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#### Abstract

W e develop a theory to study apertureless scanning near- eld opticalm icroscopy which takes into account retardation, higher multipoles of the tip, and the multiple scattering betw een the tip and the surface. $W$ e focus on $m$ etallic system $s$ and discuss the im plication of the form ation oftip-induced surface plasm on $m$ odes in the tip-surface system. W e discuss the e ects associated with the shift in energy of those $m$ odes as a function of the tip-surface distance. Both the local eld and the scattering cross section are enhanced when the tip approaches the surface, but there is no general correspondence betw een the two enhancem ents.


PACS num bers: 68.37.U v,73.22 Lpp,78.68.+ m

## I. IN TRODUCTION

 a technique that allows to obtain optical im ages of objects w th sulpw avelength resolution. In the pioneering experim ents used to illum inate the sam ple and obtain subw avelength im ages. N evertheless, it is also possible to perform neareld opticalim aging $w$ thout apertrure probes. $T$ his is the case of apertureless SNOM ${ }^{4} 4$ considerable attention in recent years, allow ing interesting experim ents such as near- eld uorescence im aging ${ }^{19}$ and near- eld vibrationalabsonption detection ${ }^{4} 101 \mathrm{In}$ apertureless SN OM, light is focused at the tip of a scanning probem icroscope (SPM) and the eld enhancem ent near the tip is used to obtain the subw avelength im aging. A pertureless SNOM allows to perform sim ultaneously near- eld optical im aging and other types of local probe $m$ icroscopies, such as atom ic force $m$ icroscopy (A FM) or scanning tunneling $m$ icroscopy (ST M). In addition, it has provided higher resolution than other SN OM techniques. A key issue in the apertureless SNOM technique is the tip-sam ple coupling, and how the electrom agnetic resonances at the cavity form ed by the tip and the surface $m$ ay a ect the corresponding scattered eld.

There hasbeen an extensive theoretical research about SNOM ${ }^{111121}$ m ostly related to im aging $w$ th aperture probes. $W$ ith regard to apertureless SNOM, it has been studied theoretically considering di erent models, and, approxim ations such as electric-dipole for the tip $1^{131} 1^{4}$ the electrostatic, approxim ation $1^{19}$ or the passive probe approxim ation 16. It has also been studied in two dim ensions $1^{17 .}$ There have been detailed num erical calcu-lations,-qf the near eld associated $w$ th apertureless probest ${ }^{12} n_{4}^{2} n^{20}$ and the eld enhancem ent has peen discussed in the context of apertupreless SNOM E'II In addition, the role, of tip geom etryf $f^{2} 2^{1} 33^{1} 24$ the in uence of tip $m$ odulation ${ }^{29}$ and the im plications for $m$ agneto-optical near- eld im aging2 61271 have been studied. N evertheless, there is a need for clari cation of how the tip-sam ple
coupling a ects the signal detected and the local-eld enhancem ent. In particular, the question conceming the link between electrom agnetic resonances at the cavity form ed by the tip and the surface and the corresponding scattered eld needs to be addressed. These resonances concem free electron $m$ etals for which surface plasm ons $m$ ay exist. In the sim ple case of an isolated particle it is well known that the scattering and extinction cross sections have resonance $m$ axim $a$ at about the sam e photon energy. This is obvious from the fact that large charges at the surface give rise to large dipoles and consequently large radiation. If we instead consider tw o interacting particles the situation is not so sim ple. In this case there is not a direct_correlation betw een near- eld and far- eld resonances ${ }^{2} 82_{1}^{12}-2$

This problem is of the highest relevance conceming the em erging eld of optical spectroscopy $w$ ith an apertureless SN OM, which com prises areas such as near- eld $R$ am an scattering and lum inescence. The sm all num ber ofm olecules betw een the tip and the surface leads to extrem ely sm all signals that are very di cult to $m$ easure. O ne possible way to overcom e this problem is to take advantage of the resonance that $m$ ay exist at the cavity betw een the tip and the surface and the extrem ely high elds associated ${ }^{301}$ In th is context, a key question is what is the signature of this type of resonances on the far eld and on the opticalim ages.

In this article we develop a theory which allow s to perform a detailed study of the tip-sam ple interaction in apertureless SNOM.W em odel the tip by a sphere of nite size, whose radius $m$ ay correspond roughly to the radius of curvature of the realtip. The $m$ ain features of the theory are: (i) retardation, (ii) higher multipoles of the sphere, and (iii) the m ultiple scattering betw een the sphere and the surface are taken into account ${ }^{20}$ W e use this theory to analyze the e ects associated w ith the shift in energy of the surface-plasm on resonances of the tipsam ple system as the tip approaches the surface. Those resonances produce an enhancem ent in the near eld and in the far eld aswell, although there is not alw ays an ex-
act correspondence betw een the two enhancem ents. W e w ill also discuss the convergence of the results $w$ ith the num ber of $m$ ultipoles taken into account in the calculation. As a general result, a high num ber of $m$ ultipoles should be retained to arrive at convergence.

The paper is organized as follow s. In Sec. II we highlight the $m$ ain points of the theoretical fram ew ork used for the calculations. In Sec. 'III we discuss the num erical results obtained for the near- eld enhancem ent and scattering cross sections as a function ofdi erent param eters of the system. In Sec. 'ivilw we present the concluding rem arks.
II. METHOD

In this section we present the $m$ ain features of the theoretical form alism em ployed ${ }^{2}-\mathrm{F}$ igure ${ }_{1}^{11}(\mathrm{l}$ (a) show s the system under study. A at $m$ etallic sam ple, lling the half-space $z<z_{0}=(R+d)$, is probed by a m etallic tip, $m$ odeled by a sphere $w$ th radius $R$. The distance betw een the sphere and the surface is $d$, as show in Fig. 'I ${ }_{1}^{\prime}$ (a) .

W e are interested in studying how this system scatters the incident light and which local electrom agnetic elds are built up in the process. For that purpose, we consider a plane $w$ ave incident on this system $w$ ith $w$ ave vector $q$, which can be written as (an $e^{\text {i! } t}$ factor is om itted in elds and other quantities in what follow s)

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {in }}(r)={ }^{n} E^{(s)} \hat{s}+E^{(p)} \hat{p} e^{\mathrm{iqq} r}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a wave vector $q$, the polarization vectors for $s$ and p polarization are, respectively, $\hat{s}=\hat{z} \quad \hat{q}_{k}$, and $\hat{p}=$
q ( $\hat{z} \quad q_{k}$ ); where $q_{k}$ is the projection of $q$ in the surface plane. In the present work we will mainly focus on the p-polarization.

In order to tackle the scattering o the sphere-surface system, the electrom agnetic eld inside and just outside the sphere is expanded in_term s of the electric ( $E$ ) and $m$ agnetic (M) multipoles $\sum^{311}$. The electric eld inside the sphere can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=X_{I m} k C_{l m}^{(M)} j_{1}\left(k_{T} r\right) X_{I m}+\frac{i}{T} r \quad C_{I m}^{(E)} j_{1}\left(k_{T} r\right) X_{l m}^{i}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The electric eld just outside the sphere can be written as the linear com bination of incident and outgoing waves from the sphere

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{E}= \\
& { }_{\mathrm{lm}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{k}\left[a_{\mathrm{lm}}^{(M)} j_{1}(\mathrm{kr})+b_{\mathrm{lm}}^{(M)} h_{1}(\mathrm{kr})\right] \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{lm}}+ \\
& +\operatorname{lr} \quad n \quad\left[a_{l m}^{(E)} j_{1}(k r)+b_{l m}^{(E)} h_{1}(k r)\right] X_{l m} \quad \text {; } \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $X_{\mathrm{lm}}$ is the vector spherical harm onics, $j_{1}$ denotes the sphericalB essel functions related to incom ing waves, and $h_{1}$ are the spherical $H$ ankel functions related to outgoing waves. Equivalent expressions can be written for the $m$ agnetic eld.
(a)


FIG.1: (a) M odel geom etry used in the calculation and (b) illustration of the schem e used in the calculation

By im posing the continuity of the tangential com ponents of $E$ and $H$ and the norm al com ponents of $B$ and D at the sphere surface, it is possible to relate the coe cient of the outgoing wave to the incom ing $w$ ave for each electric and $m$ agnetic multiple:

$$
\mathrm{s}_{1}^{(\mathrm{E})}=\frac{\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{lm}}^{(\mathrm{E})}}{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{lm}}^{(\mathrm{E})}} ; \text { and } \mathrm{s}_{1}^{(\mathrm{M})}=\frac{\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{lm}}^{(\mathrm{M})}}{\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{lm}}^{(\mathrm{M})}} ;
$$

where $s_{1}^{(E)}$ and $s_{1}^{(M)}$ are sphere response functions. D ue to the sym $m$ etry of the sphere, the \quantum " num ber 1 is conserved in a scattering event and the response functions are independent of $m$. These expressions can be rew rilten in a m atrix form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{b}=\$ \mathrm{sa} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\$ s$ is a diagonal tensor that relates the incom ing $w$ ave coe cients, $a$, to the outgoing waves, $\check{b}$.

In the situation under study, the eld im pinging on the sphere consists of three contributions [see Fig. ${ }_{1}^{11}(\mathrm{l})$ ],

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=a^{\mathrm{dir}}+a^{\text {ref }}+\stackrel{\$}{N} a: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a^{\text {dir }}$ corresponds to the am plitude of the eld from the original incident w ave, its value can be obtained by expanding the incident plane w ave in the m ultipoles of the
sphere, while $a^{\text {ref }}$ rep resents the am plitude of the incident w ave re ected once from the sam ple surface. Its value is obtained by expanding the re ected plane wave from the surface in the $m$ ultipoles of the sphere. F inally, $\stackrel{\$}{N}$ a represents w aves scattered from the sphere that retum to it after re ection from the sam ple. $T$ his tem contains the exact eld described by $a$, therefore it accounts for all m ultiple scattering events in which waves are scattered betw een the sphere and the sam ple an arbitrary num ber of tim es. $\stackrel{\$}{N}$ is a tensor in multipole space. It indicates how a spherical wave with a given angular $m$ om enta $l$ and $m$ is re ected from the surface and retums to the sphere. In this re ection process the quantum num ber 1 is not necessarily conserved but, thanks to the cylindrical sym $m$ etry, $m$ is. In the coupling betw een the sphere and the sam ple, both propagating and evanescent waves are taken into account.

Retaining a nite num ber ofm ultipoles, Eq. (5్N) can be solved by a $m$ atrix inversion

$$
a=\begin{gather*}
h_{s}  \tag{6}\\
1
\end{gather*} \stackrel{s}{N}^{i_{1}} \quad a^{d i r}+a^{\text {ref }}:
$$

Now, from Eq. ( $\overline{4}_{1}$ ) we can obtain the coe cients for the outgoing waves from the sphere. From those coe cients it is possible to calculate the radiated eld from the sphere-surface system in a particular direction given by the wave vector $q^{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\text {rad }}=E_{\text {rad }}^{(s)} s^{0}+E_{\text {rad }}^{(p)} \hat{p}^{0} e^{i q^{0} r}: \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we consider a direction other than the specular direction, the radiated eld com prises tw o contributions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathrm{rad}}=E_{\mathrm{rad} ; \text { sphere }}+E_{\text {rad;surface }} ; \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{E}_{\text {rad; sphere }}$ represents the eld radiated directly by the sphere, and $\mathrm{E}_{\text {rad; }}$ surface corresponds to w aves having a nal scattering event from the surface.

## III. RESULTSAND D ISCUSSION

In this section, we study the system form ed by a gold sphere and a gold surface by $m$ eans of the theoretical m ethod described above. The dielectric function of gold is described using the tables reported in Ref. 132.. We $w$ ill consider an incident p-polarized wave wingle of incidence in $=57^{\circ}$. We will mainly concentrate on the e ects associated $w$ ith the form ation of resonances of the tip-surface coupled system. In what follow s , we will mainly focus on the analysis of the local eld enhancem ent and the scattering cross section for scattered $p$-polarized light. Results will be show $n$ for the observation angles out $=57^{\circ}$ and out $=90^{\circ}$. It should be notioed that sim ilare ects than the ones discussed in the present w ork can be seen for other angles of observation and incidence.

Figure $\bar{L}_{1}^{\prime}(a)$ show s the local- eld enhancem ent below the sphere as a function of energy for di erent values of the tip-sam ple distance and a sphere radius of 40 nm . The peak that is built up as the sphere approaches the surface is due to a surface plasm on of the coupled system form ed by the sphere and the surface ${ }^{301}$. This maxim um experiences a redshift as the tip approaches the surface. T he scattered light from the system show sa sim ilar peak, as illustrated by Fig.

Figure $\bar{N}_{1}^{\prime}(a)$ show s the local- eld enhancem ent when a larger sphere (radius of 80 nm ) is considered. The rst peak hasm oved to low er energy (com pared to the previous case w ith sm aller radius) and a new peak appears at


FIG. 2: (a) N ear- eld enhancem ent just undemeath the sphere and (b) di erential scattering cross section as a function of the energy of the incident wave for a gold sphere of radius $\mathrm{R}=40 \mathrm{~nm}$, and for di erent values of the distance between the tip and the surface, $d$. The angle of incidence is in $=57^{\circ}$ and the angles of observation are out $=57^{\circ}$ and out $=90^{\circ}$.
higher energy, but the eld enhancem ent does not vary substantially over a w ide range of energies. The scattering cross section has a qualitatively di erent behavior [see F ig. .'In (b)]. The rst peak appears also in the scattering cross section. By contrast, for higher energies, there is no signi cant correspondence betw een the scattering cross section and the local eld enhancem ent.

In order to analyze the di erent features in
 eld near the sphere. Figure ${ }^{\prime}$ eld around the 80 nm -radius sphere for the rst eld
 as other vector plots show $n$ below, displays the electric


F IG. 3: (a) Near- eld enhancem ent just undemeath the sphere and (b) di erential scattering cross section as a function of the energy of the incident wave for a gold sphere of radius $R=80 \mathrm{~nm}$, and for di erent values of the distance betw een the tip and the surface, $d$. The angle of incidence is in $=57^{\circ}$ and the angles of observation are out $=57^{\circ}$ and out $=90^{\circ}$.
eld just outside the sphere in a verticalplane that also contains the incident $w$ ave vector $q$. T he elds show $n$ in F ig. ${ }_{1}^{\prime 4} \overline{1}$ ' corresponds to the fundam ental resonant surfaceplasm on $m$ ode of the tip-surface system. This fundam entalm ode is also responsible for the peak in F ig. $\mathrm{Z}_{1}^{\prime}(\mathrm{a})$, where a sm aller radius is considered. The local eld in that case show s a very sim ilar pattem. H ow ever, for the second peak in $F$ ig ${ }_{3}^{\prime 3}(\mathrm{l})$, the eld pattem is signi cantly di erent, as can be seen in Fig. $\bar{N}_{1}$. The local eld show S extra features that correspond to a higher $m$ ode of the tip-surface system. The dipolar character of the fiunda$m$ ental $m$ ode $m$ akes it fairly e cient in em 此ing light, as show $n$ by the large scattering cross section associated w ith it (see Fig. has a sm all scattering cross section associated, which can be explained by the $m$ ore com plex distribution of the $10-$ cal eld for this $m$ ode.

The form ation of such resonances and their energy shifts have signi cant consequences on the \approach curves", i.e. when varying the tip-surface distance for xed values of the photon energy. Figure ${ }_{6}^{16}$, show s the local eld enhancem ent and the scattering cross section for a photon energy of 1.9 eV and di erent values of the radius of the sphere. The local eld enhancem ent increases w hen the tip approaches the surface for the range oftip-sam ple distance studied and for all the sphere radii under study $\mathbb{E}$ ig. ${ }_{i}^{\prime}$ Gq (c)]. (W e only show results for tipsurface distances larger than 0.3 nm , since for very short


FIG.4: Realpart (a) and im aginary part (b) of the electric eld on the sphere surface for $R=80 \mathrm{~nm}, E=1: 32 \mathrm{eV}$ and $d=1 \mathrm{~nm}$, which corresponds to the rst maxim um of the near- eld enhancem ent in $F$ ig. $\bar{B}_{1}^{\prime}(a)$. T he displayed elds have been calculated just outside the surface of the sphere in a verticalplane that contains the incident $w$ ave vector $q$. T he absolute $m$ agnitude of the depicted elds is about 325 tim es stronger than the incident eld as can be seen in F ig. $\bar{B}_{1}^{1}$.
distances the description of the system with -a macroscopic dielectric function is no longer justi ed $\mathbf{L}^{33}$ )

Figure ${ }_{i}^{-1}(a)$ ilhustrates the behavior of the di erential scattering cross section as a function of tip-surface distance. It presents a maxim um. It is worth noticing that this $m$ axim um does not im ply a maxim um of the local eld enhancem ent, which keeps increasing when the sphere approaches the surface. T he corresponding feature in the local eld enhancem ent is a shoulder, rather than a $m$ axim um, as can be seen in $F$ ig ${ }_{1}^{\prime} \bar{\sigma}_{1}^{\prime}(c)$ for sphere radius $R=40 \mathrm{~nm}$. The tip-surface distance at which the $m$ axim um of the scattered eld appears increases with the radius of the sphere and the peak becom es w ider. In addition, som e extra structure appears at very short distances [see g. ${ }_{1} \overline{1} / \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{b})$ ], especially for the larger radii. W e will analyze the physical origin of the di erent features by looking in detail at the local eld distribution.

Figure ${ }_{1}^{17}$ displays the local eld around the sphere for the maxim um of the scattering cross section for a sphere w ith radius 40 nm . This gure shows that the peak corresponds to the fiundam ental surface plasm on $m$ ode of the tip-surface system. The approach curves for sm all spheres w ere previously calculated by G irard ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ who modeled the sphere by an electric dipole and obtained peaks that can be related to the fundam entalsurface plasm on $m$ ode. For the larger radii under study, the fundam ental $m$ ode resonance is already shifted to energies low er than 1.9 eV , the photon energy considered in $F$ ig. .'G. The behavior of the scattering cross section as a function of tip-surface distance is in this case controlled by the tail of the resonance peaks shown in F ig.


F IG . 5: Realpart (a) and im aginary part (b) of the electric eld on the sphere surface for $R=80 \mathrm{~nm}, E=1: 9 \mathrm{eV}$ and $d=1 \mathrm{~nm}$, which corresponds to the second maxim um (w ith a m agnitude 300 tim es that of the incident eld) of the near- eld enhancem ent in $F$ ig. $\bar{B}_{1}^{1}(a)$.


F IG . 6: D i erential scattering cross section (a) and (b), and near- eld enhancem ent just undemeath the sphere (c), as a function of the tip-surface distance $d$, for a photon energy of 1.9 eV and sphere radius R of $40 \mathrm{~nm}, 60 \mathrm{~nm}$, and 80 nm . T he angle of incidence is in $=57^{\circ}$ and the angles of observation are out $=57^{\circ}$ and out $=90^{\circ}$.
. This explains why the peaks in the scattering cross section as a function of tip-sam ple distance displayed in Fig. 'i' (a) and calculated for larger sphere radii ( 60 nm and 80 nm ) are $\mathrm{much} w$ ider than the one calculated for the $40-\mathrm{nm}$ sphere. At a much sm aller tip-surface distance, i.e. about 0.5 nm , a narrow peak is present for the $\mathrm{R}=80 \mathrm{~nm}$ sphere $\mathbb{E}$ ig. 'G (b)]. T he local eld distribution displayed in Fig. $\overline{1} 1$, w ith its characteristic node, show $s$
that this peak is associated $w$ ith the higher $m$ ode resonance of the tip-surface system. The tip-sam ple distance at which this higher-m ode resonance appears increases w ith increasing sphere radius and photon energy.

W hen studying the light scattered by an isolated sphere one nds that for the larger sphere ( $\mathrm{R}=80 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) there is a M ie resonance at energies near $1.9 \mathrm{eV} . \mathrm{W}$ hen considering the sphere-surface system, the $M$ ie resonance of the isolated sphere im plies that, for the 80 nm -radius sphere, the am ount of s-polarized scattered light becom es larger than the p-polarized light. N evertheless, the am ount of $s$-polarized scattered light is alm ost independent of the tip-sam ple distance, except for distances shorter than 1 nm , where som e features appear that could be related to the form ation of the higher resonant $m$ ode discussed above.


FIG.7: Realpart (a) and im aginary part (b) of the electric eld on the sphere surface for $R=40 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{E}=1: 9 \mathrm{eV}$ and $\mathrm{d}=1: 23 \mathrm{~nm}$, which corresponds to a peak of scattering cross section in $F$ ig. ${ }^{\text {la (b) }}$ (b). The local eld is about 400 tim es stronger than the incident eld in this case as can be seen in Fig. in $_{\text {( }}(\mathrm{c})$.

It is also interesting to analyze the approach curve for photon energies w th no signi cant resonance e ects. $F$ igure ${ }^{-1}(a)$ show s the local eld enhancem ent for a photon energy of 2.4 eV . In this lo resonance" situation, the local- eld enhancem ent presents a maxim um as a function of the tip-sam ple distance. This maxim um appears between $d=1 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $d=3 \mathrm{~nm}$ for the sphere radii studied. The $m$ axim um of the local eld enhancem ent m anifests itself also in the scattering cross section through a very sm allpeak at sim ilar tip-surface distance [see Fig. 'ig (b)]. H ow ever, the scattering cross-section $m$ axim um appears at much larger tip-surface distances, betw een $d=10 \mathrm{~nm}$ and $\mathrm{d}=20 \mathrm{~nm}$.

In order to gain physical insight about the origin of the di erent $m$ axim $a$ in the $o$-resonance situation


FIG.8: Realpart (a) and im aginary part (b) of the electric eld on the sphere surface for $R=80 \mathrm{~nm}, \mathrm{E}=1: 9 \mathrm{eV}$ and $\mathrm{d}=0: 48 \mathrm{~nm}$, which corresponds to a peak of scattering cross
 in this case is about 1000 tim es stronger than the incident eld.
$(\mathbb{E}=2.4 \mathrm{eV}$ ), we study the convergence w ith the num ber of $m$ ultipoles taken into account in the calculation. We analyze the case of a sphere radius of 40 nm , for which the $m$ axim a ofenhancem ent and scattered am plitude appear at w ell separated values of tip-surface distance. The local- eld enhancem ent requires a high num ber ofm ultipoles to converge, in this particular case it w as necessary to consider m ultipoles of order $l=20$ to arrive at a satisfactory convergence. Di erently, convergence for the scattering cross section is achieved with a low num ber of m ultipoles. Retaining m ultipoles up to $l=2$, i.e. dipole and quadrupole $m$ odes of the sphere, we obtain $m$ ost of the features of the scattering cross section, in particular, its $m$ ain $m$ axim um. $T$ his fast convergence im plies that a sim ple $m$ odel for the sphere-surface system can be adequate for the estim ation of the scattering o the system when no resonant behavior is involved. In particular, the often used m odel consisting of a dipole representing the sphere and its im age dipole that together have a quadrupole character $m$ ight be suitable in this situation. If we are interested in the local- eld enhancem ent, even in this o resonance situation, we need to consider a m ore sophisticated m odel including higher m ultipoles of the sphere.

On the other hand, for a photon energy of 1.9 eV (the \on-resonance" situation for which cavity m odes can be built up), it was necessary to take into account a high num ber ofm ultipoles in order to converge both the scattering cross section and the local eld enhancem ent. For exam ple, for a sphere radius of 60 nm , for reproducing the $m$ ain $w$ ide peak of the scattering cross section it was


F IG．9：（a）Near－eld enhancem ent just undemeath the sphere，and（b）di erential scattering cross section as a func－ tion of the tip－surface distance d，for a photon energy of 2.4 eV and sphere radii of $40 \mathrm{~nm}, 60 \mathrm{~nm}$ ，and 80 nm ，respectively． The angle of incidence is in $=57^{\circ}$ and the angles of observa－ tion are out $=57^{\circ}$ and out $=90^{\circ}$ ．
enough to retain $m$ ultipoles up to around $l=10$ ．H ow－ ever，we needed to take into account multipoles up to the order of $l=70$ to arrive at convergence for the 10 － cal eld enhancem ent and to reproduce the peak of the scattering cross section at short sphere－surface distance associated $w$ th a higher resonant $m$ ode．For the range of energies w here tip－surface plasm on $m$ odes can be built up，a sim ple $m$ odel is inadequate to describe the system ．

C avity or gap m odes haze，been reported in the context of light em ission in STM ${ }^{341551}$ and in sam ples consisting of $m$ etallic nanoparticles placed very close to a $m$ etallic sur－ face ${ }^{361}$ In both cases，the distance betw een the $m$ etallic tip ornanoparticle and them etallic surface can be shorter than 1 nanom eter．For SNOM，little has been done in
connection with gap m odes．In the context of aperture－ less SNOM，the approach curves have befn，expprim en tally studied in detail by several groups 4,543 A usuale ect is the appearance of a peak or maxim um of the signalw hen tip approaches the sam ple，especially $w$ hen both the tip and the sam ple are $m$ ade of the sam e $m$ etal 1 This peak，which is sensitive to the polarization and the dielectric functions of the tip and the sam ple， can be interpreted as a $m$ anifestation of the fundam ental cavity m ode discussed in this article．In a recent arti－ cle⿰㇒⿻土一⿰⿷匚一亅⿱一𫝀口1－A ndre et al．have reported experim ental results on apertureless SN OM w ith a STM thatm ight be related to the form ation of cavity $m$ odes betw een the tip and the surface．P articularly，di erent behaviors of the signal as a function of the tip－substrate distance have been m ea－ sured，$w$ ith the appearance ofm inim a and $m$ axim a as the tip is rem oved from the substrate．T here are som equal－ itative sim ilarities w ith the results shown in F ig．＇G，sup－ porting the claim that the e ects observed in Ref． 41 1．are associated w ith cavitym odes．N evertheless，the experi－ $m$ ental setup in Ref．＇ 41 I＇＇is di erent than the one consid－ ered in the present w ork，therefore the com parison should be established w ith caution．

So far，we have considered sphere－surface distances much shorter than the wavelength．For sphere－surface separations on the order or larger than the w avelength of the incident w ave，both the scattering cross－section and the near－eld enhancem ent oscillate as a function of the tip－surface distance．These undulations are due to the interference of the incident $w$ ave and the $w$ ave re ected by the surface．At th is range of sphere－surface distance， there is no signi cant coupling betw een the sphere and the sam ple．

## IV ．CONCLUSION S

By m eans of a detailed theoretical fram ew ork，which includes retardation，higher multipoles of the tip，and m ultiple scattering betw een the tip and the sam ple，we have studied the e ects associated w th the tip－sam ple coupling in apertureless SNOM．In particular，we have analyzed the implications of energy shifts of the tip－ induced surface plasm ons or cavity $m$ odes as the tip ap－ proaches the surface．There is no exact correspondence betw een the local eld enhancem ent and the scattering cross section．As a function of the tip－surface distance， the scattering cross section present $m$ axim a related to the cavity $m$ odes，while the local eld enhancem ent keeps increasing as the tip approaches the surface．For a pho－ ton energy for which resonant e ects are negligible，both the local eld enhancem ent and the scattering cross sec－ tion present $m$ axim a but at well separated values of the tip－surface distance，in contrast to what is som etim es as－ sum ed．In som e cases，the $m$ axim um of the scattering cross section appears at tip－surface distances of 15 nm while the maxim um local－eld enhancem ent is at a tip－ surface distance of approxim ately 2 nm ．

W e have also studied the convergence of the results in term $s$ of the num ber of $m$ ultipoles inchuded in the calculation. In general, one $m$ ust retain a high num ber of m ultipoles in order to arrive at convergence. Only for energies for which no cavity modes are built up, a sim $\mathrm{ple} m$ odel (retaining dipole and quadrupole $m$ odes of the sphere) for the sphere-surface system can be appropriate for estim ating the light scattered o the system, but insu cient for the local eld enhancem ent. W hen tipsurface plasm on $m$ odes are present, the sim ple $m$ odel is inadequate and a high num ber of multipoles should be taken into account.

F inally, we w ould like to stress that the present results are-also signi cant for surface-enhanced $R$ am an scattering ${ }^{42}$. and the em erging area oftip-enhanced $R$ am an spec-
troscopy the tip and the sam ple and the associated eld enhance$m$ ent can play a signi cant role ${ }^{166}$
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