the ideal gas term $1=\%$ in $\mathrm{g}_{2}^{\text {ref }}$ to derive not only $f(Q ; 0)=0$ but also $@ f(Q ; 0)=@ \%=0$ which alone is, in principle, su cient to uniquely determ ine the solution up to arbitrarily high density; for com putational reasons, how ever, it is preferable to instead only im pose vanishing $f$ at $\circ_{m}$ in and to supply an approxim ate condition for calculating $f$ at $\%_{m}$ ax. Am ong the candidates for the constraint to be im posed upon the solution at $\%_{m}$ ax in addition to the core condition there are two we should mention here: Starting w ith ref. $\overline{1}_{1}$, the so-called orpa-condition, viz. $\int_{0}^{(Q)}\left(\sigma_{\text {m ax }}\right)=0$, has been used extensively; it should, how ever, bennoted that this condition is incom patible $w$ ith both therm odynam ic consistency and the decoupling assum ption in. An altemative rst considered in our previous report. is the decoupling assum ption (Q) ( $\%_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $)=0$ itself; of course, this condition is still incom patible w ith the com pressibility sum rule (了ु) but this is less of a problem at a boundary where
partial derivatives $w$ ith respect to \% cannot be evaluated anyw ay. A nother option (not pursued in this contribution) is to give up the core condition altogether, retaining only the lowest basis function $u_{0}$ in the closure ( ( $4^{\prime}$ ) and thus e ectively replacing eq. ${ }^{(1)}(\mathbf{F})$ by eq. ${ }^{\prime}(\bar{T})$; this has the added advantage of mathem atical consistency while still retain ing the structure of a pde so im portant for obtaining clear phase boundaries ${ }^{19}, \mathrm{v}$. s.

It is one_of hrt's m ain achievem ents to allow calculating a uid's binodal (coinciding with the spinodal in three

at in density intervals the boundaries of which are readily identi ed w th the coexisting densities $\frac{\circ}{\infty}$ and $\circ_{1}$. Thus, as the isothem al com pressibility $T$ of the fully interacting system, readily found to be proportional to exp (f $\left(\sim(0)=K^{(0)}\right)$ ) (cf. appendix A of ref $\left.{ }^{-1} 19\right)$, diverges in the two-phase region, so $m$ ust the auxiliary function $f(Q ; \%)$ in the lim it $Q!0$. As a direct consequence of this, the transition from the $m$ odi ed free energy $A^{(Q)}$ ( $\%$ ) to $f(Q$; \% is not only com putationally convenient but also allow-s us to follow the isothem alcom pressibility's build-up m uch m ore easily; even $m$ ore im portantly, a sim ple analysid ${ }^{1}{ }_{2}^{2} 20$ of the behavior of the pde's coe cients for large $f(Q$; $\%$ ) readily characterizes the pde as sti : for any density $\% 2\left[\begin{array}{l}\circ \\ ;\end{array} \%_{1}\right]$ and close to $Q=0$, the true solution $f(Q$; \% ) oscillates rapidly on a $Q$-scale of the order ofexp ( f), w th both an upper bound on the oscillations' am plitudes and $f$ 's average slope grow ing like $1=Q \mid$ needless to say that th is behavior cannot be reproduced num erically (v. i. sub-section $\bar{I} \overline{\mathrm{~V}} \mathrm{D}$ '; q. v.
 inherent to hrt itself in a form ulation based upon eq. (i) $)^{20}=$

## III. N UMERICALPROCEDURE

The num erical study of $h r t$ for square well system s of varying range param eter in section ' $\overline{1} \overline{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ ' has only becom e
 of results obtained w ith this program exchusively. From a practical point of view, our software provides a $m$ eans of solving a nite-di erence approxim ation to the pdel (6) in an iterated full-approxim ation schem e, im posing boundary conditions at densities $\circ_{m}$ in and $\circ_{m}$ ax as well as initial conditions at $Q=Q_{1}$, generating a solution for $Q$ as low as $Q_{0}$ while ensuring num erical soundness of every step by em ploying a num ber of criteria. T he pivotal param eter goveming all of the num erics is a sm all quantity denoted \# characteristic of the $m$ axim um adm issible relative error introduced in a single step in the $Q$ direction; due to the param ount im portance of derivatives with respect to \%, \# is strictly related to the coarseness of the density grid.

The only exception to the general strategy of ensuring a num ericalquality of $\#$ at every step in the calculation is the choice of step sizes $Q$ in the $Q$ direction, at least for sub-critical and close-to-critical tem peratures: indeed, in that part of the $(Q ; \%)$-plane where the divergence of the isotherm al com pressibility builds up, the pde's sti ness (v. s.) renders xed-precision arithm etic and relative errors bounded by \# incom patible. C onsequently, for the calculations reported below we resort to step sizes Q pre-determ ined in a way analogous to that em ployed in earlier applicationstill ; still, $m$ onitoring and assessing suitable com ponents of the solution vector in term $s$ of \# as described in sub-section IIIE of ref. 1
$M$ ost of the calculations reported here have been perform ed on an equispaced density grid of $\mathrm{N} \%=100$ density intervals spanning the range from $\circ_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $=0$ to $\frac{\circ}{\mathrm{max}}=1={ }^{3}$, corresponding to a value of $\#=10^{2} ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cc}}$ was usually set to 7 ; and the pre-determ ined step sizes started from $Q=10^{2}=$ at $Q_{1}=80=$, plunging to a m ere $5 \quad 10=$ when approaching $Q_{0}=10^{4}=. \mid W$ hen locating the binodal via the divergence of the isotherm al com pressibility т we did not require an actualover ow to occurbut instead looked for a T ratio at neighbouring densities exceeding $10^{4}$, which is a rather reliable indicator for the binodal's location as t typically jum ps by far less than two or by at least som $e$ tw enty orders ofm agnitude $w$ thin one $\%$; the reported values for $\%$ and $\%_{1}$ are the $m$ id-points of the density intervals so found. In principle this allow s us to locate the coexisting densities and the critical tem perature and density to arbitrary precision, even though the com putational cost rises sharply w ith falling \#.

## IV.APPLICATION TO SQUARE WELLS

A sm entioned before, $m$ uch of the $m$ otivation for applying hrt in the form ulation outlined in section to the sim ple square well m odel potential is based upon various observations indicating possible lim itations of this approxim ate form ulation of hrt for short-ranged potentials. A case in point is the recent work of C accam o et all ${ }^{477}$. entirely devoted to several therm odynam ically consistent theories' ability to dealw ith narrow hard-core Y ukaw a system s; sure enough, in the case ofhrt the shortcom ings of the loga / orpa-style closure ( $\mathbf{L}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) and, presum ably, of the accom panying decoupling assum ption underlying the core condition's im plem entation via eq. (

_O fcourse, any ofthe problem sdiscussed below only relate to hrt when im plem ented along the lines ofsections,'In and 'IIt and not to hrt proper; how ever, for reasons discussed in ref. '1 'd, altemative form ulations alm ost certainly render the num erics farm ore dem anding and open up a whole new suite of problem s regarding the num ericalim plem entation's soundness, especially when perform ing Fourier transform ations of cut-o _ a ected function 'son.

In the follow ing sub-sections we w ill com plem ent the discussion of ref. '19, by further investigation into the num erical nature of hrt; before that, how ever, it seem s pertinent to re-iterate som e of the points raised in that publication as far as they concem the reasoning to be put forw ard in the follow ing. In particular, according to section IV of ref. ind, for the num erical results to be $m$ eaningfiul the coexisting densities $\%_{v}$ and $\% \mathrm{must} m$ aintain a separation of at least several density grid spacings \% from the boundaries at $\circ_{m}$ in and $\circ_{m}$ ax ; consequently, should never exceed som e m axim um value, < max, and for the system $s$ considered here and in ref. 1191 and for the typical choiges for $\% \mathrm{~m}$ in and $\circ_{m}$ ax the binodal's proxim ity to the low density boundary renders max largely density grid-and \# -independent.
$N$ ot to be confused with $m$ ax is the low est tem perature $k_{B}=m$ ax; ${ }^{\prime}$ num erically accessible to the program with pre-determ ined step sizes: this is the tem perature below which the program of section TIII never reaches $Q \quad Q_{0}$ or produces abnorm alresults; note that $m$ ax; $m$ ay be larger orsm aller than $m$ ax , depending on the chosen com bination of physicalpotential, approxim ations in the form ulation used (the boundary conditions in particular), and the choice of param eters a ecting the num ericalwork.

Regarding the im plem entation of the core condition as sketched in section ' 'III, the $m$ ain conclusion of ref. '19'1 was that a $m$ inim um of $N_{c c}=7$ basis functions in addition to $u_{0}$ were necessary for acceptable results despite residual defects of $g(r)$ close to the origin; a short discussion of the core condition's slightly di erent role for square wells $w$ ill be presented below (sub-section 'IVB').

The critical density $\circ_{c}$ predicted by hrt, it should be noted, is virtually alw ays in reasonable agreem ent w ith literature data as shortly presented in sub-section $\overline{1} \overline{\mathrm{~V}} \bar{A}_{\mathrm{A}}$; indeed, hrt is even able to reproduce the m arked rise in
 satisfactory $\%_{C}$-values obtained num erically we w ill henceforth exclude $\%_{C}$ from the discussion; for a dem onstration of both $\circ_{c}$ 's insensitivity to variation of param eters of the num erical procedure and the quantitative agreem ent w ith the data of sub-section $\mathbb{I N}$ A. see gurest and 4 .

In this context it $m$ ay be of interest that the hrt estim ate for the critical density presents no di culties for the hard-core Yukaw a uid considered in ref. 19 , either, nor is there any mention of such di culties in any of the other publications on this topic that we are aw are of; indeed, the theory's num erical problem sprim arily lie in the solution's sm alle behavior for close-to-criticaland sub-criticaltem peratures on the one hand and the use ofm utually incom patible assum ptions prom pted by the need to em ploy decoupling w thout giving up them odynam ic consistency on the other hand. B oth of these aspects of hrt pertain to di erent parts of the $(Q ; \%)$-plane, located close to the high density boundary for the $r$ lle $m$ athem atical inconsistenciesplay and at not too large $Q$ and \% \% for the pathological
 w ill also be seen in the results of applying hrt in the form ulation of section iII to square wells of quasi-continually varying range 2$] 1 ; 3: 6]$ in sub-section $\mathbb{I V}$ E. .

## A. N on-hrt results for square wells

For com parison purposes we have collected in tables'I and iII the critical tem peratures of various square wellsystem s as obtained from sim ulations (table'1) or by purely theoreticalm eans (table 'III); the data inchuded have been published w ithin the last decade.
 been obtained by m olecular dynam ics (MD); most of the other sim ulation studies rely on one or the other variant
 determ ine critical exponents, in particular; that work's nding of $1=2$ for $=2$ as opposed to the expected $1=3$ found for up to 1.75 prom,pted re-exam ination of the square well uid $w$ ith $=2$ by GEMC augm ented by nite-size scaling (FSS) techniques2, refiuting the $m$ ean eld value for the e ective exponent. | Especially in the critical regím e, grand canonicalMC (GCMC) sim ulations incorporating histogram rew eighting and FSS o er some advantage over GEM C due to the latter's restriction to xed tem perature; such an approach has been applied to square wells with $=1: 5$ and 3 in ref. 231 ; a m ore elaborate G CM C schem enot biased tow ards the Ising universality class and taking into account the Y $Y$ anom aly has recently been applied tor- ${ }^{311}=1: 5, \mathrm{v}$. s . Y et another m ethod goes under the nam e of them odynam ic- or tem perature-and-density-scaling M C (TD SM C); it was applied to the case of
$=1: 5$ and analyzed in term s of an e ective $H$ am ittonian in refs $\sum_{2}^{2}$ and 2,5 . A lso included in tabla'lare the results of ref. ${ }_{2} \bar{F}_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ em ploying an M C schem em odi ed to take advantage of a speed-up possible by com bining sim ulation data w ith an analytical ansatz for the chem icalpotential; the e ciency of this approach originally devised to study phase
separation allow s a large num ber of system $s$ to be considered. (T he error bounds given for these \m odi ed M C" results in table ${ }^{\ddagger} \ddagger$ have been obtained from the di erent results displayed in ref! 2.1 for di erent param eter settings.)
$T$ he theoretical predictions for the critical tem perature listed in table bation theoryn. (APT2) applicable to-1 < 2 and claim ed accurate for $1: 4$ as well as the hard-sphere van der $W$ aals ( H SvdW ) equation of state ${ }^{28 \prime}$. In addition, though not listed in table $\frac{7 I}{I I}$, we have utilized the non-square-well-speci c O kum ura-Y onezawa ( O ) estim atés for c, prim arily as a starting value when looking for the critical tem perature in our hrt calculations; for $\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{sw}}\left[\right.$; ; ], the OY prediction is $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}==0: 203(2=3)^{3} \quad 0.273$.

## B. The core condition

E ver since application of $r$ rt to continuous uids started, the im plem entation of the core condition has been a m a jor issue, probably $m$ otivating adoption of the closure ( $\underline{4}_{1}^{1}$ ) and variants thereof for non-hard-sphere referen, sa system sín
 concemed w th the $r g$ aspect of the theory chose to com pletely elim inate the core condition. W hen applying hrt as a regular liquid state theory, on the other, hand, this is not an option: too great is the e ect this may have on both correlation functions and phase behavior ${ }^{19}$. From table ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ for various square well potentials as functions of the num ber $N_{c c}+1$ of basis functions in the closure (4), just as in ref. "IGI we nd virtually constant critical tem peratures for 1 N $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad 4$; on the other hand, the am ount of variation seen upon further increasing $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cc}}$ strongly depends on , which immediately carries over to the pair distribution function $g_{(Q)}^{(Q)}(r ; \%)$ and its com patibility $w$ th the core condition: For $=3$, the longest ranged potential considered in table',IIT, $g^{(0)}(r ; \%)=0, r<$, holds reasonably w ell except very close to $r=0$ even for $N c c \mid$; when increasing

 corresponding sm all change in $g^{(00)}(r ; \%)$ and $C^{(0)}(r ; \%)$ is re ected in the near-constant predictions for $c$ evident from table 'IIT. Sim ilarly, for $=1: 5$ and $=2$ and $w$ thin the $N_{c c}$ range considered, the im plem entation of the core condition does not convincingly im prove except for supercritical tem peratures and interm ediate densities; this tim e, how ever, the pair distribution functions rem ain far from com patible w ith the core condition even for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cc}}=10$, and neither $c$ nor $g^{\left(0_{0}\right)}(r ; \%)$ itself nor, for that $m$ atter, the nal values of the loga/orpa expansion coe cients
 im proves only little if at all, the rem aining de ciencies are probably to be blam ed on the approxim ation for the poorly convergent integrals' derivative w ith respect to $Q \mathrm{~m}$ entioned earlier (cf. eq. (12) of ref. " $1 \mathrm{~g}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) rather than on an insu cient num ber of basis functions; on the other hand, even though the decoupling assum ption cannot directly a ect the pair distribution function's com pliance w ith the core condition, the approxim ation of neglecting the non-local term in $@ \hat{I}^{(Q)}[(k ; \%) ; \%]=@ Q$ is on the same level as that of setting $(Q)(\%)=0$, as was stressed by the authors of ref. $\overline{1}$ upon jointly introducing these two assum ptions. Thus, combining the above ndings regarding the core condition w ith the analogous analysis of sub-section IV of ref. I' I and w ith that contribution's investigation into the decoupling assum ption's possible ect (cf. g. 2 of ref. I' 9 ) we are led to the conclusion that decoupling poses certainly no less a problem here than for the hard-core Yukaw a potential studied there.

## C. H igh-density boundary condition

N um erically, there are tw O w ays for the im plem entation of section $\overline{\text { 'IIII }}$ to fail to reach $Q=Q_{0}$, both, of course, easily detected by the $\backslash m$ onitoring" variant of our code (cf. sub-section IIII $\bar{E}$ of ref.i19) : due to the solution's pathological behavior wherever $f(Q ; \%$ ) is large (cf. sub-section (ivi $\overline{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{I}$ ), or because of inappropriate boundary conditions at high density. A s for the latter $\mid$ an issue intim ately linked to the decoupling assum ption $\mid$, the im $m$ ediate reason for the program 's failure is a near-discontinuity in the num ericalsolution close to the boundary: For the m om ent setting aside the decoupling assum ption and other approxim ations, in the application ofhrt w ith the closure ( $\left.\bar{L}_{1}-1\right)$ at any point ( $Q$; \% ) in the interior of the pde's dom ain the core condition uniquely determ ines the $n_{n}^{(Q)}(\%), n \quad 1$, for given $0_{0}^{(Q)}$ (\%); this expansion coe cient is then determ ined by im posing therm odynam ic consistency as em bodied in the com pressibility sum rule ( reliably so that som e other condition $m$ ust be im posed; in the calculations reported here (w ith the obvious exception
 as a convenient and unproblem atic boundary condition. For $\%=\frac{\circ_{m}}{\circ} \mathrm{ax}$, on the other hand, we are in principle free to use any suitable approxim ation for the structuraland them odynam ic properties of the $Q$-system and to calculate $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{Q} ; \circ_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{ax}\right)$ from said approxim ation, thereby providing the necessary boundary condition for the pde ( $(\underline{G})$; but for
practicalreasons it is desirable to use the sam e loga/orpa-form for the $Q$-system 's direct correlation fiunction at $\%_{m}$ ax as in the rest of the problem 's dom ain so that, in particular, the loga/orpa prescription ${ }_{0}^{(Q)}\left(\%_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{ax}\right)=0$ is a natural choice of boundary condition. In general, how ever, due to the pde's di usion-like character any condition im posed at $\%_{m}$ ax that is incom patible $w$ ith the solution for $\%<\frac{\circ}{m_{m}}$ ax by necessity induces a corresponding near-discontinuity in $f(Q ; \%)$ close to the boundary; within the fram ew ork of a nite di erence schem e this is re ected in a m ism atch of $f\left(Q ; \circ_{m a x}\right)$ and the solution at densities close by, i. e. $f\left(Q ; \%_{m a x} \quad i \quad \%\right)$ for $s m a l l i \quad 1$, and the $m$ ism atch's severity $m$ ay serve as a direct $m$ easure for the inappropriateness of the boundary condition at $\%_{m}$ ax in relation to the approxim ations applied at densities in $] \circ_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $; \circ_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax $[$.

On the other hand, the num erics becom e intractable unless we adopt the decoupling assum ption, and the only way to consistently, tuse (2) $(\%)=0 \mathrm{w}$ thout abandoning the core condition is to decouple the hrt-pde to a set ofodes at xed density only ${ }^{19}-\frac{1}{-1}$; this, unfortunately, rem oves, all traces of therm odynam ic consistency from the equations and thereby precludes obtaining clear phase boundarie ${ }^{19}$. It is therefore necessary to restrict decoupling to the im plem entation of the core condition only while retaining the structure of a pde together w ith the com pressibility sum rule ( the latter's incompatibility w ith decoupling. Thus, for $\circ_{m}$ in $<\%<\circ_{m}$ ax, both $C^{(Q)}(0$; \% $)=\quad Q^{2} \quad A^{(Q)}=V=0 \circ^{2}$ and ${ }^{(Q)}(\%)=0$ are used for di erent parts of the problem; at $\frac{\circ}{9}$ ax, however, again any approxim ation allow ing calculation of $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{Q} ; \circ_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{ax}\right) \mathrm{m}$ ay be used, so that it is tem pting to once again resort to the loga/orpa-condition of vanishing ${ }_{0}^{(Q)}\left(\circ_{\text {max }}\right)$ or variants thereof.

But due to the decoupling assum ption's possibly large e ect, any boundary condition that does not inconporate
(Q) $\left(\%_{\mathrm{max}}\right)=0 \mid$ and bear in $m$ ind that $0_{0}^{(Q)}\left(\circ_{\mathrm{max}}\right)$ and ${ }^{(Q)}\left(\%_{\mathrm{max}}\right)$ cannot both vanish at the sam e tim e for generic cut-o Q | w illonce again incur a fatally large mism atch; if, how ever, wem ust resort to decoupling anyw ay, it seem $s$ preferable to consistently apply it for the boundary condition rather than to inconsistently com bine it w ith a condition alien to the theory; also, though the $m$ ism atches' $m$ agnitudes from imposing (Q) ( $\%_{m}$ ax $)=0$ alone or from $m$ ixing it w th the loga/orpa condition $0_{0}^{(Q)}\left(\%_{\mathrm{m} \text { ax }}\right)=0$ generally do not di ermuch as long as the pde's sti ness does not play a role (e.g., for $=1: 5$, as long as we restrict ourselves to $Q \quad 8=$ orhigher, or to $\quad$ ), the form er approadh fares better than the other one $m$ ore often than not. It is only in this sense, i. e. presupposing a loga/orpa-like ansatz even at $\%_{m}$ ax and application of decoupling in the im plem entation of the core condition according to eq. ( $\bar{i}$ ) at all \%, that the results are largely independent of the choice of boundary condition as claim ed, for < c, in ref.

In the num ericalw ork we nd that such a m ism atch is present whenever the calculation proceeds via m athem atically inconsistent or con icting approxim ations; in the case of square wells w th their com paratively short potential range, how ever, the problem s are $m$ uch $m$ ore severe than in other system $s$ so that $m$ ax; ; is rather sm alland even dropsbelow c formost of the interval from 1 to 2 (cf. sub-section "IV E!). Restricting ourselves to $<m$ ax; and $Q=Q_{0}$, the m ism atch is typically re ected in an increase by one order ofm agnitude in the three-point nite-di erence estim ate of, e. g., $\mathrm{j}^{2} \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{0} ; \%\right)=@ \circ^{2} \mathrm{j}$ right at the boundary over the near-constant values at slightly low er densities; apart from a positive correlation $w$ ith $\#$, the $m$ ism atch's severity is qualitatively una ected by a change in param eters of the num erical procedure or the choige and location of the boundary condition (w th the above provisions).

A nother e ect worth $m$ entioning in connection with the boundaries is the in uence their locations, viz. $\frac{\circ}{\pi p}$ in and $\circ^{\circ}{ }_{m a x}, m$ ay have. $T$ he basic $m$ echanism and its $i m$ plications for the coexisting densities were already $m$ entioned in the opening rem arks of this section; here we only want to point out that the non-criticality enforced by the boundary conditions not only may unduely distort the binodal predicted by hrt as dem onstrated in git, very sm all $\frac{1}{\mathrm{~m}}$ ax $m$ ay also allow one to reach $Q=Q 0$ at higher , thuse ectively raising max; while lowering max. Som etim es, how ever, the expectation of the binodalkeeping a separation from the boundary of several \% at least does not hold, and a preposterous tw o-phase region appears very close to $\%_{m}$ ax or, very rarely, close to $\%_{m}$ in ; . g., for $=1: 88$ and
$=0: 392=$ the equations can be solved all the way down to $Q=Q_{0}=10^{4}=$, predicting an unrealistic tw o-phase region extending from $0: 845(5)={ }^{3}$ to $0: 995(5)={ }^{3}$. Thisbehavior tums out to com efrom the intenplay ofthem ism atch at $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}^{\circ_{m}}$ ax and the num erical treatm ent of the sti ness of the pde.

> D . T he region of large f (Q ; \% )

For subcritical tem peratures the hrt-pde's true solution's erratic behavior in that part of the ( $Q$;\%)-plane where $\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{Q} ; \%)$ is large and the isothem alcom pressibility's divergence is built up (cf. section !'II, q. v. ref. 2 l (1) obviously eludes reliable num erical realization; in particular, while \# still characterizes the level of accuracy in auxiliary calculations, the sam e can no longer be true for the accuracy of the pde's discretization as this would require step sizes $Q$ so small as to cause oating point under ow upon evaluating, e.g., $Q(Q \quad Q)$, thus rendering nite di erences num erically insigni cant.

C onsequently, in this respect we have to give up our strategy of controlling the num ericalprocedure so as to locally
ensure a quality , of $\#$ at least, tuming to pre-determ ined step sizes ${ }^{-19} \quad Q$ in addition to $x e d$ \%, to which sim ilar concems apply $y^{2}-i$; on such a coarse $m$ esh of ( $Q$; $\%$ )-points underlying the nite di erence schem e, how ever, the true solution cannot even be represented adequately, and the num ericalapproxim ation for $f(Q ; \%)$ obtained from the pde's discretization $w$ ith these far too large step sizes cannot be trusted to faithfully represent even the average behavior off ( $Q$; \%).
$T$ his inadequacy of the step sizes is re ected in various peculiarities of the solution vector obtained in the num erical procedure; indeed, when monitoring the evolution of $f(Q ; \%)$ and the core condition coe cients $n_{n}^{(Q)}(\%)$, our code readily detects the plum $m$ eting step sizes necessary and signals the incom patibility of the behavior seen with the assum ption of $s m$ oothness underlying nite di erence schem es. A nother telltale sign is iterated corrector steps' failure to converge when $f(Q ; \%)$ is large: even though im plicit schem es like the one we em ploy ${ }^{2}{ }^{2}$ are the standard treatm ent for sti system $s$, the rapid grow th of the oscillations' am plitudes renders the nite di erence equations them selves unstable under iteration; only when resigning on any control of the num erical error and refraining from trerations of the corrector step do the step sizes $Q$ chosen allow one to force advancing $Q$ all the way to $Q_{0}$ in rem arkably $m$ any cases. A lso, com parison of $f\left(Q_{0} ; \%\right)$ as obtained $w$ th di erent sets of step sizes $Q$ reveals that, for $\%_{v}<\%<\%_{1}$, the evolution of $f(Q$; $\%$ ) seen num erically is, driven by the num ber and size of $Q$-steps only and certainly does not correspond to an average over oscillations ${ }^{2}$; the sam emechanism is also responsible for a sm all Q-dependence of the criticaltem perature c. | By the sam e token, due to the $d_{01}$-and $d_{02}$-term $s$ in eq. (G) , the pde's sti ness and the related problem s have a direct bearing on the solution outside the coexistence region even if the num erical predictions there tum out rather insensitive to variation of param eters of the num erical procedure; in particular, we expect a gradualbut non-negligible distortion (in addition to the e ects of num erical di erentiation close to the near discontinuity) of the binodal, increasing $w$ ith falling tem perature.

## E. hrt results for square wells

In the light of the preceding exposition as well as of the discussion in ref. ${ }^{1} 1 \underline{1} \underline{1}_{1}^{1}$ it $m$ ay at rst seem sunprising that hrt in the form ulation of section ' ! as we shall see in a mom ent, even for square wells, a system expected to be particularly vulnerable to the problem $s$ just outlined, we nd reasonable estim ates of the critical points' locations for a wide range of -values. Still, the
 them selves num erically in a num ber ways.

For a rst orientation, let us look at the results sum $m$ arized in gstin 1 density ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$ are shown as functions of ; the underlying calculations have been obtained w ith \# $=10^{2}$, imposing decoupling in a consistent way at $\circ_{m a x}=1=3$ and with $N_{c c}+1=7+1$ basis functions in the expansion ( (il) of the loga/orpa-function $G\left({ }^{(Q)}\right.$. W ith the exception of som e spurious results at $\quad 1: 1$, whereever $c<m a x ; \#$ the criticaltem perature in generalcom pares quite favorably w ith the data oftablesit and 'int from the calculations we have perform ed for a large num ber of system $s$ in the range $1<3: 6$ and ignoring som e isolated results, a criticalpoint is
 w ith $c<m a x ; \#$ in a som ew hat larger part of the param eter range, viz. for 1:09 _ . 1:58 and for 1:896, but w ill not be considered in the follow ing in view of the considerations of sub-section $\mathbb{N} \mathbf{V}$ Bi, and of other defects that tum out to be larger than for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cc}}=7$.

For the m om ent setting aside the data for < 1:939, hrt's predictions for the critical tem perature are generally found to be in satisfactory agreem ent w th the c ( )-curve expected from the sim ulation-based and theoretical results presented in sub-section IV A'. Em bedded into this regular overallbehavior of casa function of , how ever, we nd a num ber of depressians and elevations of c, som e of which cannot be seen on the scale of the plot, but from the num eric results only ${ }^{20}-1$; others, how ever, are so strong as to render the critical tem perature a non-m onotonic function of , which is certainly not expected on the grounds of the literature presented in sub-section 'ī̀ À, the data of refs. 2712 in in particular.

In the light of sub-section "IVIV' it is of course tem pting to sim ply attribute this behavior to the di culties previously discussed, especially since the critical point is located in the region of large $f\left(Q_{0} ; \%\right.$ ) by de nition; the peculiar distribution of -vahues a ected, how ever, suggests that these problem s of the num erical procedure are triggered by a specialm echanism. Indeed, a closer look at the core condition function $C^{(Q)}(Q ; \%)$ for $x e d$ density \% reveals, for every single one of the values im plicated that we checked, that the com bination of term spertaining to $w(r)$ or $v^{\text {hs }}(\mathrm{r})$ alone (of ranges and, respectively) regularly and quite frequently reduces the am plitude of th is fiunction's sw ings about the idealgas value of $1=\circ$; the sam e happens only occasionally for -values rem oved from these irregularities so that it is, in fact, possible to quite reliably determ ine whether or not a given is a ected from a plot off ${ }^{(Q)}(\mathrm{Q}$; \% $)$ for \% \% alone as illustrated in gi_n. It will come as no surprise that $m$ ost of the irregularities occur when ,
the ratio of the tw o characteristic lengths present in the $m$ odel, is close to a simple fraction: am ong the shiffs in $T_{c}$ m ost obvious are those where is close to 2 (cf. $g_{1}^{1}$ ) , $2 \frac{1}{4}, 2 \frac{1}{7}, 2 \frac{1}{9}$ and $2 \frac{1}{12}$, and in retrospect it seem $s$ justi ed to also include the $s m$ all param eter range around $=1 \frac{1}{2}$ in this list, v. i.; the e ect is less obvious from $g_{1}^{\prime 2}$, but still discemible at $2 \frac{1}{2}, 2 \frac{1}{3}$ and $2 \frac{2}{3}$, whereas for $2 \frac{1}{4}$ and $2 \frac{3}{4}$ it is so sm all as to $m$ ake the plot of $c()$ appear sm ooth while the irregularities are still evident from the num erical values; also note that, once again, $\%$ is hardly a ected.

A 11 these observations seem to indicate that indeed it is the interplay of the two di erent length scales and the resulting partialoppression of a signi cant portion of the oscillations off ${ }^{(Q)}(Q$; \%) that cause the discrepancy of hrt and literature results for the critical tem perature around certain values even though a sm ooth interpolation of hrt's predictions from values neanby is well com patible with the data presented in sub-section ' currently cannot pinpoint the precise $m$ echanism by which this unphysicalbehavior of hrt arises and, in particular, cannot distinguish betw een the closure's inadequacy and the pde's sti ness as the $m$ ain culprit | though the latter is certainly im plicated to som e degree | , tw o conclusionsm ay be draw n quite safely: for one, as long as we stay clear of values of $>2$ that are close to simple fractions, or restrict ourselves to $>2: 7 \mathrm{w}$ here the e ects are rather sm all,
 sam e degree of con dence as those obtained for the hard-core Y ukaw a system in ref! 19 . A nd secondly, it is only in the presence of discontinuities in the potential that certain lengths feature prom inently in the relevant functions' Fourier transform s and can so give rise to problem sof the kind outlined above; consequently, as long as we con ne ourselves to continuous $w(r ; \%)$, which still includes $m$ ost of the potentials popular in liquid state physics, the unphysical shifts in c seen for certain param eter com binations are likely not an issue, whereas the sam e problem s are expected to resurface, e. g., for the multi-step potential also de ned in sub-section III B of ref! 1 19 .

A nother lesson to be draw $n$ from the ndings presented here as well as in ref ${ }_{1}^{-9}$ is that, as a generalrule, conclusions should never be draw $n$ from isolated results alone; it is only through the com bination and meticulous scrutiny of a set of related calculations that $m$ eaningful in form ation can be extracted from hrt calculations: due to the problem $s$ related to the im plem entation of the core condition, to the nature and location of the boundary conditions, and to the pde's sti ness, any single calculation m ust be considered as of uncertain standing. A s an exam pland, the analogue of 9 for $=1: 5$ shows a considerably larger variation in the binodal and the critical point's location, which is consistent $w$ th the above conclusions regarding the reason for $m$ ax; $\#$ rising above $c$ in a narrow region around this
value, whereas any one of the phase boundaries found in itself is a perfectly plausible candidate for the \true" hrt binodal.

## V.CONCLUSION

 num erical side as well as of som e peculiarities encountered for square wells. M ost prom inently, we see a m arked dependence of the quality of, the results on the potential's range, con $m$ ing the trend pf,decreasing accuracy for
 com putationally convenient ${ }^{19}$ - closure ( $\mathbf{4}_{4}$ ) has a part in this, and an im proved closure has recently been proposed ${ }^{5} 11$. Still, as far as num erical application of $h r t$ is concemed the closure cannot be discussed w thout reference to the decoupling assum ption and to the approxim ate im plem entation of the core condition via odes coupled to the hrt-pde; and while the form er has been found problem atic both for square wells (present contribution) and for the hard-core Yukaw a uid considered in refí 9 and should probably not be trusted easily for any system, the severity of the di culties brought about by the sim pli ed treatm ent of the core condition sensitively depends on the potential type and param eters chosen: for the continuous and rather long ranged Yukaw a potentialw ith $z=1: 8=, g^{(00)}(r ; \%)$ can be $m$ ade su ciently sm all $w$ thin the core, and the square $w e l l$ uid $w$ ith $=3$ fares equally $w$ ell at least; from the discussion of sub-section ['IV B. and the data of table 'II\#, how ever, it becom es apparent that sm aller | we have looked at $=1: 5$ and $=2$ in particular incurs substantialproblem $s, w$ ith residualdefects in the pair,distribution functions attributed to the ill-justi ed approxim ation of neglecting som e slow ly converging integralbling in the core condition.

But table 'IIT\# dem onstrates not only the -dependence of the results' sensitivity to the number $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cc}}+1$ of basis
 critical tem perature predicted for $=\overline{3}$ seem $s$ trustw orthy and is, indeed, well com patible with sim ulation results (cf. table (i), the am ount of variation in c for $=1: 5$ and, to a much lesser degree, for $=2$ precludes accurate determ ination of the critical tem perature; this is a rst indication that the theory $m$ ight be able to handle square wells $w$ ith $=3$ quite reliably whereas problem $s$ cannot be denied for $=2$, and $=1: 5$ seem s largely out of reach for hrt in the present form ulation. This is con $m$ ed by the results obtained by quasi-continuous variation of in the range $1<\quad 3: 6$ as show $n$, for $N_{C C}=7$, in 9 : the critical point is acoessible only in part of this param eter
range, and not only the critical tem perature at xed but also the boundaries of the -intervals where hrt is able to reach tem peratures as low as $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ strongly depend on N cc (cf. sub-section $\mathbb{I} \mathbb{V} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ) .

A pplicability of hrt to only a restricted range is, of course, again related to the pronounced short-rangedness of the square well potential; to explain it, how ever, we have to invoke not only the loga/orpa-style closure and the approxim ate im plem entation of the core condition but also the other di culties encountered in the num erical procedure as highlighted in this and our_preceding contribution, viz. the decoupling assum ption (ref. '19. ), inappropriate boundary conditions (sub-section (IV C!) and, most im portantly, the pde's sti ness for them odynam ic states

 tected by the im plem entation of section 'II that $m$ ight be looked out for in $m$ ore advanced applications of the theory, too. | Related to these di culties is a peculiar e ect speci c to square wells (sub-sectioni $\overline{I V} \bar{E}$ ): close to certain values, sim ple fractions in particular, we see shifts in the critical tem perature that render hrt's predictions m uch less com patible w ith sim ulations and other theoretical descriptions of the square well uid than would be expected from the results obtained for values close by; the m echanism for triggering these localdistortions of the $c($ )-curve is illum inated at least to the point of linking it to the presence of a discontinuity in the potential's perturbational part. All in all, the num ericalevidence as w ell as com parison $w$ ith literature data suggest that the form ulation of hrt sketched in section $I T 1$ is well able to deal $w$ ith square $w$ ells and to locate their critical points to reasonable accuracy for $>2$ as long as certain values are avoided, or else for $>2: 7$.
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|  | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{)}=$ | m ethod . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.05 | 0.3751 (1) | $\mathrm{mod.M} \mathrm{C}{ }_{-1}^{27}$ |
| 1.1 | 0.4912 (4) | $\mathrm{mod.m} \mathrm{C}{ }^{2}+$ |
| 1.15 | 0.5942 (35) | $\mathrm{mod} . \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Cr}_{2}^{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 12 | 0.692 (1) | $\mathrm{mod} . \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{G}_{2}^{2,1}$ |
| 1.250 | 0.764 (4) | G EM $-\mathrm{C}^{214}$ |
| 125 | $\begin{gathered} 0.78 \\ 0.7880(6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M D D }{ }^{26} \\ & \mathrm{~m} \text { od. } \mathrm{M} \text { C } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1.3 | 0.8857 (7) | mod.M.GEL |
| 1.375 | $\begin{gathered} 0.974(10) \\ 1.01 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1.4 | 1.076 (8) | mod.M $\mathrm{C}_{4}^{27} \ldots$ |
| 1.5 | $1.2179(3)$ $1.2180(2)$ $1.219(8)$ 1.222 1226 $1.246(5)$ 1.27 $1.302(8)$ |  |
| 1.65 | 1.645 (5) | $\mathrm{modam} \mathrm{C}{ }^{2 \prime 2}$ |
| 1.75 | $\begin{gathered} 1.79 \\ 1.811(13) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1.8 | 2.062 (8) | $\mathrm{mod} . \mathrm{M} \mathrm{C}_{4}^{2,1}$ |
| 2 | 2.61 $2.648(14)$ $2.666(85)$ $2.678(27)$ $2.6821(8)$ $2.684(51)$ $2.721(89)$ $2.730(14)$ |  |


|  | $\begin{gathered} 2.764(23) \\ 2.778(7) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 3.80 (1) | mod. M C ${ }^{27}$ |
| 2.4 | 5.08 (2) | $\mathrm{mod} . \mathrm{M}-\mathrm{G}^{2}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 3 | 9.87 (1) | G CM C ${ }_{2}{ }^{\frac{3}{1}}$ |

TABLE I. The critical tem perature $T_{c}$ of square well system $s$ for various values of as predicted by sim ulations and sim ulation-based theoretical analyses, and the corresponding references. T he acronym sused for labeling the $m$ ethod em ployed in obtaining these results are given in sub-section $\mathbb{I V} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{-}}^{1}$, of the text.

|  | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{l}=$ | $m$ ethod |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.125 | 0.587 | A P $\mathrm{Lr}^{29 \%}$ |
| 125 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.751 \\ & 0.850 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H SvdWW } \\ & \text { A P T } 2^{22^{2}}+{ }^{28} \end{aligned}$ |
| 1.375 | $\begin{gathered} 0.978 \\ 1.08 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H SvdWT }{ }^{288^{1}} \\ & \text { A P T } 2^{291}=. \end{aligned}$ |
| 1.5 | $\begin{gathered} 1.249 \\ 1.33 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H Svdiad } 28.1 \\ & \text { A P T } 2^{20.1} \end{aligned}$ |
| 11.625 | 1.61 | A P T $2^{29+}$ |
| 1.75 | $\begin{gathered} 1.859 \\ 1.93 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 1.85 | 2.23 | APT20 ${ }^{29}$ |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} 2.506 \\ 2.79 \end{gathered}$ | H SvdW ${ }^{2}{ }^{2} 8$ A P T $2{ }^{2}{ }^{29!}$ |

TABLE II. The critical tem perature $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}$ of square well system s for various values of as predicted by purely theoretical $m$ eans, and the corresponding references. T he acronym $s$ used for labeling the $m$ ethod em ployed in obtain ing these results are given in sub-section IIV $_{-1}^{1}$ of the text.

| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cc}}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}(=1: 5)=$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}(=2)=$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{C}}(=3)=$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\{$ | $1209437(035)$ | $2.660946(132)$ | $9.891032(298)$ |
| 1 | $1.190663(034)$ | $2.682489(105)$ | $9.899937(478)$ |
| 2 | $1203326(035)$ | $2.686289(105)$ | $9.900894(478)$ |
| 3 | $1.200152(035)$ | $2.686078(105)$ | $9.900894(478)$ |
| 4 | $1.197136(034)$ | $2.685655(105)$ | $9.900894(478)$ |
| 5 | $1.287443(040)$ | $2.527365(093)$ | $9.737080(462)$ |
| 6 | $1.098329(029)$ | $2.742404(110)$ | $9.822071(471)$ |
| 7 | $0.984757(047)$ | $2.914763(124)$ | $9.867502(475)$ |
| 8 | $1.070878(027)$ | $2.744830(110)$ | $9.773324(466)$ |
| 9 | $1216333(036)$ | $2.749695(110)$ | $9.887510(477)$ |
| 10 | $1.207583(035)$ | $2.937591(126)$ | $9.748203(464)$ |

TABLE III. The dependence of the critical tem perature of square well system $s$ on the num ber N cc +1 of basis functions
 boundary condition, whereas the loga/orpa-condition $0_{0}^{(0)}\left(\%_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{ax}\right)=0$ served the sam e purpose for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{cc}}=0$; other param eters were chosen as indicated in section $\mathrm{TIT}_{-1}$.


FIG.1. The binodal of the square well system $w$ ith $=3$ as obtained for di erent values of ( $\#$; $\%$ ax ), cf. the discussion in sub-section IIV C'. N ote that for th is rather long-ranged system the critical point's location is virtually una ected by variation of these param eters. A lso, imposing the boundary condition at $\%_{m}$ ax $=0: 5={ }^{3}$ clearly induces a shift in $\% \mathrm{v}$ to higher and, to a lesser degree, in $\circ_{1}$ to low er values even well above the tem perature where $\%_{1}$ gets close to $\%_{m}$ ax, which is readily interpreted as an e ect brought about by sti ness; the results for $\% \mathrm{max}=0: 5=3^{3}$ and $\#=0: 005$ (not shown in the plot) do not di er $m$ uch from those w ith the sam $\frac{\circ}{\circ}^{\circ}$ ax and $\#=0: 01$ except in the binodal's vapor branch's shift being som ew hat sm aller.


FIG . 2. The critical tem perature $T_{c}$ (dots in upper panel) and criticaldensity \% (bars in lower panel) of square well system $s$ for ranging from close to unity up to 3.6 as obtained from calculations w ith param eters chosen as indicated in section 'III';
 already used in those tables. The ticks on the top border of the gure's fram e indicate the values considered; of the $200-0 d d$ system swe looked at, max;\# exceeded conly in the ranges indicated in sub-section IV E! or for some isolated , values outside those ranges. T he three boxes in the upper panel indicate the param eter ranges displayed at larger scale in $g$. $\bar{B}_{1}$. In the low er panel, the bars show the coexisting densities found according to the prescriptions of section III, for the highest-tem perature sub-critical isotherm calculated in locating the critical tem perature, which explains the apparent di erences in \% ${ }_{c}$ 's accuracy; the sm allest $\%_{\mathrm{C}}$ intervals shown coincide w th the spacing $\%=10^{2}={ }^{3}$ of the density grid.


F IG . 3. The critical tem perature data of $9 . \overline{1}$, for values of the square well range param eter close to $1.1,1.5$, and 2 at larger scale; the sym bols coincide with those used in $9 .{ }^{121} 1$


FIG. 4. The core-condition function $C^{(Q)}(Q ; \%)$ for $\%=0: 3={ }^{3}, \quad=0: 2=$ and for two dierent ranges of the square well potential, on arbitrary scales; the horizontal lines correspond to the ideal gas value $1=\% . N$ ote that, for $=3$ (upper curve), the peak of every single one of the function's sw ings is partially reduced, which is the case less than half the time $\mid$ and at rather high $Q$ only | for = 2:9 (lower curve). We have excluded the data for $Q<10=$ so that the e ects of the pde's sti ness are still negligible; the underlying calculations have been perform ed by solving the odes corresponding to consistent application of the decoupling assum ption at the density indicated.

