## Three-body problem in Fermi gases with short-range interparticle interaction

D.S.Petrov

FOM Institute for Atom ic and M olecular Physics, K ruislaan 407, 1098 SJ Am sterdam , The N etherlands Russian Research C enter, K urchatov Institute, K urchatov Square, 123182 M oscow, Russia

(M arch 22, 2024)

W e discuss 3-body processes in ultracold two-component Ferm igases with short-range intercomponent interaction characterized by a large and positive scattering length a. It is found that in most cases the probability of 3-body recombination is a universal function of the mass ratio and a, and is independent of short-range physics. W e also calculate the scattering length corresponding to the atom -dim er interaction.

03.75 F i,05.30 Jp

In the search for ferm ionic super uidity, ultracold Ferm i gases with strong interactions and high densities are produced routinely. In current experiments with 40K [1] and  ${}^{6}\text{Li}$  [2{5], the scattering length a, corresponding to the interaction between di erent ferm ionic species, has been modied using a powerful tool of Feshbach resonances. Reaching high densities is limited by 3-body recombination { the process in which two atoms form a bound state and a third one carries away the binding energy ". In the case of a two-component Fermigas the 3-body process requires at least two identical ferm ions to approach each other to a distance of the order of the size of the nal bound state. Therefore, in the ultracold lim it the recombination probability acquires an additionalsm all factor K =", where K is the relative kinetic energy of identical ferm ions (cf. [6]). One may speculate that limitations on achieving high densities are not as severe as in Bose gases, where the recombination rate is independent of the kinetic energy of particles.

Theoretical studies of the 3-body problem have revealed the existence of two universality classes for the case where a greatly exceeds the characteristic radius of interatom ic interaction, R<sub>e</sub> [7,8]. For the rst class, short-range physics is not important and the 3-body problem can be described in terms of 2-body scattering lengths and masses of particles. One can then use the zero-range approximation for the interatom ic potential, which has been successfully demonstrated, e.g. in the calculations of neutron-deuteron scattering with the total spin S = 3=2 [9]. For the second class, where shortrange behavior is important, the description of the 3body problem requires at least one parameter coming from short-range physics [10]. For two identical ferm ions (") interacting with a third particle (#), the presence of two universality classes has been shown by  $E \mod [7,8]$ . For a large a, the pair interaction between distinguishable particles leads to the appearance of an attractive  $1=r^2$  interaction in the 3-body system [11]. If the mass ratio  $m_{\pi} = m_{\#}$  is sm aller than approxim ately 13, then this attraction is not su cient to overcom e the centrifugal barrier  $l(l + 1)=r^2$  (l = 1 due to the symmetry). In

this case the probability of all three particles being in the volum e  $R_e^3$  vanishes and short-range physics drops out of the problem . However, the elective attraction increases with the mass ratio and for  $m_{\pi}=m_{\#}^{>}$  13 we have a well-known phenom enon of the fall of a particle into the center in an attractive r<sup>2</sup> potential [12]. The shape of the wavefunction at distances of the order of  $R_e$  then signi cantly in uences the large-scale behavior and short-range parameters of the interaction potential are required to describe the 3-body system .

In this paper we discuss a 3-body system (""#) containing two identical fermions and belonging to the rst universality class. In the ultra-cold limit we nd universal functions of a and  $m_{n}=m_{\#}$  for the probability of 3body recombination and for the amplitude of atom -dimer scattering. As expected, the recombination to comparatively deep bound states is much slow er than in the Bose case. However, despite the suppression factor of K =", the recombination to a weakly bound s-level (a > 0 and a R<sub>e</sub>) for realistic parameters of a two-component Ferm i gas can be as in portant as in a Bose gas with the same density and scattering length.

In the center of m ass reference frame the state of the 3-body system (" " #) with total energy E is described by the Schrödinger equation

$$r_X^2 = X + \frac{h(x \tan y)}{2^p \pi};$$
 (1)

where  $hy = p \overline{m_{i}}$  is the distance between identical "ferm ions, hx = 2 is the distance between their center of m ass and the #-particle, =  $2m \cdot m_{\#} = (2m \cdot m_{\#})$  $m_{\#}$ ) is the corresponding reduced m ass, and = arctan  $1 + 2m \cdot m_{\#}$ . The vector X = fx;yg describes the rescaled 6D con guration space for the 3-body problem. The potential of interaction between distinguishable particles is V and the interaction between identical ferm ions is om itted.

We assume that the range  $R_e$  of the interatom ic potential V is much smaller than the (positive) scattering length a, which in the rescaled coordinates equals  $1^{=1}$  Therefore, in the 6D space the rhs of Eq.(1) van-

ishes everywhere except in the close vicinity to 3D subspaces  $S_+$  and  $S_-$ , which we de ne parametrically as X (r) = frcos; rsin g, where the 3D vector r is a parameter. Near the subspace  $S_+$  (S) the #-particle is close to one of the "-ferm ions and is separated by the distance r from the other. The distance to the rst "ferm ion we denote by  $r_2$ , which is then a parameter in a 3D subspace orthogonal to  $S_+$  (S). The subspace S diers from  $S_+$  by the permutation of the "-ferm ions y). We thus face a typical boundary-value prob-(v !  $r_{y}^{2}$ lem for the Poisson equation Ε = 0, with aboundary condition given by

$$1 \quad 1 = ( \overset{p}{\neg} \dot{r}_{?} ) + 0 (\dot{r}_{?} ): \qquad (2)$$

Introducing functions  $f_+$  (r) and f (r) we can write a general solution of Eq.(1), which is valid everywhere except the narrow vicinity of  $S_+$  and  $S_-$ :

$$= {}_{0}(X) + {}^{X} {}^{Z} {}_{E}(X) X (r) j f (r) d^{3}r; (3)$$

Here  $_0$  is a solution of the Poisson equation and has no singularities at S<sub>+</sub> or S . We restrict  $_0$  to be nite everywhere and, hence, for E < 0 we have  $_0$  0. The G reen's function G<sub>E</sub> is a solution of Eq.(1) with the rhs  $^{6}$  (X) =  $^{3}$  (x)  $^{3}$  (y) and is given by

$$G_{E}(X) = \begin{cases} 8 \\ < & \frac{E_{K_{2}}(\overset{p}{\underline{E}})}{8p^{\frac{2}{X^{2}}}}; E < 0 \\ : & \frac{iE_{H_{2}}(\underline{E})}{16^{-3}X^{2}}; E > 0 \\ \end{cases} \stackrel{!}{\underline{H} = 0} \frac{1}{4^{-3}X^{4}}:$$

H ere K  $_2$  is an exponentially decaying B essel function and H  $_2$  is a H ankel function representing the outgoing wave.

In order to satisfy the symmetry with respect to permutation of identical fermions we set  $f_+(r) = f(r) = f(r) = f(r)$  and require  $_0(x;y) = _0(x; y)$ . Eqs. (2) and (3) then give the integral equation for the function f(r)

$$\hat{L}_{E} \qquad p_{-+} p_{---} E \quad f(r) = 4 \quad _{0} (X_{+} (r)); \qquad (4)$$

where the herm it ian operator  $\hat{L}_E$  is given by

$$\hat{L}_{E} f(r) = 4 \qquad \begin{array}{c} & Z \\ G_{E} (\dot{r} & r^{0} \dot{j} [f(r) & f(r^{0})] \\ & + G_{E} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} & p \\ \hline r^{2} + r^{0^{2}} & 2rr^{0} \cos 2 \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \dot{f} (r^{0}) \\ f(r^{0}) \\ \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \dot{d}^{3} r^{0} \vdots \end{array} (5)$$

This operator conserves angularm om entum, and we can expand the solution of Eq.(4) in spherical harm onics and deal only with a set of uncoupled integral equations for functions of a single variable r. Eq.(4) is a particular case of the Faddeev equations [13] and in the mom entum representation it was rst obtained in [9] for m  $_{\pi} = m_{\#}$ .

The method presented above allows us to solve the problem of atom -molecule scattering for an arbitrary mass ratio. Let us assume that the relative kinetic energy of a "#-dimer and "-ferm ion is much less than the

dim er binding energy ". The total energy of the system is then negative E " and, consequently,  $_0$  0. As the size of the dim er is much smaller than the inverse relative m om entum, the s-w ave contribution dom inates and Eq.(4) becomes

$$\hat{L}_{"}^{1=0}f(\mathbf{r}) = 0$$
: (6)

Here  $\hat{L}^{l=0}$  is an integral operator which is obtained from  $\hat{L}$  , by integrating over angles.

From Eq.(3) we not that far from the origin (r  $1=\frac{1}{2}$ , and in the region of S the wavefunction

 $r_{1}^{P}$  " $r_{2}$ )=4  $r_{2}$ . Therefore, at these distances f(r)exp( the function f (r) describes the atom -m olecule relative motion and behaves as 1 =r. The atom\_m olecule scatterring length is then given by  $a_m = a^{r} \overline{m} \sin 2$ . The ratio  $a_m = a$  is plotted in Fig.1 as a function of  $m_{\pi} = m_{\#}$ . In the limit of m .= m # 1 one can use the Born-Oppenheim er approxim ation. In this case the heavy "ferm ionsm ove slow ly in a eld produced by the exchange of the fast light #-particle. The adiabatic behavior assum es decom position of the wavefunction into two parts. The rst part describes s-wave scattering of the heavy ferm ions. The motion of the light particle is, therefore, described by a wavefunction antisymm etric with respect 1=<sup>-----</sup>") the to their permutation. At large distances (y e ective interaction has the form of a repulsive Yukawa potentialU (y) =  $\cos (m_{\pi} = m_{\#})2^{P_{\pi}} \exp(2\cos \sqrt{y_{\pi}}) = y.$ The corresponding scattering length is plotted in Fig.1 as a dashed line. We estimate  $a_m = a$ ln (m = m #).




FIG.1. The ratio  $a_m =a$  versus  $m_{*}=m_{\#}$  calculated from Eq.(6) (solid line) and from the Born-Oppenheim er approximation (dashed line).

It can be shown analytically that f(r) r near the origin. The exponent increases monotonically from 1 to 3, with  $m_{\pi}=m_{\#}$  growing from 0 to 1 indicating an e ective repulsion in the system [7]. In the case of  $m_{\pi} = m_{\#}$  we obtain  $a_m = a_{\pi} = 1.2$  (cf. [9]).

We now turn to the problem of 3-body recombination just above the threshold 0 < E ". This process (" + " + # ! " + "#) takes place at interparticle distances comparable with the size of a "#-dim er  $(1^{p}, \overline{\mathbf{n}})$ . Therefore at these distances the shapes of the functions and f are independent of E. The norm alization coe cient, however, depends on the large scale behavior and, therefore, on energy E. We can illustrate this by writing the wavefunction for free motion in the form  $_{0}(x;y) = \sin(k \ y) \exp(iq \ x)$ , where the momenta k and q satisfy the equation  $E = k^{2} + q^{2}$ . At distances comparable with  $1^{p}, \overline{\mathbf{n}}$  the function  $_{0}$  reduces to k y, giving rise to the  $k^{2}$  threshold law for the probability of 3-body recombination [6]. Considering the lim it E ! 0 we keep the term 4 k rsin in the rhs of Eq.(4).

We now search for the solution of Eq.(4) in the form f(r) = f(r)k r=kr, which corresponds to the angular m om entum l= 1. Eq.(4) then transforms to

$$\hat{L}_{0}^{l=1} \stackrel{P_{\overline{u}}}{=} f(r) = 4 \text{ krsin} :$$
 (7)

An explicit form of the operator  $\hat{L}_0^{l=1}$  can be obtained from Eq.(5) by integrating out the angular part of f (r).

The operator  $\hat{L}_0^{l=1}$  has remarkable properties which allow us to solve Eq.(7) analytically. Firstly, for f(r) = r, where is in the region 4 < Re() < 2, the action of the operator reduces to  $\hat{L}_0^{l=1}r = ()r^{-1}$ , and the function () is given by

$$() = \frac{(+2)}{+1} \cot \frac{-2}{2}$$

$$\frac{\cos 2 \cos [(+1)(2 = 2)] + \sin [(2 = 2)]}{(+1) \cos^2 2 \sin 2 \sin (=2)}$$

In the speci ed interval of the function has two roots:  $_0$  and  $_0$  2.W ith m = m  $_{\#}$  increasing from 0 to approxim ately 13:6 the root  $_0$  decreases from 1 to -1 approaching the second root. At larger m ass ratios the roots are com plex conjugates with the realpart equal to -1. Zeros of () are important to understand the behavior off (r) at short distances.

Secondly, any eigenfunction (r) of the operator  $\hat{L}_0^{l=1}$  corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 can be rescaled to generate an eigenfunction corresponding to a new eigenvalue p (for any p > 0)

$$\hat{L}_{0}^{l=1}$$
 (pr) = p (pr): (8)

As has two roots, the spectrum of  $\hat{L}_{0}^{l=1}$  is two-fold degenerate, and for p = 1 there is only two linearly independent eigenfunctions which behave as  $_{1}$  r<sup>o</sup> and  $_{2}$  r<sup>o<sup>2</sup></sup> at small r. The complex exponents  $_{0}$  and  $_{0}$  at larger mass ratios in ply that the function  $_{1} = _{2}$ rapidly oscillates as r! 0 and the E move ect takes place. In both cases we choose two real eigenfunctions which at large distances (r 1) have the asymptotes  $_{1;2}$  (r)  $2\sin(r + _{1;2})=r$ . The phases  $_{1}$  and  $_{2}$  can be determined from a numerical calculation of Eq.(8).

determ ined from a numerical calculation of Eq.(8). The case  $p = \frac{p_{\pi}}{r}$  corresponds to the collision of a molecule with an atom just below the 3-body recombination threshold (E = 0) where the function 0 is zero. Strictly speaking this process is described by the linear combination  $f_{m \ ol}(\mathbf{r}) = A_{-1} \left( \overline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{r} \right) + B_{-2} \left( \overline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{r} \right)$ . The determ ination of the coe cients A and B involves short-range physics, i.e. the know ledge of the 3-body wavefunction at distances of the order of R<sub>e</sub>, and is beyond the scope of this paper. However, the matching procedure in plies that at these distances both terms sin  $f_{m \ ol}(\mathbf{r})$  are of the same order of magnitude, so  $B = A = \left( \overline{\mathbf{r}} R_{e} \right)^{2 \ ot + 2}$ . In the case of  $m_{\pi} = m_{\#} < 13.6$ , the exponent 2  $_{0} + 2$  is real and positive, and at distances r R<sub>e</sub> one has  $f_{m \ ol}(\mathbf{r}) = A_{-1} \left( \overline{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{r} \right)$ . Hence, short-range 3-body param – eters are unnecessary.

Fortunately, the discussed case of  $m_{=}m_{\#} < 13.6$  covers alm ost all practical situations. Using the function  $_{1}$  (r) and the scaling property (8) we can construct a com – plete orthonorm alset of functions  $f_{p}(r) = p_{1}(pr)$ . Com – pleteness follows from the equality  $rf_{p}(r) = p_{1}(pr)$ . Com – pleteness follows from the equality  $rf_{p}(r) = pf_{r}(p)$  and from the normalization condition  $p_{p}^{0}$  fp (r)  $f_{p}^{0}(r)r^{2}dr =$ 2 (p p). The operator  $\hat{L}_{0}^{l=1}$   $p_{m}^{0}$  in Eq.(7) can now be inverted and after some manipulation we obtain

$$f(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{4 \text{ k sin }}{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{r} + (1) + \frac{(0)}{\mathbf{p}} \frac{(1)}{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{(1)}{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\mathbf{p}}{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r}} + \frac{(1)}{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r}} \frac{\mathbf{r}}{\mathbf{r$$

The integral in Eq.(9) is taken as a principal value. The solution f is not singular at short distances. The term s containing r<sup>1</sup>, r<sup>0</sup> and r vanish as expected except in situations where (1), (0) or (1) are equal to 0. At distances r  $1 = \frac{p}{\pi}$  we have f(r)  $r^2$  and the short-range physics does not come into play. For large distances the asymptotic behavior  $1(r) 2 \sin(r + 1) = r$  gives

$$\sum_{0}^{z} \frac{1}{z} \frac{(z)zdz}{p \pi r} = 2 \cos(\frac{p \pi r}{r} + 1) + 0 \frac{p \pi r}{p \pi r} : (10)$$

O by iously, this describes the molecule-atom channel responsible for 3-body recombination. An outgoing wave of the form exp (i  $\overline{\mathbf{n}}_{r}$ )=r describing the dimer and atom ying apart, is obtained by adding a general solution of the hom ogeneous form of Eq.(7), which is proportional to  $f_{m ol}(r)$ , to f(r).

Eqs. (9), (10) and (3) provide the 3-body wavefunction (X) at distances  $1 = \frac{p}{r}$  K j 1 = E, where the inelastic dimeratom channel is well separated from the elastic one, and give the amplitude of recombination. Then for the number of recombination events (" + " + # ! " + "#) per unit time and unit volume in a gas we obtain

$$""_{\#} = "("=")n_{\#}n_{\#}^{2};$$
(11)

where  $n_{\#}$  and  $n_{"}$  are the densities of the ferm ionic com – ponents. The rate constant "("=") is proportional to the average kinetic energy of "-particles", which equals

3T=2 in a nondegenerate gas, and  $3T_F=5$  in a deeply degenerate gas. The coe cient \* is given by

$$= \frac{8^{3}}{3} \frac{h^{5}}{m_{\pi}^{3} n^{2}} ^{2} (1) ^{2} (0) (1) \sin^{2} \tan^{3} : (12)$$

Fig.(2) shows the dependence of the dimensionless quantity  $m_{*}^{3}n^{2}=h^{5}$  on  $m_{*}=m_{*}$ . In the case of  $m_{*}=m_{*}=m$  the quantity  $n_{*}^{2}$  148 $ha^{4}=m$ .




FIG.2. The parameter  $m_{\#}^{3}m_{\#}^{2}=h^{5}$  versus  $m_{\#}=m_{\#}$ .

The absence of 3-body recombination at the points (1) = 0 (m "=m # = 0), (0) = 0 (m "=m # 8:62) and (1) = 0 (m "=m # 13:6) is a purely quantum phenom enon. From Eqs. (9) and (10) we see that for these m ass ratios the free atom channel is decoupled from the m olecular recombination channel and the interparticle interaction leads to elastic scattering only.

The process (# + # + " ! # + #") is described in a similar way. The results are given by Eqs. (11) and (12), where one should interchange the subscripts " and #. In a mixture of two hyper ne components of the same isotope, with equal densities  $n_{\pi} = n_{\#} = n=2$  and  $\overline{m} = \overline{m} = -$ , the total loss rate of particles is

<u>n</u>=n =  $Ln^2$  111 (na<sup>3</sup>)<sup>2</sup> = h: (13)

This formula is valid provided the inequalities  $h^2 = m a^2$   $h^2 = m R_e^2$  are satisfied. In current experiments with  ${}^{40}$ K and  ${}^{6}$ Lione has 15 K, n  $10^{6}$ cm  ${}^{3}$ , and the scattering length can be tuned using Feshbach resonances. For a 280A we calculate the rate constant L  $10^{25}$ cm  ${}^{6}$ =s and the loss rate (13) is  $10 s^1$ .

In a trap with a barrier "tr higher than the kinetic energy of dimers and fast atoms produced in the recombination process ( $h^2 = m a^2$ ), these particles remain trapped and Eq.(13) overestimates the bases. A ctually, the peak bas rate is reached at a nite scattering length  $a \frac{p}{m} m_{tr} = h$ , not for a ! 1. This is consistent with recent observations of particle bases in trapped <sup>6</sup>Li, where a was tuned by a Feshbach resonance [2{5].

W e em phasize the di erence between two-com ponent Ferm igases and Bose gases with respect to inelastic pro-

cesses. Energy dependence of the recombination in Fermi gases leads to decreasing - since particles with com paratively high kinetic energies recombine. However, the degeneracy param eter decreases due to a faster particle loss. Eq.(13) gives an estim ate of the 3-body loss rate even if a R<sub>e</sub> (i.e. deep bound state). In Ferm i gases the a<sup>6</sup> dependence (13) leads to a substantial suppression of the recombination probability compared to the a<sup>4</sup> dependence in Bose gases [14]. Further, in contrast to Bose gases, cold collisions between dimers and atoms have few er inelastic channels. Indeed, there are no weakly bound E mov ""#-states ifm  $_{=}m_{\#} < 13$ :6, and the e ective repulsion between an atom and a dimerat low energies suppresses the relaxation to deeperm olecular states. In the case of a deep trap and large a, where products of 3-body recombination stay trapped, one expects a fast creation of weakly bound molecules which can be further cooled. The lifetime of the gas of these Bose dimers is su cient to observe, for example, a BEC.

W e thank G.V. Shlyapnikov, J.T.M. W alraven, M.A. Baranov, and M.O. Ishanii for fuitful discussions. This work was nancially supported by the Stichting voorFundam enteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM), by INTAS, and by the Russian Foundation for Basic Studies.

- [1] T.Loftus et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 173201 (2002).
- [2] K.Dieckm ann et al, condm at/0207046 (2002).
- [3] S. Jochim et al, physics/0207098 (2002).
- [4] K M O 'H ara et al, condm at/0207717 (2002).
- [5] C. Salom on, private com munication.
- [6] B D. Esry, Chris H. Greene, and H. Suno, Phys. Rev. A 65, 010705 (R) (2001).
- [7] V N. E m ov, Yad. Fiz. 12, 1080 (1970) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 12, 589 (1971)].
- [8] V.E mov, Nucl. Phys. A 210, 157 (1973).
- [9] G.V. Skomiakov and K.A. Ter-Martirosian, Sov. Phys. JETP 4, 648 (1957).
- [10] G .S.D anilov, Sov. Phys. JETP 13, 349 (1961).
- [11] R esonant pair forces can also lead to a 3-body repulsion (see [7]). This is the case for the s-wave scattering of a "-ferm ion on a "#-dim er.
- [12] LD. Landau and EM. Lifshitz, Quantum Mechanics, (Butterworth-Heinem ann, Oxford, 1997).
- [13] L.D. Faddeev, Sov. Phys. JETP 12, 1014 (1961).
- [14] P.O. Fedichev, M W. Reynolds, and G.V. Shlyapnikov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 2921 (1996); E. Nielsen and J.H. Macek, Phys.Rev.Lett.83, 1566 (1999); B.D. Esry, C.H. Greene, and J.P. Burke, Phys.Rev.Lett.83, 1751 (1999); P.F. Bedaque, E. Braaten, and H.-W. Hammer, Phys. Rev.Lett.85, 908 (2000).