## Spectral densities of K ondo im purities in nanoscopic system s

P.S.Comaglia and C.A.Balseiro
Instituto Balseiro and Centro Atomico Bariloche,
Comision Nacional de Energia Atomica, 8400 San Carlos de Bariloche, Argentina.
(Dated: March 22, 2024)

We present results for the spectral properties of K ondo in purities in nanoscopic systems. Using Wilson's renormalization group we analyze the frequency and temperature dependence of the impurity spectral density  $_{i}(!;T)$  for in purities in small systems that are either isolated or in contact with a reservoir. We have performed a detailed analysis of the structure of  $_{i}(!;T)$  for! around the Fermienergy for dierent Fermienergies relative to the intrinsic structure of the local density of states. We show how the electron connement energy scales introduce new features in the frequency and temperature dependence of the impurity spectral properties.

PACS numbers:  $72.15 \, \Omega \, \text{m}$ , 73.22.-f

#### I. INTRODUCTION

The physics at the nanoscale has emerged as one of the most active areas in condensed matter physics. This new eld includes the study of small metallic and superconducting islands, quantum dots and nanostructurated semiconductors, nanotubes and quantum corrals, nanoelectronics and nano-characterization among other things.<sup>1</sup>

The advances in nanotechnologies revived the interest in the K ondo e ect, <sup>2</sup> one of the paradigm s of strongly correlated system s. On one hand, Scanning Tunneling M icroscopy (STM) allowed the direct measurement of local spectroscopic properties of K ondo in purities on noblemetal surfaces<sup>3,4</sup> and in nanoscopic systems. <sup>5</sup> On the other hand, it has been shown that single electron transistors and single walled carbon nanotubes weakly coupled to contacts may behave as K ondo in purities generating new alternatives to study the phenomena. <sup>6</sup>

In its simplest form, the Kondo problem is a single quantum spin interacting with an ideal electron gas. An antiferrom agnetic coupling between the impurity and the free electron spins gives rise to an anom alous scattering at the Ferm i energy leading to a large im purity contribution to the resistivity. Simultaneously the impurity spin is screened by the conduction electrons and the magnetic susceptibility saturates at low temperature. There is a characteristic temperature TK that separates the low tem perature from the high tem perature regim es. At  $T_K$  , the impurity spin is essentially free and the problem can be treated by perturbations in a dimensionless coupling constant . At T  $T_K$  the impurity spin is screened forming a singlet complex with the conduction electrons and the system is described by an in nite e ective coupling. The crossover regime with T  $T_K$  is more di cult to describe and the best treatm ent corresponds to the num erical renorm alization group (NRG) as done by Wilson.

The characteristic K ondo tem perature is given by  $T_K$  D e  $^{1-}$  where 2D is the free electron bandwidth. A ssociated to this energy scale there is a characteristic length scale known as the K ondo screening length

 $_{\rm K}$  =  $hv_{\rm F}$  =  $k_{\rm B}$   $T_{\rm K}$  where  $v_{\rm F}$  is the Ferm i velocity. The physical meaning of the screening length is that, in the low temperature regime where the impurity spin is screened, the antiferrom agnetic correlations between the impurity and conduction electron spins extend up to a distance of the order of  $_{\rm K}$ .

The problem of Kondo impurities in nanoscopic systems for dierent experimental realizations has been the subject of many recent theoretical and experimental works. When a K ondo system, either an atom ic impurity or an articial atom like a quantum dot (QD), is embedded in a small system of volume Ld where d is the spacial dim ension, the length-scale L should be compared with the characteristic K ondo length  $_{\rm K}$  . For L <  $_{\rm K}$   $_{\rm N}$  nitesize e ects are expected to be important. The condition  $_{\mbox{\scriptsize K}}$  is equivalent to  $k_{\mbox{\scriptsize B}}\;T_{\mbox{\scriptsize K}}$ where the energy gives the average level spacing of the nite system. For a nite system the characteristic energy acts as a low energy cuto for the charge and spin excitations and consequently it modies the low temperature behavior of the system.

The ground state properties of a K ondo impurity in a small system have been addressed by a number of authors using dierent approximations. <sup>8,9,10</sup> The thermodynamic properties and the elect of coupling the system to a macroscopic reservoir have recently been studied using the Wilson's renormalization group. In this work we extend renormalization group calculations to evaluate the impurity spectral density and analyze how the new energy or length scales introduced by the nite size a ects the K ondo resonance at the Fermi energy. We also study the temperature dependence of the low energy spectral density.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in section II we present the model and describe how the numerical renormalization group is adapted to our case. We then recap the most relevant them odynamic properties. Section III contains the spectral properties of the impurity for frequencies close to the Fermi energy. After presenting the general formulation we show results for nite systems, systems in contact with a reservoir and the temperature dependence of the Kondo resonances. Finally

section IV includes a sum mary and discussion.

## II. M ODEL AND THERM ODYNAM IC PROPERTIES

In this section we present the model for an impurity in a nanoscopic system coupled with a macroscopic reservoir and brie y discuss how Wilson's renormalization group is adapted to this problem. Then we review the thermodynam ic properties of the system.

# A. Model Hamiltonian and Wilson's Renormalization Group

O ur starting point is the Anderson model form agnetic impurities with a Ham iltonian which in the usual notation reads:  $^{\!11}$ 

where the operator  $d^y$  creates an electron with spin at the impurity orbital with energy " $_d$  and C oulomb repulsion U , and  $c^y$  creates an electron in an extended state with quantum numbers and and energy ". The last term represents the e ect of an external magnetic eld along the z-direction coupled to the impurity spin  $S_i$ . Hereafter we will use D = 1 as our unit of energy.

In this notation, the nanostructure of the system is hidden in the structure of the one-electron extended states with energies " and wavefunctions (r). In equation (1) the hybridization matrix elements are taken proportional to the extended state wavefunctions at the im purity position, i.e.  $V = V_0$  (0) where the impurity position is de ned as the origin of coordinates. We consider a sim ple structure consisting of a spherical metallic cluster of radius R c with the impurity at the center. The cluster is embedded in a bulk material with which it is weakly coupled through a large surface barrier. The Hamiltonian can be put in the form  $H_{AM} = H_{AM}^{0} + W$  (r; R<sub>c</sub>) where the rst term is the Anderson model Hamiltonian for an impurity in an in nite homogeneous host and the last term is a spherically symmetric potential barrier placed at a distance Rc from the impurity. Figure 1(a) illustrates the con guration described by the model. For an in nite impenetrable barrier, the central cluster is decoupled from the macroscopic reservoir and the model describes an impurity in a small sample. In this situation, the extended states that are coupled to the impurity, are con ned in the central cluster and their energy spectrum is a discrete spectrum with a mean energy level separation given by the characteristic energy: For a nite barrier these states are hybridized with the continuum acquiring a nite lifetime, the local density of states inside




FIG. 1: A sketch of the central grain em bedded in a metal (a). Inside the grain the characteristic energy level spacing is shown together with the impurity levels at " $_{\rm d}$  and " $_{\rm d}$  + U . In (b) the linear chain obtained after W ilson's canonical transform ation .

the central cluster then presents resonances separated by the energy and with widths that are determined by the barrier. The model then incorporates the new energy scales and , that may drastically change the impurity behavior.

This geometrical structure is particularly appropriate to use the num erical renorm alization group approach developed by Wilson. Wilson introduced a logarithmic discretization of the energy of the conduction electrons, dividing the band in a series of energy intervals with exponentially decreasing width. By means of a canonical transform ation the resulting H am iltonian can be mapped into a linear chain with variable hoppings. Each site representing an orbital surrounding the impurity with an associated energy (or length) scale. Wilson proposed to solve the linear chain by iterative perturbation. A truncated chain with N sites, described by an e ective  ${\tt H} \; {\tt am} \; {\tt iltonian} \; {\tt H} \; {\tt N} \; ,$  gives the correct physics on an energy scale  $\frac{1}{2}$ <sub>N</sub> . A renorm alization group transform ation corresponds to adding a site to the chain and relates the Ham iltonians describing successive lower energy scales. This leads to a system atic way of calculating the therm odynam ic properties at successive lower tem peratures and the spectroscopic properties at successive lower fre-

In our case, the H am iltonian H  $_{\rm AM}^0$  is rewritten in W ilson's basis as schem atically shown in F ig.1 (b). The potential barrier is described including a higher diagonal energy to the orbital centered around R  $_{\rm C}$ . Due to the structure of W ilson's basis wavefunctions, a given potential barrier leads to a diagonal energy that decreases as R  $_{\rm C}$  increases. A lternatively the barrier can be simulated with a smaller hopping matrix element in the region where W (r;R  $_{\rm C}$ ) is dierent from zero. We adopted this last description reducing one hopping term by a factor . In what follows it is assumed that the band of extended states is half led—the Ferm ienergy is set equal to zero




FIG. 2: Unperturbed local density of extended states at the impurity coordinate (site 1) for a cluster with  $\,=\,0$  (vertical bars) and  $\,=\,0.05$  (lines). In the later case the spectral density has been evaluated using a small imaginary part in the frequency (a) N  $_{\text{c}}=\,19$  and (b) N  $_{\text{c}}=\,20$ .

-and  $_{\rm d}$  = U=2. This guarantees that the electron-hole sym m etry of the problem is preserved.

The properties of a K ondo impurity depend on the local density of states at the impurity coordinate that in W ilson's representation is the local density of states at site 1. We end this section with a brief discussion on the e ect of the con ning potential W (r; R c) on the local density of states close to the Fermi energy. For a given N the spectrum of  $H_N$  depends on the parity of N . In the absence of impurity, a one particle state at zero energy exists only for odd N as schematically shown in Fig. 2. The spectrum, as a function of N, alternates between those of Figs. 2(a) and 2(b). The mean energy separation, between the one-electron states, is given by the characteristic energy that decreases as N increases. This is the spectrum of a nite system  $(N = N_c)$  described by an in nite barrier. The other one electron states, not shown in Fig. 2, are not at energies n or (n + 1=2)with integer n. This is due to the logarithm ic discretization of the band. How the NRG can be used to analyze nite size e ects was shown by Nozieres 12. To show that the logarithm ic discretization correctly describes the low energy spectrum of a impurity in nite systems, in the next section, we compare the exacts results on a nite system with those obtained with the NRG. The alternating spectrum with a xed Fermi energy corresponds to an alternating parity in the number of electrons, in fact for a xed electron density, as the radius R c of the cluster increases, the number of electrons alternates between even and odd. We recall that due to the symmetry of the system only the sector of the Hilbert space with swave electrons is considered here. With a nite barrier ( 6 0) the discrete states of the cluster are hybridized with the continuum of the host metal and become resonances. The local density of states then becomes a continuum but retains som e structure characterized by the energy. In the NRG approach, Hamiltonians H $_{\rm N}$  with N > N<sub>c</sub> accumulate states at low energies representing

the broadening of the central peak for odd N  $_{\rm C}$  or the tails of the states at = 2 for even N  $_{\rm C}$ . The local densities of states as obtained with the NRG are also shown in Fig. 2. For  $\,$  6 0 the number of electrons in the central cluster is no longer a good quantum number and in what follow we refer to the situations of Figs. 2 (a) and 2 (b) as the Ferm i energy being at a resonance (at-resonance) or between two resonances (o -resonance) respectively.

As we show below, this structure of the local density of states determ ines the therm odynam ic and the spectral properties of the impurity.

### B. Therm odynam ic Properties

Here we brie y recap the therm odynam ic properties of the model. As stated above, for = 0 the at-resonance situation corresponds to an odd number of extended electrons. An impurity in the Kondo limit contributes with an extra electron and the ground state is a singlet indicated as  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ i: The expectation value of the impurity spin  $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{iz}$   $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{iz}$   $\hat{\mathcal{D}}_{iz}$  is zero rejecting the complete screening of the impurity spin and the zero temperature susceptibility is nite. For the oir resonance situation the ground state of the isolated cluster with a Kondo impurity is a Kramers spin-1=2 doublet, j \* i and j + i. The expectation value  $h * \hat{\mathcal{D}}_{iz} j * i = i + i + i + i + i$  is different from zero and, in the low temperature limit the impurity susceptibility diverges as i + i

For a nite barrier the susceptibility always saturates, however the at-resonance and o -resonance situations give rise to very dierent temperature dependences. We calculated the impurity magnetic susceptibility given by  $^{13}$ 

where the sum m ation is done over the low energy states j i with energies E and P = exp ( E =  $k_B$  T)=Z. The m atrix elements h  ${\mathcal B}_{\rm iz}$  j i have to be evaluated in a recursive way at each renorm alization step. The susceptibility re ects the therm odynam ic properties of the system and we use the e ective m agnetic m om ent  $^2=k_B$  T as an indicator of the degree of screening of the impurity spin.

In absence of barrier – corresponding to the in nite hom ogeneous system – the characteristic energy scale is the K ondo tem perature indicated as  $k_B\ T_K^{\ 1}$ . A nite barrier at R  $_C$  – enclosing N  $_C$  shells– introduces the new energy scale = D  $^{N\ c^{=2}}$ , where = 2 is W ilson's discretization parameter. For  $k_B\ T_K^{\ 1}$  the ne structure (on the scale of  $T_K^{\ 1}$ ) of the density of states does not change the properties of the system . C onversely, for  $k_B\ T_K^{\ 1}$  new con nem ent induced regimes are observed: for the system at-resonance, as the tem perature




FIG. 3: The magnetic susceptibility  $k_B\,T$  versus tem perature. The thick line corresponds to an impurity in a bulk material ( = 1), lines and symbols to a barrier enclosing N  $_{\text{C}}$  = 19 and 20 shells (open and full symbols respectively). Triangles (circles) correspond to = 0:35 (0:6). The other parameters are: " $_{\text{d}}$  = 0:5, U = 1:0, and V = 0:2.

is lowered, there is a rapid decrease in the magnetic mom ent  $^2$  when  $k_B$  T ; for the system o -resonance, as k<sub>B</sub> T approaches the magnetic moment saturates leading to a plateau in the temperature dependence of  $^2$ , only at lower temperatures the screening is completed (see Fig. 3). This plateau can be interpreted as the behavior of an isolated cluster. Only at very low temperatures the coupling with the host states becomes relevant and the complete screening can occur. The condition for the existence of these new regim es  $k_B T_K^1$ put in the form  $\ ^1_K \ R_{\text{c}}$  where  $\ ^1_K \ = \ hv_F = \! k_B \; T_K^{\; 1}$  is the Kondo screening length of the in nite system. In other words, only if the K ondo screening length is of the order or larger than the system size, the con nem ent effects become evident in the therm odynamic properties. Although the behavior of the system is not universal, at very low temperatures the susceptibility can be used to de ne an e ective K ondo tem perature. In fact the low tem perature tail of  $k_{\text{B}}\,T$   $\,$  can be scaled to de ne the e ective energy scale  $T_K^{\,\mathrm{eff}}$  for the low energy spin excitations. We have done this using two dierent criteria, one is simply to use W ilson's result:

$$(T = 0) = 0.103 \frac{\frac{2}{i}}{k_B T_K};$$
 (3)

to relate the low temperature susceptibility to an effective  $T_K^{\rm eff}$ . The other alternative is to plot  $^2$  vs.  $T\!=\!T_K^{\rm eff}$  tting  $T_K^{\rm eff}$  to have a good scaling at low temperatures. The elective K ondo temperatures describing the low temperature behavior are shown in Fig. 4 as a function of the barrier height for the at-resonance and oleresonance situations. For >0.2 the two criteria give the same results, for smaller values of the universal behavior is obtained only at extremely low temperatures where numerical errors become important.




FIG. 4: The elective K ondo temperature, that describes the low temperature behavior of the susceptibility, versus the barrier parameter for the at-resonance (upper curves) and the oleresonance (lower curves) cases. Open symbols were obtained using Eq. (3), full symbols using the scaling shown in the inset. The parameters are the same as in Fig. 3.

## III. SPECTRAL DENSITIES OF IM PURITIES IN NANOSCOPIC SYSTEMS

U sing the standard de nitions and notation, the impurity G reen's function can be written, using the Lehm ann representation, as:

G (!;T) = 
$$\frac{1}{Z(T)}^{X}$$
;  $^{0}$  jh jd j  $^{0}$ ij  $^{2}$   $\frac{e^{E - ek_{B}T} + e^{E - oek_{B}T}}{! (E \circ E)}$ ; (4)

where Z (T) is the grand partition function

$$Z(T) = X e^{E = k_B T}$$
: (5)

The corresponding impurity spectral density is

$$i (!;T) = \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} [G (! + i0^{+};T) G (! i0^{+};T)]$$

with M ;  $_0$  = h jd j  $^0$ i. In the absence of an external magnetic eld, the impurity spectral density is spin independent and from here on we drop the spin index in  $_i$  (!;T).

We now brie y discuss the application of the NRG to evaluate these quantities. The zero temperature limit of expression (6) can be put in the form:

$$i(!;T = 0) = \frac{1}{Z(0)} X M_{;0} \hat{J} (! + E) + M_{0;} \hat{J} (! + E);$$
 (7)

where the subindex 0 indicates the ground state, the sum – m ation is over all excitations  $\,$  with energies E  $\,$  and on

the components of the ground state in the case of a degenerate ground state, Z (0) is the zero temperature partition function that gives the ground state degeneracy. We recall that in the NRG the chemical potential is set at zero and all many body energies E are measured from the ground state energy.

In order to evaluate the impurity spectral density of the real system using the results of the NRG, consider the spectral density  $_{i}^{N}$  (!;T) corresponding to Ham iltonian H $_{N}$ :Asmentioned above, the RG strategy of an iterative diagonalization of a sequence of Ham iltonians H $_{N}$  with N = 0;1;:::is based on the fact that, on an energy scale  $\frac{1}{2}$ N, the spectrum of H $_{N}$  is representative of the spectrum of the in nite Ham iltonian H. Hence, for !  $\frac{1}{2}$ N it is a good approximation to take  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

$$_{i}(!;T = 0) = _{i}^{N} (!;T = 0):$$

A typical choice is to consider for the N  $^{th}$  iteration the energy range  $^{\rm N=2}$  < ! < 2  $^{\rm N=2}$  , that is to consider all the states of H  $_{\rm N}$  w ith energies E  $^{\rm N}$  in this range and all the corresponding m atrix elements M  $_{\rm ;0}^{\rm N}$  to compute the spectral density as

$$_{i}(!;T = 0) = \frac{1}{Z_{N}(0)} \underset{;0}{X} \underset{;0}{1} M_{i}^{N} \underset{;0}{?} (! + E^{N})$$

$$+ 1 M_{0}^{N} \underset{;0}{?} (! E^{N}); \qquad (8)$$

Since for the in nite system s the energy spectrum consists of a continuum , at each energy scale  $\mbox{$\frac{1}{2}$}_N$  , the discrete spectra are usually smoothed by replacing the delta function (!  $E^N$ ) by a smooth distribution  $P_N$  (!  $E^N$ ). At each N we use a G aussian logarithm ic distribution with a width that decreases as the characteristic energy scale of H  $_N$  . It is then clear that at high energies, say the atom ic energy of the impurity level  $\mbox{$^{\rm H}_{\rm O}$}_d$ , the NRG cannot resolve any detailed structure, only at low frequencies there is enough resolution to see, for example, con nement effects. We analyze in detail the impurity spectral density around the Ferm i energy which in fact contains all the physics at an energy scale relevant for the K ondo e ect and for the electron con nement in a nanoscopic sample.

Before presenting the renormalization group results we show, as a reference calculation, some exact results in nite systems.

## A. Exact results in nite systems

Here we present the zero temperature results for an impurity in a nite system consisting of a linear chain of N equivalent sites with the impurity at one end. In this case the energy spectrum of extended states " and the hybridization matrix elements V of Hamiltonian (1) are given by:

" = 
$$2tcos( = (N + 1));$$

and

$$V = V_0 \sin ( = (N + 1));$$




FIG. 5: Impurity spectral density  $_{\rm i}(!;T=0)$ . Upper panels are exact results for a linear chain with N = 8 (V<sub>0</sub> = 0:14t;  $_{\rm d}$  = E  $_{\rm F}$  0.5t, U = 1:0t, and t = 0:25) and 8 (a) and 7 (b) electrons. Lower panels are the NRG results with = 0, N  $_{\rm c}$  = 13 (c) and N  $_{\rm c}$  = 14 (d). (" $_{\rm d}$  = 0:5, U = 1:0, and V = 0:2.)

where t is the hopping matrix element in the chain and = 1;2;::::;N.

U sing a Lanczos algorithm we rst calculate the ground state energy and wavevector. In the present case it is convenient to use a second Lanczos algorithm 15 to evaluate directly the impurity spectral function, rather than evaluating excited states, their corresponding energies and matrix elements M  $\,$ ;  $\,$ 0. For a better com parison of the dierent cases, we always measure the frequency from the Fermi energy  $E_F$  de ned as  $E_F$  =  $E_0$  (N  $_{\rm e}$ 1)]=2 with  $E_0$  (N<sub>e</sub>) the ground  $\mathbb{E}_{0} (N_{e} + 1)$ state energy of the system with Ne particles. The spectral density around the Fermi energy shows a series of peaks separated by the characteristic energy . Each peak may be composed by a one or a few delta lines as shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b). In what follows we discuss the low energy structure (! < ) of the impurity spectral function for the case of even and odd number of particles corresponding to the at-resonance and o resonance situations respectively. Note that the results of Figs. 5(a) and 5(b) are not for a half led system and as a consequence the electron-hole sym m etry is not exactly preserved.

Even number of particles: The ground state of the system is a singlet and the impurity spectral density shows a central peak at! 0 and two satellites at! The central peak consists of two lines, one for ! > 0 and one for ! < 0 corresponding to adding and subtracting a particle respectively. The splitting between these two lines, that decreases as  $V_0$  decreases, is not given directly by the con nem entenergy but by the energy gained by form ing the singlet, i.e. is given by the K ondo tem perature of the nite system. The peaks at! made of a couple of delta lines each. The justication of this description of a central line and satellites at given by the fact that for smaller hybridizations the two central lines approach! 0 and the impurity spectral density reproduces the structure of the unperturbed local

density of states (the at-resonance situation of Fig. 2 (a)). Here we used these parameters for a better comparison; results with a smaller hybridization calculated with the NRG are shown below.

Odd number of particles: The ground state of the system is a spin-1/2 doublet. The spectral densities have a low frequency gap with peaks at! =2. Each of these peaks at! =2 consist of two delta lines that correspond to nal states with di erent total spin: when an electron is created or destroyed on the spin-1/2 ground state, the nal state may have total spin zero or one. The energy of the nal state depends on its total spin. A gain in this case the general structure is that of the underlying local density of states.

These results should serve us as a guide for the num erical renormalization group calculation. Since the NRG involves some approximations and will be extended to more realistic cases, as is the case of a system with a nite barrier, it is important to have this exact result as a reference calculation.

# B. Num erical Renormalization Group: Zero Tem perature Results

For a nite system, the renormalization procedure is truncated at an iteration N c. In Figs. 5 (c) and 5 (d) we present the NRG results for the impurity spectral density of a nite system with an even and an odd number of particles respectively. As for the calculation of the therm odynam ic properties, the system with an even (odd) number of particles is evaluated with an odd (even) number of shells N  $_{\mbox{\scriptsize c}}.$  The spectrum consists of a discrete collection of delta lines and, as in the previous section, the smoothing is done only for practical purposes in the presentation of the data. Our low frequency NRG results com pare very well with the exact results of a nite system Figs. 5(a) and 5(b)]. Again, for an even number of particles the impurity spectral density consists of a central peak, com posed by two delta functions, and the two . For an odd number of particles satellites at! the approximate NRG results clearly show the central pseudogap and the two satellites at! structure that comes from the spin-dependent energy of the nalstate.

For the remainder, we focus on the more interesting case of a system in contact with a macroscopic reservoir. This is described with a non-zero parameter representing a nite wall.

In Fig. 6(a) the impurity spectral density for the atresonance situation is shown for different values of . For = 0 the isolated cluster results are reproduced with a peak at low frequencies. This peak is well separated from the Fermi energy due to a large hybridization V used in the calculation for practical purposes. In the gure only a detail of the low frequency structure is shown and the satellite at! is not observed. As approaches one, corresponding to an in nite homogeneous system,




FIG. 6: Impurity spectral density  $_{i}$  (!;T = 0) for the atresonance case with N  $_{c}$  = 19 (a) and the o -resonance case with N  $_{c}$  = 20 (b) and dierent values of : = 0.2 (dashed line), 0.3, 0.4, 0.6, 0.75, and 0.9 (thick line). (" $_{d}$  = 0.5, U = 1.0, and V = 0.2.)

the two structures merge into a single K ondo peak centered at the Ferm ienergy. The impurity spectral density when the Ferm ienergy is or-resonant is shown in Fig. 6(b). For a small the excitations around! =2 are clearly observed. As increases the! =2 structure is washed out and simultaneously a narrow K ondo resonance develops at the Ferm ienergy.

Form ore realistic param eters (a sm aller hybridization and consequently a smaller K ondo temperature) the atresonance and o -resonance impurity spectral densities are shown in Fig. 7. These results show that, for the general case of a K ondo im purity in a nanoscopic system with an intermediate barrier and the Fermi energy at a resonant state, we should expect the low frequency spectral density i(!;T=0) to present a broad K ondo resonance with some structure. In fact, for large barriers (small), the K ondo resonance has a minimum at the Fermi energy. For the o resonant case, we expect the spectral density to present the structures at ! =2 separated by a pseudogap and - at zero tem perature - a central K ondo peak. As we show in the next section, a small tem perature completely destroys this central K ondo peak while the broad structures survive up to much higher temperatures.

### C. Phenom enological approach

Here we brie y discuss the structure of the K ondo resonance in terms of what would be obtained with a simple slave boson theory in the saddle point approximation. In this theory (U = 1), the low energy impurity G reen's function is given by  $^2$ 

G (!) = 
$$\frac{b^2}{! \quad " \quad b^2 V^2 g^0 (!)}$$
 (9)

where  $b^2$  is the square of the mean value of the boson eld, " ' 0 gives the position of the K ondo resonance




FIG. 7: Same as in Fig. 6 with = 0.45 and V = 0.15. a) At-resonance case with N  $_{\rm C}$  = 19 and b) O -resonance case with N  $_{\rm C}$  = 20.

and  $g^0\,(!\,)$  is the bare local propagator of conduction electrons. Here as in the NRG calculation we take the Ferm i energy equal to zero. In an hom ogeneous system it is a good approximation to take  $g^0\,(!\,)=$  i where is the frequency independent local density of states and de ning the K ondo temperature  $T_K$  as the width of the K ondo resonance the local propagator can be put as  $G\,(!\,)$  '  $b^2=(!\,+\,iT_K\,)$  with  $b^2=T_K=V^2\,$  in agreement with the Friedel's sum rule. For our case of a central grain weakly coupled with a reservoir, the propagator  $g^0\,(!\,)$  is taken as a sum of poles separated by the characteristic energy and widths

$$g^{0}(!) = \frac{X}{!} \frac{1}{1+i}$$
 (10)

with  $_1$  = 1 or  $_1$  = (1+ 1=2) for the at-resonance and o -resonance cases respectively. The structure of the K ondo resonance so obtained is shown in Fig 8. The results are in good qualitative agreement with the NRG results. In the at-resonance case, depending on the value of the parameters, the low energy spectrum consists of two peaks with a deep at the Fermilevelor a single peak with a maximum at the Fermilevel (not shown). In the o-resonance case, a central peak at the Fermilevel is obtained. Note however that the area of the central peak relative to the area of the satellite peaks obtained is this approximation is dierent from that obtained with the NRG.

### D. Finite Temperature Results

The NRG calculation of the nite temperature spectral density  $_{\rm i}$  (!;T) relies on the same approximations of the T = 0 case. The spectral density at a xed temperature T is evaluated as above—using Eq. (6) instead of Eq. (7)—if! > k\_B T . To calculate the spectral density at!  $^<$  k\_B T a Ham iltonian H  $_{\rm N}$  with N such that  $^+_{\rm N}$  k\_B T is used. When  $T_{\rm K}^1$  and the Fermienergy lies between resonances, the o-resonance case, two energy scales are




FIG. 8: Phenom enological results for the impurity spectral densities. ( = 1.0, = 0.1, and  $b^2V^2 = 0.02$ ). a) Atresonance.b) O -resonance.




FIG. 9: Impurity spectral density  $_{i}$  (!;T) for dierent temperatures. (a) At-resonance: T = 0 (thick line), T = 0.23 (thin line), and T = 0.7 (dashed line) (b) O -resonance: T = 0.0 (thick line), T = 2.0 10  $^{3}$  (thin line), T = 5.1 10  $^{2}$  (dashed line), and T = 0.5 (dotted line).

clearly observed in the tem perature dependence of the susceptibility. In fact as the tem perature is lowered they determ ine the onset of the plateau in  $k_B\,T$  , that is given by , and the o set at T  $_K^{eff}$  that is determ ined by . For the at-resonance case the static m agnetic properties are dominated by the larger scale since for  $k_B\,T$  <

the magnetic moment is completely screened. The spectral densities are also sensitive to the temperature and as wewill see, there are changes in  $_{\rm i}\,(!\ ;T\,)$  each time the temperature approaches each one of the characteristic energy scales.

In Fig. 9(a) the spectral density  $_{i}$  (!;T) is shown at dierent temperatures for a system with the parameters as in Fig. 6. The K ondo peak develops and reaches its zero temperature value as soon as the temperature goes below . The parameters are the same as in Fig. 3 with = 0:35.

For the o -resonant situation the temperature evolution is shown in Fig. 9(b). In this last case the two characteristic temperatures are also rejected in the magnetic susceptibility from which we can extract their val-

ues as the onset and o set of the plateau in  $^2$ . As can be seen from the gure, as the temperature is lowered, the broad satellite at ! =2 develops at a temperature corresponding to the onset of the plateau while a narrow K ondo structure develops at a temperature corresponding to the oset of the plateau. The rst and second temperatures at which the narrow K ondo peak and the broad structure disappear indicate the decoupling of the impurity spin with the electron spin density at large (r > R c) and short (r < R c) distances respectively.

#### IV. SUM MARY AND DISCUSSION

We have presented a model for a Kondo impurity in a nanoscopic sample or grain coupled with a reservoir through a large barrier. The natural energy - or length - scale associated to the Kondo e ect in a bulk material is to be compared with the new scale introduced by the connem ent of electrons. These new scales modify the local density of states at the impurity site and consequently their therm odynamic and spectral properties.

The local density of states of the host m aterial consists of resonant states separated by a characteristic energy and with a width . While is determined by the size (R  $_{\text{c}}$ ) of the grain, the width of the resonances is determined by the transparency ( ) of the barrier. We have considered cases in which the Fermi energy lies at a resonance or between two of them .

The main results of the paper are the frequency and temperature dependence of the impurity spectral density

i(!;T). We have performed a detailed analysis of the structure of i(!;T) for! around the Fermi energy for the case  $T_K^1$ . This regime with  $T_{\kappa}^{1}$ acterized by a interplay between electron-electron correlation and con nem ent e ects. The width of the K ondo resonance ( $T_{\mbox{\scriptsize K}}^{\,1}$  ) and the characteristic energy ( ) that de nes the structure of the local density of states are of the same order and as a consequence the Kondo resonance shows a superstructure. When the Fermi energy lies at a resonance, the low temperature spectral density consists of a broad structure around the Ferm i level. For large barriers, the spectrum presents a two peaked structure with a deep at the Ferm i level, where the distance between peaks is given by the K ondo energy of the nite system. As the height of the barrier is decreased, the peaks m erge into a single broader peak.

For the o -resonance case  $_{\rm i}$  (!; T = 0) presents structures at ! =2 and a central K ondo-like peak at ! 0. In tem perature dependence two energy scales can be distinguished, at which the two types of structures disappear. These two energy scales and T  $_{\rm K}^{\rm eff}$  are also re ected in the magnetic susceptibility. Phenomenological results based on the slave boson mean eld theory for the impurity spectrum at zero temperature give results in qualitative agreement with the ones obtained with NRG.

D i erent experiments like the STM conductance for impurities in quantum corrals or small terraces or the transport through QD in nanoscopic rings could be used to test the theory.

This work was partially supported by the CONICET and ANPCYT, Grants N. 02151 and 99 3-6343.

D. Goldhaber-Gordon, H. Shtrikman, D. Mahalu, D. Abusch-Magder, U. Meirav, and M.A. Kaster, Nature (London) 391, 156 (1998); C. Dekker, Phys. Today 52, 22 (1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For a review, see A.C. Hewson, The Kondo problem to heavy ferm ions (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 1993).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> V.Madhavan, W.Chen, T.Jam neala, M.F.Crommie, and N.S.Wiegreen, Science 280, 567 (1998).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> K.Nagaoka, T.Jam neala, M.Grobis, and M.F.Crom m.ie, Phys.Rev.Lett.88,077205 (2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> H.C.M anoharan, C.P.Lutz, and D.M.Eigler, Nature 403 512 (2000).

 $<sup>^6</sup>$  Y . M eir, N S . W ingreen, and P A . Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 3048 (1991).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> K.G. W ilson, Rev. Mod. Phys 47, 773 (1975); H.R. Krishna-murthy, J.W. W ilkins and K.G. W ilson, Phys. Rev. B 21, 1044 (1980).

 $<sup>^{8}</sup>$  W  $\,$  B.T him m , J.K roha, and J.von Delft, Phys.Rev.Lett.

<sup>82,2143 (1999).</sup> 

<sup>9</sup> P.S. Comaglia and C.A. Balseiro, cond-mat/0202489, Phys. Rev. B (to be published).

I.A eck and P.Sim on, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 2854 (2001); Hiu Hu, Guang-Ming Zhang, and Lu Yu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 5558 (2001); Ian A eck, cond-m at/0111321.

 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  PW .Anderson, Phys.Rev.124 41 (1961).

P.Nozieres, Proceedings of the 14th. International Conference on Low Temperature Physics, (Ed.M. Krusius and M. Vuorio, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975), Vol. 5, p. 339

J. des C loizeaux, in Theory of Consensed M atter, (TAEA, V ienna, 1968).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> T.A.Costi, A.C. Hew son and V. Zlatic, J. Phys. Cond. M att.6, 2519 (1994); W alter Hofstetter, Renorm alization Group M ethods for Quantum Impurity Systems, (Shaker Verlag, Aachen, Germany, 2000).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> E R .G agliano and C A .B alseiro, Phys.Rev.Lett.59, 2999 (1987).