Feshbach-resonance-induced atom ic lam entation and quantum pair correlation in atom -laser-beam propagation

Weiping Zhang, Chris P. Search, Han Pu, Pierre Meystre and Ewan M. Wright

Optical Sciences Center, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

We study the propagation of an atom laser beam through a spatial region with a magnetic eld tuned to a Feshbach resonance. Tuning the magnetic eld below the resonance produces an elective focusing K errm edium that causes a modulational instability of the atom ic beam. Under appropriate circum stances, this results in beam breakup and lamentation seeded by quasi-particle uctuations, and in the generation of correlated atom ic pairs.

PACS num bers: PACS num bers: 03.75 Fi, 05.30 Fk, 67.40 Db

It has been recognized for quite some time that the two-body interactions that govern the dynam ics of atom ic Bose-E instein condensates (BECs), and which are expressed most simply in terms of the s-wave scattering length a, play a role for matter waves analogous to that of a cubic (Kerr) nonlinearity in optics. This was illustrated in a number of experiments that include matterwave four-wavem ixing [1], the generation of dark [2] and bright [3] atom ic solitons, coherent m atter-w ave am plication [4], and the generation of quantum -correlated atom ic pairs [5]. It has also been dem onstrated that the strength of this nonlinearity can be varied, and its sign changed, using in particular Feshbach resonances [6, 7]. In the language of nonlinear optics, changing the sign of the scattering length amounts to switching from a defocusing (for repulsive interactions, a > 0) to a focusing (for attractive interactions, a < 0) nonlinear medium . The tunability of the scattering length o ers a degree of exibility of considerable interest in the study of the m anybody properties of atom ic condensates, as well as for nonlinear atom optics applications.

As pointed out by T immermans et al. [8], caution is in order when considering the dynamics of a condensate in the vicinity of a Feshbach resonance. In the atom ic systems under consideration, they correspond to a situation where the total energy of two colliding atoms equals the energy of a bound molecular state. In the vicinity of the resonance, it is essential to properly account for the molecular dynamics. Indeed, it has recently been unambiguously established experimentally that a proper description of the system requires that one accounts for the coherent coupling between the atom ic and molecular eld, resulting in the onset of atom -molecule coherence in BECs [9]. The presence of the molecular state is also predicted to lead to \resonant super uidity" in quantum degenerate atom ic Ferm i system s [10].

Far from resonance, however, it is possible to adiabatically eliminate the molecular eld from the system's dynamics, in which case the atom ic condensate is subject to an elective, tunable scattering length that describes the binary atom ic collisions. The goal of this letter is to illustrate how this tunable scattering length can be exploited to manipulate and control the propagation of a continuous-wave atom laser beam. The specic geom etry that we consider consists of an atom laser beam propagating through a region where a spatially dependent magnetic eld is applied to switch the nature of the binary collisions from being repulsive, or defocusing, to attractive, or focusing. This results in a spatial change of the interatom ic interaction sim ilar to the presence of a Kerrmedium in nonlinear optics, albeit with some im portant di erences. W e show that under appropriate circum stances, the quasi-particle uctuations in the initial atom laser beam can seed the lamentation of the atom laser beam, with the generation of pair-correlated atom s in spatial sidebands. This is similar to the amplication of squeezed light by param etric processes in a nonlinear optical K err m edium, but with the important di erence that in the present situation it is not necessary to start from a squeezed input.

W e recall that the generation of correlated atom ic pairs from BEC shas recently been studied by a number of authors [5, 11]. In these works, correlated atom ic pairs are generated either from vacuum uctuations [11], or grow via four-wave m ixing from the combined e ects of a seed beam and vacuum uctuations [5]. In contrast, the proposed scheme involves the generation of a pair-correlated atom ic source from quasi-particle vacuum uctuations, with an atom laser beam as the sole pump beam.

A Feshbach resonance is a scattering resonance where two particles in an open channel collide to form a bound state in the closed channel. In the case of quantum – degenerate atom ic elds, such as Bose-E instein condensates or atom laser beam s, the bound state in the closed channel is a coherent molecular state. The dynam ical equations describing the atom -molecule coherent coupling can be written as [8]

$$ih\frac{\varrho_{a}}{\varrho_{t}} = \frac{h^{2}r^{2}}{2m} + U_{a}^{\gamma}a_{a}^{\gamma} + U_{am}^{\gamma}a_{m}^{\gamma}a_$$

+
$$^{2}_{a}$$
: (1)

Here a_{am} are the atom ic and molecular eld operators, m is the atom ic mass, $U_a = (4 \ h^2 = m) a_a$, $U_m = (2 \ h^2 = m) a_m$, and $U_{am} = (3 \ h^2 = 2m) a_{am}$ are the atom - atom, molecule-molecule, and atom -molecule binary interaction strengths, with a_a , a_m , and a_{am} the corresponding scattering lengths, and is the coupling strength the Feshbach resonance. The parameter is the energy di erence between the molecular state and the atom ic state.

For large resonance detunings j j it is possible to adiabatically eliminate the molecular eld, leading to the e ective Heisenberg equation of motion

$$ih\frac{\theta^{a}}{\theta t} = \frac{h^{2}r^{2}}{2m} + h^{y}a^{a}a^{a}; \qquad (2)$$

for the atom ic Schrödinger eld. In this expression, the elective coupling constant h U_a 2² = accounts for the change of the the strength of the atom ic binary collisions resulting from the Feshbach resonance.

W e now proceed to study the propagation of an atom laser through a spatially-dependent e ective nonlinearity, which for sim plicity we take to be of the form

h (z)
$$U_a = 2(^2 =) (z);$$

where (z) is a Heaviside step function. In particular, we consider the situation in which the binary collisions change from repulsive for z < 0, to attractive for z > 0. The continuous wave atom laser beam is incident from z < 0, and we describe the incident atom ic eld as a coherent beam of B ose-condensed atom s propagating along the z-axis with wave vector $k_0 \hat{z} = (m v_0 = h) \hat{z}$. We also ignore any atom s that are back rejected at the interface: If we take account of the fact that the magnetic eld actually varies over a length L around z = 0, then using a W K B analysis we indicate that back rejections are negligible if $(2 = k_0) (2^{-2} = j)n_0 = L$ $v_0^2 = 2m$ where n_0 is the density of atom s in the condensate.

An important distinction between this and the generic situation considered in nonlinear optics, where the beam is incident from vacuum, is that the incident atom ic eld is self-interacting. Hence, a proper description must include the quasi-particle vacuum uctuations propagating together with the coherent BEC component. As such, a realistic atom laser beam consists of the sum of a moving condensed component, described classically, and quantum uctuations [12],

$$\hat{a}(r;t) = \frac{p_{n_0}}{n_0} + \hat{a}(r;t) e^{i(k_0 k_n)z_{i!}} z < 0;$$
(3)

where $p_{\overline{n_0}}$ describes the coherent BEC component (taken real without loss of generality), $h!_0 = h^2 k_0^2 = 2m$, and $k_n = n_0 = v_0$ is a nonlinear phase shift. The noise operator ^(r;t) obeys bosonic commutation relations.

From the corresponding H eisenberg equation of m otion (2), one observes that the binary collisions contribute a term of the form

$$ih\frac{\hat{\theta}(\mathbf{r};t)}{\hat{\theta}t} = U_a n_0 (\mathbf{r};t) + \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r};t)$$

to the evolution of the noise operator (r;t). This form of evolution is quite familiar in quantum optics, where it is known to lead to the generation of squeezed states. Thus, the repulsive self-interaction of the incident atom laser beam for z < 0 is su cient to provide initial squeezed state vacuum uctuations at the input port of the (focusing) nonlinear medium z = 0.

W e proceed by expanding the noise operator ^(r;t) in term s of plane-waves as

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{1} = \begin{array}{c} 2 & 2 \\ dq \\ 0 \\ \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{0} (\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{k}_{z}; \mathbf{t}) e^{i\mathbf{k}_{z}} (\mathbf{z} \times \mathbf{v}_{0} \mathbf{t}) \\ \hat{\mathbf{b}}_{0} (\mathbf{q}; \mathbf{k}_{z}; \mathbf{t}) e^{i\mathbf{k}_{z}} (\mathbf{z} \times \mathbf{v}_{0} \mathbf{t}) e^{i\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{7}}; \quad (4)$$

where q is the transverse wave vector and the operators \hat{a}_0 (q; k_z ;t) and \hat{b}_0 (q; k_z ;t) = \hat{a}_0 (q; k_z ;t) describe forward and backward propagating modes in the rest fram e of the atom ic beam, respectively.

In the incident region with repulsive interactions, z < 0, it is convenient to express the small quantum uctuations about the condensate wave function in terms of quasi-particles characterized by bosonic annihilation operators ^ (q;k_z;t) and ^ (q;k_z;t) = ^ (q; $\frac{1}{2}$;t), given by [12]

$$\hat{a}_{0} (q; k_{z}; t) \qquad u_{k} \wedge (q; k_{z}; t) + v_{k} \wedge (q; k_{z}; t); \hat{b}_{0} (q; k_{z}; t) \qquad u_{k} \wedge (q; k_{z}; t) + v_{k} \wedge (q; k_{z}; t);$$

where

$$u_k^2 = v_k^2 + 1 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{k + h n_0}{E_k} + 1$$
;

 $\begin{array}{l} \underset{p}{\text{w}} \underbrace{\text{ith } k}_{k} & p & \frac{p}{q^{2} + k_{z}^{2}}, \\ \frac{2}{k} + 2 & kh & n_{0} \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \underset{p}{\text{being the quasiparticle energy associated}} \\ \underset{p}{\text{w}} \underbrace{\text{ith } h}_{k} & (q; k_{z}; t) \text{ and } \hat{h}(q; k_{z}; t). \end{array}$

We are now in a position to study the propagation of the atom laser beam as it enters the region of Feshbach resonance, z > 0. Speci cally, in this paper we focus on the initiation of the lam entation process before appreciable depletion. For this purpose, it is su cient to consider a linearized perturbation theory in the slow ly varying am plitude approximation. For z > 0 we decom pose the atom ic eld operator in the same manner as Eq. (3) with the substitution of (r;t) in place of (r;t)for the uctuation operator. Furthermore, analogous to Eq. (4), we also decom pose the noise operator (r;t) in term s of quasi-plane waves,

$$(r;t) = \int_{q}^{Z} dq q'(z;t) e^{iq r_{2}};$$
 (5)

where

$$\hat{q}(z;t) = \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dk_{z} + \hat{b}(q;k_{z};z)e^{ik_{z}(z v_{0}t)} + \hat{b}(q;k_{z};z)e^{ik_{z}(z v_{0}t)} + (6)$$

Here we allow for a slow z-dependence of the operators \hat{b} . This approach, fam iliar from quantum optics,

allow s one to account for the possible am pli cation of the quantum noise. Spatial variation of the quasi-particle operators was ignored in the region z < 0 due to the absence of sideband gain for repulsive binary collisions.

Substituting Eq. (5) into Eq. (2) yields pairs of coupled operator equations that describe the linearized spatial evolution of the condensate sidebands,

$$i\frac{@}{@T} \quad \frac{a(q;k_z;z)}{b^{V}(q;k_z;z)} = \frac{q+n_0}{n_0} \quad \frac{n_0}{q+n_0} \quad \frac{a(q;k_z;z)}{b^{V}(q;k_z;z)} :$$
(7)

where $T = t + z = v_0$. A sum ing a solution to Eq. (7) of the form e^{gT} and m atching the eld operator solutions in the two regions at z = 0 we obtain nally

where the coe cients U and V are given by

$$U(q;z) = \cosh g \frac{z}{v_0} - \frac{i}{g}(q + n_0) \sinh g \frac{z}{v_0}$$
;

$$V(q;z) = i \frac{n_0}{q} \sinh q \frac{z}{v_0} ; \qquad (9)$$

with the gain parameter

$$g = \frac{q}{(n_0)^2 (q + n_0)^2}$$
 (10)

W ithout loss of generality we have taken t = 0 in order to focus on how the lam entation develops with propagation distance.

W e observe that the linearized solution of Eqs. (8) can exhibit gain in the case of a focusing Feshbach resonance,

 $p \leq \frac{0. \text{ Speci}}{\text{m j } \hat{p}_0 = h}$, with a maximum, $g_{m ax} = j \hat{p}_0$, for the transverse wavevector

$$q_{max} = \frac{p}{2m j j_0 = h}$$
(11)

Physically, this grow th of a range of coupled pairs of spatial sidebands q around the condensate corresponds to a transverse m odulational instability with a cone of unstable transverse m om enta peaked around $jqj = q_{max}$. If allowed to grow this instability will ultimately lead to the breakup of the incident laser beam into transverse structures or laments similarly to the situation in nonlinear optics [13, 14]. If instead of using a planewave condensate we consider a beam with widths $w_{x,y}$ in the x and y transverse directions, we can eliminate the instability in the y-direction, for example, by choosing $q_{max}w_y < 1; q_{max}w_x$ 1, so that the most unstable wavevector cannot be supported in the y-direction. In that case the cone of unstable wavevectors becomes a pair of sidebands that are peaked at q_{ax} along the x-direction. This quasi-one-dimensional geometry may be better to isolate correlated atom ic pairs.

This modulational instability is intimately related to the collapse of 85 Rb condensates seen in recent experiments [7]. In these experiments, stable repulsive BEC's with densities of $n_0 = 10^{12}$ cm⁻³ are produced in the presence of a magnetic eld tuned close to a Feshbach resonance (B = 160 G) and then the magnetic eld is tuned above 167 G where the interaction becomes attractive. Far from the resonance the interactions are attractive with a background scattering length of $a_{bg} = 23.85$ nm [9]. U sing these parameters gives a $q_{nax} = 7.75 = 10^6$ m⁻¹ or a transverse velocity of 0.6 cm /s for the sideband atom s.

P roceeding with the example of a plane-wave condensate, to quantify the statistical properties of the generated atom ic sidebands, we evaluate the eld correlation and intensity correlation functions for $^{q}_{q}(z)$ de ned in Eq. (6). A ssum ing that the quantum uctuations in the incident atom laser beam are in the quasi-particle vacuum state j₀i, i.e., $^{j}_{0}i = ^{j}_{0}i = 0$, and with Eqs. (8) and (9) we nd,

$$h_{q}^{y}_{q}^{o}i = (q)_{q}_{q}^{o};$$
 (12)

$$h_{q}^{\gamma} - h_{q}^{\gamma} - h_{q}^{\gamma} = (q) (q) + j (q)_{q}^{2} + j (q)_{q}^{2} = (13)$$

where all the operators are evaluated at the same longitudinal distance z and in Eq. (13), we have taken $q \notin q^0$. Physically, (q) is the probability density in m om entum space of nding an atom with a transverse momentum hq after propagating a distance z in the Feshbach region, and $\frac{1}{2}$ (q) $\frac{2}{3}$ is the joint probability that if one atom

is detected with momentum hq a second one will be detected with opposite momentum hq. They are given by,

$$(q) = 2 \int_{0}^{Z_{1}} dk_{z} v_{k}^{2} + \frac{2n_{0}^{2}}{g^{2}} (u_{k}^{2} + v_{k}^{2}) \sinh^{2}$$

$$Q(q) = 2 \int_{0}^{Q} dk_{z} u_{k}v_{k} + \frac{n_{0}}{g^{2}} (u_{k}^{2} + v_{k}^{2})$$




FIG.1: Correlation spectrum of the correlated atom ic pairs calculated from Eq.(14). Due to the divergence at large wave number, the upper limit of k_z is cut o at $k_s = m \; c_p = h \mid$ the wave number corresponding to the Bogoliubov sound velocity. Here the wave numbers, energy and length are in units of k_s , $h^2k_s^2 = (2m)$ and $1 = k_s$, respectively. The parameters used in the calculation are $v_0 = 5c_p$, $n_0 = 0.5$. The plots show that both and p jgrow fastest near $q_{n\,ax} = 1 = 2$ [see Eq.(11)].

with $_q$ q + n_0 .

As an illustration we assume that atoms are detected after having propagated a distance z in the Feshbach region such that the linearized analysis is still valid. The rate at which atoms are detected at an angle = $\tan^1 (q=k_0)$ relative to the z-axis will be proportional to (q) while the rate of coincidence detections at + and

will be proportional to j(q) f. The corresponding probability densities are plotted in Fig. 1, from which we see that, as expected physically, with increasing propagation distance z the most probable transverse wavevector for detecting either an o -axis atom or a correlated atom ic

$$g\frac{z}{v_{0}} = \frac{2 n_{0}}{g^{2}} q_{u_{k}} v_{k} \sinh^{2} g\frac{z}{v_{0}} ;$$

$$\frac{2}{g^{2}} q_{u_{k}} v_{k} = q \sinh^{2} g\frac{z}{v_{0}} + \frac{ig}{2} \sinh^{2} 2g\frac{z}{v_{0}} ; \quad (14)$$

pair at q is increasingly $j_1 j = q_{ax}$. These results show that the transverse modulational instability can indeed be an elective source of correlated atom ic pairs.

In conclusion, we have studied the propagation of an atom laser beam through a Feshbach resonance region where the e ective interaction between atom s is tuned from being repulsive to being attractive. The attractive interaction is equivalent to a self-focusing K err m edium for the atom laser beam which can generate quantum – pair-correlated atom ic lament beam sby a modulational instability. This opens up new window to create nonclassical ultracold atom ic sources by coherent control of the atom ic interactions. The quantum -pair-correlated atom ic beam may have potential applications in both fundam ental research and applications in quantum inform ation and computation.

This work is supported in part by the US 0 ce of N avalR essarch under Contract No. 14-91-J1205 and No. N 00014-99-1-0806, by the N ational Science Foundation under G rant No. PHY 98-01099, by the US Arm y Research 0 ce, and by the Joint Services Optics Program.

- [1] L.D eng et al., N ature 398, 218 (1999).
- [2] S.Burger et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 5198 (1999); J.D enschlag et al., Science 287, 97 (2000).
- [3] K.E.Strecker et al, Nature 417, 150 (2002).
- [4] S. Inouye et al., Nature 402, 641 (1999); M.Kozum a et al., Science 286, 2309 (1999).
- [5] J.M. Vogels, K.Xu and W. Ketterle, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 020401 (2002).
- [6] S. Inouye et al. Nature 392, 151 (1998).
- [7] S.L.Comish et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 1795 (2000).
- [8] E. T im m erm ans et al, P hys. R ev. Lett. 83, 2691 (1999).
- [9] E.A.Donley et al., Nature 417, 529 (2002).
- [10] M. Holland et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 87 120406 (2001).
- [11] H. Pu and P. Meystre, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3987 (2000); L.M. Duan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3991 (2000); A. Vardiand M. G. Moore, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 090403 (2002).
- [12] A.L.Fetter, Ann.Phys.70, 67 (1972).
- [13] A. J. Campillo et al, Appl. Phys. Lett. 23,628 (1973); ibid. 24, 178 (1974).

[14] E.M.Nagasako, R.W.Boyd, and G.S.Agarwal, Phys. Rev.A 55, 1412 (1997).