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A bstract
Talk given at the conference on Inhom ogeneous R andom System s' at the U niversity of C ergy P ontoise, France, (23 January 2001).

I describe the classic circle-packing problem on a sphere, and the analytic and num erical approaches that have been used to study it. I then present a very sim ple $M$ arkov-chain $M$ onte $C$ arlo algorithm, which succeeds in nding the best solutions known today. The behavior of the algorithm is put into the context of the statistical physics of glasses.
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$W$ hat is the $m$ in im al radius $R_{N}$ of a sphere allow ing $N$ non-overlapping circles of radius $r=\frac{1}{2}$ to be draw $n$ on its surface? In a classic paper, Schutte and van der $W$ aerden [1] reduced th is problem to a study of planar graphs: A s the sphere hasm in $\dot{m}$ al radius, $m$ any circles are blocked, i.e. they cannot $m$ ove. These circles $m$ ake up the $M \quad N$ vertices of the graph. If tw o circles are in (blocking) contact, they are connected by an edge.

Schutte and van der W aerden considered all graphs w ith M 12 vertices. Som e of these graphs can correspond to a blocked con guration of circles on a sphere of radius $R$, with $R=m$ in $_{\text {graphs }} R$. They were able to determ ine $R_{N}$ for $N \quad$ 12. For this latter case $N=12$, e.g., the centers of
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Figure 1: For N $=13$ spheres, $1<\frac{R}{d}=\frac{R+1}{2}$ as proven by Schutte and van der $W$ aerden. $T$ his $m$ eans that 13 identical spheres cannot be placed on top of another one, of sam e size.
the circles are located at the vertioes of an ioosahedron of side length 1 , and lie on a sphere of radius

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{12}=\frac{\mathrm{P} \frac{\mathrm{P}}{10+2^{\overline{5}}}}{4}=0: 951::: \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Schutte and van der $W$ aerden $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[2]}\end{array}\right]$ were later able to prove that $R>1$ for $\mathrm{N}>12$. This result (cf gure ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{I}}$ ) im plies that 13 spheres of radius 1 cannot be placed on top of another sphere of the sam e size, and solves a packing problem discussed at least since the time of $N$ ew ton. The best currently know $n$ packing of spheres for $N=13$ concems spheres of radius 1 on top of another sphere of radius $1: 0911:::$. T he corresponding circle arrangem ent is shown in gure ' 2 '.', and is alm ost certainly optim al. Today, it should be easy to enum erate planar graphs on a com puter, and to prove this con jecture.

$F$ igure 2: Best know $n$ arrangem ent of 13 circles of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ (on the surface of a sphere of radius $1: 04557:::$ ). A s a consequence, 13 spheres of radius 1 can be placed on the surface of a central sphere of radius r 1:0911::: .

The problem of packing disks on the surface of a sphere has appeared repeatedly in the literature, as there are in portant applications in $m$ athe-
$m$ atics and the natural sciences. $H$ undreds of papers have been written on th is sub ject (for a partial list of references cfe.g. []l]), $m$ ost of them im proving upper bounds on $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}$ in the range of $13<\mathrm{N}, 100$. Only for $\mathrm{N}=24$ has a new optim al solution been proven [i্র].

The problem of $N$ non-overlapping disks on a minim al sphere can be reform ulated as a m inim ization problem of the potential energy of N particles $i=1 ;::: ; N$ on the surface of the sphere of radius 1 w ith a tw o-body interaction $\overline{\text { pr }}$, 'ig

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\sum_{i=1 j=i+1}^{\mathbb{X}^{1} X^{N}}{\frac{C}{r_{i j}}}_{p}^{p} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $r_{i j}$ is the E uclidean distance betw een circles $i$ and $j$. Therefore, custom ary $m$ inim ization program $s$ (such as the $N$ ew ton $R$ aphson $m$ ethod) can be applied to nd local - and hopefully global - m inim a for ever increasing values of $p$. In our rst units (circles of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ on a sphere of radius $R$ ), the $m$ in $\dot{m}$ al radius $R_{N}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{N}=\frac{1}{m \dot{\mathrm{n}}_{\mathrm{ij}} \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{ij}}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the lim it p ! 1 .
C urrent records were set by K ottw itz [ [9], who used values of $p$ in the astonishing range of $p=80$ to $p=1310720$. R unning such a soph isticated N ew ton R aphson program is a delicate task as one has to avoid getting trapped in localm inim a of the potential energy. N evertheless, the strategy proved to be successful, as a large num ber of new values for $R_{N}$ were found, and as these values have so far stood the test of tim e.

Recently, I have reconsidered the non-overlapping circle problem from the view point of $M$ arkov-chain $M$ onte $C$ arlo algorithm s . Instead of searching for the $m$ inim um of a regularized energy, I $m$ ove circles during a large num ber of tim e steps $t=1 ;::: ; \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ax . At time t , a single, random ly chosen circle $i$ is $m$ oved a tiny bit into a random direction. Speci cally, let us denote the position of $i$ at tim e by $\Re_{i}$, and let the displacem ent vector be ${ }^{{ }^{r}} \mathrm{r}=(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{z})$ with G aussian random num bers x etc. that have zero $m$ ean and variance ${ }^{2} 1$. Then the follow ing $m$ ove is considered

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}!x_{i}^{0}=\frac{x_{i}+{ }^{{ }^{2}}{ }_{r} R}{\mathfrak{x}_{i}+{ }^{{ }^{\prime}} \mathfrak{r} j} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the $m$ ove can be perform ed w ithout violating the constraints, it is accepted, and rejected otherw ise. This procedure im plem ents a standard bcalM etropolis algorithm for a constant stationary probability distribution:

A ny non-overlapping con guration of circles should be visited $w$ ith the sam $e$ probability.

In addition to perform ing the $M$ etropolis algorithm at xed R, I also im plem ented the \sim ulated annealing" procedure [iq]: Every so often, the radius of the sphere is reduced by a very sm all am ount, if this is possible w thout introducing overlaps betw een particles [iq]

Ihave found that this sim ple algorithm reproduces the best results know $n$ up to date (cf [i]) for $N=5::: 90$, typically $w$ ithin a few $m$ inutes of com puter tim e [1010].
$T$ his observation $m$ ay be of practical interest, as the $M$ onte $C$ arlo algorithm is much simpler than the previous approaches. H ow ever, it also underlines the quality of the bounds obtained by $K$ ottw itz, which I have been able to reach, but not to im prove on.

In physicalterm $s$, the graph giving $R_{N}$ is the ground state of the system (which does not have to be unique: for $\mathrm{N}=15$, e.g., two best solutions are known [ $\overline{6}]$ ). Follow ing Stillinger and $W$ eber [ $[1] 1]$ lill all the other graphs that can be put on the surface of a sphere (w ith larger radii $R^{r}>R_{N}$ ) m ay be called inherent structures'. These are the con gurations that the sim ulated annealing algorithm m ay get trapped in. If the M onte C arlo algorithm is trapped in an inherent structure at radius $\mathrm{R}^{\kappa}$, it could by continuity also get trapped by the sam e inherent structure at a slightly larger radius $R^{0>} R$. $T$ his $m$ eans that, in the strict sense, the $M$ onte $C$ arlo algorithm is nonergodic at least for all $R^{0}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\text { graphs }}{\max } \mathrm{R}^{r}>\mathrm{R}^{0}>\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{N}}: \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this and sim ilar system $s$, very liltle is know n rigorously about the num ber of inherent structures as a function of radius (their density of states), and enum eration $m$ ethods at $s m$ all $N$ should be very helpful. For physicalapplications, the therm odynam ic $\lim$ 进 $N!1$ is of prim e interest. In this lim it, $\left(R^{0} \quad R^{r}\right)=R^{\Upsilon}$ certainly goes to zero. This m eans that strict ergodicity (on tim escales that diverge w ith N ) is reinstalled in the therm odynam ic lim it. P recise understanding of this com plicated them odynam ic lim it is lacking.

If, in contrast, the sim ulated annealing algorithm got trapped in a nonoptim al state (w ith $R$ clearly larger than $R_{N}$ ) w ith probability close to one, we would say that the physical system of disks on the surface of a sphere had a glassy phase. Such a phase seem sto be absent form onodisperse circles. O ur ndings agree very wellw ith what hasbeen found in $m$ onodisperse hard disk and hard sphere system s on two-and three-dim ensional tori [12

In contrast, there is strong num erical evidence that polydisperse hard disks can be glassy: in a system of hard disks of varying radii on a torus [1른, a com parable localM onte C arlo algorithm alw ays gets trapped at nite density. A gain, it is fundam entally unclear how this observation carries over into the them odynam ic lim it. Em pirically, di usion tim es of particles seem to grow w ithout bounds at a well-de ned, nite density.

In these two-dim ensional system $s$, it has been found $[\overline{1} \overline{2}]$ that other M onte Carlo dynam ics do not su er the sam e slow-down as the local algorithm. T hese $m$ ethods also provide strong indications that the glass transition in these two-dim ensional models is a purely dynam ic phenom enon, which does not $m$ anifest itself in equilibrium averages over the stationary probability distribution.
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