# G round state and bias current induced rearrangem ent of sem i uxons in 0- long Josephson junctions.

E.Goldobin, D.Koelle, and R.Kleiner

Physikalisches Institut – Experim entalphysik II, Universitat Tubingen, Auf der Morgenstelle 14, D-72076 Tubingen, Germany

(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

We investigate numerically a long Josephson junction with several phase -discontinuity points. Such junctions are usually fabricated as a ram p between an anisotropic cuprate superconductor like Y B  $a_2$  C  $u_3$  O  $_7$  and an isotropic m etal superconductor like N b. From the top, they look like zigzags with -jumps of the Josephson phase at the corners. These -jumps, at certain conditions, lead to the formation of half-integer ux quanta, which we call sem i uxons (SF), pinned at the corners. We show (a) that the spontaneous formation of SFs depends on the junction length, (b) that the ground state without SFs can be converted to a state with SFs by applying a bias current, (c) that the SF con guration can be rearranged by the bias current. All these e ects can be observed using a SQUID m icroscope.

PACS num bers: 74.50.+ r,85.25.Cp 74.20.Rp Keywords: Long Josephson junction, sine-Gordon, half-integer ux quantum, sem i uxon, 0-pi-junction

X

### I. IN TRODUCTION

D ue to the speci c order parameter symmetry of high- $T_c$  cuprate superconductors it is possible to fabricate and study so-called 0 and Josephson junctions (see Ref. 1 and references therein). These junctions can be fabricated in various geometries and open new perspectives for Josephson electronics (digital circuits, uxon devices, quantum bits, etc.)<sup>2</sup>.

Recent experiments<sup>3</sup> with YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>-Nb ramp long Josephson junctions (LJJ) fabricated in zigzag geometry (if viewed from the top) clearly demonstrated that such a LJJ consists of alternating facets of 0, , 0, ::: junctions. The presence of alternating 0 and -facets results in a set of -discontinuities of the Josephson phase at the corners where 0- and -facets join. These are the points where the order parameter of the anisotropic high-T<sub>c</sub> superconductor changes its sign because the direction of the Josephson contact changes by 90.

In the short facet limit the  $I_{\rm c}\left(H\right)$  dependence of the zigzag LJJ was investigated theoretically and experimentally<sup>3</sup>. Due to alternating 0 and facets the critical current  $I_{\rm c}$  has a rather sm all value at zero m agnetic eld H, while the main peaks of  $J_{\rm c}$  are found at a nite eld, the expression for which was derived too and ts well with numerical simulations and experiments (considering ux focusing).

A striking property of 0- LJJ is the spontaneous generation of half-integer ux quanta, further called sem i-

uxons (SFs), at the corners of a zigzag. The presence of SFs was demonstrated experimentally<sup>4</sup> by scanning SQUID m icroscopy on LJJs in the long facet limit. SFs were also experimentally observed in the so-called tricrystal grain boundary LJJs<sup>5,6,7</sup>. In both experiments the samples were electrically disconnected.

In this work we investigate num erically the behavior of positive and negative SFs (PSF and NSF) in a LJJ of zigzag geometry. The polarity of SFs is de ned so that the PSF roughly corresponds to spontaneous magnetic

ux + 0=2, while NSF corresponds to  $_0=2$ . In particular, we study the various ground states in which SFs of di erent polarity can be arranged in LJJs with many discontinuity points. W e also investigate the e ect of the bias current, and show that it may provoke form ation of SFs even in the cases when the ground state has a piecewise constant phase at zero bias. The rearrangem ent of the PSFs and NSFs under the e ect of uniform bias current at zero voltage is discovered and m ay be observed in experiments similar to the one of Ref. 4. Throughout the paper we consider separately the cases of in nitely long JJ and of LJJ of nite length. Am ong di erent ground states we focus on antiferrom agnetically ordered chains of SFs (at zero bias) and on chains of unipolar SFs. We do not lim it ourselves to only one corner but consider several of them, usually equidistantly spaced.

In section II we introduce the model and discuss numerical aspects. Various ground states are discussed in section III. Section IV contains numerical results which show the rearrangement of SFs by means of an applied bias current. Section V concludes this work.

### II. MODELAND NUMERICS

The dynamics of the Josephson phase in LJJ with phase -discontinuities is described by the following perturbed sine-G ordon equation<sup>8</sup>:

$$x$$
 tt sin() = t (x) + h<sub>x</sub>(x) +  $xx(x)$ ; (1)

where (x;t) denotes the Josephson phase across the junction,  $1 = \frac{1}{c}$  is the dimensionless damping coe cient ( c is the M cCumber-Stewart parameter<sup>9</sup>). The function (x) describes the positions of the -

discontinuity points and can be written as

$$(x) = \begin{cases} X & c \\ k & H & (x & X_k); \end{cases}$$
 (2)

where  $_{k}$  = 1 de nes the direction of the k-th phase jump. The sum is over all N<sub>c</sub> corners located at x = x<sub>k</sub>. H (x) is the Heaviside step function.

Eq. (1) is written using standard norm alized units, i.e., the coordinate and the time are given in units of the Josephson penetration depth  $_{\rm J}$ , and the inverse plasm a frequency  $!_{\rm p}^{-1}$ , respectively<sup>9</sup>. The subscripts x and t in Eq. (1) and below, if any, denote partial derivatives with respect to x and t.

The external magnetic eld enters into consideration through the  $h_x$  term in Eq. (1) and through the boundary conditions, which for overlap geom etry can be written as

$$_{x}(0;L) = h;$$
 (3)

where the eld h is norm alized in the usual way as

$$h = \frac{2H}{H_{cl}}:$$
 (4)

Here,  $H_{cl} = {}_{0} = ({}_{0} {}_{J})$  is the rst critical eld (penetration eld) for a LJJ which is, in fact, equal to the eld in the center of the uxon.  ${}_{0}$  is the quantum of m agnetic ux and is the magnetic thickness of the junction. The eld H in Eq. (4) is given as H = (H ~ n), where n is the unit vector norm alto the eld ective cross-section of the junction (elementary cell). Note, that if the projection of the external eld on all facets is the same, the term  $h_x(x)$  disappears from Eq. (1), and the eld a ects the LJJ only via the boundary condition (3).

In numerical simulations, it is quite di cult to deal with derivatives of -functions present within the  $_{xx}$  term in Eq. (1). Therefore, it is convenient to present the total phase as a sum of two components: a magnetic component (x) and the order-parameter related one (x) (2):

$$(x;t) = (x;t) + (x):$$
 (5)

In this case we can get the sine-G ordon equation only for the magnetic component of the phase :

$$xx \quad tt \quad \sin() \underset{\substack{|=\{Z_{-}\}\\1}}{\cos()} = t \quad (x) + h_{x}(x): \quad (6)$$

This is the usual perturbed sine-Gordon equation, but the sign in front of the sine function changes from facet to facet. W e use Eq. (6) for num erical simulations.

Xu et al.<sup>10,11</sup> and later, but independently, Goldobin et al.<sup>8</sup> derived an analytical expression for the SF in an in nitely long JJ with a single phase -discontinuity point at x = 0

$$(x) = \begin{array}{ccc} 4 \arctan{(Ge^{x})} & ; & x < 0 \\ 4 \arctan{(Ge^{x})} & ; & x > 0 \end{array} ;$$
 (7)

where  $G = \tan(=8) = \frac{P}{2}$  1. The corresponding expression for the magnetic eld of a SF is

$$x(x) = \frac{2}{\cosh(jxj) \ln G};$$
(8)

It boks like a cusp with exponential tails.

The simulations were done using StkJJ software<sup>12</sup> further developed to include discontinuity points in the numerical scheme and new initial conditions with arbitrary distributed positive and negative SFs given by Eq. (7). For the simulations we use a linear LJJ with a damping parameter = 0.1, which corresponds well to the values between 0.1 and 1.0 in real zigzag LJJs<sup>13</sup>. The applied magnetic eld was zero.

# III. GROUND STATE

To understand the form ation of ground states in various congurations it is important to be able to calculate the energy of the system. Since the sine-G ordon Eq. (6) diers from the usual equation only by a factor in front of sin, the energy of the system in the general case can be written as

$$U = \int_{1}^{Z_{+1}} \frac{1}{2} \int_{x}^{2} + (1 \cos \cos \theta) dx; \qquad (9)$$

Now we present the results on the observation of di erent ground states and the spontaneous form ation of SFs.

### A. In nitely long JJ

W hen we speak about in nitely long JJ we mean that its both edges are very far from the positions of discontinuity points, uxons, SFs and any other \interesting" locations. For our purposes we used L = 20 (0 x L) as a good approximation provided that SFs are at least 5 J apart from the edges. For some cases we repeated the simulations using L = 30 and L = 50, but the results were quantitatively the same with accuracy of few percents.

First, we simulated a LJJ with one discontinuity point at  $x_1 = 10$  and observed that the initial phase distribution

$$(x) = (x) = H(x x);$$
 (10)

is not stable and evolves into a NSF. If we take (x) = H(x x) the system generates a PSF instead of NSF.

Note, that in principle the polarity of SF does not depend on the sign of the -discontinuity. Both PSF and NSF may be pinned at a (x) or a (x) discontinuity (10) and have the same energy. The energy of the SF, calculated by substituting Eq. (7) into Eq. (9) is

$$U = 16 \frac{G^2}{1 + G^2};$$
(11)

while the energy of the = 0 state is / L, i.e. it diverges. Note that Eqs. (7) and (11) are universal for both uxons and SFs. If we take G = 1, Eq. (7) converts into the sine-G ordon kink and Eq. (9) gives U = 8 { the rest energy of a uxon. If we take  $G = \frac{1}{2}$  1 (as for a SF), we get the SF shape from Eq. (7) and U = 8  $\frac{4}{2}$  2:343 from Eq. (11). This is the rest energy of a SF.

Second, we simulated a LJJ with two discontinuity points at  $x_1 = 5$  and  $x_2 = 15$ . The initial phase distribution was chosen so that (x) = 0, i.e.,


$$(x) = (x) = H(x x_{\underline{i}}) H(x x_{\underline{j}})$$
 (12)

W hen we look at the tem poral evolution of the system we see that such a state is meta-stable and occasionally degrades into two SFs of opposite polarity: a PSF and a NSF. The polarity of a particular SF does not depend on its position and on the direction of jump, but depends on the initial perturbation which we used to disturb the m eta-stable state. For example, when we applied a tiny uniform bias current = 0.01, we got a N SF at x = 5 and a PSF at x = 15. If we apply a bias current of opposite polarity, = 0.01, we get a PSF at x = 5 and a NSF at x = 15. This looks natural since, for our choice of current direction, the Lorenz (driving) force associated with the positive current pushes positive (sem i-) uxons to the right and negative ones to the left. Thus, the nal con guration always corresponds to a pair of SFs pulled apart by the bias current.

The states of two SFs discussed above, and naturally denoted as #" and "#, are stable only when the distance between SFs is rather large,  $a > a_c$ . O therwise, in the short facet lim it,  $a < a_c$ , the state without SFs is energetically more favorable. The numerical simulations show that the critical separation is  $a_c^{(2)}$ 1:55 0:05. The superscript stands for  $N_c = 2$ , as below we also calculate  $a_c$  for the case of more than two corners. We have also checked that there is no hysteresis (local energy m inim um ) around a<sub>c</sub>, i.e., if we start with two SFs " (0 < " 1), the system relaxes to the  $at a = a_c$ = 0 state (12). Inversely, if we start from the at state (12) at  $a = a_c + "$ , it relaxes to the "# or #" state.

C ontinuing our reasoning in a similar fashion, we conclude that for odd N<sub>c</sub> in an in nite LJJ, the form ation of at least one SF is always energetically protable. In a LJJ with even N<sub>c</sub>, there is a crossover distance  $a_c$  (which is a function of facet number, size and location) which determ ines the ground state. For equidistantly distributed corners the crossover distances  $a_c^{(N_c)}$  were calculated numerically for different number of corners N<sub>c</sub>. They have the following values:  $a_c^{(4)} = 1.35 \quad 0.05$ ,  $a_c^{(6)} = 1.15 \quad 0.05$ ,  $a_c^{(8)} = 1.05 \quad 0.05$ . For odd N<sub>c</sub> the state with N<sub>c</sub> AFM – ordered SF s is always favored, i.e.,  $a_c = 0$ . In the general case, the situation can also be mixed, as shown in Fig.1, i.e., the shorter facet A {B with a = 1 has constant = , while the longer facet C {D with a = 2 has a PSF-NSF pair at the discontinuity points.

Another case which we didn't consider up to now is



12

14

16

FIG.1: G round state of LJJ with 4 corners, situated in arbitrary way.

coordinate, x

10

phase, magnetic field

3

2

1 -

0

-1

6

8




FIG.2: The local magnetic eld  $_{x}(x)$  of two PSFs for di erent distance a = 0:1, 1, 2 between them .

two PSF "" (or two NSF ##). These states are stable for any separation a. For a ! 0, the prole of two SFs approaches the prole of a uxon, as shown in Fig. 2. The energy of the "" state changes from  $2U_{SF}$  4:686 up to  $U_F = 8$  as a decreases from in nity to zero. The energy of the "# state changes from  $2U_{SF}$  4:686 down to 0 as a decreases from in nity to zero, having U (a) a for a ! 0. A lthough, the energy of the "" state is always higher than the one of the "# state, it is still stable and represents a local energy minimum.

### B. Finite length LJJ

W e consider here only the case of equidistant distribution of the corners along the length of the LJJ. The N $_{\rm c}$  corners can be distributed in two di erent sym m etric ways with the positions of the corners:

$$x_{k}^{(EF)} = ak; a = \frac{L}{N_{c}+1};$$
 (13)

$$x_{k}^{(EL)} = ak \quad \frac{a}{2}; \quad a = \frac{L}{N_{c}};$$
 (14)

where a is the facet length (the distance between corners), and  $k = 1:::N_c$ . In the rst case, all facets have equal length (EF stands for \Equal Facets"), but for even  $N_c$  the total lengths of 0- and -facets are not equal  $L^0 \in L$ . In the second case, the rst and the last

facets are twice shorter, but the total lengths of 0-and facets are the same for any N<sub>c</sub>, i.e.,  $L^0 = L$  (EL stands for \Equal (total) Lengths"). The case of one comer<sup>11,14</sup> is degenerate and belongs to both categories.

In the analysis below we compare the at phase state with the antiferrom agnetically (AFM) ordered state as they usually represent the lowest energy solutions of Eq. (6). Later we also analyze unipolar states. All other con gurations, although stable, are outside the scope of this paper.

Thus, generally, the problem splits into 3 di erent cases.

(a) Facets of equal length, even  $N_c$ ,  $L^0 \in L$ . At a 1 the ground state consists of AFM -ordered SFs, since it is not pro table to have long facets with = . For estimation of the energy of AFM -ordered state at a ! 0, we note that the AFM -ordered SF chain has

$$\cos \frac{1}{2} = (1)^{1} \frac{1}{2}; a! 0;$$
 (15)

where l is the facet number<sup>15</sup>. Then, at a ! 0, the energy of the at phase state U =  $_0 = N_ca$ , while U<sub>AFM</sub> L =  $(N_c + 1)a$ , i.e., U =  $_0 < U_{AFM}$ . Thus the ground state is a at phase state. Since we have di erent limiting behaviors at a ! 0 and a 1, there should be a crossover distance  $a_c^{(N_c)}$  and a corresponding crossover LJJ length  $L_c^{(N_c)}$ . Our simulations for  $N_c = 2$  show that  $L_c^{(2)} = 4.25$  0.05, and  $a_c^{(2)} = 1.4$ . For  $N_c = 4$ ,  $L_c^{(4)} = 5.85$  0.05, and  $a_c^{(4)} = 1.16$ . For  $N_c = 6$ ,  $L_c^{(6)} = 7$ ,  $a_c^{(6)} = 1.0$ .

(b) Facets of equal length, odd  $N_c$ ,  $L^0 = L$ . The sim – probables of a plest example  $N_c = 1$  was already investigated<sup>11,14</sup>. At of a 1 the ground state consists of AFM -ordered SFs. At a ! 0 the at phase state and the AFM -ordered at the SF states have the same energy (in the rst approx- Thuis in ation), so it is reasonable to assume that for all a is show that. This is also con med by numerical simulations of our a "#" SF chain put in LJJs of di erent lengths. (c) Twice shorter edge facets,  $L^0 = L$ . The ground

state is equivalent to the previous case and con med by simulations.

The last state which we wish to consider is "" (or ##). To avoid problem s with initial conditions, we have created a "" state in a LJJ of L = 10, and have slow ly reduced the length of the LJJ in steps of L = 0:1, allow - ing the system to relax after each sm all contraction. We have found that for each separation a there is a critical LJJ length  $L_c$  (a), such that at L <  $L_c$  two PSFs can not stay inside the junction. They em it a uxon and revert either to "# state or to the at phase state. The plot of  $L_c$  vs. a is shown in Fig. 3.

Ata! 0 ourtwoPSFs are equivalent to a uxon. The pinning disappears too, as a 2 -discontinuity is equivalent to a continuous phase for our problem. A uxon placed in a LJJ of any nite length is in a metastable state because it is attracted by two in ages situated be-




FIG.3: The dependence of minimum LJJ length at which the "" still exists on the distance a between SFs.

hind the left and the right edges of the LJJ. Therefore,

$$\lim_{a \downarrow 0} L_{c}(a) = 1 ;$$
 (16)

O fcourse, in the experiment, the force pulling the uxon towards the boundary is exponentially small for large L, and the uxon gets pinned by non-uniform ities or other mechanisms, provided they have a stronger e ect than e.g. thermal uctuations, which depin the uxon.

In the opposite limit a ! 1, two PSFs become independent and  $L_c$  & a, i.e., we should solve a problem of SF stability near the edge of a sem i-in nite LJJ. This problem, in turn, is equivalent to the problem of stability of a PSF-NSF pair (the NSF appears as an im age) in the in nite LJJ analyzed above. Therefore, the SF is stable at the distance from the edge which is larger than  $a_c^{(2)}=2$ . Thus, in the limit a ! 1,  $L_c$  grows linearly with a, but is shifted by  $a_c^{(2)}$ . The asymptote  $L_c(a) = a + a_c^{(2)}$  is shown in Fig. 3 and demonstrates excellent agreem ent of our arguments with numerical simulation.

# IV. REORDERING SEM IFLUXONSBY DC BIASCURRENT

An interesting question is what happens when a uniform dc bias current is applied to the system. The current acts like a driving force which tries to push SF in a certain direction (just like with uxons) which depends on the polarity of the SF and on the polarity of the bias current. On the other hand SFs are pinned by the phase discontinuity points and, it seems, the maximum what can happen is that the SF changes the shape (deform s) a little bit. In contrast, we found that the SF can also (a) spontaneously ip and generate a uxon which starts m oving along the LJJ under the action of a bias current, and (b) several PSFs and NSFs can hop simultaneously, if they are situated close enough, resulting in a structural rearrangem ent.




FIG.4: The magnetic eld  $_x$  (x) shows the emerging SFs for =  $0;0:1;\ldots;0:7$  in LJJ of L = 20 with the distance a = 1 between corners. The pre-critical state at = 0:76 is shown by thicker line.

### A. In nitely long JJ

First, we simulated a LJJ with only one discontinuity point. A coording to the results presented in the previous section, the ground state is a SF.W ithout loosing generality let us assume that it is a PSF. W hen we apply the positive bias current from zero up to  $_{c} = 0.62$  the PSF just changes shape. At = 0.63 the PSF becomes unstable and splits into a positive uxon and a NSF, so that the total ux is conserved. The uxon moves away to the right under the action of the driving force. The NSF is still pinned but exposed to the sam e driving force

> c which tries to push it to the left. Correspondingly the NSF splits into a negative uxon (anti-uxon) and a PSF. The anti- uxon moves away to the left being driven by the bias current. Thus, after em ission of a

uxon and an anti- uxon, the system returns to the initial state with one trapped PSF. Since > c, the whole process is repeated again, i.e., a SF of any polarity at > c em its two trains of uxons: uxons to the right and anti- uxons to the left.

Second, we simulated the behavior of a LJJ with two corners at a distance a between them . W hen the distance  $a < a_c^{(2)}$  (we took a = 1 for simulations), the ground state corresponds to the at phase pro le. N evertheless, if one applies a bias current, a N SF {P SF pair is form ed. The SFs of this pair are pinned at the corresponding discontinuity points and the polarity of the SFs is such that the bias current pulls them apart. The amplitude (the eld at the center of the PSF) of the NSF (PSF pair grows sm oothly with the applied bias current and reaches the value h 0:75 at  $_{\rm c} = 0:77$ , as shown in Fig. 4. This value of eld is only twice smaller than the p = eld in the center of an isolated SF, which is equal to 2 1:4. This means that even in the short facet limit a NSF { PSF pair can be observed experim entally<sup>17</sup> by applying a uniform dc bias current through the junction. At  $>_{cr}$ the NSF {PSF pair emits uxons to the right and antiuxons to the left (for positive bias current), and, thus, switches to the non-zero voltage state.

Another possibility is that the distance between two

corners a is larger than  $a_c^{(2)}$  (we took a = 2 for simulations). In this case the ground state can be either of ##, #", "#, "", depending on the history of the system . Under the action of the applied bias current, the states ## and "" behave very sim ilar to a single SF state discussed above. The most interesting thing happens when we apply the bias current to the #" or "# con guration, especially if the initial order of NSF and PSF is not naturalw ith respect to the direction of bias current. Suppose that we have the state "#. For > 0 the direction of driving force is such that the NSF and the PSF are pushed tow ards each other, but still they can not move since they are pinned at the corners. Only their shape changes a litincreases. At = 0.08, we observe that the tle bit as SFs ip synchronously, and exchange their location. W e can denote such a process as "#  $= \frac{0.08}{100}$  #". This process can be thought as an exchange by a virtual uxon which transfers a quantum of magnetic ux from the PSF to the NSF:

# PSF ! NSF + VirtualFluxon

#### VirtualFluxon + NSF ! PSF

We use the word virtual because (a) no actual soliton is form ed (only a quantum of magnetic ux spills over), and (b) this happens at the value of which is much less than the uxon creation current  $_{c} = 0.63$  discussed above. The process of ipping (or hopping PSF NSF) of SFs is accompanied by emission of plasm a waves in both directions, but they decay with time, so that the nal state #" is stable. In this new state the bias current pulls the SFs apart and only when exceeds  $_{\rm c} = 0.67$  the system switches to non-zero voltage state. If the system was initially in the state #", no structural rearrangem ent takes place for = 0 ::: c = 0.67 and the system switches to the resistive state at  $= _{c}$ . Thus, by sweeping the bias current back and forth between  $_{\rm c}$  and +  $_{\rm c}$  one may observe<sup>17</sup> the structural rearrangements of SFs being in zero voltage state.

Sim ilar rearrangements we observe for the states involving many SFs. Usually we start from an array of AFM -ordered SFs at = 0 with the distance a = 2 between nearest neighbors. Then we increase the bias current to obtain the following structural transform ations. For 4 SFs:

with  $_{c} = 0.53$  from the state ##"". For 6 SFs:

$$"#"#"" = {}^{+} {}^{:13} ##"#"" = {}^{+} {}^{:30} ###""" = {}^{+} {}^{:13} 
 ##"#"" = {}^{0:03} "#"#"# = {}^{0:21} """#### (18)$$

with c = 0.50 from the state ###"". In the latter case the bias was rst increased and then decreased, thus




FIG. 5: The magnetic eld  $_{x}$  (x) pro les for di erent con gurations obtained during transformation (21) for = 0;0:3;0:4.

demonstrating a hysteresis in switching between various con gurations.

Further, for 8 SFs:

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mu} \mathbf{u}_{\mu} \mathbf{u}_{\mu} \mathbf{u}_{\mu} = + \underbrace{\rho}_{13} \mathbf{u}_{\mu} \mathbf{u}_{\mu} \mathbf{u}_{\mu} \mathbf{u}_{\mu} \mathbf{u}_{\mu} = + \underbrace{\rho}_{23} \mathbf{u}_{\mu} \mathbf{u}_{\mu}$$

with  $_c = +0.49$  from ####"""" state. Note, that sometimes the system switches directly to the very polarized state skipping several intermediate congurations. Those intermediate states can sometimes be obtained by sweeping the bias current back and forth, starting from various other states. The state ###"#""" in the above example was obtained so.

For 12 SFs:

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mu} \mathbf{u}_{\mu} \mathbf$$

with  $_{c} = +0.47$  from the state ######""""""". We also checked that the situation is symmetric, i.e.,  $_{c} = 0.47$  from the state """""######. If we start from the state ##"#"""""" at = 0 obtained in (20), and increase, we get some new states which we have not seen before:

Interesting enough, sim ilar rearrangements are possible even when the initial state at = 0 is at. For example, for 6 SFs separated by the distance a = 1 we get

------ > + 9:00 **#"#"#"** = + 9:37 **##**--**""** (21)

with  $_{c} = 0:48$  from the state ##--"", see Fig. 5.

B. LJJ of nite length

Is it possible to observe similar \polarization by current" e ects when the SFs are equidistantly distributed along a LJJ of nite length? For the annular LJJ the answer should be negative, as, due to sym metry, there are no left and right edges. On the other hand, for a linear LJJ, it seems possible. As before we distinguish 3 di erent cases.

(a) Facets of equal length, even N<sub>c</sub>. The ground state at = 0 can be at for a <  $\frac{1}{4}^{\circ}$  or consist of AFM – ordered SFs for a >  $a_c^{N_c}$ . Let us start with the AFM – ordered state "# at L = 6 (a= 2). We observe the transition

with  $_{\rm c}$  = 0:491 from the #" state. For N  $_{\rm c}$  = 4:

$$"#"# \stackrel{=+0:119}{!} ##""; (23)$$

with  $_{c} = 0.331$  from the state ##"". For N  $_{c} = 6$ :

with  $_{c} = 0.28$  from the state ##"#"". As we see in the latter case the total polarization does not take place.

For N<sub>c</sub> = 8:

$$"#"#"#"" = + 0:14 ##"#"#"#" = + 0:23 ####"""" = + 0:14 
####"#""" = 0:01 #"#"#"#" ::: (25)$$

with  $_{\rm c} = 0.27$  from the state ####"""". It is rather interesting that the rearrangem entshappen almost at the same value of as in the case of an in nitely long LJJ. We also have noticed that as a decreases towards  $a_{\rm c}^{\rm N} \circ$  or as N<sub>c</sub> increases at xed a, the current at which the rst rearrangem ent takes place also decreases, reaching = 0 at a !  $a_{\rm c}^{\rm N} \circ$ . In this lim it the total polarization is

alm ost never achieved.

When  $a < a_c^{N_c}$  the initial state is at at = 0, but AFM -ordered SFs emerge at > 0. The amplitude of magnetic eld at the centers of SFs grows with . Such states can not be rearranged further and usually the system switches to the resistive state at som  $e_c$ . The results are sum marized in Tab. I. The simulations also show that for  $a < a_c^{N_c}$  the system is kind of \elastic', i.e., as soon as the force is removed, the system , like a spring, returns back to the at phase state without any hysteresis.

(b) Facets of equal length, odd N<sub>c</sub>. The ground state at = 0 is an AFM -ordered ::::"#" ::: chain, so we start with our usual value a = 2. The sm allest odd N<sub>c</sub> for which it makes sense to speak about rearrangements is "#":

. . .

with  $_{c} = 0.32$  from the state #"". For N  $_{c} = 5$ :

$$"#"#" = + 0:14 #"#"" = + 0:27 ##""; (27)$$

а	N $_{\rm c}$	с
0:5	2	0:33
0:5	4	0:20
0:5	6	0:14
0:5	8	0:11
1:0	2	0:36
1:0	4	0:23
1:0	6	0:17
1:0	8	0:14
1:3	4	0:11
1:3	6	0:18

TABLE I: The list of num erical tests which shows the  $_{\rm c}$  for the switching from the #"-like states. No structural rearrangem ents were observed (even N  $_{\rm c}$ ).




FIG.6: The magnetic eld  $_{x}$  (x) pro les for di erent values of bias current = 0;0.05;0:10;0:11 in LJJ of L = 6 with N<sub>c</sub> = 5 corners and equal facet lengths. The eld of SFs is \pulled" to the right by the bias current.

 $w \pm h_{c} = 0.28$ .

For small a (we have used a = 1) the rearrangement of SFs does not take place, although the amplitude of m agnetic eld in the center of each SF changes so that the amplitude of eld is higher at the right edge and low er at the left edge. It is like the eld is globally pulled tow ard the right edge by the bias current, as can be seen in F ig. 6 T he results of other runs for this case are sum marized in Tab. II.

a	N <sub>c</sub>	c rearrangements
0:5	3	0:04
0:5	5	0:04
0:5	7	0:04
1:0	3	0:13
1:0	5	0:10
1:0	7	0:08 inv. left SFs
1:5	3	0:17 "#" <sup>= +</sup> ! <sup>0:16</sup> #""
1:5	5	0 <b>:</b> 13 <b>"#"#"</b> <sup>= +</sup> ! <sup>0:14</sup> <b>#"#"</b> "
1:5	7	0:13 "#"#"#" <sup>= +</sup> ! <sup>0:08</sup> #"#"#""

TABLE II: The list of num erical tests which shows the  $_{\rm c}$  for the switching from the #"-like states in LJJ with uniform ly distributed corners and equal facet lengths. No structural rearrangem ents were observed (odd N $_{\rm c}$ ).

a	N <sub>c</sub>	c rearrangements
2:0	2	0:15 none
1:0	2	0:038 none
0 <b>:</b> 75	2	0:020 none
0:5	2	0:020 "# <sup>= +</sup> <sup>0:005</sup> #"
2:0	3	0:155 none
1:5	3	0:094 none
1:0	3	0:044 none
0:5	3	0:015 none
2:0	4	0:15 none
1:5	4	0:091 none
1:0	4	0:040 none
0:5	4	0:014 "#"# <sup>= +</sup> ! <sup>:008</sup> #"#"
2:0	5	0:15 none
1:5	5	0:093 none
1:0	5	0:043 none
0:5	5	0:014 none
2:0	6	0:15 none
1:5	6	0:091 none
1:0	6	0:040 none
0:5	6	0:013 "#"#"# <sup>= +</sup> ! <sup>:009</sup> #"#"#"
2:0	7	0:15 none
1:5	7	0:092 none
1:0	7	0:042 none
0:5	7	0:012 none
2:0	7	0: none
1:5	7	0: none
1:0	7	0: none
0:5	7	0:012 "#"#"#"# <sup>= +</sup> ! <sup>:009</sup> #"#"#"#"

TABLE III: The list of num encal tests which shows the value of <sub>c</sub> for the switching from the #"-like states in a LJJ with uniform ly distributed corners and twice shorter edge facets. N o structural rearrangements were observed.

(c) Twice shorter edge facets. The ground state at = 0 consists of AFM -ordered SFs. Num erical results show that no rearrangements were observed for N  $_{\rm c}$  = 8;:::;18 in a LJJ of L = 30 (a from 3:75 to 1:67). For smaller a rearrangements were observed in few cases at a! 0, see Tab. III. Such a di culty of rearrangem ent can actually be explained. If we rem ove the LJJ edges and introduce SF im ages, our problem reduces to the problem of rearranging an in nite chain of AFM -ordered SFs. Sim ilar to the case of an annular LJJ, there is no left and right ends here and all points are equivalent, so the rearrangem ent is never initiated. Synchronous hopping of all SFs would require in nite energy. Of course, in an experiment the facets are never perfectly equal, so this may result in rearrangem ents of SFs. In simulations rearrangements may take place due to the nite x of the numerical scheme or the nite step in . At a ! 0 the rearrangem ent, if any, happens at ! 0.

The hopping of SFs under the action of bias current results in a possibility to observe half-integer Zero-Field Steps (ZFS) in a LJJ with moderate facet size<sup>18</sup>. If we take a LJJ of length L = 2 with a phase discontinuity point and a PSF in the middle, the interaction of the

PSF with the boundaries at zero applied magnetic eld can be treated by introduction of two NSF (im ages) at the distance 1 from the left and right edge of the LJJ. In this case the boundary can be rem oved and we have a pinned NSF-PSF-NSF system with a = 2 in an in nite LJJ.Under the action of a bias current > , the PSF exchanges its position with one of the images (NSF) so that we get a NSF in the center of LJJ and one quantum of magnetic ux (virtual uxon) transferred through the edge. Now the system is equivalent to PSF-NSF-PSF with a = 2 in an in nite LJJ and the whole process is repeated again, transferring another virtual uxon through the edge of the LJJ. At this point the state of the system is exactly equal to the initial state and the whole period is repeated again. By analogy with ZFS, the PSF is also \re ected" as an N SF after interaction with the edge, but this process is not continuous as in the case of uxons, but som ew hat discrete | a PSF hops out and a NSF hops in. Since the total ux transferred per \re ection" is 2 (twice smaller than for conventional ZFSs), and the velocity of the virtual uxon presum ably does not exceed the Sw ihart velocity one should observe half-integer ZFS in the experiment.

## V. CONCLUSIONS

We have discussed various con gurations of sem iuxons (SFs) in long Josephson junctions (LJJs) with -discontinuities (zigzag corners) and corresponding ground states. We have shown that (a) in an in nite LJJ the ground state depends on the separation between the corners (facet size), and may be either a at phase state, or an array of antiferrom agnetically ordered SFs,

Electronic address: gold@unituebingen.de; URL: http: //www.geocities.com/e\_goldobin

- <sup>1</sup> C.C.Tsuei, J.R.K ittley, Rev.M od.Phys.72, 969 (2000).
- <sup>2</sup> C.C.T suei, and J.R.K intley, Physica C 367, 1 (2002).
- <sup>3</sup> H. J. H. Smilde, Ariando, D. H. A. Blank, G. J. Gerritsm a, H. Hilgenkam p, and H. Rogalla, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88,057004 (2002).
- <sup>4</sup> H.Hilgenkam p et al., presented in R ef. 19.
- <sup>5</sup> J.R.Kirtley, C.C.Tsuei, and K.A.Moler, Science 285, 1373 (1999).
- <sup>6</sup> J.R.Kirtley, C.C.Tsuei, M.Rupp, J.Z.Sun, L.S.Yu-Jahnes, A.Gupta, M.B.Ketchen, K.A.Moler, and M.Bhushan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 1336 (1996).
- <sup>7</sup> A .Sugim oto, T .Yam aguchi, and I.Iguchi, Physica C, 367, 28 (2002).
- <sup>8</sup> E.G.Goldobin, D.Koelle, R.K leiner, cond-m at/0207742, accepted to Phys. Rev. B
- <sup>9</sup> K.K.Likharev, \D ynam ics of Josephson junctions and C incuits", G ordon and B reach Science Publishers, 1986.
- <sup>10</sup> J.H.Xu, J.H.M iller, and C.S.Ting, Phys. Rev. B 51, 11958 (1995).
- <sup>11</sup> Twin boundary 0- LJJs, investigated in Refs. 10,14, are

or an array of unipolar SFs. If the num ber of corners is odd, at least one SF should be present. (b) In a LJJ of

nite length the ground state of a LJJ with  $N_c$  uniform ly distributed corners can be at or consist of SFs depending not only on the facet size a, but also on the question whether  $N_c$  is an odd or even number and on the way to distribute the corners. (c) In the case of a at phase ground state, one may still compel SFs to emerge by applying a uniform dc bias current. (d) The rearrangements of SFs by bias current, discussed in this paper, can be observed experimentally<sup>17</sup> by being in the zerovoltage state and changing the current in the range from

 $_{\rm c}$  to +  $_{\rm c}$ . O nem ay observe the whole variety of states discussed here, if the number of facets and their sizes are properly selected. (e) A lthough the SFs are pinned, they may hop from one discontinuity point to the next one, provided the distance between these points is about 2  $_{\rm J}$  or less. This "m oving by hopping" results in a possibility to observe some phenom ena known from uxon dynam-ics, e.g., zero- eld steps, but with the rst step situated at half of the voltage of the usual rst zero- eld step.

The most numerical observations reported here can be directly checked in a SQUID microscopy experiment. The results presented here provide new insights into the statics and dynamics of SFs in LJJs with discontinuities. We also hope that this work will stimulate further research and generate ideas for novel devices and their application in superconducting electronics.

### A cknow ledgm ents

W e would like to thank H.Hilgenkamp, H.J.Smilde, N.Stefanakis and C.C.T suei for interesting discussions.

described alm ost by the same m odel as one corner zigzag LJJs. The only di erence is the boundary conditions which correspond to overlap geometry for zigzags and to inline geometry for the twin boundary LJJs. When the bias current is zero, both systems are equivalent. Our simulations con m the results of Ref. 14 for = 0.K integret al.<sup>14</sup> also studied the e ect of asymmetric facets.

- <sup>12</sup> E. Goldobin, StkJJ online manual: http: //www.geocities.com/SiliconValley/Heights/7318/ StkJJ.htm (2002).
- <sup>13</sup> A ctually the estimation of is tricky, and will be discussed in detail elsew here.
- <sup>14</sup> JR K ittley, K A M oler, D J Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B 56, 886 (1997).
- <sup>15</sup> The next approximation would be to assume that  $\frac{1}{2}\cos + "(x)$
- <sup>16</sup> U sing the next order approximation it can be shown that  $U_{AFM}$  a ca<sup>3</sup> (c > 0), while  $U_{=0} = a$ , i.e., AFM SF state has lower energy at any a.
- $^{\rm 17}$  For example using SQUID m  $\rm icroscopy^4$  .
- <sup>18</sup> N. Stefanakis, nlin.ps/0205031; N. Stefanakis, condmat/0208473.

<sup>19</sup> \F irst Internationalworkshop on the Sym m etry in M acroscopic Q uantum states", A pril21{23, A ugsburg, G erm any.