

QUANTUM INTEGRABLE SYSTEM WITH MULTI-COMPONENTS IN TWO-DIMENSION *

MU-LIN YAN

*Center for Fundamental Physics,
University of Science and Technology of China,
Hefei, Anhui 230026, China
E-mail: mlyan@ustc.edu.cn*

BAO-HENG ZHAO

*Department of Physics,
Graduate School of the Chinese Academy of Science,
Beijing 100039, China*

A quantum N-body problem with 2-component in (2+1)-dimension deduced from integrable model in (2+1) dimension is investigated. The Davey-Stewartson 1(DS1) system[Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A **338**, 101 (1974)] is an integrable model in two dimensions. A quantum DS1 system with 2 colour-components in two dimensions has been formulated. This two-dimensional problem has been reduced to two one-dimensional many-body problems with 2 colour-components. The solutions of the two-dimensional problem under consideration has been constructed from the resulting problems in one dimensions. For latters with the δ -function interactions and being solved by the Bethe-Yang ansatz, we introduce symmetrical and antisymmetrical Young operators of the permutation group and obtain the exact solutions for the quantum DS1 system. The application of the solutions is discussed.

1. Introduction

It is well known that the high-dimensional quantum integrability of some one body system is very meaningful for precisely understanding physics. Say, one of the typical examples is to find out the electron wave-functions in the hydrogen atom: there is one body in the atom, i.e., electron, and its motion equation is (3+1) dimensional Schrodinger equation. The key step to solve this problem is to use variable separate ansatz for the one-body

*This work is partially supported by NSF of China 90103002.

wave-function, $\Psi(r, \theta, \phi) = R(r)P(\theta)\Phi(\phi)$ to reduce the 3-Dimensional (3-D) one-body problem to 1-Dimensional(1-D) one-body problem. It is interesting and remarkable that the one body variable separate ansatz method can be extend to the N -body case. Namely, for some special high dimensional quantum N -body models (say the Davey-Stewartson 1 system in 2-D), they could be reduced to 1-D problems in terms to use the ansatz. In this paper, we try to further extend this sort of ansatz to multi-component case, and to solve it by using Bethe-Yang ansatz.

The Davey-Stewartson 1 (DS1) system is an integrable model in space of two spatial and one temporal dimensions ((2+1)D). The quantized DS1 system with scalar fields (1 component or shortly 1C) can be formulated in terms of the Hamiltonian of quantum many-body problem in two dimensions, and some of them can be solved exactly^{1,2}. Particularly, it has been shown in ref.² that these 2D quantum N -body system with 1C-fields can be reduced to the solvable one-dimensional (1D) quantum N -body systems with 1C-fields and with two-body potentials³. Thus through solving 1D quantum N -body problems with 1C-fields we can get the solutions for 2D quantum N -body problems with 1C-fields. Here the key step is to separate the spatial variables of 2D quantum N -body problems with 1C-fields by constructing an ansatz^{1,2}

$$\Psi(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_N) = \prod_{i < j} (1 - \frac{c}{4} \epsilon(\xi_{ij}) \epsilon(\eta_{ij})) X(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) Y(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N)$$

where $\xi_{ij} = \xi_i - \xi_j$ and $\eta_{ij} = \eta_i - \eta_j$. This ansatz will be called the N -body variable-separation ansatz. The N -body variable-separation ansatz can be thought of as the extension of 1-body variable-separation ansatz. Since the N -body problems are much more complicated than the 1-body problems, it will be highly nontrivial to construct a N -body variable-separation ansatz. Ref.¹ provided the first example for it and showed that the idea of variable-separating works indeed for the N -body problems induced from the DS1 system.

In this paper, we intend to generalize the above idea to multi-components DS1 system, namely to construct a new N -body variable-separation ansatz for the multi-components case and to solve a specific model of 2D quantum DS1 system with multi-components.

1D N -body model with 2-components has been investigated for long time⁴⁵. The most famous one is the model with delta-function interaction between 2C-fermions⁴. It was solved by the Bethe-Yang ansatz^{6,4} (or nested Bethe ansatz) and leads to the Yang-Baxter equation and its thermodynam-

ics studies^{7,8} because of the completeness of the Bethe ansatz solutions. In this paper, for definiteness, we shall study specific 2D quantum N -body system with 2C-fields associated with the DS1 system. This quantum N -body problem under consideration can be reduced to two 1D quantum N -body problems with 2C-fields of ref.⁴ and then be exactly solved by using an appropriate N -body variable-separation ansatz and the Bethe-Yang ansatz.

2. Quantization of DS1 System with Two Components in 2-Dimension

Following usual DS1 equation^{1,9}, the equation for the DS1 system with two components reads

$$i\dot{\mathbf{q}} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2)\mathbf{q} + iA_1\mathbf{q} + iA_2\mathbf{q}, \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{q} has two colour components,

$$\mathbf{q} = \begin{pmatrix} q_1 \\ q_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_x - \partial_y)A_1 &= -ic(\partial_x + \partial_y)(\mathbf{q}^\dagger \mathbf{q}) \\ (\partial_x + \partial_y)A_2 &= ic(\partial_x - \partial_y)(\mathbf{q}^\dagger \mathbf{q}) \end{aligned}$$

where notation \dagger means the hermitian transposition, and c is the coupling constant. Introducing the coordinates $\xi = x + y, \eta = x - y$, we have

$$A_1 = -ic\partial_\xi\partial_\eta^{-1}(\mathbf{q}^\dagger \mathbf{q}) - iu_1(\xi) \quad (3)$$

$$A_2 = ic\partial_\eta\partial_\xi^{-1}(\mathbf{q}^\dagger \mathbf{q}) + iu_2(\eta) \quad (4)$$

where

$$\partial_\eta^{-1}(\mathbf{q}^\dagger \mathbf{q}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\eta} d\eta' - \int_{\eta}^{\infty} d\eta'\right)\mathbf{q}^\dagger(\xi, \eta', t)\mathbf{q}(\xi, \eta', t), \quad (5)$$

and u_1 and u_2 are constants of integration. According to ref.², we choose them as

$$u_1(\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \int d\xi' d\eta' U_1(\xi - \xi') \mathbf{q}^\dagger(\xi', \eta', t) \mathbf{q}(\xi', \eta', t) \quad (6)$$

$$u_2(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \int d\xi' d\eta' U_2(\eta - \eta') \mathbf{q}^\dagger(\xi', \eta', t) \mathbf{q}(\xi', \eta', t). \quad (7)$$

Thus eq.(1) can be written as

$$i\dot{\mathbf{q}} = -(\partial_\xi^2 + \partial_\eta^2)\mathbf{q} + c[\partial_\xi\partial_\eta^{-1}(\mathbf{q}^\dagger\mathbf{q}) + \partial_\eta\partial_\xi^{-1}(\mathbf{q}^\dagger\mathbf{q})]\mathbf{q} \\ + \frac{1}{2} \int d\xi' d\eta' [U_1(\xi - \xi') + U_2(\eta - \eta')] (\mathbf{q}^\dagger\mathbf{q}')\mathbf{q}, \quad (8)$$

where $\mathbf{q}' = \mathbf{q}(\xi', \eta', t)$. We quantize the system with the canonical commutation relations

$$[q_a(\xi, \eta, t), q_b^\dagger(\xi', \eta', t)]_\pm = 2\delta_{ab}\delta(\xi - \xi')\delta(\eta - \eta'), \quad (9)$$

$$[q_a(\xi, \eta, t), q_b(\xi', \eta', t)]_\pm = 0. \quad (10)$$

where $a, b = 1$ or 2 , $[\cdot, \cdot]_+$ and $[\cdot, \cdot]_-$ are anticommutator and commutator respectively. Then eq.(8) can be written in the form

$$\dot{\mathbf{q}} = i[H, \mathbf{q}] \quad (11)$$

where H is the Hamiltonian of the system

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \int d\xi d\eta \left(-\mathbf{q}^\dagger(\partial_\xi^2 + \partial_\eta^2)\mathbf{q} + \frac{c}{2}\mathbf{q}^\dagger[(\partial_\xi\partial_\eta^{-1} + \partial_\eta\partial_\xi^{-1})(\mathbf{q}^\dagger\mathbf{q})]\mathbf{q} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{4} \int d\xi' d\eta' \mathbf{q}^\dagger [U_1(\xi - \xi') + U_2(\eta - \eta')] (\mathbf{q}^\dagger\mathbf{q}')\mathbf{q} \right). \quad (12)$$

The N -particle eigenvalue problem is

$$H |\Psi\rangle = E |\Psi\rangle \quad (13)$$

where

$$|\Psi\rangle = \int d\xi_1 d\eta_1 \dots d\xi_N d\eta_N \\ \times \sum_{a_1 \dots a_N} \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_N}(\xi_1 \eta_1 \dots \xi_N \eta_N) \mathbf{q}_{a_1}^\dagger(\xi_1 \eta_1) \dots \mathbf{q}_{a_N}^\dagger(\xi_N \eta_N) |0\rangle. \quad (14)$$

The N -particle wave function $\Psi_{a_1 \dots a_N}$ is defined by eq.(14), which satisfies the N -body Schrödinger equation

$$-\sum_i (\partial_{\xi_i}^2 + \partial_{\eta_i}^2) \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_N} + c \sum_{i < j} [\epsilon(\xi_{ij})\delta'(\eta_{ij}) + \epsilon(\eta_{ij})\delta'(\xi_{ij})] \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_N} \\ + \sum_{i < j} [U_1(\xi_{ij}) + U_2(\eta_{ij})] \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_N} = E \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_N} \quad (15)$$

where $\xi_{ij} = \xi_i - \xi_j$, $\delta'(\xi_{ij}) = \partial_{\xi_i}\delta(\xi_{ij})$, and $\epsilon(\xi_{ij}) = 1$ for $\xi_{ij} > 0$, 0 for $\xi_{ij} = 0$, -1 for $\xi_{ij} < 0$. Since there are products of distributions in eq.(15), an appropriate regularization for avoiding uncertainty is necessary. This issue has been discussed in ref.¹⁰.

3. Variable Separation of Quantum DS1 with Two Components and Bethe-Yang Ansatz

Our purpose is to solve the N -body Schrödinger equation (15). The results in ref.² remind us that we can make the following ansatz

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{a_1 \dots a_N} = & \sum_{\substack{a'_1 \dots a'_N \\ b'_1 \dots b'_N}} \prod_{i < j} (1 - \frac{c}{4} \epsilon(\xi_{ij}) \epsilon(\eta_{ij})) \mathcal{M}_{a_1 \dots a_N, a'_1 \dots a'_N} \mathcal{N}_{a_1 \dots a_N, b'_1 \dots b'_N} \\ & \times X_{a'_1 \dots a'_N}(\xi_1 \dots \xi_N) Y_{b'_1 \dots b'_N}(\eta_1 \dots \eta_N) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are matrices being independent of ξ and η , and both $X_{a_1 \dots a_N}(\xi_1 \dots \xi_N)$ and $Y_{b_1 \dots b_N}(\eta_1 \dots \eta_N)$ are one-dimensional wave functions of N -bodies. Substituting eq.(16) into eq.(15), we obtain

$$-\sum_i \partial_{\xi_i}^2 X_{a_1 \dots a_N} + \sum_{i < j} U_1(\xi_{ij}) X_{a_1 \dots a_N} = E_1 X_{a_1 \dots a_N} \quad (17)$$

$$-\sum_i \partial_{\eta_i}^2 Y_{b_1 \dots b_N} + \sum_{i < j} U_2(\eta_{ij}) Y_{b_1 \dots b_N} = E_2 Y_{b_1 \dots b_N} \quad (18)$$

where $U_1(\xi_{ij})$ and $U_2(\eta_{ij})$ are two-body potentials, eqs. (17) (18) are one-dimensional N -body Schrödinger equations and $E_1 + E_2 = E$. Above derivation indicates that the two-dimensional N -body Schrödinger equation (15) has been reduced into two one-dimensional N -body Schrödinger equations. Namely, the variables in the two-dimensional N -body wave function $\Psi_{a_1 \dots a_N}$ have been separated.

At this stage \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} are unknown temporarily. It is expected that for any given pair of exactly solvable 1D N -body problems and the correspondent solutions, we could construct the solutions $\Psi_{A_1 \dots A_N}$ for 2D N -body problems eq.(15) through constructing an appropriate $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$ -matrix. It has been known that the 1D N -body problem in the form of (17) or (18) can be solved exactly for a class of potentials^{4,5,11}. To illustrate the construction of $\mathcal{M} \times \mathcal{N}$ -matrix, we take both potentials in (17) and (18) the delta functions $U_1(\xi_{ij}) = 2g\delta(\xi_{ij})$ and $U_2(\eta_{ij}) = 2g\delta(\eta_{ij})$ ($g > 0$, the coupling constant). Then eqs.(17) and (18) become

$$-\sum_i \partial_{\xi_i}^2 X_{a_1 \dots a_N} + 2g \sum_{i < j} \delta(\xi_{ij}) X_{a_1 \dots a_N} = E_1 X_{a_1 \dots a_N} \quad (19)$$

$$-\sum_i \partial_{\eta_i}^2 Y_{b_1 \dots b_N} + 2g \sum_{i < j} \delta(\eta_{ij}) Y_{b_1 \dots b_N} = E_2 Y_{b_1 \dots b_N}. \quad (20)$$

As X and Y are wave functions of Fermions with two components, denoted by X^F and Y^F , the problem has been solved by Yang long ago⁴ (more

explicitly, see ref.¹² and ref.¹³). According to the Bethe-Yang ansatz, the continual solution of eq.(9) in the region of $0 < \xi_{Q_1} < \xi_{Q_2} < \dots < \xi_{Q_N} < L$ reads

$$\begin{aligned} X^F &= \sum_P \alpha_P^{(Q)} \exp\{i[k_{P_1}\xi_{Q_1} + \dots + k_{P_N}\xi_{Q_N}]\} \\ &= \alpha_{12\dots N}^{(Q)} e^{i(k_1\xi_{Q_1} + k_2\xi_{Q_2} + \dots + k_N\xi_{Q_N})} + \alpha_{21\dots N}^{(Q)} e^{i(k_2\xi_{Q_1} + k_1\xi_{Q_2} + \dots + k_N\xi_{Q_N})} \\ &\quad + (N! - 2) \text{ others terms} \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where $X^F \in \{X_{a_1\dots a_N}^F\}$, $P = [P_1, P_2, \dots, P_N]$ and $Q = [Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_N]$ are two permutations of the integers $1, 2, \dots, N$, and

$$\alpha_{\dots ij\dots}^{(Q)} = Y_{ji}^{lm} \alpha_{\dots ji\dots}^{(Q)}, \quad (22)$$

$$Y_{ji}^{lm} = \frac{-i(k_j - k_i)P^{lm} + g}{i(k_j - k_i) - g} \quad (23)$$

The eigenvalue is given by

$$E_1 = k_1^2 + k_2^2 + \dots + k_N^2, \quad (24)$$

where $\{k_i\}$ are determined by the Bethe ansatz equations,

$$e^{ik_j L} = \prod_{\beta=1}^M \frac{i(k_j - \Lambda_\beta) - g/2}{i(k_j - \Lambda_\beta) + g/2} \quad (25)$$

$$\prod_{j=1}^N \frac{i(k_j - \Lambda_\alpha) - g/2}{i(k_j - \Lambda_\alpha) + g/2} = - \prod_{\beta=1}^M \frac{i(\Lambda_\alpha - \Lambda_\beta) + g}{i(\Lambda_\alpha - \Lambda_\beta) - g} \quad (26)$$

with $\alpha = 1, \dots, M, j = 1, \dots, N$. Through exactly same procedures we can get the solution Y^F and E_2 to eq.(20).

As X and Y are Boson's wave-functions, denoted by X^B and Y^B , it is easy to be shown that

$$X^B = \sum_P \beta_P^{(Q)} \exp\{i[k_{P_1}\xi_{Q_1} + \dots + k_{P_N}\xi_{Q_N}]\} \quad (27)$$

$$\beta_{\dots ij\dots}^{(Q)} = Z_{ji}^{lm} \beta_{\dots ji\dots}^{(Q)}, \quad (28)$$

$$Z_{ji}^{lm} = \frac{i(k_j - k_i)P^{lm} + g}{i(k_j - k_i) - g} \quad (29)$$

and the Bethe ansatz equations are as following¹³

$$e^{ik_j L} = (-1)^{N+1} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{k_j - k_i + ig}{k_j - k_i - ig} \prod_{\beta=1}^M \frac{\Lambda_\beta - k_j + ig/2}{\Lambda_\beta - k_j - ig/2} \quad (30)$$

$$\prod_{\alpha=1}^M \frac{\Lambda_{\beta} - \Lambda_{\alpha} + ig}{\Lambda_{\beta} - \Lambda_{\alpha} - ig} = (-1)^{M+1} \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{\Lambda_{\beta} - k_j + ig/2}{\Lambda_{\beta} - k_j - ig/2}. \quad (31)$$

Y^B is same as X^B . It is well known that X^F and Y^F (X^B and Y^B) are anti-symmetrical (symmetrical) as the coordinates and the colour-indices of the particles interchanges each other simultaneously, instead of the coordinates interchanges each other merely.

4. Young Operator of Permutation Group

For permutation group $S_N : \{e_i, i = 1, \dots, N!\}$, the totally symmetrical Young operator is

$$\mathcal{O}_N = \sum_{i=1}^{N!} e_i, \quad (32)$$

and the totally antisymmetrical Young operator is

$$\mathcal{A}_N = \sum_{i=1}^{N!} (-1)^{P_i} e_i. \quad (33)$$

The Young diagram for \mathcal{O}_N is

1	2	3	...	N
---	---	---	-----	---

, and for \mathcal{A}_N , it is

1
2
⋮
N

To S_3 , for example, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_3 = 1 + P^{12} + P^{13} + P^{23} + P^{12}P^{23} + P^{23}P^{12}. \quad (34)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_3 = 1 - P^{12} - P^{13} - P^{23} + P^{12}P^{23} + P^{23}P^{12}. \quad (35)$$

Lemma 1: $(\mathcal{O}_N X_F)(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N)$ is antisymmetrical with respect to the coordinate's interchanges of $(\xi_i \longleftrightarrow \xi_j)$.

Proof: From the definition of \mathcal{O}_N (eq.(32)), we have

$$\mathcal{O}_N P^{ab} = P^{ab} \mathcal{O}_N = \mathcal{O}_N. \quad (36)$$

To $N = 3$ case, for example, the direct calculations show $\mathcal{O}_3 P^{12} = P^{12} \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_3$, $\mathcal{O}_3 P^{23} = P^{23} \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_3$ and so on. Using eqs.(36) and (23), we have

$$\mathcal{O}_N Y_{ij}^{lm} = (-1) \mathcal{O}_N. \quad (37)$$

From eqs.(21) and (23), X^F can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} X^F = & \{e^{i(k_1\xi_{Q_1}+k_2\xi_{Q_2}+\dots+k_N\xi_{Q_N})} + Y_{12}^{12}e^{i(k_2\xi_{Q_1}+k_1\xi_{Q_2}+\dots+k_N\xi_{Q_N})} \\ & + Y_{13}^{23}Y_{12}^{12}e^{i(k_2\xi_{Q_1}+k_3\xi_{Q_2}+k_1\xi_{Q_3}+\dots+k_N\xi_{Q_N})} \\ & + (N! - 3) \text{ other terms} \} \alpha_{12\dots N}^{(Q)} \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Using eqs.(37) and (38), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{O}_N X^F)(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) = & \{e^{i(k_1\xi_{Q_1}+k_2\xi_{Q_2}+\dots+k_N\xi_{Q_N})} \\ & - e^{i(k_2\xi_{Q_1}+k_1\xi_{Q_2}+\dots+k_N\xi_{Q_N})} + e^{i(k_2\xi_{Q_1}+k_3\xi_{Q_2}+k_1\xi_{Q_3}+\dots+k_N\xi_{Q_N})} \\ & + (N! - 3) \text{ other terms} \} \mathcal{O}_N \alpha_{12\dots N}^{(Q)} \\ = & \sum_P (-1)^P \exp\{i[k_{P_1}\xi_{Q_1} + \dots + k_{P_N}\xi_{Q_N}]\} (\mathcal{O}_N \alpha_{12\dots N}^{(Q)}). \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Therefore we conclude that $(\mathcal{O}_N X^F)(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$ is antisymmetrical with respect to $(\xi_i \longleftrightarrow \xi_j)$.

Lemma 2: $(\mathcal{A}_N X^B)(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N)$ is antisymmetrical with respect to the coordinate's interchanges of $(\xi_i \longleftrightarrow \xi_j)$.

Proof: Noting (see eqs.(33) (29) (27))

$$\mathcal{A}_N P^{ab} = P^{ab} \mathcal{A} = -\mathcal{A}_N, \quad (40)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_N Z_{ij}^{lm} = (-1) \mathcal{A}_N, \quad (41)$$

we then have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}_N X^B)(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) = & \sum_P (-1)^P \exp\{i[k_{P_1}\xi_{Q_1} + \dots + k_{P_N}\xi_{Q_N}]\} \\ & \times (\mathcal{A}_N \beta_{12\dots N}^{(Q)}). \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Then the Lemma is proved.

5. The Solutions of the Problem

The ansatz of eq.(16) can be compactly written as

$$\Psi = \prod_{i < j} (1 - \frac{c}{4} \epsilon(\xi_{ij}) \epsilon(\eta_{ij})) (\mathcal{M} X) (\mathcal{N} Y) \quad (43)$$

where $(\mathcal{M} X)$ and $(\mathcal{N} Y)$ are required to be antisymmetrical under the interchanges of the coordinate variables. According to Lemmas 1 and 2, we see that

$$\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_N & \text{for 1D Fermion} \\ \mathcal{A}_N & \text{for 1D Boson.} \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

As the DS1 fields $q_a(\xi\eta)$ in eq.(1) are (2+1)D Bose fields, the commutators ($[\cdot, \cdot]$, see (9) and (10)) are used to quantized the system and the 2D N-body wave functions denoted in Ψ^B must be symmetrical under the colour-interchang ($a_i \longleftrightarrow a_j$) and the coordinate-interchange ($(\xi_i\eta_i) \longleftrightarrow (\xi_j\eta_j)$). Namly, the 2D Bose wave functions Ψ^B must satisfy that

$$P^{a_i a_j} \Psi^B |_{\xi_i \eta_i \longleftrightarrow \xi_j \eta_j} = \Psi^B. \quad (45)$$

As q_a are (2+1)D Fermi fields, the anticommutators should be used, and Ψ^F must be antisymmetrical under ($a_i \longleftrightarrow a_j$) and ($(\xi_i\eta_i) \longleftrightarrow (\xi_j\eta_j)$). Namly,

$$P^{a_i a_j} \Psi^F |_{\xi_i \eta_i \longleftrightarrow \xi_j \eta_j} = -\Psi^F. \quad (46)$$

Thus for the 2D Boson case, two solutions of Ψ^B can be constructed as following

$$\Psi_1^B = \prod_{i < j} (1 - \frac{c}{4} \epsilon(\xi_{ij}) \epsilon(\eta_{ij})) [\mathcal{O}_N X^F(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_N)] [\mathcal{O}_N Y^F(\eta_1 \cdots \eta_N)], \quad (47)$$

$$\Psi_2^B = \prod_{i < j} (1 - \frac{c}{4} \epsilon(\xi_{ij}) \epsilon(\eta_{ij})) [\mathcal{A}_N X^B(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_N)] [\mathcal{A}_N Y^B(\eta_1 \cdots \eta_N)]. \quad (48)$$

Using eqs.(36),(39),(40) and (42), we can check eq.(45) directly. In addition, from the Bethe ansatz equations (25) (26) (30) (31) and $E = E_1 + E_2$, we can see that the eigenvalues of Ψ_1^B and Ψ_2^B are different each other generally, i.e., the states corrsponding to Ψ_1^B and Ψ_2^B are non-degenerate.

For the 2D Fermion case, the desired results are

$$\Psi_1^F = \prod_{i < j} (1 - \frac{c}{4} \epsilon(\xi_{ij}) \epsilon(\eta_{ij})) [\mathcal{O}_N X^F(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_N)] [\mathcal{A}_N Y^B(\eta_1 \cdots \eta_N)], \quad (49)$$

$$\Psi_2^F = \prod_{i < j} (1 - \frac{c}{4} \epsilon(\xi_{ij}) \epsilon(\eta_{ij})) [\mathcal{A}_N X^B(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_N)] [\mathcal{O}_N Y^F(\eta_1 \cdots \eta_N)]. \quad (50)$$

Eq.(46) can also be checked directly. The eigenvalues corresponding to Ψ^F are also determined by the Bethe equations and $E = E_1 + E_2$.

It is similar to ref.² that we can prove $\Psi_{1,2}^B$ and $\Psi_{1,2}^F$ shown in above are of the exact solutions of the eq.(15). Thus we conclude that the 2D quantum many-body problem induced from the quantum DS1 system with 2-component has been solved exactly.

6. The Ground-State Energies of the System

In this section, we discuss the ground-state energies of the DS1 system solved in the previous section by using the Bethe ansatz equations (25),

(26) and (30), (31). Let the 2D N-body problem reduced from 2D DS1 system with 2 colour (or spin) components has M colours down and $N - M$ colours up. Therefore both $X^{F,B}(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N)$ and $Y^{F,B}(\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_N)$ in eqs(47)-(50) are one dimensional N -body wave functions with M colours down and $N - M$ colours up. We are interested in the limit that N , M and the length L of the box go to infinity proportionately, i.e., both $N/L = D$ and $M/L = D_m$ are finite.

For one dimensional N -fermion problem, by the nested Bethe ansatz (or Bethe-Yang ansatz) equations (25) and (26), the corresponding integration equations for the ground state read⁴

$$2\pi\sigma_1 = - \int_{-B_1}^{B_1} \frac{2g\sigma_1(\Lambda')d\Lambda'}{g^2 + (\Lambda - \Lambda')^2} + \int_{-Q_1}^{Q_1} \frac{4g\rho_1(k)dk}{g^2 + 4(k - \Lambda)^2}, \quad (51)$$

$$2\pi\rho_1 = 1 + \int_{-B_1}^{B_1} \frac{4g\sigma_1(\Lambda)d\Lambda}{g^2 + 4(k - \Lambda)^2}, \quad (52)$$

where $\rho_1(k)$ is particle (i.e., 1D fermion) density distribution function of k , and $\sigma_1(\Lambda)$ is colour-down particle density distribution function of Λ . Namely, we have

$$D = \int_{-Q_1}^{Q_1} \rho_1(k)dk, \quad D_m = \int_{-B_1}^{B_1} \sigma_1(\Lambda)d\Lambda, \quad (53)$$

$$E_1/N = D^{-1} \int_{-Q_1}^{Q_1} k^2 \rho_1(k)dk.$$

For 1D N-boson case, starting from the nested Bethe ansatz equations (30) and (31), similar integration equations for ground state of bosons can be derived (see Appendix). The results are as follows

$$2\pi\sigma_2 = \int_{-B_2}^{B_2} \frac{2g\sigma_2(\Lambda')d\Lambda'}{g^2 + (\Lambda - \Lambda')^2} - \int_{-Q_2}^{Q_2} \frac{4g\rho_2(k)dk}{g^2 + 4(k - \Lambda)^2}, \quad (54)$$

$$2\pi\rho_2 = 1 - \int_{-B_2}^{B_2} \frac{4g\sigma_2(\Lambda)d\Lambda}{g^2 + 4(\Lambda - k)^2} + \int_{-Q_2}^{Q_2} \frac{2g\rho_2(k')dk'}{g^2 + (k - k')^2}, \quad (55)$$

where $\rho_2(k)$ and $\sigma_2(\Lambda)$ are bosonic particle density distribution function of k and its colour-down particle density distribution function of Λ respectively, i.e.,

$$D = \int_{-Q_2}^{Q_2} \rho_2(k)dk, \quad D_m = \int_{-B_2}^{B_2} \sigma_2(\Lambda)d\Lambda, \quad (56)$$

$$E_2/N = D^{-1} \int_{-Q_2}^{Q_2} k^2 \rho_2(k)dk.$$

The average energies of the 2D DS1 ground states described by Ψ_1^B , Ψ_2^B , Ψ_1^F and Ψ_2^F (see eqs(47)–(50)) are denoted by $E(\Psi_1^B)$, $E(\Psi_2^B)$, $E(\Psi_1^F)$ and $E(\Psi_2^F)$ respectively. Then, the average energies per particle for the ground-states are follows

$$E(\Psi_1^B)/N = 2E_1/N = 2D^{-1} \int_{Q_1}^{Q_1} k^2 \rho_1(k) dk, \quad (57)$$

$$E(\Psi_2^B)/N = 2E_2/N = 2D^{-1} \int_{Q_2}^{Q_2} k^2 \rho_2(k) dk, \quad (58)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Psi_1^F)/N &= \frac{1}{N}(E_1 + E_2) \\ &= D^{-1} \left(\int_{Q_1}^{Q_1} k^2 \rho_1(k) dk + \int_{Q_2}^{Q_2} k^2 \rho_2(k) dk \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(E(\Psi_1^B) + E(\Psi_2^B)), \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

$$E(\Psi_2^F)/N = E(\Psi_1^F)/N. \quad (60)$$

From these equations, the follows can be seen: 1, The average energies per particle for the ground states of this 2-dimensional (2D-) DS1 problem are reduced into the average energies per particle of 1-dimensional (1D-) many body problems. As D and D_m are given, by solving the integration equations (51) – (56), we obtain the $\rho_1(k)$ and $\rho_2(k)$, and then get the desired results of $E(\Psi_1^B)/N$, $E(\Psi_2^B)/N$, $E(\Psi_1^F)/N$ and $E(\Psi_2^F)/N$. 2, For the two bosonic solutions of the 2D DS1 system with 2 colours (eqs (47) (48)), the average ground state energies per particle are twice as large as one of 1D-fermions or 1D-bosons; 3, For the fermion solutions of this 2D-DS1 system, $E(\Psi_1^F)/N$ and $E(\Psi_2^F)/N$ are sum of 1D-fermion average energy per particle and 1D-boson's. 4, In general, $E(\Psi_1^B) \neq E(\Psi_2^B) \neq E(\Psi_{1, \text{ or } 2}^F)$. Namely, for same DS1 system, if the statistics of the wave functions (or particles) is different, the corresponding ground-state energies are different. This is remarkable and reflects the statistical effects in the 2D DS1 system.

7. Discussions and Summary

Finally, we would like to speculate some further applications of the results presented in this paper to the mathematical physics. Our results may be useful in the following two respects. Firstly, the Bethe ansatz equations (25), (26) for fermion wave functions and (30), (31) for boson's can be solved respectively, even though the equations are systems of transcendental equations for which the roots are not easy to locate. The so-called

string hypothesis is used for the analysis and classification of the roots for the Bethe ansatz equations^{7,8}. Thus, we could study thier ground state, the excitation and the thermodynamics based on it^{7,8}. Then, the thermodynamical properties of the 1D Bose or Fermi gas with δ -function interaction and with two components can be explored. The eqs.(47)–(50) indicate that under the thermodynamical limit the 2D DS1 gases (with two color components) are classified into 2D Bose gases and 2D Fermi gases. By eqs (47) (48), the 2D Bose gases are composed of two 1D Fermi gases or 1D Bose gases, and by eqs (49) (50), the 2D Fermi gases are composed of 1D Fermi gas and 1D Bose gas. Hence, the thermodynamics of 2D DS1 gases with two color components can be derived exactly. It would be interesting in physics, because this is an interesting and nontrivial example to illustrate coupling (or fusing) of two 1D 2-component gases with δ -function interaction and with different or same statistics. Secondly, the colorless DS1 equation originated in studies of nonlinear phenomena⁹. Five years ago, Pang, Pu and Zhao¹⁴ showed an example that the solutions of the initial-boundary-value problem for the related classical DS1 equation in ref.¹⁵ are consistent with the solutions for the quantum DS1 system with time-dependent applied forces. This indicates that the classical solutions of DS1 equation are corresponding to the classical limit of the solutions for the quantum DS1 system. This is actually a new method to reveal the solutions of the colorless DS1 equation. To the quantum DS1 system with color indices studied in this present paper, similar correspondences are expectable. Hence, the structure of the solutions of the quantum DS1-system with color indices revealed in this paper would be helpful to understand the corresponding classical solutions of DS1 systems with color. The specific studies on the above speculations would be meaningful, however, they are beyond the scope of this present paper.

To summarize. We formulated the quantum multi-component DS1 system in terms of the quantum multi-component many-body Hamiltonain in 2D space. Then we reduced this 2D Hamiltonain to two 1D multi-component many-body problems. As the potential between two particles with two components in one dimension is δ -function, the Bethe-Yang ansatz was used to solve these 1D problems. By using the ansatz of ref.¹ and introducing some useful Young operators, we presented a new N-body variable-separation ansatz for fusing two 1D-solutions to construct 2D wave functions of the quantum many-body problem which is induced from the quantum 2-component DS1 system. There are two types of wave functions: Boson's and Fermion's. Both of them satisfy the 2D many-body

Schrödinger equation of the DS1 system exactly. The results have been used to study the ground states of the system. Some further applications of the results presented in this paper are speculated and discussed. This paper serves as a review to refs.^{1,2,16}.

Appendix

Let us derive eqs (54) and (55) in the text. We start from the Bethe ansatz equations (30) and (31) of 1D bosons with two color components. Taking the logarithm of (30) and (31) respectively, we have

$$k_j L = 2\pi I_k - 2 \sum_{i=1}^N \tan^{-1} \frac{k_j - k_i}{g} - 2 \sum_{\beta=1}^M \tan^{-1} \frac{2(\Lambda_\beta - k_j)}{g} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$2 \sum_{\alpha=1}^M \tan^{-1} \frac{\Lambda_\beta - \Lambda_\alpha}{g} = 2\pi J_\Lambda + 2 \sum_{j=1}^N \tan^{-1} \frac{2(\Lambda_\beta - k_j)}{g}, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where (for the case of $N = \text{even}$, $M = \text{odd}$)

$$\frac{1}{2} + I_k = \text{successive integers from } 1 - \frac{1}{2}N \text{ to } +\frac{1}{2}N,$$

$$J_\Lambda = \text{successive integers from } -\frac{1}{2}(M-1) \text{ to } +\frac{1}{2}(M-1).$$

We can now approach the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$, $M \rightarrow \infty$, $L \rightarrow \infty$ proportionally, obtaining

$$k = 2\pi f_2 - 2 \int_{-Q_2}^{Q_2} dk' \rho_2(k') \tan^{-1} \frac{(k - k')}{g} - 2 \int_{-B_2}^{B_2} d\Lambda \sigma_2(\Lambda) \tan^{-1} \frac{2(\Lambda - k)}{g}, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

$$2 \int_{-B_2}^{B_2} d\Lambda' \sigma_2(\Lambda') \tan^{-1} \frac{\Lambda - \Lambda'}{g} = 2\pi h_2 + 2 \int_{-Q_2}^{Q_2} dk \rho_2(k) \tan^{-1} \frac{2(\Lambda - k)}{g}, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$\frac{dh_2}{d\Lambda} = \sigma_2, \quad \frac{df_2}{dk} = \rho_2, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$D = \frac{N}{L} = \int_{-Q_2}^{Q_2} \rho_2(k) dk, \quad D_m = \frac{M}{L} = \int_{-B_2}^{B_2} \sigma_2(\Lambda) d\Lambda. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Or, after differentiation,

$$2\pi\sigma_2 = \int_{-B_2}^{B_2} \frac{2g\sigma_2(\Lambda')d\Lambda'}{g^2 + (\Lambda - \Lambda')^2} - \int_{-Q_2}^{Q_2} \frac{4g\rho_2(k)dk}{g^2 + 4(k - \Lambda)^2}, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$2\pi\rho_2 = 1 - \int_{-B_2}^{B_2} \frac{4g\sigma_2(\Lambda)d\Lambda}{g^2 + 4(\Lambda - k)^2} + \int_{-Q_2}^{Q_2} \frac{2g\rho_2(k')dk'}{g^2 + (k - k')^2}, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

which are just eqs (54) and (55).

References

1. G.D. Pang, F.C. Pu and B.H. Zhao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **65**, 3227(1990).
2. M.L. Yan and B.H. Zhao, Phys.Lett. **A168**, 25(1992).
3. M.A. Olshanetsy and A.M. Perelomov, Phys.Rep. **94**, 313(1983).
4. C.N. Yang, Phys.Rev.Lett. **19**, 1312(1967); Phys.Rev. **168**,1920(1968).
5. F. Calogero, O. Ragnisco and C. Marchioro, Lett. Nuovo Cimento **12**, 383(1975)
B. Sutherland, Rocky Mtn. J. Math. **8**, 413 (19778)
6. H.A. Bethe, Z.Phys. **71**, 205(1931).
E.H. Lieb and W. Liniger, Phys.Rev.**130**, 1605(1963).
7. C.K. Lai, Phys.Rev.Lett. **26**, 1472 (1971); Phys. Rev. **A8**, 2567 (1973).
8. T. Schlottmann, Int.J. of Mod. Phys. **B11**, 355 (1997).
9. A. Davey and K. Stewartson, Proc.R.Soc. **A338**, 101(1974).
10. B.H. Zhao, J. of China Univ. Of Sci. & Tech. **23**, 27(1993).
J.F. Colombean, *New Generalized Functions and Multiplication of Distributions*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984.
11. B. Sutherland and R.A. Römer, Phys.Rev.Lett.,**71**, 2789(1993).
12. C.H. Gu and C.N. Yang, Commun.Math.Phys. **122**, 105(1989).
13. H.T. Fan, F.C. Pu and B.H. Zhao, Nucl.Phys. **B299**, 52(1988).
14. G.D. Pang, F.C. Pu and B.H. Zhao, J.Phys.A: Math.Gen. **25**, L527 (1992).
15. A.S. Fokas and M.J. Ablowitz, *Nonlinear Phenomena (Lecture Notes in Physics 189)*, 1989, ed K.B. Wolf (Berlin: Springer).
16. M.L. Yan, Phys. Rev. **E61**, 4745 (2000).