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#### Abstract

C harge and spin-orderings in the $\frac{1}{4}$ - lled organic CT solids are of strong interest, especially in view of their possible relations to organic superconductivity. W e show that the charge order ( CO ) in both 1D and 2D CT solids is of the ...1100... type, in contradiction to m ean eld prediction of ... $1010 \ldots \mathrm{CO}$. W e present detailed com putations for $m$ etal-insulator and $m$ agnetic insulator-insulator transitions in the $\pm T \mathrm{~m}$ aterials. Com plete agreem ent w ith experim ents in several system sis found. Sim ilar com parisonsbetw een theory and experim ents in TCNQ,TMTTF, TM TSF, and ET m aterials prove the ubiquity of this phenom enon.
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G round states involving spatially inhom ogeneous charge distributions have in recent years been observed in a w ide range of novel electronic solids. In the case of the $\frac{1}{4}-$ led band organic charge-transfersolids (C T S), 立 hasbeen suggested that the appearance of charge-order ( CO ) m ay be related to superconductivity (SC) [1,2]. Two possible CO pattems have been suggested in 1D system s, corresponding to \... 1010 ..." and \... 1100 ..." site occupancies (see Figs. 1 (a) and (b)). H ere $1^{\prime}$ and $V^{\prime}$ correspond to actual electron or hole densities $0.5+$ and $0.5-$, respectively. The ... $1010 \ldots$ CO corresponds to a $4 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}$ CDW, where $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}=2 \mathrm{a}$ is the Ferm iwavevector for non-interacting electrons. In the presence of strong nearest-neighbor $(n-n)$ C oulom b repulsion $V$, the obvious preferred state is ...1010... [3]. H ow ever, this CO can occur only above a V > V Cr (see below). Furthem ore, it is not clear that this CO can explain the full T -dependent behavior of the $\frac{1}{4}-$ lled band CTS. $T$ he ... $1100 \ldots$ Co pattem occurs for $V<V_{c r}$, and is a consequence of cooperative electron-electron (e-e) and electron-phonon (e-ph) interactions [1]. $T$ his cooperation $m$ ay be the key elem ent behind the unconventionalSC in the CTS.
(a)

(c)


Fig.1. (a) ...1100... C O state. F illed (em pty) circles correspond to sites with $m$ ore (less) charge. Lines indicate bond order strength. (b) ...1010... C o state below spin $-P$ eierls transition (c) $T$ he BCSDW in weakly 2D.

H erew exam ine the theoreticaland experim ental evidence for both ...1010... and ...1100 ... co in the quasi-1D, weakly 2D, and isotropic 2D lattioes. $W$ e conclude that $w$ ith the possible exception of (TM T TF) $)_{2} \mathrm{X}$, in all cases the pattem of the CO is .. 1100 ...Further theoreticaland experim ental work is necessary to understand (TMTTF) 2 X com pletely. A second im portant issue we exam ine is the relative tem peratures at which CO,m etalinsulator (MI), and $m$ agnetic transitions occur.

In 1D, we consider the P eierlsextended $H$ ubbard


Fig.2. (a) Schem atic structure of the $-(\mathrm{E} T)_{2} \mathrm{X}$ conducting layers, consisting of the ET cationic m olecules. Solid lines correspond to stronger hopping $t_{p}$, dashed lines to weaker hopping $t_{c}$. (b) vertical stripe, (c) diagonal stripe, (d) horizontal stripe. Filled (un lled) circles correspond to m olecular sites w ith greater (sm aller) charge density
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { Ham iltonian, } H=H_{0}+H_{\text {ee }} \text {, where } \\
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\begin{aligned}
& H_{e e}=U \quad n_{j} n_{j \#}+V \quad n_{j} n_{j+1} \\
& \text { j } \\
& \text { j }
\end{aligned}
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In $\mathrm{H}_{0}$, and inter-and on-sitee-ph couplingsand $K_{1}, K_{2}$ the corresponding spring constants. $T$ he displacem ent ofm olecular sites from equilibrium is $u_{j}$, and the on-site phonon coordinate is $\mathrm{v}_{j} . \mathrm{U}$ and $V$ are on-site and $n-n C$ oulom b repulsions.

It is wellknow $n$ that for $=0$, the ... $1010 \ldots$ state occurs only for $V$ larger than som e critical value, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cr}}$. For V < $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{cr}}$, the Luttinger liquid state occurs, which becom es the ... 1100 ... state for nonzero or [1]. In the $\lim$ it $U$ ! $1, V_{c r}=2 t_{0}$, and for nite $U, V_{c r}>2 t_{0}[4,5]$. C onsequently, for realistic $U$, only for system $\mathrm{s} w$ th the sm allest to there exists a narrow range of $V$ for which the ...1010... is a real possibility [6]. Since precise estim ation of $V$ is di cult, an altemate approach to determ ine the CO pattem is to exam ine the bond distortion pattem below the spin-Peierls (SP) transition [6]. A SP transition w thin the ...1100 ...C C hasbond pattem SW SW ${ }^{0}$ ( $\mathrm{S}=$ strong, $\mathrm{W}=$ weak, $\mathrm{W}^{0} \mathrm{~W}$; see Fig. 1 (a)), while the bond pattem for the SP phase with ...1010... CO is SSW W [6]. Experim entalobservations of SW SW ${ }^{0}$ in MEM (TCNQ) 2 and other 1D $1: 2 \mathrm{TCNQ}$ system $s[7,8]$ provide direct evidence for ... $1100 \ldots$ in the $1: 2$ anionic CTS. In (TMTTF) $)_{2} \mathrm{X}$ w ith sm aller $t_{0}$ (larger $\mathrm{V}=\mathrm{t}_{0}$ ) CO appears below the M I transi-
tion and the situation is $m$ ore am biguous. $T$ here exists currently no inform ation on the bond distortion pattem in the SP phase of (TMTTF) ${ }_{2}$ X. It has been suggested that there exists a phase boundary between the CO and SP phases in (TMTTF) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{X}$ [9]. This m ight indicate a sw itching from ...1010... at high T to ...1100... at low T [10].

In the (TM TSF) 2 X m aterials, CO coexists w th SDW order of the same $\left(2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$ periodicity [11], which indicates the coexisting bond-charge-spin density wave ( BCSDW ) state ( F ig. 1 ( C ) ) that occurs within a weakly 2D model consisting of 1D chains with weak interchain interactions t? [1]. Evidence for the BCSDW (w ith very weak mo$m$ ent) is also seen in $-(E T)_{2} X$ [1].

W e next consider 2D -(E T ) lattices (see Fig. 2). C O here corresponds to \stripe" pattems show $n$ in Fig. 2 [12]. In the lim it of $=\quad=0$ the 2D Ham iltonian includesw eak and strong hoppings $t_{c}$ and $t_{p}$ (see Fig 2 (a)) as well as C oulom b interactions $U$, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}}$, and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}$. For realistic system $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{p}}=\mathrm{V}$, and the classical energies of all three stripes in $F$ ig 2 are equal. W ithin H artree Fock (HF) theory [12], the vertical stripe state is found to dom inate.

W e have perform ed exact diagonalization studies for a 16-site -lattice w ith 8 electrons, periodic in all directions (see Fig. 4). Instead of explicitly including the e-ph interactions as in 1D, we follow our previously establisped procedure [1] and add a site energy com ponent $i_{i} n_{i}$, where $i$ isnegative (positive) for the $I^{\prime}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ sites. $T$ his is equivalent to including , w ith $x e d v_{j}$. In $F$ ig. 3 weplot the energy gained upon stripe form ation, $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}$ ( $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ $0) E(i \notin)$ for the all three stripes against $V$, for $U=0.7 \mathrm{eV}, t_{c}=\left\{0.01 \mathrm{eV}\right.$, and $t_{p}=0.14 \mathrm{eV}$, for $j_{i} j=0.01 \mathrm{eV} . \mathrm{We}$ nd that the vertical stripe is never the ground state and the horizontal stripe dom inates for allV $>0.18 \mathrm{eV}$. Im portantly, while the verticaland diagonalstripes are ... 1010 ...along tw o of the three directions, the horizontal stripe is ...1100 ... along the both p directions (see Fig. 2) .
W e also calculate the charge densities $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{j}}$ and bond orders, $b_{i j} \quad h\left(c_{i}^{y} c_{j}+c_{j}^{y} c_{i}\right) i$. The bond orders are spontaneously distorted for the horizontalstripe state in spite of uniform $t_{c}$ and $t_{p}$. $T$ his im plies spontaneous c-direction dim erization and $p$-direction tetram erization for any $=0^{+}$ (see Fig. 4). N o such bond distortions are found in the vertical or diagonal stripes.

The above ground state calculations are relevant only at low tem perature. E xperim entally, C O at high T ( 190 K ) [13], and a spin gap at lower T (< 50K) [14] have been observed. Transitions at nite tem perature are determ ined not by the ground stateenergy, but by the freeenergy.A t tem -


Fig. 3. The energy gained upon stripe form ation, for the three stripe pattems off ig.2.ForV $>0.18 \mathrm{eV}$, the ground state has the horizontal stripe CO .
peratures $\mathrm{T} \quad \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{I}$, the excitations of a strongly correlated system are predom inantly spin excitations. B ecause of the greater multiplicities of high spin states, the free energy at high $T$ (but below $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{M} \mathrm{I}}$ ) is dom inated by high spin states. W e therefore perform sim ilar calculations of $n_{j}$ and $b_{i j}$ for the ferrom agnetic (FM) spin con guration in the horizontal stripe (total spin $S=S_{m}$ ax $=4$ ). The bond dim erization in the c-direction persists even here, although the $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{bonds}$ are dim erized and not tetram erized. $T$ he interpretation of the $S=0$ and the $S=S_{m}$ ax calculations, taken together, is as follow s.As T is low ered, form ation of the horizontal stripe CO occurs as soon as charge excitations are too high in energy and the free energy is dom inated by high spin states. The CO is accom panied by c-axis bond dim erization, in com plete agree$m$ ent $w$ th experim ents [14]. At still low er $T$, high $S$ states are therm ally inaccessible and the free energy is dom inated by the $S=0$ state, where there is a second transition, also in agreem ent w ith experim ents. $W$ e believe that the second transition involves tetram erization of the $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{b}$ onds. W e have argued elsew here [15] that this change in the bond distortion pattem from dim erization to tetram erization is accom panied by a spin gap [15]. W e note that from analysis of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR lineshapes Ch iba et. al. have concluded that the CO in $-(\mathbb{T})$ corresponds to the horizontal stripe [16].

In conclusion, the dom inant CO pattem in the $\frac{1}{4}$ - lled band C T S appears to be ... $1100 \ldots$. . D irect evidence for this exists in the 1:2 TCNQ (SW SW ${ }^{0}$ bonds below the SP transition), (TMTSF) X ( $m$ ixed CDW -SDW with same periodicities for CDW and SDW), and -(ET) X (c-axis bond dim erization at $T_{c} \circ$ and the occurrence oftw $\circ$ distinct transitions). Further experim ental work, in particular elucidation of the bond distortion pat-


Fig. 4. The periodic 16 site lattice investigated for all three stripe C O orders. Filled (open) circles indicate large (sm all) charge density and num bers are bond orders. See text for param eters. N ote the bond dim erization along the c-direction and the bond tetram erization along the p -directions.
tem below $\mathrm{T}_{\text {sp }}$ would be necessary to determ ine the CO in (TMTTF) $2 \mathrm{X} . \mathrm{M}$ ost im portantly, the occurrence of the ... $1100 \ldots \mathrm{CO}$ is a signature of CO operative e-e and e-ph interactions and this raises the interesting possibility that the unconventional SC in CTS is a consequence of this cooperation.
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