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W e propose a protocolto createm axim ally entangled pairs, triplets, quartiles, and other clusters ofB ose condensed atom s starting from a condensate in the $M$ ott insulator state. T he essential elem ent is to drive single atom $R$ am an transitions using laser pulses. O ur schem e is sim ple, e cient, and can be readily applied to the recent experim entalsystem as reported by $G$ reiner et al. [ $N$ ature 413, 44 (2002)].
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The physics of quantum degenerate atom ic gases continues in its rapid pace of developm ent, and rem ains one of the $m$ ost active research areas in recent years [1]1]. Increasingly, theoretical and experim ental attentions are directed tow ards the underlying quantum correlation properties of the condensed atom s . It seem s likely that such quantum states ofm atterm ight prove to be a fertile ground for exploring quantum inform ation science applications.

R ecently, a quantum phase transition w as observed in a system of B ose condensed atom s im m ersed in a periodic array of optical potentials $\overline{2}]$. As expected, when expressed in the sim ple B ose $H$ ubbard form [3] $]$ state of such a system is controlled by essentially two param eters: 1) the on-site atom-atom interaction $u$ for atom $s$ in the sam e spatialm ode ofeach individualoptical well; and 2) the nearest neighboring well (single) atom tunnelling rate $J$ (taken aspositive). W hen $J \quad j \dot{j}$ the condensate ground state is in the usualsuper uid (delocalized single atom ) state. On the other hand, a M ott insulator state arrives in the opposite lim it juj J. In a M ott state, atom s are localized inside individual wells. $T$ he condensate ground state takes the form of a product of Fock states w ith an integer num ber of atom $s$ on each site. The transition from super uid to M ott insulator is predicted to occur at jujJ z 2:6 w ith $z$ the num ber of nearest neighbors in the periodic w ell lattice $\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 4\end{array} \frac{1}{1}\right]$.

The experim ental system that yielded the rist clear dem onstration of the super uid/M ott-insulator transition enables individual tuning of the values for both $J$ and $u$ [2]. In the experim ent, the average occupations per well was around 1-3 atom s, which could potentially form elem entary building blocks for atom ic qubit based quantum com puting designs [12]

In this paper, we propose to create $m$ assive $m$ axim um entangled pairs, triplets, quartiles, and other clusters of Bose condensed atom $s$ in a $M$ ott insulator state. The resulting entanglem ent, w th respect to electronic
intemal states, is stable and long lived. In the experin ent [2] $\left.{ }^{1}\right]{ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ atom s in the $m$ agnetic trapping state $\dot{\operatorname{aj}} \mathrm{i}=\overline{\mathrm{F}}=2 ; \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{F}}=2 \mathrm{i}$ were used. O ther intemal states can be trapped in the sam e experim entalsetup. In the sim ple $m$ odel to be presented below, a second internal state bi that can be coupled to jai through atom ic Ram an transitions is assum ed $\overline{\mathrm{Z}}$ ] (as see earlier JILA experim ents w ith ${ }^{87}$ Rb states $F=2 ; \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{F}}=1 \mathrm{i}$ and $\left.F=1 ; \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{F}}=1 \mathrm{i}\left[\overline{5}_{-1}^{\prime}\right]\right)$.

In a $M$ ott state, the system dynam ics is rather sim ple as there exists a xed (sm all) num ber of atom $s$ w ith in each well. Ifwe use the second quantized operators a ( $a^{Y}$ ) and $b\left(b^{y}\right)$ for atom $s$ in the tw o intemalstates, the e ective H am iltonian for each well can be expressed as [[6]

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=u J_{z}^{2}+J_{y}: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second term denotes the single atom R am an coupling due to extemal laser elds with a (real) e ective Rabi frequency (t) []$\left._{1}\right]$. The angularm om entum operators are the Schw inger representation in term s of the tw o boson m odes

$$
\begin{align*}
& J_{x}=\frac{1}{2}\left(b^{y} a+a^{y} b\right) ; \\
& J_{y}=\frac{i}{2}\left(b^{y} a \quad a^{y} b\right) ; \\
& J_{z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(b^{y} b \quad a^{y} a\right): \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

In the context of SU (2) coherent states of an atom ic ensemble, these operators have been used extensively for discussing spin squeezing and other properties of multiatom nonclassical states $[\underline{0}[1][1]$ In particular, as was studied by M olm er and Sorensen [12], an interaction of the type $u J_{x}^{2}$ generates a maxim um entangled $N-G H Z$ state [13]] starting from all atom $s$ in state jai or jbi. This has led to the recent creation of a 4-ion $m$ axim um entangled state [14].

Before we discuss our proposal, we sum $m$ arize the dynam ic generation of a m axim um entangled state from the $u J_{x}^{2}$ interaction. For sim plicity, we assum $e N$ is even. A $m$ axim um entangled $N-G H Z$ state can be written as [12]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { JGHZ } i_{N}=P_{\overline{2}}^{1} \quad e^{i}{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \frac{\mathrm{~b}^{\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{N}}}}{\overline{\mathrm{~N}!}}+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}} \frac{\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{yN}^{\mathrm{N}}}}{\mathrm{P}!} \quad \mathrm{j} 0 \mathrm{i} \\
& =\frac{1}{2^{\frac{N}{2}}{ }^{P}} \overline{N!}{ }_{m=\frac{N}{2}}^{X^{\frac{N}{2}}} C_{N}^{\frac{N}{2}+m} d^{y \frac{N}{2}+m} c^{y^{\frac{N}{2}} m} \\
& {\left[e^{i b}+e^{i a}(1)^{\frac{N}{2}} \mathrm{~m}\right] 0 \mathrm{Di} ;} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where new bosonic operators $d=c=(b \quad a)=\frac{p}{p^{2}}$ w ere introduced along w ith its inverse $\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{a}=(\mathrm{d} \quad \mathrm{c})=\overline{2} . \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{M}}$ is the binom ial coe cient. Startipg from all atom $s$ in state jai, i.e. $w$ th $j(0) i=a^{y N} j 0 i=\bar{N}$ !. The state at timet due to a $u J_{x}^{2}$ interaction alone is

$$
\begin{align*}
j(t) i= & \frac{1}{2^{\frac{N}{2}}{ }^{P} \overline{N!}}{ }_{m=}^{\frac{N}{X^{2}}} C_{N}^{\frac{N}{2}+m} d^{y^{\frac{N}{2}+m}} c^{y^{\frac{N}{2}} m} \\
& e^{\text {iutm }}{ }^{2}(1)^{\frac{N}{2} \quad m} j 0 i ; \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here use has been $m$ ade of $J_{x}=\left(d^{y} d \quad\right.$ éc $)=2$. To $w$ ithin an overallphase factor $j$ ( )i jGHZ it $u=$ $(2 \mathrm{k}+1)=2 \mathrm{w}$ th the shortest time being $==(2 j \mathrm{j})$. Sim ilarly, starting from state $b^{y \mathrm{~N}}$ _ji i w ill also arrive at a $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{GHZ}$ when $\mathrm{u}=(2 \mathrm{k}+1)=2$ [15].

H ow could interaction (1, ) be tumed into the required $J_{x}^{2}$ form ? Our key observation is that the single atom $R a m$ an coupling $J_{y}$ generates nothing but a rotation along the $y$-axis. Therefore, we can e ectively rotate the $J_{z}^{2}$ term into a $J_{x}^{2}$ term. A sim ilar suggestion was m ade recently by Jaksch et. al. [1] ] in order to tune the overall condensate interaction strength to zero (or SU (2) sym $m$ etric).

W e therefore suggest operating in a three step protocol in the lim it when $j$ j $N j j$ j:

1) A pply a $=2$ pulse $\left({ }^{0}\right)=\begin{array}{cl}R & 0 \\ 0 & \text { ( }) \text { )dt }=\end{array}=2$ (of spin $1 / 2$ ). D uring this stage the nonlinear interaction can be neglected (because j j $\mathrm{N} j \mathrm{j} j$.
2) W ait for a tim e $j u j=2$.
3) C om plete the process by applying a $=2$ pulse $w$ ith
$\left({ }^{0}\right)==2$ [e.g. by arranging for ! or by waiting for a $3=2$ pulse as in 1)].
$T$ hese three steps generate the follow ing e ective evohution,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}\left(2^{0}+\quad\right) \quad \mathrm{e}^{\dot{i} \overline{2}_{y}} e^{\mathrm{i} \overline{2} J_{z}^{2}} e^{\mathrm{i} \overline{2} J_{y}}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}-J_{x}^{2}} ; \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}$ is rotated by $=2$ into $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2}$. From a w ide range of num erical sim ulations, we nd that $N$ - G H Z states w th extrem ely high delities are realized when j juj 50 for (up to 4 atom s).

W hile the above schem e works well, it is inherently rather slow. In a tw o com ponent condensate as assum ed, we denote the 3 relevant scattering lengths as $a_{a \mathrm{a}}$, $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ab}}$, and $a_{b b}$, and assum e that mptional ground state to be
$000(x)=\exp \left[r^{2}=\left(4 a_{h}^{2}\right)\right]=\left(\overline{2} a_{h}\right)^{3=2}$ of a spherically sym $m$ etric harm on ic trap $V(x)=M!{ }_{t}^{2} r^{2}=2$, we nd

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\left(a_{a a}+a_{b b} \quad 2 a_{a b}\right) \frac{2 h^{2}}{M} \frac{1}{\left(2^{p-}-a_{h}\right)^{3}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith $a_{h}=P \overline{h=2 M!_{t}}$ the ground state size. For ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$, $u$ is very sm all as $a_{a a} \quad a_{a b} \quad a_{b b}$. When ! $t$ (2 )30 (kHz) as realized in [2] ${ }_{2}$ ], juj (2 )20 (Hz) if $\left(a_{a a}+a_{b b} \quad 2 a_{a b}\right)$ is of the order of one A ngstrom (A). It takes approxim ately $10(\mathrm{~m}$ s) to realize a GHZ state,
i.e. in a tim e signi cantly shorter than the lifetim es from both the two-body dipolar $>6$ (s)] and the three-body inelastic collision $>200(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s})]$ losses w ith less than ve atom $s$ in each well [171].

A nother serious experim entalconcem is that collisions can populate Zeem en states other than jai or pi. For $m$ ost system $s$, this depopulation also occurs on the tim e scale of $1=j u j$. It is therefore im portant to include the fiull m anifold of atom ic intemal states. To this end, we consider a spinor-1 condensate of ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ atom s in its ground state $\mathrm{F}=1 \mathrm{~m}$ anifold as realized in the rst all optical condensate [1d]. If $a_{M_{F}}$ denotes the bosonic annihilation operator of state $F=1 ; \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{F}}=+; 0$; $\quad$, the ground state $H$ am iltonian within each wellbecom es

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{0} & =u\left(L^{2} \quad 2 N\right) \\
& =u\left(a_{+}^{y} a_{+}^{y} a_{+} a_{+}+a^{y} a^{y} a a\right. \\
& +2 a_{+}^{y} a_{0}^{y} a_{+} a_{0}+2 a^{y} a_{0}^{y} a a_{0} \quad 2 a_{+}^{y} a^{y} a_{+} a \\
& \left.+2 a_{0}^{y} a_{0}^{y} a_{+} a+2 a_{+}^{y} a^{y} a_{0} a_{0}\right) ; \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

w th angular m om entum type operators [10 [121]

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{+}=P^{2}\left(a_{+}^{Y} a_{0}+a_{0}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{a}\right) ; \quad \mathrm{L}=L_{+}^{\mathrm{Y}} ; \\
& L_{\mathrm{z}}=a_{+}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{a}_{+} \quad a^{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a} ; \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

and number of atom $s$ in the well $N=a_{+}^{Y} a_{+}+a_{0}^{Y} a_{0}+$ $a^{y} a$. A though $L^{2}$ seem $S S U$ (2) sym $m$ etric, it is not because $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{y}}$, and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{z}}$ are not genuine angularm om ent operators (for spin -1 atom s); they do not satisfy the $C$ asim ir
 multi -atom intemal state correlations continue to arise dynam ically w ith $\mathrm{H}^{0}$ and the addition of single atom Ra $m$ an couplings of the type i ( $a^{y}$ a $\left.\quad a^{y} a\right)=2$. Unfortunately, we have not been able to solve for the com bined dynam ics analytically even for a sm all num ber of atom $s$. It is also not apparent how to num erically investigate strategies for creating a $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{GHZ}$ state in this case.


FIG.1. Solutions of $t_{m}$ and as given by the cross points of the two fam ilies of curves $\left(u t_{m}\right)=(2 k+1)$ (for $\mathrm{k}=0 ; 1 ; 2 ; 3 ; 4$ ) and $\overline{1+4(=\mathrm{u})^{2}}\left(\mathrm{ut}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)=2 \mathrm{~m} \quad$ (for $\mathrm{m}=1 ; 10 ; 30 ; 50 ; 100)$.

Looking back on the two m ode model [1]) discussed earlier, we realize that, w ith a constant, a state w ith
two atom s in itially in pi develops into a 2 -G HZ state within a time of $=j u j$. Speci cally, we nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& C_{11}(t)=P \overline{D^{\sim}} \sim \\
& C^{i \frac{u}{2} t} \sin \sim t ; \\
& C_{20}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{i}{4} e^{i} e^{i \frac{u}{2} t} \sin \sim t+\frac{1}{2} e^{i \frac{u}{2} t} \cos ^{\sim} t_{;}  \tag{9}\\
& C_{02}(t)=\frac{1}{2}+i \frac{u}{4^{\sim}} e^{i \frac{u}{2} t} \sin \sim t \quad \frac{1}{2} e^{i \frac{u}{2} t} \cos ^{\sim} t_{;}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ ith $\sim=p \overline{u^{2}+4^{2}}=2$ for the coe cients of state vector expansion

$$
\begin{align*}
j(t) i= & C_{20}(t) \frac{1}{2} b^{y 2} j 0 ; 0 i+C_{02}(t) \frac{1}{2} a^{y^{2}} j 0 ; 0 i \\
& +C_{11}(t) b^{y} a^{y}-j ; 0 i: \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above Eq. $(\underset{\underline{1}}{\mathbf{q}})$, we have om itted a com $m$ on phase factore iut. $C$ learly, $C_{11}(t)=0$ occurs at

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{\sim} t_{t_{n}}=p \overline{1+4(=u)^{2}}\left(u t_{n}\right)=2 m \quad: \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

 $1=\overline{2}$. This occurs at $u t_{m}=(2 k+1) \quad$ since $C_{20=02}\left(t_{m}\right)=$ [1 $\left.\quad e^{j u t_{m}=2}(1)^{m}\right]=2$. W hen $j=u j \quad 1$ both conditions can be satis ed at di erent values of and as shown in F ig. ' $\overline{1}_{1}$. The shortest tim e for a $2-\mathrm{GHZ}$ is then $=j \mu j$.

B ased on this observation, we explored num erically the dynam ics of the $H$ am iltonian $H=u\left(L^{2} \quad 2 N\right)+$ i ( $a^{y}$ a a a $)=2$ assum ing a constant and all atom $s$ initially in the j+i state. As expected, we discovered that $m$ axim ally entangled states continue to be generated at times $\quad=j$ jifor $N=2 ; 3 ; 4$.
For $N=2$, we nd that we get a 2 -GHZ state $\left(a_{+}^{y^{2}}+e^{i} a^{y 2}=0 ;\right) j 0 ; 0 ; 0 i=2 w$ th either a $R$ am an drive
+0 or + . a controllable phase shift. T he 2-G H Z state occurs at tim es of $(2 k+1)=j u j(=0)$ or $(2 \mathrm{k}+1)=4 j \mathrm{j} j(=\quad$ ) and also tim es shifted by a sm all multiples of $=j+j$ (when $j+j \quad j u)$ in their immediate neighborhoods. T he state delities are alw ays very high as long as $k$ is not too large.

For $\mathrm{N}=3$, only the + drive seem s to create a 3GHZ state / ( $\left.a_{+}^{Y 3}+e^{i} a^{y 3}\right) j 0 ; 0 ; 0 i$ at tim es di ering from
$(2 k+1)=4 j u j b y$ smallmultiples of $=j+j$. M axim um correlated atom ic ensembles in states $j+i$ and $j i$ were previously predicted to occur due to elastic collisions for a initial condensate in state j0i [ $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} \overline{5}_{-1}^{1}\right]$.

For $N=4$, we nd that again only the + drive allow s for a simple identi cation of a 4-G HZ state / ( $\mathrm{a}_{4}^{4}+$ $\left.e^{i} a^{y^{4}}\right) j 0 ; 0 ; 0 i$, which also occurs at $\quad(2 k+1)=4 j u j$ and values shifted by a sm all multiples of $=j+j$ in its neighborhood. Thus at $t \quad=4 j u j$ atom s in wells w th $\mathrm{N}=2$ and 4 are both m axim um entangled as illustrated in $F$ ig.
$+=(2) 30(\mathrm{kHz})$ and $\mathrm{u}=(2) 0 \cdot 25(\mathrm{kHz}) . \mathrm{W}$ e note that their respective values are not im portant exœept that they scale inversely $w$ th the required tim $e$. $W$ hat seem $s$
to be important is to assure that $j+=u j \quad 100$ for up to 4 -atom $s$ to achieve a high delity m axim um entangled state.


FIG . 2. The tw o oscillating lines are respectively the probabilities for all atom $s$ in state $j+i$ or $j i$. Top panel is for $N=4$, while the bottom one is for $N=2$ atom $s$. The vertical dot-dashed line is at $t=0: 5$ ( m s ).

In conclusion, we have presented a sim ple and e cient protocol for tuming a $M$ ott insulator condensate of ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ atom $s$ in the ground state $F=1 \mathrm{~m}$ anifold into a source for $m$ axim ally entangled atom ic chusters. O ur protocol is reliable and accessible w ith current technologies $\left.{ }_{2}^{\prime}\right]$. It produces $m$ axim um entangled quantum states of Bosecondensed atom swith high delity. The only notioeable draw back seem sto be due to the fact that for ${ }^{87} \mathrm{Rb}$ atom s , $u /\left(a_{2} \quad a_{b}\right)$, i.e. the di erence of scattering lengths for the two symmetric channels $w$ ith total spin 0 and 2. N evertheless, inelastic decay processes are essentially negligible because all spin states of the atom ic ground state m anifold are inchuded P Furtherm ore, the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{GHZ}$ state $\left(j+i{ }^{N}+e^{i} j \quad i^{N}\right)=\overline{2}$ is stable against elastic collisions, which are required to conserve the total $M_{F}$, i.e. atom $s$ in $j+i$ (or $j$ i) state rem ain in the sam e state after collisions. T hus the slow dynam ics is perhaps not a m a jor course of concem. O ther atom ic species (e.g. $\mathrm{F}=$ 1 m anifold of ${ }^{23} \mathrm{~N}$ a $\left[{ }_{2}^{2} \overline{3}\right]$ ] m ay provider large values ofu. In Ref. [24], a quantum ${ }^{2}$ logic operation betw een tw o atom $s$ (one each in tw o neighboring wells) was proposed that uses the much stronger (by two orders of $m$ agnitudes) interaction / $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{ab}}$. Application of this in a M ott state (w ith one atom perwell) produces G H Z states on a faster tim e scale, although it requiresm ore com plicated intemal state dependent optical trapping.

Finally, a condensate in a $M$ ott state contains $m$ any individualw ells w ith identical num ber of atom $s[\underline{Z}]$. This $m$ akes the experim ental detection of the entanglem ent (for atom $s$ w thin each well) relatively easy. O ne can perform the usual parity-type m easurem ent with Ramsey's oscillatory elds technique [i]4] (again) by driving the single atom R am an transition so quickly that collision
e ects are negligible. A ll wells w ith the sam e num ber of atom $s$ thus contribute to the detected signal. Generalizations of our protocol to m ore than 4 -atom s and other related results w ill be published elsew here.
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