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#### Abstract

T he constitutive equations for the orientationaldynam ics of a liquid form ed of linearm olecules are derived $m$ icroscopically. T he resulting generalised Langevin equations coincide w ith the phenom enological approach of coe cients and various constraints are show $n$ to be consequences of this $m$ icroscopic approach.
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## I. INTRODUCTION

Light-scattering has proven to be an im portant tool for investigating condensed $m$ atter physics. In the eld of supercooled liquids, the structural relaxation covers $m$ any decades either in the tim $e$, or in the frequency dom ain, the latter being accessible by e.g., Fabry-P erot techniques. T hem easured spectra, see e.g. [1] [1, re ect the slow ing dow $n$ of the structural relaxation upon low ering the tem perature and exhibit the nontrivial power-laws and stretching e ects found by other techniques, such as dielectric spectroscopy for instance. T he m ost direct $m$ easure of the $h$ indered $m$ otion due to the cage e ect can be observed by depolarised light-scattering in the backscattering geom etry. F or depolarised light-scattering perform ed at other scattering angles, one observes an adm ixture of the transverse current $m$ otion to the pure backscattering signal. Sim ilarly, for polarised scattering, one obtains a contribution from density uctuations which result in the B rillouin resonance [3]

The subtlety of light-scattering lies in disentangling the dependence on frequency shift, !, and wave-vector transfer, $q$, as well as on incident and outgoing polarisations. Since the wave-vector transfer, $q$, is $s m$ all for light-scattering, a generalised hydrodynam ics approach is suitable. T here, the spectra are described in term $s$ of a num ber of frequency-dependent $m$ em ory kemels, e.g. viscosities. T hese kemels have som etim es been w ritten on the basis of heuristic argum ents. This is the case, for instance of $\left[\begin{array}{l}11 \\ 1\end{array}\right]$, in which di erent previous attem pts are also described and discussed. A m ore fundam ental approach consists in deriving them from a microscopic theory through, say, a ZwanzigM ori technique. The nst such attem pt w asm ade by A ndersen and P ecora (4in, who, in fact, m ade a purely form al use of the technique, them em ory kemels being eventually approxim ated by instantaneous interactions ( $M$ arkov approxim ation). M uch $m$ ore recently, the technique $w$ as used in its fullgenerality
 show ed that the description of the light scattering spectra involved ten frequency-dependent functions. T his large num ber $w$ as the prige to be paid in order not to m iss any
e ect that leads to a uctuation of the dielectric tensor ij $(q ; t)$. In the present paper, we shall follow an interm ediate route; we shall derive, for a selected set of dynam ical variables, the precise form of their equations of $m$ otion, and of the corresponding relaxation kemels. O ur results are valid whatever the tem perature but are restricted to the case of $m$ olecular supercooled liquids form ed of sym $m$ etric top $m$ olecules. Their application to the light scattering problem requires a precise form of
ij $(r ; t)$; follow ing Eq. (12) of $P$ art I of this series of papers, we shallassum e ij $(q ; t)$ to depend only on two variables of the problem, nam ely the density and the orientation uctuations. T hen the light-scattering problem is reduced to calculating the density-density, orientationorientation as well as m ixed correlation functions which are expressed w ith the help of appropriate $m$ em ory kernels.

A s a further sim pli cation, w e shallignore tem perature uctuations, i.e. the hydrodynam ic poles associated w ith energy conservation. T his restriction is probably justi ed for B rillouin scattering experim ents, since, for the scattering vectors involved, the R ayleigh line lies at so low a frequency that $i t$ is inaccessible to the usual frequency dom ain $m$ ethods. Furthem ore, for liquids, the ratio of the isobaric heat capacity to the isochoric one is close to unity and, correspondingly, the total w eight of the B ritlouin lines is much larger than the weight contained in the R ayleigh line; sim ilarly, the isotherm al sound velocity is close to the adiabatic one. $T$ he situation is di erent fortim e-based $m$ ethods_like im pulsive therm alstim ulated B rillouin scattering $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[6,1} \\ \square\end{array}, \underline{1}, \underline{q}\right]$ w here the heat di usion contribution can be observed as a late stage of the relaxation signal, but this aspect of the problem will not be dealt w th here.

The goal of this paper is twofold. The rst is to give a $m$ icroscopic derivation of the constitutive equations for the density and orientation uctuations used in ['] 1 , and to derive som e new results from this $m$ icroscopic approach $\left[\begin{array}{l}{\left[\bar{T}_{1}\right]}\end{array}\right]$ T he second is to com pare the results one
 and the present paper, which di er in the variables taken into account and/or in the scattering $m$ odel.

C onsequently, this paper is organized as follow s. T he
 derived in Section II. In particular, we show that the four $m$ em ory functions which enter into those equations, nam ely the bulk viscosity, b(t), the center-offm ass shear viscosity, $s(t)$, the rotational friction ${ }^{0}(t)$, and the rotation-translation coupling, ( $t$ ), can be expressed in term s of the dynam ical variables of the problem, and of a reduced tim e evolution $\mathrm{R}^{0}(\mathrm{t})$ which does not contain the hydrodynam ics poles of the problem. Sim ilarly, the rotation-translation coupling constant, ${ }^{0}$, and the $m$ olecular libration frequency, ! 0 , which are the other ingredients of these equations ofm otion, will be expressed in term $s$ of equal time them al averages of some variables of the problem. W e m ake use of these $m$ icroscopic expressions of the $m$ em ory functions in Section III to derive necessary conditions on the im aginary part of their Laplace transform, and on som e contributions of them . $T$ hese conditions will be such that the light scattering spectra will be always positive whatever the values of the coe cients linearly coupling the density and orientation uctuations to ij $(q ; t)$. Section $\mathbb{I V}$ makes use of the sam e expressions of the $m$ em ory functions to relate, through a $G$ reen K ubo form alism, the correlation functions of som e variables to speci c com binations of the Laplace transform $s$ of these $m$ em ory functions. In particular, we shall show that $b(t)$ can be expressed as such a correlation function. The sam e will be true for
${ }_{T}(t)$, the $m$ em ory function which takes into account all the retardation e ects related to the propagation of the transverse phonons; this is not a priori obvious because
t (! ) will tum out to depend in a complex way on the Laplace transform $s$ of severalm em ory functions de ned above, as well as on ${ }^{0}$ and ! 0 . Section V will com pare the expressions for the light scattering intensities that can be obtained using the three sets of variables and of dielectric uctuation $m$ odels already $m$ entioned. $W$ e shall show that the set proposed in [ill leads to aw kw ard form $s$ of the relaxation kemels $w$ hen they are not restricted to a $M$ arkov approxim ation, but used for a m olecular supercooled liquid. C onversely, as expected, the results obtained in Part I are a restriction of those of [1] corresponding to de nite sim plifying assum ptions. A brief sum $m$ ary and som e com $m$ ents conclude the paper.

## II. A ZW A N Z IG M ORIDERIVATION OF THE D Y NAMICALEQUATIONS

W e consider a dense liquid of N linear molecules of m ass m at tem perature T enclosed in a volum eV. Statistical correlations of phase space variables in term s of the $K$ ubo scalar product [11], $(A)(t) B)=h A(t) B i$,
 system 's dynam icsw ith h:i denoting canonicalaveraging. The them odynam ic lim it, N ! 1 , with xed particle density, $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{V}$, is im plied throughout. T he tim e evolution of the observables is driven by the Liouvillian $L$ : $@_{t} A=i L A=f H ; A g$, where $H$ denotes the $H$ am ilton
function and $f ; g$ the $P$ oisson bracket. $W$ e consider the dynam ics of the uctuating $m$ olecular orientation tensor, w ritten directly in the reciprocal space:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i j}(q)=N^{1=2} \hat{X}^{\mathrm{N}} \quad \hat{u}_{i} \hat{G}{ }_{j} \quad \frac{1}{3}{ }_{i j} \quad e^{i q R} ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the degrees of freedom of the th molecule are speci ed by a unit vector, $\hat{u}$, for the orientation and by the position of its center-ofm ass, R . T he spatialm odulation of a uctuation is characterized by its wave vector, $q$, and latin indiges denote cartesian com ponents. The 9 com ponents of $Q_{i j}(q)$ are not independent, since the orientation tensor is sym m etric and traceless, reducing the num ber of independent com ponents to 5 . The norm alisation is chosen such that the correlation functions are intensive.

Furthem ore, we consider the uctuations in the $m$ ass density:

$$
(q)=\mathrm{m} \mathrm{~N}^{1=2 \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{N}}} \exp (\mathrm{iq} \quad \mathrm{R}) \text {; }
$$

and the cartesian com ponents of the $m$ ass current:

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{i}(q)=N^{1=2} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{i}} \exp (\mathrm{iq} \quad \mathrm{R}) ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ denotes the $m$ om entum of the th $m$ olecule. (Equivalently, one could use the particle density $\mathrm{n}(\mathrm{q})=$
$(q)=m$ and the velocity $V_{i}(q)=J_{i}(q)=m$, where $m=$ $m n$ is the $m$ ean $m$ ass density).

## A. Static averages

The static correlation functions need to be evaluated to lowest order in $q$ only. Since the H am ilton function respects rotational invariance, all static averages in the liquid phase have to rem ain unchanged under any rotation of the system : this im plies that, e.g. correlators betw een, say, any second rank traceless tensor and any scalar will vanish in the long-w avelength lim it (see, e.g. Eq. (7. 1 ) .

The static average of the density can be expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
((q) j(q))=m^{2} v^{2}=c^{2}+O\left(q^{2}\right) ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where c is, here, the isotherm al sound velocity [1] dened in term $s$ of the long-w avelength lim it of the static structure factor via $c^{2}=v^{2}=S(q!0)$, while $v=$
$\overline{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{m}}$ denotes the therm al velocity. As usual, the current correlations read:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{i}(q) j_{k}(q)\right)={ }_{i k} m^{2} v^{2}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To low est order in $q$, the equal-tim e correlators of the tensor variables read:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{i j}(q) Q_{k l}(q)\right)=S^{2} \quad{ }_{i j ; k l}+O\left(q^{2}\right) ; \tag{6a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i j ; k l=\quad i k j 1+i l j k \quad \frac{2}{3} i j k l \tag{6b}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a fourth-rank tensor, the structure ofw hich is govemed by rotational sym $m$ etry. The long-w avelength lim it of the 99 correlators in Eq. ( 6 a $)$ is thus determ ined by a single num ber, $\mathrm{S}^{2}$, denoting the long-w avelength lim it of the corresponding generalised structure factor, a quantity which is, as in Eq. (4) , proportional in leading order to $k_{B} T$.

Due to rotational sym $m$ etry, the overlap of the tensor variables with the density vanishes in the longwavelength lim it according to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{i j}(q) j(q)\right)=O\left(q^{2}\right): \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e shall also need to consider the tensor currents, $Q_{i j}(q)=i L_{i j}(q)$, which are norm alised by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{i j}(q) Q_{k l}(q)\right)=2_{i j k l} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the characteristic frequency sqale; we show, in Appendix A, Eq. ( $\bar{A}-\overline{-})$, that $=\overline{2 k_{B} T=5 I}$, where $I$ is the m om ent of inertia of the m olecule for a rotation around an axis perpendicular to the molecule sym me try axis and passing through its center of $m$ ass. The ratio of the static averages of the orientation and the orientational current, $!_{0}=\mathrm{S}$, w ill determ ine the axial libration frequency, a frequency characteristic of the short-tim e expansion for the orientation correlation function (see Eq. (2'ㄱ́)). Hence, there is a close analogy betw een the set of Eqs. (6az) and ( $\overline{6}$ ) and the set of density plus m om entum current correlators whose ratio deter$m$ ines the isothem al sound velocity c characteristic of the initial decay of the density correlators; c and $!_{0}$ are, to leading order, independent of tem perature.
$T$ he correlation function betw een the $m$ ass current and the tensor current com ponents has now to be considered; it is strictly equal to zero, whatever is $q$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{i j}(q) j_{k}(q)\right)=0: \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is due to the fact that we put the point of reference of each molecule, $R$, at its center-offm ass, (see A ppendix A for a thorough discussion of this property). The rem aining static averages betw een the four distinguished variables $(q) ; J_{i}(q) ; Q_{i j}(q)$ and $Q_{i j}(q)$ vanish due to tim e reversal sym $m$ etry.

## B. C onstitutive Equations

The mass conservation law relates the density to the m om entum current:

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t} \quad(q ; t)=i q J_{k}(q ; t): \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly, the conservation of $m$ om entum yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t} J_{k}(q ; t)=i q_{1} \quad k 1(q ; t) ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{kl}^{(q ;} \mathrm{q}$ ) denotes the uctuating m om entum current tensor. At last, we can w rite the trivial identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{t}^{2} Q_{i j}(q ; t)=Q_{i j}(q ; t) ; \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

which de nes $Q_{i j}(q ; t)$ as an orientational tensor force. In order to close the system, we need constitutive equations for them om entum current tensor, $\mathrm{kl}^{(q ;} ; \mathrm{t}$ ) and the orientational tensor force. This will be achieved here through generalised Langevin equations which will introduce appropriate $m$ em ory kemels. Let us rst introduce the pro jection operator, P :

$$
\begin{align*}
P= & \left.Q_{k 1}(q)\right) \frac{1}{2 S^{2}}\left(Q_{k 1}(q) j\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{k 1}(q)\right) \frac{1}{2^{2}}\left(Q_{k 1}(q) j+j(q)\right) \frac{c^{2}}{m^{2} v^{2}}((q) j \\
& \left.+j_{k}(q)\right) \frac{1}{m^{2} v^{2}}\left(J_{k}(q) j+O\left(q^{2}\right) ;\right. \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where the sum over repeated indices is im plied. $P$ is a pro jection operator because, once the sym $m$ etric character of $Q_{i j}(q)$ and $Q_{i j}(q)$ has been taken into account, one can check that indeed $P^{2}=P$. P projects onto the subspace spanned by density, $m$ ass current and the sym $m$ etric traceless parts of the orientation and the corresponding current.

The tim e evolution operator, $R(t)=\exp (i \amalg t)$, can be exactly reform ulated as

$$
R(t)=R(t) P+Z_{0}^{Z} R(s) P \text { iLR } R^{0}(t \quad s) d s+R^{0}(t) ;
$$

w th the reduced operator $\mathrm{R}^{0}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{Q} \exp (\mathrm{i} Q \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~L}) \mathrm{Q}$, where $Q=1 \quad P$, and a short proofofeq. ${ }^{(1)(14)}$ is given in A ppendix B. The bene $t$ of this procedure lies in the follow ing. T he tim e evolution operator, $R(t)$, possesses, in addition to a non-hydrodynam ic part, long-lived hydrodynam ic modes that are due to conservation laws. $T$ his leads to resonances in the spectra, viz. the Fourier transform sof the tim e correlation functions of all the distinguished variables for $s m$ all but nonzero wave vectors. C onversely, the reduced tim e evolution operator, $R^{0}(t)$, devoids the hydrodynam ic singularities and correlation functions w ith $R^{0}(t)$ are regular in the long-w avelength lim it. The problem ofhandling the slow relaxation due to hydrodynam ic conservation law s is treated explicitly in the low-dim ensional subspace of the distinguished variables. On the contrary, the slow structuralrelaxation w ill be dealt $w$ th the help of correlation functions of $R^{0}(t)$, the second tem of the r.h.s. of Eq. (19i), which will appear in the form of $m$ em ory kemels. In the spirit of generalised hydrodynam ics, the long-w avelength properties are described properly by keeping the w ave-vectordependences introduced explicitly by the conservation law S, while the $m$ em ory kemels can be evaluated in their longwavelength lim it.
Before deriving the constitutive equations from Eq. (14-1) for the $m$ issing quantities, $i j(q ; t)$ and $Q_{i j}(q ; t)$,
som e com $m$ ents on the structure of the resulting equations in the long-w avelength lim it are in order. First, from tim e reversalsym $m$ etry, the instantaneous coupling ( rst tem of the rh.s. of Eq. (14 $4_{1}^{\prime}$ )) will be non-zero only for variables of identical tim e-parity. Since both the m om entum current and the orientational force have even tim e parity, this instantaneous part will consist of density and orientation only. Second, rotational sym $m$ etry im plies that the coupling of irreducible tensors of di erent ranks is suppressed in the long-w avelength lim it by appropriate pow ers of the w ave num ber. $T$ he dynam ical correlators en joy the sam e property, since the tim e evolution does not change the rank of a tensor. W e shall keep only the low est nontrivial term $s$ in this sm all-w avenum ber expansion, as was already hinted at by keeping only the low est order of the static averages in the preceeding section.

Finally, in the second term of the r.h.s. of Eq. (1-14), one can let iL operate on the 'bra' part of the pro jector, $P$; for instance:

$$
\begin{align*}
& j(q)) \frac{c^{2}}{m^{2} v^{2}}\left((q) \ddot{j}=j(q) \frac{c^{2}}{m^{2} v^{2}}\left(\_(q) j\right.\right. \\
& =j(q)) \frac{c^{2}}{m^{2} v^{2}} i q_{k}\left(J_{k}(q) j\right. \tag{15a}
\end{align*}
$$

because $R^{0}(t \quad s)$ contains, on its lh. $s$, a $Q=1 \quad P$ factor, the contribution of $J_{k}(q)$, and sim ilarly of $Q_{-k 1}(q)$, are elim inated from this second term and one obtains:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.P \text { iL } R^{0}(t \quad s)=\sum_{k 1}(q)\right) \frac{1}{2^{2}}\left(Q_{k 1}(q) R^{0}(t\right. \\
& \left.+j_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{q})\right) \frac{i q_{1}}{\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}\left(\mathrm{kl}_{1}(\mathrm{q}) \mathrm{R}^{0}(\mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{~s}):\right. \tag{15b}
\end{align*}
$$

Let's rst handle the $m$ om entum current tensor which we decom pose into:

$$
\begin{equation*}
i j(q ; t)={ }_{i j} p(q ; t)+{ }_{i j}(q ; t): \tag{15c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(q ; t)=[x x(q ; t)+y y(q ; t)+z z(q ; t)]=3 \tag{15d}
\end{equation*}
$$

denotes the uctuating pressure so that ${ }_{i j}(q ; t)$ is a traceless sym $m$ etric second rank tensor. M ultiplying Eq. (14니́) from the right by $p(q)$ yields the desired Langevin equation for the pressure uctuation:

$$
\begin{align*}
p(q ; t)= & R(t) P p(q)+{ }_{0}^{Z_{t}} R(s) P \text { iLR }{ }^{0}(t \quad s) p(q) d s \\
& +R^{0}(t) p(q):
\end{align*}
$$

The rst term represents an instantaneous coupling to the distinguished variables of the pro jector. T he second yields a retarded coupling and the last term is a rapidly uctuating term that we shall call 'noise', i.e. which is uncorrelated for all tim es to the distinguished variables. Hence, this term can be ignored for the evaluation of the correlation functions of the distinguished variables.

N evertheless, the sam e term willbe usefulin establishing the $K$ ubo form ulae of Section IV, which will relate the tim e-dependent correlation functions of som e variables to the $m$ em ory kemels of the dynam ical equations.

In order to evaluate the rst term of Eq. (1-1 $\overline{1}_{1}$ ), we need static correlations of the pressure $w$ th the distinguished variables. T im e-inversion sym $m$ etry allow s nonvanishing correlations only $w$ th the density and the orientational tensor. Since rotational invariance im plies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left({ }_{i j}(q) j(q)\right)=O\left(q^{2}\right) ; \tag{17a}
\end{equation*}
$$

one can evaluate ( ( $q$ ) j p(q)) by using the conservation of $m$ om entum, Eq. (11 ), and Eq. (는), up to tem $s$ of order O ( $q^{2}$ ):

$=\quad\left(\_(q) j j^{2}(q)\right)=i q_{1}\left(J_{1}(q) j J_{k}(q)\right)$
$=i q_{k} m^{2} v^{2}$ :
This yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
((q) p(q))=m^{2} v^{2}+o\left(q^{2}\right): \tag{17c}
\end{equation*}
$$

C onversely, rotational invariance im plies, sim ilarly to Eq. $\left(\bar{T}_{1}\right)$, that $(p(q)$ 迥 $i j(q))=O\left(q^{2}\right)$. Collecting the term sappearing in $P$, the long-w avelength instantaneous coupling is then sim ply given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t) P p(q)=c^{2} \quad(q ; t): \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let's tum now to the retarded couplings. From Eq. (15-2'), one has to consider only the couplings of $p(q)$ $w$ ith $Q_{k 1}(q)$ and $w$ ith $J_{k}(q)$, and these couplings involve $\left(Q_{k l}(q)-R^{0}(t \quad s) p(q)\right)$ and (kl(q) $\left.R^{0}(t \quad s) p(q)\right)$. As $Q_{k l}(q)$ is traceless while $\mathrm{kl}^{(q)}$ is not, the rst term is $O\left(q^{2}\right)$ while the second is of order unity. C onsequently, to lowest order in $q$, we need to consider only the retarded coupling to $J_{k}(q)$. It is convenient to introduce the long-w avelength pressure correlator in the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p-R^{0}(t) \dot{p}\right) \frac{n}{k_{B} T}=b(t): \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

(H ere, and in the rest of the paper, om itting the wavenum ber dependence indicates that the quantity is to be evaluated for $q!0$ ).

O ne thus obtains:

$$
\begin{align*}
& i q_{1}\left(k_{1}(q) R^{0}(t \quad s) \dot{p}(q)\right) \\
& =i q_{k} b(t \quad s) k_{B} T=n+O\left(q^{3}\right) \tag{20a}
\end{align*}
$$

once we make use of the fact that $\left(k_{1}(q) \cdot R^{0}(t) p(q)\right)$ is of order $O\left(q^{2}\right)$. Introducing Eq. (20a) into the expression of the retarded coupling and replacing, in Eq. (1] 극), $J_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{q})$ by $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{nv} v_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{q})$, one obtains the standard, retarded, constitutive equation for the uctuating pressure:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{t})= & \mathrm{c}^{2}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{t})+\mathrm{i}_{0}^{\mathrm{Z}} \mathrm{~b}(\mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{~s}) \nsubseteq \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ds} \\
& + \text { noise } ;
\end{align*}
$$

which is the Fourier transform of the usualequation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
p(r ; t)= & \sum_{(r ; t)+\int_{0}^{Z_{t}}}^{b(t \quad s) d i v} v(r ; s) d s \\
& + \text { noise : }
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us now apply the same technique to ij (q), the traceless part of ij (q). M ultiplying Eq. (14 í) by ij (q) from the right $y$ ields the Langevin equation for the traceless part of the m om entum current tensor. In order to evaluate the instantaneous couplings, one needs to com pute the static correlations of this tensor w ith the distinguished variables. Because of Eq. (171a) and of the tim e reversal sym $m$ etry, one is left $w$ th $^{-1}$ the sole evaluation of $\left(Q_{k l}(q) j_{i j}(q)\right)$ for which neither tensorial nor tim e reversal sym $m$ etry constraints apply, in the longwavelength lim it. How ever from m om entum conservation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{iq}_{j}\left(Q_{k l}(q) j_{i j}(q)\right)=\left(Q_{k l}(q) \text { 㢫 } J_{i}(q)\right) \\
& \left.=\quad Q_{k l}(q) j_{i}(q)\right) ; \tag{21a}
\end{align*}
$$

where the rst equality is correct up to order $O\left(q^{2}\right)$ due to the traceless character of $Q_{k l}(q)$. The rh.s. of Eq. (21a) is equal to zero at every order in $q, E q$. ( $\underline{g}_{1}$ ), so that there is no instantaneous coupling of $i j(q){ }^{-} w$ ith the distinguished variables, in the leading order in $q$ considered in the present paper. H ence, one is left w ith the evaluation of the $m$ em ory kemel, which splits into two parts:
the traceless $m$ om entum current tensor autocorrelator which, in line with Eq. (19), we write in the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k_{k 1} R^{0}(t) j_{i j}\right) \frac{n}{k_{B} T}=s(t) \quad i j ; k 1 ; \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we call $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{t})$ the tim e-dependent shear viscosity, as it couples the m om entum current to the strain rate, see Eq. (25).
the coupling of the traceless $m$ om entum current $w$ ith the corresponding orientational force, that we w rite as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{k 1} R^{0}(t) j_{i j} \frac{1}{2}=\quad \text { (t) } i_{i j k l} \text { : } \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For reasons sim ilar to those used in Eq. (20'a):

$$
\begin{align*}
& i q_{1}\left(k_{1}(q) \cdot R^{0}(t \quad s) j_{i j}(q)\right)  \tag{24a}\\
& =i q_{1}\left(k l(q) \cdot R^{0}(t\right. \\
& \left.s) j_{i j}(q)\right)  \tag{24b}\\
& =i q_{1} s\left(\begin{array}{lll}
(t) & \frac{k_{B} T}{n} & k l ; i j
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

and:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
i q_{1} \frac{J}{k}(q)_{n}^{n_{k} l_{i j}}=i m\left[q_{i} v_{j}(q)+q_{j} v_{i}(q)\right. \\
m_{i j}(q) ; \tag{24c}
\end{array} \quad \frac{2}{3}{ }_{i j} q_{k} v_{k}(q)\right]
$$

where ${ }_{i j}(q)$ is the strain rate tensor, so that:
$\left.R(s) j_{k}(q)\right) \frac{1}{m k_{B} T} i q_{i}\left(k l(q) R^{0}(t \quad s) j_{i j}(q)\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\quad i j(q ; s)_{s}(t \quad s): \tag{24d}
\end{equation*}
$$

Sim ilarly:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.R(s) Q_{k l}(q)\right) \frac{1}{2^{2}}\left(Q_{k l}(q) R^{0}(t \quad s) j_{i j}(q)\right) \\
= & \left.R(s) Q_{k l}(q)\right) \frac{1}{2} \quad k l_{i j} \quad(t \quad s) \\
= & Q_{i j}(q ; s)(t \quad s): \tag{24e}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hen, the generalised constitutive equation for $i j(q ; t)$ reads:
$Z_{t}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{i j}(q ; t)=\quad s^{(t \quad s)}{ }_{i j}(q ; s) d s \\
& z_{t}{ }_{t} \\
& +{ }_{0} \text { (t } s Q_{-i j}(q ; s) d s+\text { noise: (25) }
\end{aligned}
$$


$Z_{t}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& s^{(t \quad s)}{ }_{i j}(q ; s) d s \\
& Z_{t}^{0} \\
& +{ }_{0} \text { (t } s Q_{i j}(q ; s) d s+\text { noise ; } \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

which is exactly the Fourier transform of Eq. (3) of $P$ art $I$, once one has noted that $i_{j}(q ; t)$ is the opposite of the stress tensor, ij $(q ; t)$.

To derive an equation of $m$ otion for $Q_{i j}(q)$, we again $m$ ake use of Eq. (14). For the instantaneous contribution, $R(t) P Q_{i j}(q)$, only the term involying the orientation in the projector $P$ needs to be considered, the other term $s$ dropping out for tensorial or tim e-reversalsym $m e-$ try considerations. Since:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(Q_{k l}(q) \not Q_{i j}(q)\right) & = \\
& \left.=Q_{k l}(q) Q_{i j}(q)\right)  \tag{27a}\\
&  \tag{27b}\\
R(t) P Q_{i j}(q) & =!_{0}^{2} Q_{i j}(q ; t) ;
\end{align*}
$$

w th the axial libration frequency:

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{0}==S: \tag{27c}
\end{equation*}
$$

The evaluation of the retarded couplings proceeds along the same lines as for the m om entum current tensor. De ning:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{k l} \mathcal{R}^{0}(t) \mathcal{Q}_{i j}\right) \frac{1}{2}={ }^{0}(t) \quad k l ; i j ; \tag{28a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{{ }^{2} n}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}=\frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{5 \mathrm{I}} ; \tag{28b}
\end{equation*}
$$

one easily obtains, w th the help ofE qs. (15-bibl), and (2-9) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P i L^{0}\left(t \quad s Q_{i j}(q)=q_{i j}(q)\right)^{0}(t \quad s) \\
& \left.\left.+{ }^{0} j_{i j}(q)\right) \text { (t } s\right) ;(28 c)
\end{aligned}
$$

once one has noted that, because of the traceless character of $Q_{i j}(q)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k_{1} \mathbb{R}^{0}(t \quad s) \mathscr{Q}_{i j}\right)=\left(k 1 \mathbb{R}^{0}(t \quad s) \mathscr{Q}_{i j}\right): \tag{28d}
\end{equation*}
$$

C ollecting the various term s , one thus obtains:

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{i j}(q ; t)= & !_{0}^{2} Q_{i j}(q ; t){ }^{Z_{t}}{ }_{0}^{0}\left(t \quad s Q_{i j}(q ; s) d s\right. \\
& +0_{0}^{Z_{t}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & s)_{i j}(q ; s) d s \\
& + \text { noise }:
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

The sam e mem ory kemel, ( $t$ ), occurs in the constitutive equation for the orientational force, Eq. (2d), as response to a $m$ om entum gradient, and in the equation for the $m$ om entum current, Eq. ( $25^{\prime}$ ), as a reaction to an orientationalcurrent. T his can be considered as a general consequence of O nsager's principle, and it appears, here, naturally as the result of the use of the Zwanzig -M ori form alism. Equation ( $2 \mathbf{2}_{1}$ ) is, as expected, the Fourier transform ofeq. (4) of Part I, as brie y argued in [101. $T$ he $Z w$ anzig $M$ ori form alism thus leads to the $m$ icroscopic derivation of the equations proposed, on a phenom enological basis, in $[1$ already tw o bonuses. O ne is the precise de nitions of ${ }^{0}$, Eq. ( $\mathbf{2}^{-} 8 \mathrm{a}$ '), in term $s$ of quantities a priori know $n$, and of $!_{0}, \overline{\mathrm{Eq}}$. (27a), which can be obtained from them al averages of ( $Q_{i j} \sum_{k 1}$ ) and ( $Q_{-j} \ell_{k l}$ ). The second bonus is the precise de nitions, through $R^{0}(t)$ of the fourm em ory kemels, $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{t})$; $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{t})$; $(\mathrm{t})$ and ${ }^{0}(\mathrm{t})$, Eqs. (1901, and (28a'). W e shall show, in the next tw o Sections, that these expressions allow :
-on the one hand (Section III) to precisely de ne under which conditions, all the B rillouin intensities, derived or recalled in P art I, are positive whatever the frequency,


- on the other hand (Section IV) to show, through K ubo's form ulae, that these kemels can, directly or indirectly depending on which one is considered, bem easured as correlation functions of q! 0 dynam ical variables.
III. THEONSAGER RELATIONSAND THE POSITIVENESSOFTHESPECTRA
A. Sum m ary of the light scattering results of Part I

In $P$ art $I, N], m$ aking use of the equations of $m$ otions
 for the intensity of the VV light-scattering spectrum under the assum ption that the uctuations of the dielectric tensor could be w ritten as the linear com bination:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{i j}(q)=a_{i j}(q)+b Q_{i j}(q): \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the convention that the Laplace transform of $f(t)$ would be $f(!)=\operatorname{LT}[f(t)](!)=i_{0} d t f(t) \exp (i!t)$,
this intensity was expressed (see Eq. 36, Part I) in term $s$ of all the quantities de ned in Section II, and of
 (28b) which relate ! $0_{0}$; and $S$, the result obtained in Part I can be cast into the form [19-1:

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{V} v(q ;!)=\frac{1}{!} \operatorname{Im} \quad \frac{4 b^{2}}{3} \frac{2}{D(!)} \\
& \quad+q^{2} a+\frac{2 b{ }^{0}}{3 m n} r(!)^{2} m^{2} v^{2} P_{L}(q ;!) ; \tag{31a}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{L}(q ;!)$ is the longitudinal phonon propagator:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L}(q ;!)^{1}=!^{2} \quad q^{2} c^{2} \quad q^{2}!\quad \text { L }(!)=m n ; \tag{31b}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith:

$$
\begin{align*}
& L(!)=b(!)+\frac{4}{3}\left[s(!) \quad \varlimsup^{0} D(!) r(!)^{2}\right] \\
& \text { k (!) } \quad \frac{4}{3} \Pi^{0} D(!) r(!)^{2} \\
& \mathrm{~b}(!)+\frac{4}{3} \mathrm{~T}(!) \text {; }  \tag{31c}\\
& D(!)=!_{0}^{2}+!{ }^{0}(!) \quad!^{2} \text {; }  \tag{32}\\
& r(!)=\frac{!(!)}{D(!)}: \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

T $(\mathrm{t})$, de ned through the last line of Eq . ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \mathrm{a}$ ) is what we shall call the transverse viscosity.

Sim ilarly, the expression for the intensity of the VH light-scattering spectnum, already derived in $[1,1,1,1]$ w ith in the sam e m odel, w as recalled in P art I (Eq. (48)); w th the present notations, it reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{V H}(q ;!)=\frac{b^{2}}{!} \operatorname{Im} \frac{2}{D(!)} \\
& \quad+q^{2} \frac{{ }^{0} r(!)}{m n}{ }^{2} \cos ^{2}-m^{2} v^{2} P_{T}(q ;!) ; \tag{34a}
\end{align*}
$$

where is the scattering angle and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q} ;!)^{1}=!^{2} \quad \mathrm{q}^{2}!\mathrm{T}(!)=\mathrm{mn} \tag{34b}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the transverse phonon propagator. It is convenient to separate out the angular contribution in Eq. (3̄4à) by rew riting it in the form :

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mathrm{VH}}(q ;!)=b^{2} \sin ^{2}-I_{\mathrm{BD}}(!)+\cos ^{2}-\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{T}}(q ;!) ; \tag{34c}
\end{equation*}
$$

with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{B D}(!)=\frac{1}{!} \operatorname{Im} \frac{2}{D(!)} ; \tag{34d}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q} ;!)= & \frac{1}{!} \operatorname{Im} \frac{2}{\mathrm{D}(!)} \\
& +q^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{v}^{2}{\frac{{ }^{0_{r}(!)^{2}}}{\mathrm{mn}}}^{2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q} ;!):(34 \mathrm{e})
\end{aligned}
$$

## B. N ecessary conditions on the m em ory kernels

In the present part ofSection III, we show som e general properties of the four $m$ em ory kemels $b(t)$; $s(t)$; ( $(t)$ and ${ }^{0}(t)$ that can be derived from their $m$ icroscopic expressions. These properties are of interest for the light scattering spectra and, in particular, they are such that, when ful lled, the spectra are positive w hatever the frequency and the ratio $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a}$ of Eq. (3').
 one checks that the $m$ em ory kemels are real and have even tim e parity. Furtherm ore, by taking the special linear com binations:

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
20 & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2_{z z} & x x & y y
\end{array}{ }^{p} \overline{12} ;\right. \\
Q_{0} & =\left[\begin{array}{lll}
p \\
2 Q_{z z} & Q_{x x} & Q_{y y}
\end{array}\right] \tag{35b}
\end{array}
$$

$s(t)$ and ${ }^{0}(t)$ can be written as auto-correlation functions sim ilar to $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{t})$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{s}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}\left(20 \mathrm{R}^{0}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{j}_{20}\right) ;  \tag{36a}\\
& { }^{0}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{Q} 0 \mathrm{R}^{0}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{X}_{0}\right): \tag{36b}
\end{align*}
$$

T hus the Laplace-transform s of the $m$ em ory kemels have the usual properties described, e.g., in $111_{1,1}^{1}\left[22_{1}^{\prime}, 113_{1}^{1}\right]$ (see also A ppendix C). In particular, this A ppendix show s that these Laplace transform s are analytic in the low er com plex half plane and that the inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Im} \mathrm{b}(!)  \tag{37a}\\
& 0 ;  \tag{37b}\\
& \operatorname{Im}_{\mathrm{s}}(!)  \tag{37c}\\
& 0 ; \\
& \operatorname{Im}{ }^{0}(!) \\
& 0 ;
\end{align*}
$$

hold for all com plex ! w ith Im ! < 0 .
$T$ he $m$ ixed correlation function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{2}(t)=\left(Q 0 R^{0}(t) j_{20}\right) ; \tag{38a}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be read as an o -diagonalelem ent of the $m$ atrix correlator built on $Q_{0}$ and 20 . Since the im aginary part of the Laplace transform of this $m$ atrix is positive sem idefinite (see A ppendix C, Eq. $(\bar{C} \overline{-} \bar{\theta})$ ), one obtains, w th the help of Eq. (28bbl), the inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\operatorname{Im} s_{s}(!)\right]\left[\operatorname{Im}{ }^{0}(!)\right] \quad{ }^{0}[\operatorname{Im} \quad(!)]^{2} \quad 0 ; \tag{38b}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all ! in the low er com plex half- plane. T he system
 sager's relations to nite frequencies: from the $m$ icroscopic approach, one obtains that the im aginary part of the $m$ atrix of kinetic coe cients is positive de nite for any frequency.

Let us mention one useful consequence. First by Fourier back-transform :

$$
\begin{align*}
0(t)^{2}= & 0^{Z} \underline{d!} \cos (!t) \operatorname{Im}(!)^{2} \\
& 0^{Z} \underline{d!} j \operatorname{Im}(!) j^{2} \\
& Z^{Z} \frac{d!}{Z}{ }^{0}(!) \quad \underline{d!} \operatorname{Im}(!) ; \tag{38c}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last line we used Eq. (38Bbi) . The last relation im plies that the translation-rotation coupling is bounded by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0}(t)^{2} \quad s(t=0)^{0}(t=0): \tag{38d}
\end{equation*}
$$

C. P ositiveness of the light scattering spectra and further relations

The four inequalities, Eqs. $\left(331,138 \bar{b}_{1}\right)$, tum out to be su cient to prove that the light-scattering spectra, Eqs. ( $\overline{3} 1{ }^{-1}$ ) and ( $\overline{3} 4{ }^{-1}$ ), are positive for any frequency. The proof will be given for real frequencies only since the algebra greatly sim pli es. By sim ilar $m$ ethods, one can extend the proof to hold for all frequencies in the lower com plex half-plane. Let us rst recall that if A is a sym$m$ etric complex $m$ atrix, then one can write $\operatorname{Im}\left(A^{1}\right)=$
$\left[\operatorname{Im} A+(R \in A)(\operatorname{Im} A)^{1}(R \in A)\right]^{1}$. If $\operatorname{Im} A$ is a positive de nite $m$ atrix, one proves that ( ReA ) (ImA) ${ }^{1}$ ( ReA ) has the sam e property, so that $\operatorname{Im}\left(A^{1}\right)$ is also a positive de nite matrix; in particular, its diagonal elem ents are positive [2]. Let us now $m$ ake use of this property to prove the positiveness of the spectra, starting $w$ ith the VH spectrum written as Eq. (34a).

First:

$$
I_{B D}(!)=\frac{!{ }_{0}^{2} \operatorname{Im}{ }^{0}(!)}{\left[!{ }_{0}^{2} \quad!^{2}+!\operatorname{Re}^{0}(!)\right]^{2}+\left[!\operatorname{Im} \quad{ }^{0}(!)\right]^{2}}\{(39 a)
$$

so that $I_{B D}(!)$ is alw ays positive. Second, consider the $m$ atrix:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q} ;!)=2 \\
& { }^{?} C_{T}(q ;!)=\left(m^{2} v^{2} q^{2}\right)= \\
& \begin{array}{cl}
!\mathrm{D}(!) & q!\quad(!)=(m \vee) \\
q!(!)=(m \vee) & !+q_{s}^{2} \quad(!)=m n
\end{array} \tag{39b}
\end{align*}
$$

(here the matrix elem ents we are not interested in are abbreviated by asterisks). O ne checks that, for real!, the im aginary part of the $m$ atrix on the rh $s$ is positive de nite: indeed, its diagonal elem ents are positive (Eqs.
 proportional to the lh.s. of Eq. (38b1'). C onsequently, so does the im aginary part of the lh.s. of $E q$. ( $39 \bar{b}_{1}^{\prime}$ ). In particular, the diagonalelem ents on the left-hand side are positive. A fter som e algebra one nds that:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{Im} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q} ;!)=\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q} ;!) ;  \tag{39c}\\
\operatorname{Im} C_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q} ;!)=\frac{\mathrm{q}^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{v}^{2}}{!} \operatorname{Im} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{q} ;!): \tag{39d}
\end{gather*}
$$

A s both $I_{B D}(!)$ and $I_{T}(q ;!)$ are positive whatever ! real, the depolarised light-scattering spectrum , Eq. (3) is alw ays positive. A lso, from the form of $P_{T}(q ;!), E q$.
 т (!); this im plies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im}_{\mathrm{T}}(!) \quad 0: \tag{39e}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, in spite of its intricate expression, Eq. ( $\overline{3} \overline{1} \overline{\mathrm{a}}$ ), one can prove that $\operatorname{Im} \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{T}}(!)$ is alw ayspositive, a result w hich will be obtained again through the $G$ reen $-K$ ubo technique in Section IV .

For the polarised spectrum, let us consider the sim ilar $m$ atrix:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{q} ;!)={ }^{2} & !\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{q} ;!)=(\mathrm{m} v q) & = \\
!\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{q} ;!)=(\mathrm{mvq}) & !{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{q} ;!)=\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{v}^{2} \mathrm{q}^{2}\right) & = \\
2 & \mathrm{p} \overline{4=3 q} \frac{!(!)}{\mathrm{mv}} & 31 \\
6 & !\mathrm{D}(!) & \frac{7}{5}  \tag{40a}\\
4 & \\
\mathrm{p} \overline{4=3 q} \frac{!(!)}{\mathrm{mv}} & \frac{\mathrm{C}^{2} q^{2}}{!}!^{2}+\frac{q^{2} k_{\mathrm{L}}(!)}{\mathrm{m} \mathrm{n}}
\end{array}
$$

A gain, the im aginary part of the $m$ atrix on the rh.s of Eq. ( $400^{-1}$ ) $)$ is positive de nite; its diagonal elem ents are positive, Eqs. ( $3 \bar{T}_{1}$ ), while the corresponding 22 determ inant is proportionalto:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\operatorname{Im} \quad{ }^{0}(!)\right]\left[\operatorname{Im} \quad \mathrm{b}(!)+\frac{4}{3} \operatorname{Im} \quad \mathrm{~s}(!)\right] \quad \frac{4}{3}{ }^{0}[\operatorname{Im} \quad(!)]^{2}: \tag{40b}
\end{equation*}
$$

This term is also positive, because of Eqs. ( $3 \overline{2} \overline{\mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{~b}_{1}$ ) and ( $37 a^{-1}$ Na ${ }^{2}$ ). Explicit evaluation of the inverse of the $\bar{m}$ atrix on the r.h.s. of Eq. (AOà) yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Im} F_{L}(q ;!)=\frac{3}{!} \operatorname{Im} \frac{2}{3 D(!)} \\
& +q^{2} \frac{2}{3} \frac{{ }^{0} r(!)^{2}}{m n} m^{2} v^{2} P_{L}(q ;!)^{\circ}(A 0 c) \\
& \operatorname{Im} G_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{q} ;!)=\frac{\mathrm{p}_{\overline{3}}}{!} q^{2} \mathrm{~m}^{2} v^{2} \operatorname{Im} \quad \frac{2}{3} \frac{{ }^{\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{r}}(!)}}{\mathrm{mn}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{q} ;!) ; \tag{40d}
\end{align*}
$$

$\operatorname{Im} C_{L}(q ;!)=\frac{q^{2} m^{2} v^{2}}{!} \operatorname{Im} P_{L}(q ;!):$

Singe the im aginary part of the $m$ atrix on the lh s. of Eq. (40a) is positive de nite, this is also true for the $m$ atrix whose elem ents are $F_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{q} ;!) ; \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{q} ;!)$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{q} ;!)$. In consequence:

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{\mathrm{L}}(q ;!)= & a^{2} \operatorname{Im} C_{L}(q ;!)+\frac{2}{3} a b \operatorname{Im} G_{L}(q ;!) \\
& +\frac{b^{2}}{3} \operatorname{Im} F_{L}(q ;!) \quad 0: \tag{40f}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{V V}(q ;!)=b^{2} I_{B D}(!)+I_{L}(q ;!) ; \tag{40g}
\end{equation*}
$$

the VV spectrum, Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \mathbf{a}$ ), is positive, whatever a and b. $\mathbb{N}$ ote that the sam e technique could be applied to the HH spectrum, Eq. (43) of P art I, to prove that it is also positive, whatever the scattering angle.] Equation (40e) can also be used to prove that $\operatorname{Im} \quad$ ( $!) \geq 0$, a conclusion which already resulted from Eqs. ( $\overline{3} \overline{9} \dot{\theta})$ and ( 3 글) . . Let us stress that the positiveness of $I_{V} v(\bar{q} ;$ ! ) for any ! , whatever $q$, is not a trivial result as $I_{L}(q ;!)$ is the sum of a q-independent term, proportional to $I_{B D}(!)$, and of a q-dependent term. O ne could naively think that $I_{V} \mathrm{v}(\mathrm{q} ;!)$ could be alw ayspositive only if the sam ew ould be true for this $q$-dependent term. $F$ igure 3 of $P$ art $I_{1}$ [ $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { lin } \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ show sthat this is not the case. In fact, though Eq. ( $\mathbf{3} 8 \mathrm{Ba}{ }^{\prime}$ ) does not invokeq, it insures that Im ${ }^{0}$ (!) is large enough, whatever!, for the sum of the two term $s$ of $I_{L}(q ;!)$ to be positive, independently of the value of q. A sim ilar argum ent holds for the $I_{V}$ ( $q ;!$ ) spectrum .

> IV. THE GREENKUBO APPROACH TO THE $M E M O R Y K E R N E L S$

## A. P relim inary $R$ em arks

The com parison betw een $m$ easured $B$ rillouin spectra and their predicted intensities (Eqs. $312 a^{-1}$ and $134 a^{\prime}$ ') requires the know ledge of the four mem ory kemels $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{t})$; $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{t})$; ( t ) and ${ }^{0}(\mathrm{t})$. A though m icroscopically w ell de ned, those cannot be evaluated exactly, so that in practioe, they are frequently taken as em pirical $t$ functions. W hereas the correct $m$ em ory kemels are guaranteed to re ect all the restrictions of the correlated $m$ otion of the translational and orientational degrees of freedom,
 and (38bab ), this needs not be true for these em piricaliunctions. Hence, one has to carefully choose their param eters so that these relations are ful lled.

A possible interm ediate approach consists in obtaining inform ation on those $m$ em ory kemels through M D calculations of som e realistic $m$ odel of the supercooled liquid under consideration. Because the $m$ em ory kemels are $q$ ! 0 lm its of correlation functions of speci c variables, they can, in principle, be com puted from these M D calculations. Yet, these kemels, Eqs. (192 2 are written in term $s$ of the reduced operator $R^{0}(t)$. A s
the latter has no easy form ulation, this apparently reduces drastically the value of the preceeding rem ark. W e show, in the present Section, that the $m$ icroscopic approach of Section II allows for the determ ination of expressions of $b(t)$, and ${ }_{T}(t)$, the bulk and transverse viscosities, which coincide w th the usual $G$ reen K ubo form ulae: they can be directly determ ined as the correlation functions of variables accessible in a M D calculation.

C onversely, no such direct determ ination of ${ }^{0}(t)$ and
(t) is possible; their Laplace transform s , can be obtained through the com putation of the Laplace transform s of the correlation functions of other dynam ical variables but the determ ination of ${ }^{0}$ (!) and (!) will be rather indirect, as we shall see; for technical reasons, we shall start $w$ ith this second aspect and w illtum, later on, to the determ ination of the viscosity kemels.

Let us start by recalling that, in Eq. (2d), the 'noise term ' is equal to $R^{0}(t) Q_{i j}(q)$ so that one can rew rite this equation as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{0}(t) Q_{0}(q)=Q_{Z_{t}}(q ; t)+!{ }_{0}^{2} Q_{0}(q ; t) \\
& +{ }^{0}(t \quad s)_{0}(q ; s) d s \\
& { }^{0} Z_{t} \\
& 0 \text { (t s)o(q;s)ds; } \tag{41a}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith:

$$
\begin{equation*}
o(q)=\left[2_{z z}(q) \quad x x(q) \quad y y(q)\right]=p \overline{12}: \tag{41b}
\end{equation*}
$$

 the $q$ ! 0 lim it is taken, and $0(q)$ is $O$ (q) (see Eq. (24a)); the last term of Eq. (41a) m ay thus be dropped. M ultiplying both sides of Eq. (41a), from the left, by $Q_{0}$, perform ing a therm al average and a Laplace transform yields:

$$
\begin{align*}
{ }^{20}(!)= & \operatorname{LT}\left[\left(Q_{0} \mathrm{R}^{0}(\mathrm{t}) \not \mathrm{Q}_{0}\right)\right](!) \\
= & \left(!{ }_{0}^{2}+!{ }^{0}(!) \quad!^{2}\right) \operatorname{LT}\left[\left(Q_{0}(t) \not Q_{0}\right)\right](!) \\
& +\left[!\quad{ }^{0}(!)\right]\left(Q_{0} \mathbb{Q}_{0}\right): \tag{42a}
\end{align*}
$$

As $\left.\left(Q_{0} Q_{0}\right)=Q_{0} Q_{0}\right)=2$, the ${ }^{2}(!)$ drops out of Eq. (42al): ${ }^{0}(!)$ is not directly determ ined by considering the 'noise term ': its indirect detem ination is nevertheless possible through Eq. (42ai) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{LT}\left[\left(Q_{0}(t) \mathcal{Q}\right)\right](!)=\frac{!^{2}}{D(!)}: \tag{42b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lh.s. of Eq. (42a) can be obtained from the correlation of $Q_{0}(t) w$ ith $Q_{0} . N$ evertheless, it is simpler to w rite:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{LT}\left[\left(Q_{0}(t) Q_{0}\right)\right](!)=\operatorname{LT}\left[\left(Q_{0}(t) Q_{0}\right)\right](!) \\
& =\quad!^{2} \operatorname{LT}\left[\left(Q_{0}(t) \mathbb{Q}_{0}\right)\right](!)+!\left(Q_{0} \mathbb{Q}_{0}\right): \tag{42c}
\end{align*}
$$

Eqs. (42ber

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{LT}\left[\left(Q_{0}(t) \mathbb{Q}_{0}\right)\right](!)=\frac{S^{2}}{!} 1 \frac{!_{0}^{2}}{D(!)}: \tag{42d}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fancy technique we have just used sim ply recovers Eq. (34) of P art I which was directly obtained from the phenom enological equations of $m$ otion. The latter have been $m$ icroscopically derived in Section II, and this derivation im plied the neglect of the noise term term $R^{0}(t)$. The above given proofofeq. (42d) can be considered as a consistency check for the use of the 'noise term' to derive valuable results, a technique we shall now use to derive useful expressions for $b(t)$ and $T(t)$.

Before doing that, let us multiply the q ! 0 lim it of Eq. ( $\overline{4} 1 \mathrm{a}$ a), on the left, by 20 . Perform ing sim ilar $m$ anipulations as above, one obtains:

$$
\begin{align*}
2(!)= & \operatorname{LT}\left[\left(20 \mathrm{R}^{0}(\mathrm{t}) \mathbb{X} 0\right)\right](!) \\
= & \mathrm{D}(!) \operatorname{LT}\left[\left(Q_{0}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{j}_{20}\right)\right](!) \\
& {\left[!\quad{ }^{0}(!)\right](20 \mathrm{X} 0) ; } \tag{43a}
\end{align*}
$$

 $(\underline{-1}) . T$ his yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!)=\frac{D(!)}{2} \operatorname{LT}\left[\left(Q_{0}(t) j_{20}\right)\right](!) ; \tag{43b}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, equivalently:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(!)=\frac{!}{2} \operatorname{LT}\left[\left(Q_{0}(t) j_{20}\right)\right](!): \tag{43c}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that $r(!)=$ ! is of order $O\left(!{ }^{3}\right)$ for frequencies! $\quad!_{0}$; this is consistent w ith the 'sum rule' associated with $\left(Q_{0} j_{20}\right)=0 . r(!)$ is the function which couples the (longitudinal and transverse) phonon propagator to the light scattering $m$ echanism via the orientationalpart ofthese excitations, see E qs. (31-1 ) and (34-1 ). Equation (43a) show sthat $r(t)$ can be directly obtained as the tim e derivative of the correlation function of $Q_{0}(t)$ w ith 20 , but that $(t)$ is not directly accessible; it can be obtained only oncer(!) and D (! ) ${ }^{1}$ have been deter$m$ ined by the M D calculation.

> B. Expressions of $T(t)$ and $b(t)$ as tim e correlation functions

Let us now use the same 'noise term' technique to express $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{t})$ and $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{t})$ as auto-correlation functions of som e dynam ical variables. The 'noise term' of Eq. (25) is equalto $R^{0}(t) 20(q)$, and, in the sam eq! 0 lim 止, this equation sim pli es into:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{0}(t) 20=20(t) \quad 0 \quad(t \quad s) Q_{0}(s) d s: \tag{44a}
\end{equation*}
$$

M ultiplying this equation from the left by 20 , and perform ing the sam e m anipulations as before yields, $w$ th the help of Eq. (2, $\mathbf{L}_{1}$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&{\frac{k_{B} T}{n}}_{n}(!)=\operatorname{LT}[(20(t) j 20)](!) \\
&(!) f!\operatorname{LT}\left[\left(Q_{0}(t) j 20\right)\right](!) \quad\left(Q_{0} j_{20}\right) g:
\end{aligned}
$$

 into:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(!)=\frac{n}{k_{B} T} \operatorname{LT}[(20(t) j 20)](!)+\frac{0}{!} \frac{[!\quad(!)]^{2}}{D(!)}: \tag{44c}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the de nition of $T(t)$, Eq. ( 3 1-1 $)$, this equation reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(t)=\frac{n}{k_{B} T}\left(20(t) j_{20}\right): \tag{44d}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (44) is the link between the usual $N$ avierStokes approach to the dynam ics of supercooled liquids and the $m$ ost sophisticated approach of the present series of papers, which takes explicitly into account the rotationalm otion of the $m$ olecules (the usual approach is recovered by form ally putting (t) 0). т (!) (see Eq. ( 3 4 $\left.4 \overline{\mathrm{a}} \mathbf{b}^{\prime}\right)$ ) is the $m$ em ory function which govems the transverse phonon propagator: w thin the $G$ reen $K$ ubo form alism of Eq. (44d ), this transverse viscosity is proportional to the correlation of the traceless part of the stress tensor, 20 , independently of the existence of a rotation-translation coupling. In other words, the pure center-ofm ass viscosity, $s(t)$, is not the quantity directly $m$ easured by the correlation function of 20 : $s(t)$ $m$ ust be deduced from the sim ultaneous determ ination
 tained, at least in principle, as correlation functions of som e properly chosen variables, as we have just shown. Equation (44dí) also proves directly, see Eq. (3'9e), that Im $\mathrm{T}(!)$ is aid ays positive, being the Fourier transform of a auto-correlation function.
$T$ he sam e type of technique can be used to determ ine


$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{0}(t) p=p(t) \quad 己 \quad(t)=R(t)[p \quad(\dot{p})=(j)] ; \tag{45a}
\end{equation*}
$$

 or:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{0}(t) p=R(t) Q_{n} p: \tag{45b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (45-bibl) introduces the variable $Q_{n} p$, which is the part of the pressure which is orthogonal to the density. B ecause of the existence of a $Q$ operator on the left hand side of $R^{0}(t)$ (see A ppendix B) which pro jects out the variable:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{n} p R^{0}(t)=\left(p R^{0}(t):\right. \tag{45c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, Eq. (19) can be written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{t})=\frac{\mathrm{n}}{k_{B} T}\left(Q_{\mathrm{n}} p(t) \mathscr{Q}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{p}\right): \tag{45d}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (450.') is the analog of the $G$ reen K ubo form ulation of the bulk viscosity $w$ ithin the usual $N$ avier-Stokes form alism : as the rotation-translation coupling does not play a role in the bulk viscosity, this usual form ulation re$m$ ains exact in the $m$ ore sophisticated present approach.

H ere a com $m$ ent is in order. Since we did not dealw ith energy uctuations in the pro jector, the correlation function $b(t)$ decays to a non-zero constant even for tim es much larger than the structural relaxation time. It is therefore convenient to de ne a new correlation function $n_{0}(t)$ that vanishes at long tim es by an appropriate subtraction. O ne can work out the constant from them odynam ic considerations and nd:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{b}}(\mathrm{t})+\mathrm{mn}\left(\mathrm{c}^{2} \quad \mathrm{c}^{2}\right) ; \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where e is the adiabatic sound velocity. For the Laplace transform $s$ this im plies the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
!b(!)=!r_{b}(!)+m n\left(e^{2} \quad e^{2}\right): \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

In allcorrelation functions considered so far, the bulk viscosity appeared only via the longitudinal phonon propagator. $U$ sing the preceeding equation $P_{L}(q ;!)$ reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{L}}(\mathrm{q} ;!)^{1}=!^{2} \quad \mathrm{e}^{2} q^{2} \quad \frac{q^{2}!}{\mathrm{mn}}\left[\mathrm{~m}_{b}(!)+\frac{4}{3} \mathrm{~T}(!)\right] ; \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that the adiabatic sound velocity govems the propagation of longitudinalphonons. To sim plify notations, in the rem aining part of the paper, we shalldrop the tilde again and treat $c$ as the adiabatic sound velocity and $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{t})$ as decaying to zero for long tim es.

> V. COMPARISON W ITH PREVIOUS THEORETICALAPPROACHES

## A. Introduction

The discussions perform ed in $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ and $[\overline{[1]}]$ have $m$ ade clear that the set of Eqs. (2G) and (29) are convenient tools to describe the light scattering spectra ofm olecular liquids, in their nom al and in their supercooled states, when those equations are supplem ented by the dielectric $m$ odel of Eq. ( $\left.3 \mathrm{BO}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. Indeed as soon as the four $m$ em ory functions $\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{t})$; $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{t})$; ( t ) and ${ }^{0}(\mathrm{t})$ arem im icked by reasonably decreasing functions (characterised, inter alia, by relaxation tim es, , that increase w ith decreasing tem perature) the m ost characteristic features of the VH spectra can be described:
-T he back scattering spectrum is m ostly characterised by a broad high-frequency libration $m$ ode, in the vicinity of a frequency $!0=2$, and by a low-frequency central $m$ ode, the line $w i d t h$ of which decreases upon cooling. Both features can be approxim ately reproduced by Eq. ( 344 d$)$ ) w th the help of the expression of $D(!)$ given by Eq. (32), as soon as a reasonable ${ }^{0}$ (!) is chosen.

- The shape of the $q$-dependent part of the VH spectrum has been discussed in detail in [17]. It was shown
 the existence of a R ytov dip $\left[1 \overline{1} 4_{1}^{1}\right]$ in a norm alm olecular liquid, this dip being a very narrow central peak, wavenum ber and scattering-angle dependent, which is subtracted from the much broader centralm ode. This dip
appears in the high-tem perature regim ew hen, for all the frequencies of the centralmode, ! 1. The! regim $e$, which is characterised by the appearance of the B rillouin spectrum of a transverse propagative $m$ ode, is also well described by these equations, provided reasonably decreasing functions are also taken for the three rem aining $m$ em ory functions. In particular, the transverse sound velocity, characterised by the plateau value of ! ${ }_{T}(!)$ at frequencies 1 ! $!_{0}$ is decreased by the coupling of the $m$ olecular orientation to the transverse phonon through_ $(!)^{2}$. Finally, in view of the form of L (! ), see Eq. (311) , the same is true for the sound velocity of the longitudinal phonons.

In this Section, we shall com pare the results which can be obtained through Eqs. (2G) and (291) w ith those resulting from the two other papers (or series of papers) already $m$ entioned which $m$ ake use, in di erent $w$ ays, of a M ori-Zw anzig technique to describe the liquid dynam ics.

## B. The A ndersen $P$ ecora approach

The A nderson and Pecora approach [-4] w as only used to study the V_H spectrum of a m olecular liquid at high tem perature [ $\left[2 \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. Indeed, their work was devoted to the explanation of the Rytov dip [14]; and their analysis $m$ ade use of a dielectric uctuation m odel identical to the one of the present paper.

In the work of A ndersen and Pecora, the $m$ ass density, the $m$ ass current and a second-rank tensor proportional to $Q_{i j}$ were the sole 'slow variables' of the theory, w ithin the usualZw anzig -M oridistinction betw een 'slow' and 'fast' variables. In other words, they im plicitly assum ed that $Q_{i j}$ had a much faster dynam ics than $Q_{i j}$, so that the form er could be treated on the sam efooting as the other fast variables. Furtherm ore, they perform ed a $M$ arkov approxim ation on all the retarded interactions that needed to be taken into account, which is equivalent to taking the! 1 lim it of the corresponding kemels.

A sum $m$ ary of the result of their theory, w thin this $M$ arkov approxim ation, can be found in the book of Beme and Pecora [1] ]. The corresponding equations read, w ith notations adapted to the present paper:

$$
\begin{align*}
i j & (q ; t) & =\quad_{11}^{\infty} \text { ij }(q ; t) & i_{12}^{0} Q_{i j}(q ; t) ;  \tag{49}\\
Q_{i j}(q ; t) & = & i_{21 i j}^{0}(q ; t) & { }_{22} Q_{i j}(q ; t): \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

H ere, the kinetic coe cients ${ }_{11}^{\infty} ; 22$ are real quantities, whereas ${ }_{12}^{0} ;{ }_{21}^{0}$ are purely im aginary, and are related by O nsager's principle. Equation (491) $m$ akes it clear that $i_{j}(q ; t)$ depends, here, linearly on $Q_{i j}(q ; t)$, and not on its time derivative, as is the case in Eq. (25i), while Eq. $\left(\overline{5} \overline{0}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ does not contain a second tim e derivative of $Q_{i j}(q ; t)$, contrary to Eq. (2d).

T he form of the Zw anzig-M oritechnique, see Eq. (1-14), used in the present paper allow s to derive precise expressions for the three relaxation kemels associated w th the
variables of the problem (tim e dependent generalisations of ${ }_{11}^{\infty}$; ${ }_{12}$ and ${ }_{22}$ ), in term sof a reduced tim e evolution operator. C alculating from the corresponding equations of $m$ otion the $V$ H_ spectrum and com paring the results w th E qs. ( 34 d, $134 \Theta)$, one can express the three A ndersenPecora kemels às functions of $s(!) ;(!) ;{ }^{0}(!) ;{ }^{0}$ and !o. O ne can thus study their ! 1 and! 1 regim es. This study $w$ ill show that the ! 1 lim it gives reasonable results, which are, as expected, in line $w$ ith the A ndersen-P ecora M arkov approxim ation. C onversely, the! 1 lm it yields a com plicated behaviour for the sam e three kemels which cannot be easily modeled. This will m ake the A ndersen-P ecora $m$ ethod inappropriate for the study of a $m$ olecular supercooled liquid, as we shall now see.

Indeed, using the sam e technique as in Section II, one can derive the equations of $m$ otion related to $i j(q ; t)$ and $Q_{i j}(q ; t)$ when one restricts the variables to the A nderson-Pecora set. This m eans that, e.g. the projection operator $P$ of Eq. (13) has been replaced by:

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{P}= & \left.\mathbb{Q}_{k 1}(q)\right) \frac{1}{2 S^{2}}\left(Q_{k 1}(q) j+j(q)\right) \frac{c^{2}}{m^{2} v^{2}}((q) j \\
& \left.+j J_{k}(q)\right) \frac{1}{m^{2} v^{2}}\left(J_{k}(q) j:\right. \tag{51}
\end{align*}
$$

$\hat{P}$ leads to the new orthogonal projector $\hat{Q}=1 \hat{P}$, in term s of which a new reduced time evolution operator $\hat{R}(t)$ can be de ned. O ne then nds that the equation for $p(q ; t)$, Eq. (20ab), is not $m$ odi ed, except for the change of $R^{0}(t)$ into $\hat{R}^{-0}(t)$, which form ally changes $b(t)$ into $\hat{b}_{b}(t)$. Evaluating the corresponding $G$ reen $K$ ubo relation reveals that $\hat{b}_{b}(t)={ }_{b}(t)$. Since the pressure uctuations are irrelevant for the VH spectrum discussed in [4]'], we do not discuss further those aspects. C onversely, the equation for ${ }_{i j}(q ; t)$ now tums out to be:

$$
\begin{align*}
i j(q ; t)= & Z_{t}^{t} \hat{s}_{s}(t \quad s)_{i j}(q ; s) d s \\
& +Z_{t}^{Z_{t}^{0}}(t \quad s) Q_{i j}(q ; s) d s+\text { noise } ;
\end{align*}
$$

$\hat{s}_{s}(t)$ being the com plete analog of $s(t)$. Equation (52 ${ }^{2}$ ) contains a linear term in $Q_{i j}(q ; t)$, as in $E q$. (4d), and not in $Q_{i j}(q ; t)$, as w as case for Eq. (25) while the corresponding retarded interaction is expressed by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{i j} \hat{R}^{0}(t) j_{k 1}\right) \frac{1}{S^{2}}=\quad \text { (t) } i_{i j k 1} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{-i j}$ and $k 1$ are respectively odd and even with respect to tim e inversion; thus ( $t$ ) is an odd function of $t$, contrary to all the $m$ em ory functions considered up to now in the present paper. The most im portant change arises, nevertheless, from the fact that the equation of $m$ otion for $Q_{i j}(q ; t)$ has to be derived from:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varrho_{t} Q_{i j}(q ; t)=Q_{i j}(q ; t) ; \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

an equation which replaces Eq. (12 $\left.\overline{1})_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. A calculation sim_ilar in every respect to the one perform ed below Eq. (2G) yields:
w ith:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{i j} \hat{R}^{0}(t) Q_{k 1}\right) \frac{1}{S^{2}}=M \quad \text { (t) } \quad i j_{j k l}: \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (52-1) and (5-5) are, obviously, the nonM arkovian fom ofeqs. (49) and (50 ${ }^{(1)}$ ). Ignoring tem perature uctuations, the previous relations are exact, and allow us to relate the $m$ em ory kemels $M$ (!); $\wedge_{s}(!)$; (!) to the ones already used in this paper by deriving from Eqs. [ 52 the expression of the $V H$ spectrum and com paring it $w$ ith Eq. (48) of P art I. ForM (! ), this can be done by sim ply com puting the correlation function of $Q$ ? ? 0 which is responsible for the pure back-scattering spectrum (see P_art I for a de nition of the geom etry used). Solving Eq. (55) in this sim ple case yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{LT}\left[\left(Q_{? ?} \circ(\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{t}) \mathbb{Q} ? ? \circ(\mathrm{q})\right)\right](!)=\frac{\mathrm{S}^{2}}{!\mathrm{M}(!)}: \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Com parison w ith Eq. (34) ofP art I, w th the help of Eq. (6a'), leads to the relation betw een ${ }^{0}(!)$ and $M$ (! ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(!)=\frac{!_{0}^{2}}{!\quad{ }^{0}(!)}: \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to gain som e insight into the $M$ arkov approxim ation, a priori valid at high tem peratures, let us discuss the properties ofM (!) upon cooling the system. To sim plify the discussion, we consider a M axw ellm odel for
${ }^{0}(!):$

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{0}(!)=i+\frac{i{ }_{0}^{2}}{1+i!} ; \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

which m im ics its frequency dependence for frequencies much lower than typical liquid frequencies, i.e. for ! !o. Then, all the fast processes are hidden in a weakly tem perature-dependent background, i, whereas the tem perature-sensitive structural relaxation is m odeled by a decreasing exponential in the time dom ain, corresponding to a tem perature insensitive am plitude,
${ }_{0}^{2}$, and a relaxation time, , that increases by orders ofm agnitude upon supercooling the liquid.

At high tem perature, i.e. for ! 1 , under the possible conditions! ; ; ! 0 $\quad{ }_{0}^{2}$, which sim ply im ply that even at high tem peratures ${ }_{0}^{2}$ is substantially larger than the width of the central peak, Eq. (344 ${ }^{-1}$ ), one obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(!)^{\prime} i \frac{!_{0}^{2}}{2}= \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the A ndersen P ecora result in its M arkov approxim ation M (!) = i 22 with $22 \quad{ }^{1}$. Conversely, at low tem perature, and under the sam e conditions, M (!) w ill be approxim ated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(!)^{\prime} \frac{!_{0}^{2}!}{0_{0}^{2}+i!}, \quad \frac{!_{0}^{2}!}{0_{0}^{2}} ; \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

at low frequencies, M (!) is a realquantity proportional to ! and independent of the relaxation time. The vanishing of the im aginary part of M (!) at low frequencies implies that the area of $M$ ( $t$ ) cancels at low tem peratures. W hereas the shape of M ( t ) is clearly model dependent, the cancellation of areas of $M$ ( $t$ ) is a general feature of supercooled liquids. C ontrary to ${ }^{0}(t)$, there is no step process in $M$ ( $t$ ) with a diverging tim e scale upon cooling. It is thus fruitless to try to $m$ odel the tem poral evolution of $M$ ( $t$ ) since the com $m$ on features of an increasing structural relaxation tim e are $m$ asked in this approach.

W e can sim ilarly evaluate the A ndersen P ecora m em ory kemels $\wedge_{s}(!)$, and (!) by solving the dynam ics for the variable $Q_{? k}(q ; t)$ that also contributes to the VH scattering. U sing the $m$ ethods of $\left.{ }_{112}^{11}\right]$, one obtains:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{LT}\left[\left(Q_{? k}(q ; t) \mathbb{Q}_{? k}(q)\right)\right](!)=\frac{S^{2}}{!M^{(!)}} \\
& \frac{0}{m n!{ }_{0}^{2}} \frac{(!)}{M(!)}{ }^{2} \frac{q^{2} m^{2} v^{2}}{!} q^{2} \tau(!)=m n a \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith the transverse viscosity given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(!)=\hat{S}^{(!)} \quad \frac{0}{!_{0}^{2}} \frac{(!)^{2}}{M(!)}: \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

A com parison betw een the second term of the rh.s of $q$.
 sets of $m$ em ory kemels:

$$
\begin{align*}
& (!)=\frac{!_{0}^{2}(!)}{!}{ }^{0}(!)  \tag{64}\\
& \hat{s}_{s}(!)= \\
& =s_{s}(!)+\frac{{ }^{0}(!) \mathrm{M}(!)}{!}{ }^{0}(!)  \tag{65}\\
& \\
& =s_{s}(!)+\frac{0}{!_{0}^{2}}(!)^{2} \mathrm{M}(!):
\end{align*}
$$

 w ith a D ebye model for (!) and $s$ (!), the $M$ arkov lim its obtained in $\left[\frac{1}{1}\right]$ : (!) becom es an im aginary num ber independent of ${ }^{-}$, while $\hat{s}(!!0)$ is the sum of two term s , both im aginary and proportional to the relaxation tim e. O ne originates from $s(!!0)$, while the second, negative, is the ! ! $0 \lim$ it of ${ }^{0}(!)^{2} \mathrm{M}(!)=!{ }_{0}^{2}$; this explains why, in the A ndersen $P$ ecora approach, the viscosity, $i_{s}(!!0)$, is the sum of two positive term $s$. Conversely, the di culty of an a priorim odeling of M (!) transfers to the two other $m$ em ory kemels, $\hat{s}^{s}(!)$
and (!). This explainswhy a na vem odeling by sim ple, D ebye-like, relaxation functions, consistent both $w$ ith the high-tem perature $M$ arkov results and the di erent tim e reversal sym $m$ etries of the kemels, is unable to yield correct physical results in the supercooled regim e. A ppendix D show S, indeed, that the low -tem perature lim it of such an attem pt leads to the existence of transverse propagative $m$ odes coupled to $m$ olecular orientation $m$ otions, but this coupling increases the sound velocity instead of decreasing it.

Sum $m$ arizing this part, we have shown that a M oriZwanzig procedure as applied by A ndersen and Pecora [ ${ }_{2}^{1}$ '] allow s to derive constitutive equations through which the light scattering problem can be properly form ulated as long the frequency dependence is kept on a form al level. C onversely, when one expresses these $m$ em ory kernels in term s ofthose obtained in Section II, one discovers that theirm odeling as tim e-dependent $m$ em ory kemels is extrem ely di cult. T his problem can be circum vented, if one models them directly, as inspired by Eqs. (5용), (644), ( 6 - $\left.5_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, but this procedure is equivalent to considering ${ }^{\circ}(!)$ ); (!), and $s(!)$ as fundam ental quantities.

## C. C om parison w ith the general expressions for light scattering

The expressions for the VV and VH intensities Ob tained in P art I and discussed again in Section IV, Eqs.
 assum ption that the uctuations of the local dielectric tensor, ij ( $q ; t$ ), could be expressed through Eq. (301), i.e. depend, in rst order, only on the density and the orientational_ uctuations. C onversely, the expressions obtained in [] $[$ did not $m$ ake use of a speci c form for
${ }_{i j}(q ; t) . W$ e shall show, in this last part of Section $V$, that those tw o expressions are, indeed, a specialization of the generalresults obtained in tes]. W e also discuss the respective $m$ erits of these tw o com plem entary approaches.

The basic idea of [5్ర number uctuations of the dielectric tensor in term $s$ of the long-w avelength lim it of the tw o special linear com binations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{00}(q)=\left[x_{x}(q)+y y^{(q)}+z_{z z}(q)\right]=3 ; \\
& t_{20}(q)=\left[z_{z z}(q) \quad x x(q) \quad y y(q)\right]=\overline{12}: \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

W ithin the present light-scattering m odel, these two quantities reduce to the contributions of the density and the orientation uctuations, respectively:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{s} 00^{(q)}=\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{q}) ;  \tag{67a}\\
& \mathrm{t}_{20}(\mathrm{q})=\mathrm{b} \mathrm{Q}_{0}(\mathrm{q}): \tag{67b}
\end{align*}
$$

In $\left[\overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$, the VV spectrum was expressed, using notations
that have been adapted to the current paper, as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{V V}(q ;!)=\operatorname{Im} \quad S(!)+\frac{4}{3} T(!)+\frac{m^{2} V^{2}}{c^{2}!} \quad{\frac{@ S_{00}}{@}}_{T}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +(!)^{2} \operatorname{LT}[((q ; t) j(q))](!) \\
& +2 \text { (!) } \frac{@_{S_{00}}}{@} \quad \text { ! } a_{v}(!) \operatorname{LT}[((q ; t) j(q))](!) \tag{68}
\end{align*}
$$

Sim ilarly, the VH intensity w as expressed as:
(

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{V H}(q ;!)=\operatorname{Im} \quad T(!) \\
& +\frac{q^{2}}{!} \cos ^{2} \frac{\left[!a_{V H}(!)\right]^{2} m^{2} v^{2} P_{T}(q ;!):}{}=\text { : } \tag{69}
\end{align*}
$$

The transverse phonon propagator is given directly_in term s of the transverse viscosity, m (! ), as in Eq. ( $\left.\overline{3} 4 \mathrm{a} \mathrm{a}^{\prime}\right)$. A s already alluded in the Introduction, the price to $\bar{b} \mathrm{e}$ paid for these two general results $w$ as the introduction of ten frequency-dependent quantities, nam ely, the scalar background spectrum $S(!)$, the tensor background spectrum T (!), the tw o Pockels' coupling functions, $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{v}$ (!) for polarised and $a_{v}$ (!) for depolarised scattering, and the tem perature coupling (!), while the three hydrodynam ic correlation functions related to the density, (q), and kinetic tem perature, (q), were expressed in term $s$ of the transverse viscosity, $\quad$ (! ), the longitudinal viscosity, $\mathrm{L}(!)$, the heat conductivity, (!), the dynam ic speci c heat, $c_{V}(!)$, and the tension coe cient, (!), respectively. The singular hydrodynam ic behavior $m$ anifested itself explicitly in the three correlation functions just $m$ entioned.

Let us relate those quantities to the one derived in the present paper and dem onstrate num erous sim pli cations that occur in the density-and-orientational-decaychannelsonly $m$ odel. $F$ irst, the tem perature coupling is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!)=\frac{q^{0}(!)}{q} \quad \frac{q(!)}{c_{V}^{0}}!\frac{\operatorname{LT}\left[\left(\mathbb{Q} s_{00}(t) T \widetilde{d}\right)\right](!)}{k_{B} T^{2}} ; \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is a linear function of the energy uctuations in the q! $0 \lim$ it and $\widetilde{Q}=1 \quad P^{r}$ is a projection operator orthogonal to the standard $K$ adano M artin projector, Pr. The latter projects, in [G] $J(q)$, as in the present paper, and on the tem perature uctuations, T (q), not introduced here, and proportional to the energy uctuations, e(q).

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{\sim}= & j(q)) \frac{c^{2}}{m^{2} v^{2}}\left((q) j+j j_{k}(q)\right) \frac{1}{m^{2} v^{2}}\left(J_{k}(q) j\right. \\
& +j(q)) \frac{q}{k_{B} T^{2}}\left(T(q) j+O\left(q^{2}\right):\right. \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

Because energy uctuations are not considered in the present approach, 0 . A lso, $\S_{0}(q)$ is proportional to (q), Eq. ('67'a), so that $\widetilde{\square} s_{00}=0$; (!) 0 .

Second, in $\left[{ }_{5}^{5}\right]$, the scalar and the tensor background spectra are de ned as:

$$
\begin{align*}
S(!)= & \frac{k_{B} T^{2}(!)^{2}}{!G_{V}(!)}+\frac{k_{B} T^{2}}{!G_{V}}{ }^{2} \\
& +\operatorname{LT}\left[\left(s_{00}(t)+S_{00}\right)\right](!) ; \tag{72a}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{T}(!)=\operatorname{LT}\left[\left(\mathrm{t}_{20}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{t}_{20}\right)\right](!): \tag{72b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The preceeding results im ply:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S(!) \quad 0 ; \tag{73a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(!)=b^{2} \operatorname{LT}\left[\left(Q_{0}(t) \mathbb{Q}_{0}\right)\right](!): \tag{73b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Third, from Eq. (67ai), $\left(@_{s_{00}}=@\right)_{T}=$ a while the $d y-$ nam ic Pockels' coupling functions are given, in [1] $\left.{ }^{[ }\right]$, as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{VH}}(!)= & \operatorname{LT}\left[\left(20(t) \mathrm{f}_{20}\right)\right](!)=\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{v}^{2} ;  \tag{74a}\\
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{VV}}(!)= & \frac{2}{3} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{VH}}(!)+\frac{(!) \mathrm{T}(!)}{!\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{V}}(!)} \frac{\mathrm{T}}{!\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{v}}} \\
& \operatorname{LT}\left[\left(\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{t}) \mathscr{\mathscr { S }} \mathrm{s}_{00}\right)\right](!)=\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{v}^{2}: \tag{74b}
\end{align*}
$$

As (!); and $s_{00}$ are allequal to zero, one obtains:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{v v}(!)=\frac{2}{3} a_{v H}(!): \tag{74c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us look at the results for the VV light scattering intensities. O ne observes that term $s$ involving dynam ic correlation functions of the kinetic tem perature evaluate to zero. O ne is thus left w ith the density correlation functions which, if energy uctuations are ignored, reads in agreem ent w ith Eq. (29a) of P art I:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{LT}[((q ; t) j(q))](!)= \\
& \frac{m^{2} v^{2}}{!} \frac{1}{c^{2}}+\frac{q^{2}}{!^{2} \quad q^{2}\left[c^{2}+!L(!)=m n\right]}: \tag{75}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (7-5든) allow s to group the term s proportional to $\mathrm{m}^{2} \mathrm{v}^{2}$ and one ends up with:

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{V v}(q ;!)=\operatorname{Im} \frac{4}{3} T(!)+\frac{a^{2} m^{2} v^{2}}{c^{2}!} \\
& +a \frac{2}{3}!a_{V \text { н }}(!)^{2} \frac{m^{2} v^{2} q^{2}=!}{!^{2} \quad q^{2} c^{2} \quad q^{2}!\quad(!)=m n} \tag{7б}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ (! ) and $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{V}}$ (! ) being de ned through Eqs. (inkil) and ( 74 a ), respectively. Furthem ore in agreem ent w -ith Eq. (42d) and Eq. (34) of P art I, T (!) can be w ritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(!)=b^{2} \frac{S^{2}}{!} \quad 1 \quad \frac{!_{0}^{2}}{D(!)} \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is here a sim ple de nition of $!^{2}=D$ (!). In the sam e $m$ anner, from Eqs. (74ab7bund

$$
\begin{equation*}
!a_{\text {н }}(!)=\frac{b^{0}}{\mathrm{mn}} r(!) ; \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, sim ilarly to the case of $!{ }_{0}^{2}=D(!) ; r(!)$ is sim ply de ned through the Laplace transform of $\left(20(t) \mathrm{t}_{20}\right)$, Eq. (74a). O ne sees that Eq. (7) has been cast into a form identicalto Eq. (31-1 ${ }^{-1}$ ), while a sim ilar identi cation holds betw een Eq. (6919) and Eq. (34à).
 (34'a) show s the com parative interests of the approach of [ $\overline{5}_{1}^{1}$ ] ${ }^{-}$and of the present one. Them ethod of $\left.{ }_{2}^{2}\right]$ does not depend on the system under study and allow s for tem perature (or energy) _ uctuations: as soon as the scattering model, Eq. (301), is introduced, and the energy uctuations are neglected, the equations of [5]! reduce to those of the present $m$ odel, depending on four functions $T(!) ; \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{V}}(!)$; $_{\mathrm{L}}(!)$ and $\mathrm{T}(!)$, which are undeterm ined in this fram ew ork. C onversely, the $m$ ore restricted $a p-$ proach developed in the present series of papers gives precise de nitions of these four quantities in term $s$ of $m$ ore fundam entalm em ory kemels ${ }^{0}(!)$; (!); b (!) and $\mathrm{s}(!)$, and also gives the relationships through which the four rst functions are related to the second ones via the constants ${ }^{0}$ and ! 0 for which de nitions can be given. Y et the restricted approach has its ow $n$ price to be paid: one has to start the whole w ork again if additional vari$a b l e s$ need to be introduced into the $m$ odel.

## VI. SUM MARYAND FINALREMARKS

In a liquid form ed of rigid $m$ olecules, the dynam ics of the system has to take into account both the $m$ otion of the $m$ olecular centers of $m$ ass and the orientationalm otion of the $m$ olecules. In the long-w avelength lim it, the rst one gives rise to the hydrodynam ic m odes, to which the orientationalm otions are partly coupled, while this orientationaldynam ics also gives rise to $m$ otions that are w ave-vector independent in the sam e lim it. [1], $[1,1]$ and $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[1]}\end{array}\right]$ proposed a phenom enological set ofequations to describe this coupled dynam ics in the case of linearm olecules, and a phenom enological expression for the local uctuation of the dielectric tensor: this uctuation w as expressed in term s of the density and orientational variables entering the dynam ical equations.
$T$ he originalob jective of the present paper w as tw ofold \{ one $w$ as to provide a com plete, $m$ icroscopic, derivation of these dynam icalequations; the second w as to com pare the expression for the light scattering intensities resulting from these equations w th those obtained w th two other


B oth goals have been achieved. On the one hand, the use of a Zwanzig M ori form alism has allowed to com pletely derive these dynam icalequations; in the course of this derivation, we have obtained the $m$ icroscopic expressions of the tw o param eters and of the fourm em ory func-
tions entering those equations. O n the other hand, the com parison w ith the tw o other Zwanzig $M$ oriapproaches has also brought im portant results. O ne of them is related to the choice of A ndersen and Pecora [4] of not including $Q_{-j}(q)$ in their set of variables. This choice, which is su cient at high tem perature, when the $M$ arkov approxim ation can be $m$ ade on the corresponding $m$ em ory kemels, tums out to be inappropriate for the study of supercooled liquids: at low tem peratures $Q_{i j}(q ; t)$ is as 'slow' a variable as $Q_{i j}(q ; t)$. The most im portant consequence of the absence of $Q_{i j}(q$; $t$ ) in the set of selected variables is the change in the equation ofm otion of $Q_{i j}(q ; t)$ : it transform s it from a second order di erential equation $w$ th a mem ory kemel acting on $Q_{i j}(q)$ into a rst orderdi erentialequation $w$ ith a $m$ em ory kemelacting on $Q_{i j}(q)$. The form alneglect of $Q_{i j}(q)$ in the set of 'slow ' variables is possible but the corresponding $m$ em ory kemels have a non-trivial tim e evolution, which cannot be predicted w thout using the results of the present theory. The second result is that the present form ulation of the theory is, indeed, a reduction of the general theory of [5్1'] which can be derived from sim pli cations consistent w ith the phenom enologicalm odelof the dielectric tensor, and w ith the restricted set of variables used here.
$T$ he present Z w an zig -M oriapproach also led to tw o im portant byproducts. O ne is the existence of conditions, Eqs. ( $371,18 \mathbf{B}^{1}$ ), which have to be ful led by the Laplace transform $s$ of the $m$ em ory functions. These conditions are im portant because they are su cient to insure that all the light scattering intensities $w$ ill be positive, whatever the frequency, w ith in the phenom enological m odel of the uctuations of the dielectric tensor used here. A second byproduct is the set of $G$ reen $-K$ ubo form ulae we have derived in Section IV - : we have shown that the correlation functions of som e variables, not experim entally accessible by light scattering techniques, but which m ay be num erically obtained from MD com putations of m odels of these m olecular (supercooled) liquids, give access to de nite combinations of the Laplace transform s of these mem ory functions. This is a possible way of obtaining an inform ation on them.

Som e results of the present paper provide a direct help to the experim entalists, when analysing the light scattering spectra ofm olecular supercooled liquids form ed of rigid linear $m$ olecules, or of $m$ olecular liquids for which such an approxim ations is reasonable. O ne of them is the already $m$ entioned necessary conditions on the $m$ em ory functions. A second is that these functions exhibit the characteristic features of structural relaxation, e.g. rapidly increasing relaxation tim es upon lowering the tem perature. Yet, the functional form of these $\mathrm{mem}-$ ory kemels rem ains undeterm ined w th in this fram ew ork, except for the conventional analytic properties. U sually it is not possible to directly extract the frequency dependence of of the $m$ em ory kemels from light-scattering experim ents. R ather one has to rely on em pirical functions and adjust a sm all num ber of param eters to obtain a reasonable description of experim ental data. A s
a further step, one can supplem ent these em pirical functions $w$ ith features inspired from theoretical considerations, e.g. the fast -process as discussed in the context ofm ode-coupling theory [12].

Time resolved optical spectroscopy of the sam em olecular liquids has recently developed into an im portant tool; this is particularly the case for the im pulsive stim ulated them al scattering technique (IST S) m entioned in
 the new inform ation obtained from these $m$ easurem ents is derived from the coupling of the heat di usion process w ith the stim ulated hydrodynam ics m ode. W e have not incorporated, in the $m$ icroscopic derivation of the dynam ical equations, a local tem perature as a pertinent variable, contrary to what has been done in [3] [-]. In order to properly exploit the inform ation contained in these ISTS experim ents, the whole procedure developped in the present paper has to be repeated w th the inclusion of the variable (s) describing the local tem perature of the supercooled liquid. It has to be found if this generalisation $w$ ill require $m$ ore $m$ em ory functions than could be anticipated from a phenom enologicalextension of the full set of $N$ avier-Stokes equations to the case of a supercooled ( m em ory function aspect) $m$ olecular (inclusion of the rotation-translation coupling and of the $m$ olecular orientation dynam ics) liquid [15].
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## APPENDIX A:STATIC AVERAGES

The H am ilton function of identical, interacting, sym $m$ etric tops reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~T}+\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{fR} ; \quad ; \quad \mathrm{g}) ; \tag{A1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here the kinetic energy of the th $m$ olecule is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{(p \quad p \cos )^{2}}{2 I \sin ^{2}}+\frac{p^{2}}{2 I}+\frac{p^{2}}{2 I^{0}}: \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here R ; ; ; denote the center-of $m$ ass position and the Euler angles of the $m$ olecule follow ing the defintion of [1] ], and $P$;p ;p ;p the corresponding canonicalm om enta. Them om ents of inertia are denoted by $I ; I^{0}$ for rotation perpendicular to and around the $m$ olecule's axis of sym $m$ etry. The potential energy of the interacting m olecules is denoted by V. N ote that, due to the sym $m$ etry, the interaction does not depend on the Euler angles.

The orientational current, $Q_{i j}(q)=i Q_{i j}(q)=$
$f H ; Q_{i j}(q) g$ ，then splits naturally into tw o parts：

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{i j}(q)=N^{1=2} X^{X^{N}} \frac{\text { iq } P}{m} \quad \hat{u}_{i} \hat{u}_{j} \quad \frac{1}{3}{ }_{i j} \quad e^{i q R} \\
& +N^{1=2} \mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{N}} \quad e^{\mathrm{iqR} R} \quad \mathrm{iLu} \hat{\mathrm{i}}_{\mathrm{i}} \hat{\mathrm{U}}{ }_{\mathrm{j}} ; \tag{A3a}
\end{align*}
$$

where the rst term corresponds to the translationalm o－ tion of the center ofm ass and the second term describes m olecular reorientations．

By de nition：

$$
(A(q)-B(q))=d e^{H=k_{B} T} A(q) \quad B(q) ;(A 3 b)
$$

where $d={ }^{Q} d R \quad d \quad d \quad d \quad d P d p d p d p d e-$ notes the canonical phase space volum e elem ent．Let us com pute $\left(Q_{i j}(q) j_{k}(q)\right)$ ．In this therm al average appear two types of integrals（see Eq．（Ā $\overline{\text { and }}$ ））：one involving


$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{iLu}_{i} \hat{\imath}_{j}=f^{X} \quad T_{i} ; \hat{u}_{i} \hat{u^{\prime}}{ }_{j} 9 \tag{A3c}
\end{equation*}
$$

involves only the angular variables，the only part depen－ dent on the linear $m$ om entum in the second term $s$ reads


$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{P}{ }_{k}^{2}=\left(2 m k_{B} T\right) P_{k} d P \quad k=0: \tag{A3d}
\end{equation*}
$$

O ne is thus left w ith the contributions of the rst term． $T$ hey read：

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.Q_{i j}(q) j_{k}(q)\right)=
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last but one equality originates from averaging over the gaussian variable， P ，and the last one from the rotational sym $m$ etry of the problem．Equation（ $(\bar{A}-\overline{4})$ has been reported asE q．$\left(\underline{1} \underline{l}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ in the body of the present paper．
$T$ he kinetic energy expressed in term s of canonicalm o－ $m$ enta depends explicitly on the Euler angles，hence the evaluation of therm al averages is quite involved．This can be avoided by elim inating the canonicalm om enta in favour of the angular $m$ om enta［1］］：

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{p}= & \tau_{x} \sin \quad \cos +J_{y} \sin \sin \\
& +\tau_{z} \cos ; \\
\mathrm{p}= & J_{x} \sin +J_{y} \cos ; \\
\mathrm{p}= & J_{z}: \tag{A5}
\end{align*}
$$

O ne checks that the Jacobian is sin ，while the corre－ sponding part of the kinetic energy reads $T=\left(\mathrm{J}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{x}}+\right.$ $\left.J_{y}^{2}\right)=2 I+J_{z}^{2}=2 I^{0}$ ．$T$ hen the partition sum is given by

$$
Z={ }^{Z} Y \text { dR } d \text { dcos } d \quad d P \quad d J e^{H=k_{B} T}:(A \text { 6) }
$$

Thus，averaging over the angular $m$ om enta is just gaus－ sian and averaging over the E uler angles am ounts to aver－ aging over the usualH aarm easure of the rotation group．

In order to calculate the long－w avelength lim it of the auto－correlation function of the orientational currents， it is su cient to calculate it for one of its com ponents， say $Q_{z z}$（q）．Since $\hat{A}_{z}=\cos$ and $-=f H ; \quad g=$ $p=I$ ，one nds，forq！ 0 ：

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{z z}(q)= & \frac{2}{I^{2}} N^{1=2} x^{X^{N}}\left[\tau_{x} \sin \right. \\
& \left.+\tau_{y} \cos \right] \cos \sin : \quad \text { (A 7) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the long－w avelength correlation function of the orientational current can be evaluated：

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(Q_{z z}(q=0) X_{Z z z}(q=0)\right)= \\
& \frac{4}{I^{2}} N^{1} \mathrm{X} \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{K}} \sin +\tau_{y} \cos { }^{i_{2}} \cos ^{2} \sin ^{2} \quad i \\
& =4 \frac{k_{B} T}{I} N^{1} \text { hoos }^{2} \quad \sin ^{2} \quad i=\frac{8 k_{B} T}{15 I}: \tag{A8}
\end{align*}
$$

C om parison w ith Eq．（q）yields for the idealgas libration frequency ${ }^{2}=2 k_{B} T=5 I$ ．

## APPENDIX B：OPERATOR DDENTIY

The tim e evolution operator，$R(t)=\exp (i 山 t), m$ ay be split into two parts $R(t)=R_{P}(t)+R_{Q}(t) w$ ith $R_{P}(t)=$ $R(t) P ; R_{Q}(t)=R(t) Q$ ．From the equation of $m$ otion， （A 4$)^{\text {a }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{t} R_{Q}(t)=R_{P}(t) \text { 让 } Q+R_{Q}(t) \text { 讪 } Q: \tag{B1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of Eq．（ $\left.\mathbf{B}_{-1 \overline{1}-1}^{1}\right)$ can be expressed in term $s$ of $R_{P}$（ $t$ ）as：

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{Q}(t)=Q e^{i L Q t}+{ }_{0}^{Z} R_{P}(s) \text { iL } Q e^{i L Q(t s)} d s: \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthem ore，because $R^{0}(t)=Q e^{i L l} Q t$ inconporates the projection operator $Q$ ，one easily nds，by e．g．expan－ sion of the exponential，that $R^{0}(t) m$ ay be $w$ ritten in the sym $m$ etric form ：

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{0}(t)=Q e^{i Q L Q t} Q: \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

C ollecting term s ，one arrives at Eq．（14）．

APPENDIX C:PROPERTIESOFTHEMEMORY FUNCTIONS

The mem ory kemels of the type ( $\left.A R^{0}(t) \mathcal{A}_{A}\right)$ exhibit the same $m$ athem atical properties as auto-correlation functions, viz. for complex frequencies in the lower half plane, their Laplace transform is analytic $w$ ith nonnegative im aginary part. A non-rigorous proof can be adapted from Beme and Pecora [12]. Since $R^{0}(t)=$ $Q \exp (i Q L Q t) Q$, we form ally introduce a com plete set of eigenfunctions of the herm itian (w ith respect to the $K$ ubo scalar product) operator $Q L Q$ :
QLQ = ;
where all eigenvalues are real. Thus we can w rite:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(A R^{0}(t) \not A\right) & =\left(Q A \dot{e}^{i Q L Q t} X A\right) \\
& =(Q A j) e^{i t}(\not Q A): \tag{C2}
\end{align*}
$$

The Laplace transform yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{LT}\left[\left(A R^{0}(t) \mathcal{A}\right)\right](!)=^{X} \frac{1}{!} j(Q A j \quad){ }^{\text {J }} ; \tag{C3}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith com plex frequencies in the low er half-plane. Since all the poles are located on the real axis, the Laplace transform is analytic for ! $=\quad$ i ; > 0. Furthem ore:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{LT}\left[\left(A \mathcal{R}^{0}(t) \mathcal{A}\right)\right](!) \\
& =\frac{()^{2}+{ }^{2}}{} j(Q A j) \jmath \quad 0: \tag{C4}
\end{align*}
$$

In particular, provided the lim it \& 0 exists, one obtains for real! :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} \operatorname{LT}\left[\left(A \mathcal{R}^{0}(\mathrm{t}) \nexists \mathrm{A}\right)\right](!)=^{X} \quad(!\quad) j(Q A j) \jmath^{2} \quad 0 \tag{C5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider now a collection of phase space variables $A_{i} ; i=1 ;: ; i l$ of identical time inversion parity. Then the real symm etric $m$ atrix $\operatorname{Im} \operatorname{LT}\left[\left(\mathbb{A}_{i} \mathcal{R}^{0}(t) \mathcal{A}_{j}\right)\right](!)$ is positive sem i-de nite: since for arbitrary real num bers $Y_{i} ; i=1 ;:: ; \quad$ the spectrum of the autocorrelation function of $Y={ }_{i=1}^{l} Y_{i} A_{i}$ is non-negative, one nds:

$$
\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i} ; j=1}^{\mathrm{X}^{\mathrm{n}}} \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{i}} Y_{j} \operatorname{Im} \operatorname{LT}\left[\left(\mathbb{A}_{i} \mathbb{R}^{0}(\mathrm{t}) \mathcal{A}_{j}\right)\right](!) \quad 0 ;
$$

which im plies the property. For frequencies! ! 0, one obtains O nsager's relations, viz. the $m$ atrix of the kinetic coe cients is sym $m$ etric w th non-negative eigenvalues. H ence, Eq. (C, alisation of O nsager's relations to nite frequencies.

APPENDIX D:TRANSVERSEPHONONSAND THEANDERSEN PECORA APPROACH IN THE LOW TEMPERATURELIM IT

Ifonem akes the (incorrect) supposition that them em ory kemels of the A ndersen - P ecora approach can bem odeled by D ebye relaxation processes consistent with their high-tem perature $M$ arkov approxim ation and their tim e reversal sym $m$ etry, this yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M(!)=i \frac{A^{2}}{1+i!} \tag{D1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{s}_{s}(!)=i_{s}^{\wedge 0} \overline{1+i!} ; \quad \wedge_{s}^{0}>0 ; \tag{D2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(!)=\quad \mathrm{if}_{1}+f_{2} \frac{!}{1+i!} ; \quad f_{1} ; f_{2}>0: \tag{D3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The special form proposed for Eq. (D- $\overline{-1}$ ) derives from the fact that $(t)$ is an odd function of tim e. If we suppose it to be the tim e derivative of $f(t)$, the auto-correlation function of som e variable, $f_{1}$ is its $t=0$ value and we have chosen for its late-tim e evolution a sm ooth D ebyelike behaviour.

Let us adm it that ${ }_{\text {g }}$ in the! 1 regim $e$, the value of $A$ is sm aller than $1=\overline{2}$. Equation $\left[\bar{D}_{-1} \overline{1}\right)$ then yields a V H backscattering spectrum $w$ th a pseudo-Lorentzian line shape and a linew idth approxim ately equalto ${ }^{1} \mathrm{~A}^{2}(1$ $2 A^{2}$ ) ${ }^{1=2}$. For! larger than th is line w idth, one can w rite for the $q$-dependent part of Eq . ${ }^{(6-2 \bar{z})}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& I(q ;!)= q^{2} \frac{0}{m n!_{0}^{2}} \frac{{ }^{2} m^{2} v^{2}}{!} \operatorname{Re} \frac{!(!)}{!M(!)}{ }^{2} \\
& \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{!^{2} \quad q^{2}!~} \quad  \tag{D4}\\
& \text { (D } 4
\end{align*}
$$

In this ! $\quad 1 \mathrm{~lm}$ it, this reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
I(q ;!)=\quad & q^{2} \frac{0}{m n!_{0}^{2}} \frac{m^{2} v^{2}}{!}\left(f_{1} \quad f_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \operatorname{Im} \frac{1}{!^{2} q^{q} c_{T}^{2}+i} ; \tag{D5}
\end{align*}
$$

being a sm all positive quantity. Equation (D) represent the $B$ rillouin spectrum of a transverse phonon, but the square of its the velocity is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{T}}^{2}=\frac{\wedge_{\mathrm{s}}^{0}}{\mathrm{mn}}+\frac{0}{\mathrm{mn}!{ }_{0}^{2}}\left(\mathrm{f}_{1} \quad \mathrm{f}_{2}\right)^{2}: \tag{D6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the spirit of the A ndersen-Pecora approach, $\hat{s}_{s}(t)$ represents the contribution of the $m$ olecular center-ofm ass m otion to the shear viscosity (see, nevertheless, the rem ark below Eq. ( $\left.6_{6} \overline{5}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ ). W ithin the sam e spirit, $\left[{ }^{\wedge}{ }_{\mathrm{s}}=\mathrm{mn} n\right]^{1=2}$ should represent the contribution of the sam e $m$ otion to the transverse sound velocity. A s announced,
the bare sound velocity, $\left[{ }_{s}^{0}=m n\right]^{1=2}$, is renorm alized by a term in $0=!{ }_{0}^{2}$, the signature of the rotation-translation coupling, but this renorm alisation leads to an unphysical
increase of the sound velocity, instead of the expected physical decrease.
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