

Coupling parameter in synchronization of diluted neural networks

Q i L i¹, Yong Chen^{1;2} and Ying Hai Wang¹¹Department of Physics, Lanzhou University, Gansu, 730000, China²State Key Laboratory of Frozen Soil Engineering, CAREERI, CAS, Lanzhou, 730000, China

We study the critical features of coupling parameter in the synchronization of neural networks with diluted synapses. Based on simulations, the exponential decay form is observed in the extreme case of global coupling among subsystems and fully linking in each network: there exists maximum and minimum of the critical coupling intensity for synchronization in this spatially extended system. For the partial coupling, we present the primary result about the critical coupling fraction for various linking degrees of networks.

Synchronization of coupled complex systems has been an intensively studied subject since the pioneering work of Fujisaka [1] and others [2]. This phenomenon of synchronization is observed in many other fields, such as in neural networks [4], in biological populations [5] and in chemical reactions [6]. Recently, spatially extended system has inspired great interest [7].

Following the series of work contributed by Zanette [7] [8] [9], we consider a simple modified version of the neural network model described in [9]. As a very important ubiquitous factor corresponding to real biotic neural systems, the neural activity and morphology of synaptic connectivity i.e. the dilution of neural networks must be introduced [10]. That is, in natural neural systems, not all the neurons are linked together. So, there is a chance to investigate the critical features of coupling parameter and the function of structural topology in synchronization of extended systems.

We consider a neural network model that consists of N analog neurons $x_i(t) \in [0;1]; i = 1; \dots; N$. Each neuron x_i is connected with other neurons x_j by a random weighted coupling J_{ij} . Obviously, the connecting matrix J is asymmetric and the neural system exhibits complex spatial oscillations. As a simple version of coupled neural system designed by Zanette [9], we use the parallel dynamics for the updating neurons:

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^1(t+1) &= (1 - \eta) h_i^1(t) + \eta [h_i^1(t) + h_i^2(t)] \\ x_i^2(t+1) &= (1 - \eta) h_i^2(t) + \eta [h_i^1(t) + h_i^2(t)] \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here $h_i^k(t)$ is the local field of the i -th neuron and is expressed by

$$h_i^k(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N C_{ij} J_{ij} x_j(t) \quad (2)$$

where $C_{ij} \in [0;1]$ is used to denote the linking status between the i -th neuron and the j -th neuron. The dilution factor C_{ij} is independent identically distributed random variable. It is selected by [11]

$$\text{if } z < d; \text{ then } C_{ij} = 1; \text{ else } C_{ij} = 0$$

where $z \in [0;1]$ is a random number and $d \in [0;1]$ denotes the linking degree of networks. The activation function $\sigma(r)$ is defined as $\sigma(r) = [1 + \tanh(-r)]/2$. In there, $\beta = 1/T$ characterizes a measure of the inverse magnitude of the amount of noise affecting this neuron, acting as the role of reciprocal of temperature in analogy to thermodynamic systems. For convenience, we set $\beta = 10$ through all simulations.

Obviously, the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (1) pictures the total response from its own internal units. The second term expresses the interaction of the summation of the received signals from the neurons with the same position in two networks. The parameter $\eta \in [0;1]$ named as coupling intensity, describes the interaction degree between coupling subsystems. When the intensity $\eta = 1$, it is easy to see that the coupling sub-systems evolve independently. On the other limit case, $\eta = 0$, the coupling subsystems are governed by the same dynamical law and will be synchronized very easily.

For measuring the coherence in the collective activity of the neural systems, a time-dependent important feature $u_i(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N x_k^i(t)$ for each network $i = 1; 2$ is introduced. When the global coupling of two systems is absent, $\eta = 0$,

the $u_i(t)$ will update independently and impossibly get to synchronization since the initial conditions in subsystems are different. On the other hand, the activity signals of two subsystems will be identical if the coupling systems come to be synchronous. Figure 1 (a) shows that the synchronization for this extend system successfully takes place in $t = 275$ for systems with $N = 100$, while the coupling intensity $\sigma = 0.34$ and the linking degree in each subsystem $d = 0.2$.

To show the degree of synchronization in this coupling system, the dispersion of activity patterns is defined as

$$D(t) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^N x_k^i(t) - x_k(t)^2 \quad (3)$$

where $x_k(t) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_k^i(t)$ denotes the average activity of neurons occupying the k -th position in both subsystems at time t . Figure 1 (b) shows that the dispersion with a logarithmic scale evolves in time with the same synchronous conditions in Fig. 1 (a).

It is obvious that the larger coupling intensity σ makes the more easily synchronization arises for the system with the same other parameters. Concomitantly, the case is whether there exists a critical coupling parameter σ_c , and furthermore, whether there exists a dependent relationship between σ_c and the topological structure in subsystems. In fact, since the evolution of networks is sensitive to the varied initial status and the different random connecting weight matrix in system with the same linking degree d , it is impossible to find an identical value of σ_c . However, the fact that the dispersion for these σ_c corresponding to varied initial status and connecting matrices from our simulations is small brings our notice to investigate the qualitative curve of σ_c vs. d .

Figure 2 (a) shows a plot of the critical coupling intensity σ_c versus the linking degree d in subsystems with the size $N = 200$. One can see that the qualitative relation between σ_c and d is close to a sigmoidal curve. The larger d arise, the larger σ_c become. This can be explained that the evolution of subsystems with larger d is more stable and it needs more powerful coupling parameter to drive their evolutions into synchronization. In Fig. 2 (b), we present the plot of simulation with the stepsize of linking degree $d = 0.001$ in the same conditions of Fig. 2 (a). Comparing both plots of simulations, the agreement is excellent for the global tendency of the qualitative behavior of σ_c versus d .

From Fig. 3, it follows that it is more difficult to come into synchronization with the increase of the size of subsystems. It is clear, however, that there exists a homologous asymptotic behavior in the area of larger linking degree. Now, the case is how the limit of coupling intensity depends on varied size of networks. In Fig. 4, we present the plot of σ_c versus $1/N$ for the linking degree $d = 1$ which is identical to the limit case. The form of the limit coupling intensity as a function of the inverse of size of networks calls for a fitting of these data with an exponential decay function

$$\sigma_c = A + B e^{-1/(C/N)} \quad (4)$$

where the constant $A = 0.44 \pm 0.024$, $B = 0.44 \pm 0.020$ and $C = 0.0066 \pm 0.00071$. It follows that the maximal critical coupling intensity corresponding to $N \rightarrow 1$ is set as 0.88 ± 0.044 , and vice versa, the minimal σ_c is 0.44 ± 0.024 if both global connecting subsystems designed by Eq. (1) can be come to synchronization.

Another important topic is the fraction of coupling neurons between two subsystems. The considered coupling system can be viewed as a structure made of two horizontal layers of networks. Apparently, from the definition Eq. (1) of the above investigated systems, the neurons are involved in global vertical coupling interactions between two layers, or the dimensionality of coupling parameter is identical to the size of subsystems. Considering the real physical systems or the potential applications, the coupling interactions must be diluted and modified with time. As a result, the systems defined by Eq. (1) can be redefined as

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^1(t+1) &= (1 - p_1) x_i^1(t) + p_1 [h_i^1(t) + \sigma_i(t) h_i^1(t) + h_i^2(t)] \\ x_i^2(t+1) &= (1 - p_2) x_i^2(t) + p_2 [h_i^2(t) + \sigma_i(t) h_i^2(t) + h_i^1(t)] \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\sigma_i(t) \in [0, 1]$ is a random number with probability $1 - p$ and p , respectively.

For revealing the association between the critical coupling fraction p_c and the coupling intensity σ , the qualitative diagram of p_c versus σ , is shown based on numerical simulations for systems with $N = 100$, $d = 0.5$ (see Figure 5 (a)). It is easy to get an acceptable conclusion that p_c decreases with the increase of σ . Note that the series of turning points corresponding to $p_c = 1$ in Fig. 5 (b) are equivalent to the points in plot of σ_c versus d (cf. Fig. 3).

In addition, another valuable information about the minimal critical fraction for synchronization of this extend system denoted by Eq. (5) can be revealed from another critical point at $\sigma = 1$ in Fig. 5 (a) and Fig. 5 (b). In Fig. (6), the minimal critical coupling probability for various linking degree of subsystem with $N = 400$ is presented. During the evolution of networks, the neuron of each site in both networks updates due to the competing effect of the local rules and the coupling mechanism. It is clear that the region above the curve is the synchronization part, while

the lower part is desynchronization, thus the curve embodies a competing relationship between local correlation and stochastic coupling. It is possible to give the minimal critical coupling fraction for various linking degree in synchronization of this extended system with $N \rightarrow 1$, which is more analogous to the case of real biotic systems, through analyzing curves of p_c vs. d for various size of subsystem. However, considering our computational device, the more intensive and detail work is left out in there.

In this paper, we have studied the critical features of coupling parameter in the synchronization of neural networks for various structural topology. We obtain the exponential decay form in the case of global coupling among subsystems and fully linking in each network. We find that it exists the maximal and minimal critical coupling intensity for synchronization in this extended systems. For the case of partial coupling, a primary result about the critical coupling fraction for various linking degrees of networks is shown. Considering the definition of our model is analogous to coupled map lattice, it is easy to generalize the present work to other extended systems, such as coupled ordinary differential equations and partial differential equations.

This work was supported by the Doctoral Research Foundation awarded by Lanzhou University and Innovation Project of CAS with Grant No. kzcxl-09. We wish to thank Prof. H. Zhao for the most constructive and fruitful discussions.

-
- [1] H. Fujisaka, Prog. Theor. Phys. 69, 32 (1983)
 - [2] L. M. Pecora and T. L. Carroll, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 821 (1990); E. Ott, C. Grebogi, and J. A. Yorke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1196 (1990)
 - [3] H. Fujisaka and T. Yamada, Prog. Theor. Phys. 70, 1264 (1983)
 - [4] H. D. I. Abarbanel, et al, phys. usp. 39, 391 (1996)
 - [5] A. T. Winfree, The geometry of biological time (Springer, Berlin, 1980)
 - [6] N. Khrustova, G. Vesper, A. Mikhailov, and R. Imbühl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3564 (1995)
 - [7] L. G. Morelli and D. H. Zanette, Phys. Rev. E 58, R8 (1998)
 - [8] L. G. Morelli and D. H. Zanette, Phys. Rev. E 63, 036204-1 (2001)
 - [9] D. H. Zanette and A. S. Mikhailov, Phys. Rev. E 58, 872 (1998)
 - [10] K. Kitano and T. Aoyagi, Phys. Rev. E 57, 5914 (1998)
 - [11] Y. Chen, Y. H. Wang and K. Q. Yang, Int. J. Mod. Phys. C 11, 33 (2000)
 - [12] A. Amengual, E. Hernandez-Garcia, R. Montagne, and M. San Miguel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 4379 (1997)

FIG. 1. The synchronization of diluted networks with $N = 100$, $\sigma = 0.34$ and $d = 0.2$. (a) The evolution of time-dependent activity of both subsystems comes into synchronization at $t = 275$. (b) logarithm of dispersion of both networks.

FIG. 2. The simulations of relationship between the critical coupling parameter σ_c and the linking degree d with $N = 200$, corresponding to stepsize of linking degree (a) $\Delta d = 0.05$ and (b) $\Delta d = 0.001$.

FIG. 3. The qualitative relationship of σ_c versus d for varied size of networks N .

FIG. 4. The plot of σ_c versus $1/N$ for varied size of networks in the limit case $d = 1$. The exponential decay fitted curve of this relationship is shown by a dotted line.

FIG. 5. The synchronization diagram of minimal coupling probability p_c versus the corresponding coupling intensity σ , (a) for systems with size $N = 100$, $d = 0.5$ (b) for systems with varied linking degree d and $N = 100$ in the forms of qualitative curves.

FIG. 6. The qualitative relationship of p_c versus d with the subsystem size $N = 400$.




fig. 1a




fig. 1b




fig. 2a




fig. 2b




fig. 3




fig. 4




fig. 5a




fig. 5b

