M agnetic Order and Dynam ics in an Orbitally Degenerate Ferrom agnetic Insulator

C.U lrich¹, G.K haliullin¹, S.O kam oto^{1;2}, M.R eehuis³, A.Ivanov⁴, H.He¹, Y.Taguchf⁵, Y.Tokura⁵, and B.K eim er¹

¹M ax{P lanck{Institut fur Festkorperforschung, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany ² Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN), Saitam a 351-0198, Japan

³ Hahn {Meitner{Institut, 14109 Berlin, Germany

⁴ Institut Laue{Langevin, 156X, 38042 G renoble, France

⁵ Department of Applied Physics, University of Tokyo, 113 Tokyo, Japan (March 22, 2024)

Abstract

Neutron scattering was used to determ ine the spin structure and the magnon spectrum of the M ott{H ubbard insulator Y T iO $_3$. The magnetic structure is complex, comprising substantial G-type and A-type antiferrom agnetic components in addition to the predom inant ferrom agnetic component. The magnon spectrum, on the other hand, is gapless and nearly isotropic. We show that these indings are inconsistent with the orbitally ordered states thus far proposed for Y T iO $_3$ and discuss general implications for a theoretical description of exchange interactions in orbitally degenerate systems.

The interplay between spin and orbital degrees of freedom in transition metal oxides has been a subject of investigation since the 1950's. As one of the salient outcomes of this e ort, the \G oodenough-K anamori rules" provide a description of the exchange interactions between magnetic atoms, and hence the magnetic ordering pattern, in terms of the relative orientation of valence orbitals on neighboring lattice sites. This eld has recently moved back into the center of attention [1], as advances in materials preparation have made it possible to investigate not only the static spin and orbital arrangements, but also the spin and orbital dynamics in d-electron systems. In cubic manganites, the focus of much of the recent attention, the spin wave excitations have been studied extensively [2], and excitations associated with the d-orbital degrees of freedom have recently also been detected [3].

The cubic crystal eld in the perovskite structure splits the degenerate d-orbitalm anifold of a free transition m etal ion into a lower-lying triplet of t2g symmetry and a higher-lying eq doublet. In them anganites, where the eq doublet is partially occupied, coupling to the lattice through the Jahn-Teller e ect lifts the orbital degeneracy and generally pushes the orbital excitations to energies much larger than the magnon band width. The spin and orbital dynam ics are thus largely decoupled, and quantum e ects are suppressed. For t_{2g} orbitals, on the other hand, the higher degeneracy and the more isotropic, less bond-directional charge distribution reduces the lattice coupling, and one may expect a more dramatic interplay between the orbital and the spin dynam ics. The manifestations of this interplay should be m ost apparent for a single d-electron in the t_{2q} m anifold, a situation realized in the pseudocubic titanates. Indeed, the magnetic properties of the M ott-H ubbard insulator LaT iO $_3$ are di cult to reconcile with predictions based on the standard Goodenough-Kanamori rules. The G-type antiferrom agnetic ground state [4] of LaT iO $_3$ is in con ict with the predictions of all electronic structure calculations thus far reported [5,6]. Further, its ordered moment is smaller and its spin wave spectrum is more isotropic than predicted by conventional superexchange models [7].

The theoretical implications of the unusual magnetic properties of LaT iO $_3$ have remained controversial. The theories thus far proposed invoke disparate except sanging from a subtle,

hitherto unobserved lattice distortion [8] to a novel spin-orbital resonance [9,10]. In order to extend the empirical basis for these model calculations, we have investigated the microscopic magnetic properties of YTiO₃, a sister compound of LaTiO₃ whose larger 0-TiO bond angle results in a reduced electronic band width and an increased Mott-Hubbard gap [11,12]. In contrast to LaTiO₃, the ferrom agnetic ground state of YTiO₃ (Ref. [13]) is in accord with electronic structure calculations [5,6]. We have used neutron direction to show that the full magnetic structure of YTiO₃, while predominantly ferrom agnetic, is actually noncollinear and hence more complex than previously assumed. Further, an inelastic neutron scattering study reveals a magnon spectrum that cannot be explained in terms of the orbital ordering patterns thus far proposed. We discuss our results in the light of current theories of superexchange in the presence of orbital degeneracy.

The sam ple was an untwinned single crystal of volume 0.4 cm 3 grown by the oating zone technique as described elsewhere [11]. The neutron scattering experiments were performed at the IN 22 triple (axis spectrometer at the Institut Laue (Langevin in Grenoble, France, and at the E5 four (circle di ractometer at the BER-II reactor of the Hahn (Meitner Institute in Berlin, Germany. For the measurements on IN 22, a pyrolytic graphite (PG) monochromator and a PG (analyzer, both horizontally collimating, were used. Depending on kinematic constraints and resolution requirements, the nalwavevector was xed at 1.64A 1 , 2.66A 1 or 4.10A 1 . On E5, neutron wavelengths 2.36A or 0.902A were selected by a PG or a Cu monochromator, respectively, and the data were collected with a two (dimensional position sensitive 3 He (detector.

A set of 1359 structural B ragg re ections taken on E 5 [14] could be re ned with the orthorhom bic space group P nm a and room temperature lattice parameters a = 5:3584(9) A, b = 5:6956(8) A and c = 7:6371(11) A, in agreement with previous reports [4,15]. In order to simplify the comparison to model calculations, we sometimes use the pseudocubic (c) unit cell with lattice parameters $a^0 = a = \frac{p}{2}$, $b^0 = b = \frac{p}{2}$, and $c^0 = c = 2$ instead of the full orthorhom bic (o) cell. Our data provide no indication of a structural phase transition below room temperature.

The presence of magnetic intensity could be established from the temperature dependence of the primitive Bragg re ections at low momentum transfer, some of which show a spontaneous increase in intensity below $T_C = 27 \, \text{K}$ (Fig. 1). The re ections (2, 0, 0), (0, 2, 0), (1, 1, 0), and (1, 1, 2), show the strongest magnetic intensities, indicating a predom inantly ferrom agnetic coupling of the Tim om ents as previously reported [4]. In addition, however, magnetic B ragg reections were also observed at (0, 1, 1), (1, 0, 1), (0, 0, 1), and (0, 0, 3), (Fig. 1b). This indicates the presence of hitherto unobserved staggered components of the ordered moment. A full analysis of the magnetic di raction pattern at T = 10 K gives the following components of the ordered moment per T i^{3+} ion: a ferrom agnetic com ponent of 0:544(11) B along c; a G-type antiferrom agnetic com ponent of 0:082 (12) $_{\rm B}$ along a; and an A-type antiferrom agnetic component of 0:047 (13) $_{\rm B}$ along b. In agreem ent with Bertaut's representation analysis [16], the magnetic structure can thus be described by the basis functions G_x , A_y and F_z [17]. A pictorial representation is given in the inset to Fig. 1. The total magnetic moment at 10 K is 0:553(11) $_{\rm B}$ =T i^{3+} {ion. Since the magnetic B ragg peak intensity is not saturated at 10 K, this extrapolates to 0.715 B at T = 0, somewhat lower than the saturated moment of 0:84 $_{\rm B}$ previously reported for sam ples with proportionally higher Curie tem peratures [18].

We now turn to the determ ination of the spin wave spectrum by inelastic neutron scattering. Fig. 2 shows representative constant {q scans at $T=5\,K$ and 50 K, that is, above and below T_C . As shown in the gure, magnons can be distinguished from phonons on the basis of their temperature dependence. While the former become overdamped above T_C , the latter only show a slight intensity change due to the thermal population factor. Since the lineshape of the observed features is strongly in unneed by the spectrometer resolution, a deconvolution is required to accurately extract the spin wave peak positions. We have used both the standard Cooper{N athans procedure and a M onte Carlo ray {tracing routine [19]. Some of the resulting proless are shown in Fig. 2.

The inelastic neutron scattering data are sum marized in Fig. 3. Some features of the spectrum are immediately apparent. First, the spectrum is gapless: by high resolution

constant-q scans at the zone center we have obtained an upper bound of $0.3\,\mathrm{m}$ eV on the spin wave gap. Second, the spectrum is almost isotropic: a surprisingly good—t can be obtained by an isotropic Heisenberg model which gives a dispersion of the form—h! = $65\,\mathrm{jJ}\,\mathrm{j}(1-\mathrm{q})$ with $_{\mathrm{q}} = \frac{1}{3}\,(\cos(q_{k}a^{0}) + \cos(q_{k}b^{0}) + \cos(q_{k}b^{0}))$ and S = 1=2. The best—t of the spectrum is obtained by a nearest-neighbor superexchange parameter $J = 3.0\,\mathrm{m}\,\mathrm{eV}$, corresponding [20] to $T_{\mathrm{C}} = [1.455\,(S+1) - 0.18)]\mathrm{jJ}\,\dot{j} + k_{\mathrm{B}} = 32\,\mathrm{K}$, which agrees well with the experimental value. A small systematic deviation from the result of this—t is noticeable, albeit within the experimental error bars (Fig. 3). The—t can be improved by introducing either a subtle (6%) dierence between the exchange parameters in the ab-plane and along the c-axis, or by incorporating a small exchange anisotropy that is also required to explain the noncollinear spin structure. Speci—cally, the leading anisotropy term in the spin H am iltonian of the titanates is of cubic symmetry [10] and modi es the magnon dispersion as follows:

$$h! = \frac{q}{3j!j(1-q) + A(1-\cos(q_x a^0))} - \frac{q}{3j!j(1-q) + A(1-\cos(q_y b^0))}; (1)$$

where A is the anisotropy parameter and = 0.093A $^2=jJj$ is the spin wave gap. The best t gives A = 0.8 m eV and J = 2.75 m eV so that = 0.02 m eV, consistent with the experimental upper bound. The cubic anisotropy also induces spin canting in a pattern identical to the tilting pattern of the TiO $_6$ octahedra in the P nm a structure. This is indeed the dominant component of the observed canting pattern (Fig. 1). An isotropic H eisenberg H am iltonian with a small exchange anisotropy thus provides a good description of the magnetic ground state and dynamics.

While the neutron scattering data can be tted by single, resolution \lim ited proles over most of the Brillouin zone (Fig. 2a), the proles near the $\frac{1}{2};\frac{1}{2};\frac{1}{2}$) point, where the magnon crosses an optical phonon branch at 19 meV, are broader than the instrumental resolution (Fig. 2b). The same elective broadening was also observed in the spin-ip channel of a polarized-beam experiment. The best overall to fithe constant-Q scans near the zone boundary is obtained by two-peak proles corresponding to two sharp but nondegenerate magnons (Fig. 2b). The splitting of the magnon degeneracy probably originates from a

hybridization of the magnon with the optical phonon. Magnon-phonon hybridization has been observed in other transition metal compounds and was attributed to a modulation of the crystal eld potential by the phonon [21]. However, as the two peaks are not completely resolved, other origins (such as a nite magnon lifetime) cannot be ruled out entirely at present.

Leaving these subtleties aside, we now discuss the overall features of the magnon spectrum in terms of the orbitally ordered state predicted by band structure calculations [5,6] and reported to be consistent with NMR [22] and neutron [23] form factor data. In this state, the d-electron occupies the following orbitals on the four inequivalent Ti sites of the P nm a structure [5,6]:

$$j \ i_{1;3} = c_1 \ j d_{yz} i \ c_2 \ j d_{xy} i;$$

$$j \ i_{2;4} = c_1 \ j d_{xz} i \ c_2 \ j d_{xy} i;$$
(2)

U sing the superexchange Ham iltonian given in Ref. [10] and the above wave functions, the expectation values of the c-axis and in-plane exchange couplings are:

$$J_{c} = J_{SE} [(r_{1} + r_{2}r_{3}) (1 n_{xy}) (r_{1} r_{2})] (1 n_{xy}) = 2;$$

$$J_{ab} = J_{SE} [(r_{1} + r_{2}r_{3})n_{xy}^{2} (r_{1} r_{2}) (1 + n_{xy}) = 2] = 2;$$
(3)

Here, $J_{SE}=4t^2$ =U (where t stands for the Ti-Tihopping parameter and the Kanamori parameter U for the Coulomb repulsion on the same t_{2g} orbital) represents the overall superexchange energy scale, and $n_{xy}=c_2^2$ is the fraction of the d_{xy} orbital controlling the orbital state. The coe-cients $r_1=1$ =(1-3), $r_2=1$ =(1-) and $r_3=1$ =(1+2) originate from the Hund's rule splitting of the excited t_{2g}^2 multiplet by $t_{2g}=t_{2g}^2$. The parameters $t_{2g}=t_{2g}=t_{2g}=t_{2g}$ and control the exchange couplings along the di-erent axes and their ratio, as shown in Fig. 4.

In principle, one may obtain isotropic exchange parameters (that is, $J_c = J_{ab}$) of ferromagnetic sign [24] by using an orbital state with n_{xy} 0:6 (close to the value reported in

Ref. [23]). However, this requires a large, unrealistic value of the H und coupling. For representative values of J_H 0:64 eV and U $\frac{20}{9}J_H$ 4 eV, [5] one can estimate 0:12 which is inconsistent with isotropic, ferrom agnetic exchange parameters (Fig. 4). Moreover, an unphysical ne tuning of the orbital state is required to obtain a spin H am iltonian of cubic symmetry. Because of the high sensitivity of the spin interactions to the orbital state, even a small (5%) change of n_{xy} leads to a strong spatial anisotropy of the coupling constants and may even reverse their relative sign (inset in Fig. 4).

An explanation of the small spin wave gap 0:3 meV presents a further serious di culty for the conventional Goodenough-K anam oripicture. The T iO $_6$ octahedra of Y T iO $_3$ are elongated, leaving four almost equal TiO bonds [4,14,15]. To a rst approximation, the crystal-eld ground state should therefore be a quadruplet with substantial unquenched orbital angular m om entum and a large m agnon gap. U sing the wave functions of Eq. 2 with param eters that result in $J_c = J_{ab}$ $0.1J_{\text{SE}}$, we have obtained a quantitative estim ate of ' J_{SE} (=D) 2 for the gap due to the sym m etric exchange anisotropy, where 15 20 meV is the spin-orbit coupling constant and D is the crystal-eld splitting of the two lowestlying K ram ers doublets. In addition, the D zyaloshinskii-M oriya interaction cants the spins by an angle $(J_{SE}=3jJ)$ (=D) away from the c-axis and further increases the gap by iJ j 2 . To be consistent with the experimentally determined canting angle and upper bound for the gap, D must be a least 200 m eV which is hard to reconcile with the tetragonal crystal eld environm ent of the Tit ion.

These considerations in ply the need to go beyond the standard G oodenough-K anam ori approach in order to arrive at a theoretical description of the nearly isotropic m agnon spectrum of Y T iO₃. While the experimental situation [22,23] precludes an orbitally disordered state such as the one proposed for LaT iO₃, [9,10] quantum zero-point uctuations in the orbital sector naturally lead to magnon spectra with diminished spatial and spin anisotropies even if orbital order is not entirely obliterated. This has been substantiated in a recent explicit model calculation [25].

In sum m ary, we have shown that the m agnon dispersions of Y T iO_3 are an extremely sen-

sitive gauge of the orbital state. The m easured dispersions are inconsistent with the orbitally ordered states thus far proposed and point to the importance of orbital zero-point uctuations that go qualitatively beyond the conventional G oodenough-K anam oripicture. Y T iO $_3$ is an excellent model system for a full quantum many body theory of the superexchange interaction in orbitally degenerate systems, an interesting subject of future research.

REFERENCES

- [1] For a recent review, see Y. Tokura and N. Nagaosa, Science 288, 462 (2000).
- [2] See, e.g., J.W. Lynn et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 4046 (1996); F. Moussa et al., Phys. Rev. B 54, 15149 (1996); K. Hirota et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65, 3736 (1996).
- [3] M . Saitoh et al., Nature 410, 180 (2001).
- [4] D. A. MacLean, H. N. N. g., and J.E. Greedan, J. Solid State Chem. 30, 35 (1979).
- [5] T.M izokawa and A.Fujim ori, Phys. Rev. B 51, 12880 (1995); ibid. 54, 5368 (1996); T. M izokawa, D.I.Khom skii, and G.A. Sawatzky, ibid. 60, 7309 (1999).
- [6] H. Sawada and K. Terakura, Phys. Rev. B 58, 6831 (1998).
- [7] B.Keim er et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3946 (2000).
- [8] M. Mochizuki and M. Imada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70, 2872 (2001).
- [9] G.Khaliullin and S.Maekawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 3950 (2000).
- [10] G.Khaliullin, Phys. Rev. B 64, 212405 (2001).
- [11] Y. Taguchi, Y. Tokura, T. Arima, and F. Inaba, Phys. Rev. B 48, 511 (1993).
- [12] Y.Okimoto, T.Katsufuji, Y.Okada, T.Arima, and Y.Tokura, Phys. Rev. B 51, 9581 (1995).
- [13] D A.McLean, K. Seto and J.E. Greedan, J. Sol. State Chem. 40, 241 (1981).
- [14] C. Ulrich, M. Reehuis, unpublished data.
- [15] V G. Zubkov, IF. Berger, A M. Artam onova, and G. V. Bazuev, Sov. Phys. Crystallogr. 29, 296 (1984).
- [16] E.F. Bertaut, Acta Cryst. A 24, 217 (1968).
- [17] As our data agree very well with an analysis based on spin canting alone, we have not

- considered e ects arising from a possible alternation of the magnetic form factor due to orbital order, as discussed in Ref. [23].
- [18] M. Tsubota et al., Physica B 281 & 282, 622 (2000).
- [19] J. Kulda, Program RESTRAX (private communication).
- [20] G. S. Rushbrooke et al. in Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, edited by C. Domb and M. S. Green (A cademic, New York, 1974).
- [21] See, e.g., S.W. Lovesey, J. Phys. C 5, 2769 (1972).
- [22] M. Itoh, M. Tsichiya, H. Tanaka, and K. Motoya, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 68, 2783 (1999).
- [23] J. Akim itsu et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 70, 3475 (2001).
- [24] S. Ishihara, T. Hatakeyama, and S. Maekawa, Phys. Rev. B 65, 064442 (2002).
- [25] G.K haliullin and S.O kam oto, Phys. Rev. Lett., following paper.




FIG.1. (a) Ferrom agnetic and (b) G -type antiferrom agnetic components of the ordered magnetic m om ent of YT iO $_3$ extracted from the amplitudes of the $(0;2;0)_{\circ}$ and $(0;1;1)_{\circ}$ magnetic B ragg reactions, respectively. A weakly temperature dependent nuclear contribution to both reactions has been subtracted. The inset gives a pictorial representation of the magnetic structure. The TiO $_6$ octahedra are highlighted.




FIG. 2. Representative constant-q scans with (a) $q = (\frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{4}; 0)_c$ and (b) $q = (\frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2}; \frac{1}{2})_c$ at 5 K (< T_C) and 50 K (> T_C). At 5 K both phonons and sharp magnons are present. The magnons become overdam ped above T_C . The lines are results of a convolution of a magnon cross section with the spectrom eter resolution function, as discussed in the text.




FIG. 3. Spin wave dispersion relations at T=5~K. The closed symbols refer to magnetic excitations, whereas the open symbols can be identified as phonons. The grey points were extracted from scans at low temperatures, without a corresponding scan above T_C , so that an unambiguous identification is not yet possible. The solid line is the magnon dispersion relations derived from an isotropic Heisenberg model. The dashed line is the same model augmented by a spin anisotropy as discussed in the text.




FIG.4. Exchange constants (in units of J_{SE}) as a function of the H und coupling—for di-erent values of the parameter n_{xy} characterizing the orbital state. The inset shows the ratio of in-plane and out-of-plane exchange couplings for xed—as a function of n_{xy} .