A unifying model for chemical and colloidal gels

Em anuela Del Gado, ^{a,c}, Annalisa Fierro, ^{a,c}, Lucilla de Arcangelis, ^{b,c} and Antonio Coniglio ^{a,c}

^a Dipartim ento di Scienze Fisiche, Universita di Napoli "Federico II",

Com plesso Universitario di Monte Sant'Angelo, via Cintia 80126 Napoli, Italy

^b Dipartim ento di Ingegneria dell'Informazione, Seconda Universita di Napoli, via Roma 29, 81031 Aversa (Caserta), Italy

^c INFM Udr di Napoli and Gruppo coordinato SUN

(April 4, 2003)

We investigate the slow dynamics in gelling systems by means of M onteCarlo simulations on the cubic lattice of a minimal statistical mechanics model. By opportunely varying some model parameter we are able to describe a crossover from the chemical gelation behaviour to dynamics more typical of colloidal systems. The results suggest a novel connection linking classical gelation, as originally described by F lory, to more recent results on colloidal systems. PACS: 0520.-y, 82.70 G g, 83.10 N n

The chemical gelation transition, as it is typically observed in polymer systems, transforms a solution of polymeric molecules, the sol, from a viscous uid to an elastic disordered solid, the gel. The viscosity coe cient grows with a power law behaviour, as function of the relative di erence from the critical polymer concentration, characterized by a critical exponent k. The onset of the elastic response in the system, as function of the same control param eter, displays a power law increasing of the elastic modulus described by a critical exponent f [1]. This corresponds to the constitution inside the solofam acroscopic polym eric structure [2], that characterizes the gel phase. As implicitly suggested in the work of Flory and Stockm ayer [2], the percolation model is considered as the basic model for the chemical gelation transition and the macromolecular stress-bearing structure in these system s is a percolating network [3{5].

M oreover slow dynam ics characterize the gelling solution: the relaxation functions in the experim ents display a long time stretched exponential decay $e^{\left(\frac{t}{0}\right)}$ as the gelation threshold is approached. The relaxation process becomes critically slow at the gelpoint, where the onset of a power law decay is typically observed [6].

Gelation phenomena are also observed in colloidal systems, that are suspensions of mesoscopic particles interacting via short range attraction: due to aggregation phenomena at low density (colloidal gelation) these systems display gel states with a power law behaviour of the viscosity coe cient and of the elastic modulus [7], as in them ical gelation. Yet the aggregation process gives rise to cluster-cluster aggregation producing a spanning cluster with a fractal dimensionality smaller than the random percolation case [8{11}. On the other hand with a weaker attraction at higher density a gelation charac-

terized by a glass-like kinetic arrest [12,13] m ay be observed. The relaxation patterns closely recall the ones observed in glassy systems and are well—tted by the mode-coupling theory [14] predictions for supercooled liquids approaching the glass transition [13]. On the theoretical side the application of the mode-coupling theory to systems with short range attractive interaction [15{17}] (attractive glasses) has been recently considered and the connection with the colloidal glass transition has been proposed.

The question, that we want to investigate in this paper, is whether and to what extent colloidal gelation, colloidal glass transition and chemical gelation are related and if a unifying description is possible.

Let us rst consider the case of the chem ical gelation. By means of M onte C arlo numerical simulations on the cubic lattice we study a solution of tetrafunctional monomers. Each monomer occupies a lattice elementary cell and, to take into account the excluded volume interaction, two occupied cells cannot have common sites. At t = 0 we

occupied cells cannot have common sites. At t = 0 we of present monomers respect to the x the fraction maximum number allowed on the lattice, and random ly quench bonds between them. This actually corresponds to the typical chemical gelation process that can be obtained by irradiating the monomeric solution. The four possible bonds per monomers, randomly selected, are formed with probability po along lattice directions between monomers that are nearest neighbours and next nearest neighbours. Once formed, the bonds are perm anent. The monomers di use on the lattice via random localm ovem ents and the bond length m ay vary but not be larger than lo according to bond- uctuation dynam ics [20]. The value of l_0 is determined by the self-avoiding walk condition and on the cubic lattice is $l_0 = 10$ in lattice spacing units. We let the monomers di use to reach the stationary state and then study the system for di erent values of the monomers concentration. We have considered $p_b = 1$, for which the system presents a percolation transition at $_{\rm c}$ = 0:718 0:005. We have introduced this lattice model [18,19] to study the viscosity by means of the relaxation time, that diverges as function of at the percolation threshold with a power law behaviour [18]. The elastic response in the gelphase has been studied by means of the uctuations in the free energy and grows with a power law behaviour as well as c) [19]. In this letter instead we present function of (

a completely new study of the dynamics in gelation phemomena.

To investigate the nature of the dynam ic transition at the chem ical gelation here we study the equilibrium density uctuation autocorrelation functions f_e(t) given by

$$f_{q}(t) = \frac{\langle q(t+t^{0}) q(t^{0}) \rangle}{\langle jq(t^{0}) j \rangle^{2}}$$
(1)

where $_{q}$ (t) = $_{i=1}^{P}$ e $_{i=1}^{iq}$ e $_{i}^{iq}$ $_{i}$ (t) is the position of the i th m onom er at time t, N is the number of monom ers and the average h:::i is performed over the time t $_{i}^{0}$. Due to the periodic boundary conditions the values of the wave vector q on the cubic lattice are $q = \frac{2}{L}$ (n_{x} ; n_{y} ; n_{z}) with n_{x} ; n_{y} ; $n_{z} = 1$:::L=2 integer values.

We also study them can square displacement of the particles $h {\it r}^2$ (t) $i=\frac{1}{N} \stackrel{P}{=} _{i=1}^N h({\it r}_i\,(t+\,t^0) - {\it r}_i\,(t^0))^2\,i$.

In Fig.1 we present these time autocorrelation functions as function of the time calculated on a cubic lattice of size L=16. The data have been averaged over 10 up to 10^5 time intervals and over 20 dierent initial congurations of the sample. As the monomer concentration

approaches the percolation threshold $_{c}$, f_{a} (t) displays a long time decay well tted by a stretched exponential law $e^{(t=)}$ with a 0:30 0:05. At the percolation threshold the onset of a power law decay is observed as it is shown by the double logarithm ic plot of Fig.1 with an exponent c [6]. As the monomer concentration is increased above the percolation threshold in the gelphase, the long time power law decay of the relaxation functions can be tted with a decreasing exponent c, varying from 1: at $_{\rm c}$ to c 0.2 well above $_{\rm c}$, where a nearly logarithm ic decay appears. This behaviour well agrees with the one observed in gelling systems investigated in the experiments of refs. [6]. It is interesting to notice that this kind of decay with a stretched exponential and a power law rem inds the relaxation behaviour found in spin-glasses [21].

The mean square displacement of the particles hr² (t)i presents a long-time di usive behaviour and the di usion coe cient decreases but remains nite also above c. However the di usion coe cient of clusters of size com parable with the connectedness length goes to zero at c with the sam e exponent as the relaxation time [18]. In colloids the aggregation is due to a short range attraction and in general the monomers are not permanently bonded. To take into account this feature we introduce a novel ingredient in the previous model by considering a nite bond lifetime b and study the effect on the dynamics. It is worth noticing that the features of this model with nite b can be realized in a m icroscopic model: a solution of monomers interacting via an attraction of strength E and excluded volume repulsion. Due to monomers di usion the aggregation process eventually takes place. The nite bond lifetime b corresponds to an attractive interaction of strength

E that does not produce perm anent bonding between monomers, and $_{b}$ $e^{E^{-K}T}$. A more detailed discussion will be available in a longer paper following up [22]. Due to the nite $_{b}$, in the simulations during the monomer di usion the bonds between monomers are broken with a frequency $1=_{b}$. Between monomers separated by a distance less than 1_{0} a bond is formed with a frequency f_{b} . For each value of $_{b}$ we $_{x}$ $_{b}$ so that the fraction of present bonds is always the same [22].

After the system has reached the equilibrium we have calculated f_q (t) as de ned in eq.(1) and the relaxation time as f_q () 0:1.

Let us st analyze the behaviour of , plotted in Fig.2 as function of the monomer concentration for dierent b. For comparison we have also shown the behaviour of in the case of perm anent bonds, which displays a power law divergence at the percolation threshold c. We notice that for nite bond lifetime b the relaxation time increases following the permanent bonds case (chemical gelation), up to some value and then deviates from it. The longer the bond lifetime the higher the high monomer concentration region, well above the percolation threshold, the relaxation time in the nite bond lifetime case again displays a steep increase and a power law divergence at some higher value. This truncated critical behaviour followed by a glassy-like transition has been actually detected in som e colloidal system s in the viscosity behaviour [23,24].

These results can be explained by considering that only clusters whose di usion relaxation time is smaller than $_{\rm b}$ will behave as in the case of perm anent bonds. Larger clusters will not persist and their full size will not be relevant in the dynamics: the $_{\rm nite}$ bond lifetime induces an elective cluster size distribution with a cut-o , which keeps them acroscopic viscosity $_{\rm nite}$ [25]. A sthe concentration increases the $_{\rm nal}$ growth of the relaxation time is due to the crowding of the particles.

In Fig.3 f_q (t) is plotted as function of time for a xed value of $_b$ for increasing values of the m onomer concentration (varies from below to well above $_c$ = 0:718). For small concentrations the autocorrelation function f_q (t) is well tted by a stretched exponential decay, while for high m onomer concentrations it exhibits a two-step decay, that closely resembles the one observed in supercooled liquids. We these curves using the modecupling -correlator [14], corresponding to a short time power law $f + \frac{t}{s}$ and a long time von Schweidler

law f $\frac{t}{1}$, giving the exponents a 0:33 0:01 and b 0:65 0:01 (the full lines in Fig.3). At long times the dierent curves obtained for dierent collapse into a unique master curve by opportunely rescaling the time via a factor (). The master curve is well the dby a stretched exponential decay with 0:50 0:06. The characteristic time () diverges at a

value $_{\rm g}$ 0.96 0.05 with the exponent 2.3 0.1. This value well agrees with the mode-coupling prediction = 1=2a + 1=2b [14].

In the inset the data are compared with the case of permanent bonds for two dierent values of . We observe that for short time (of the order of $_{\rm b})$ the autocorrelation functions coincide with the permanent bond case. A similar pattern, characterized by a plateau, is found in the mean square displacement ${\rm hr}^2$ (t) i (Fig.4), with the diusion coecient going to zero as approaches $_{\rm g}$. The inset also indicates that for short time the mean square displacement coincides with that calculated for the permanent bond case.

These results show that when bonds are permanent (chem ical gelation) the divergence of the relaxation time is due to the form ation of a macroscopic critical cluster and the autocorrelation function exhibits a one step decay related to the relaxation of such cluster. In the case of nite b there is an e ective cluster size distribution, as discussed above, and the autocorrelation function exhibits a two step relaxation which is well tted by the mode coupling theory. This can be explained if we consider the system made of ective clusters playing the role of single molecules in an ordinary supercooled liquid or in a colloidalhard sphere system . As the monomer concentration increases, the relaxation time will diverge due to the jam ming of the e ective clusters. The rst decay is due to the rattling and relaxation of the "e ective" cluster in a cage made of surrounding clusters. The second relaxation is due, as usual, to the opening of the cage resulting in a structural relaxation. This picture supports the jam ming of clusters that has been suggested on the basis of experim ental observations on colloidal gelation in ref. [12]. For high temperature b! 0, the clusters reduces to single m onom ers with a crossover to the usual phenom enology of the hard spheres glass transition.

When $_{\rm b}$ is large enough (strong attraction) the cluster e ect will dominate and the slow dynamics will exhibit features more closely related to them icalgelation (Fig.1). The only dierence is that in the limit $_{\rm b}$! 1 we expect that the spanning cluster will have the structure of the cluster-cluster irreversible aggregation model instead of random percolation.

In conclusion, these results suggest a unifying approach for chem icalgelation, colloidalgelation and colloidalgelass transition. In chem icalgelation and colloidalgelation the cluster form ation should produce the slow dynam ics, that is expected to be of the same type of Fig. 1. In colloidal systems for weak attraction and high concentration the system crosses over from colloidal gelation to colloidal glass due to the jamming of elective clusters.


We would like to thank K.Dawson, G.Fo, W.Kob, F.Mallamace, N.Sator, F.Sciortino, P.Tartaglia and E.Zaccarelli formany interesting discussions. This work has been partially supported by MIUR-FIRB-2002 and by the INFM ParallelComputing Initiative.

- M. Adam, D. Lairez, M. Karpasas and M. Gottlieb, M acrom olecules 30 5920 (1997)
- [2] P.J.F. lory The Physics of Polymer Chem istry Cornell University Press (Ithaca) 1954
- β] P.G. de Gennes Scaling concepts in polym er physics Cornell University Press (Ithaca) 1980
- [4] D. Stau er Physica A 106 177 (1981)
- [5] D. Stau er, A. Coniglio and M. Adam, Adv.in Polymer Sci. 44 103 (1982)
- [6] JE. Martin, JP. W ilcoxon and J. Odinek, Phys. Rev. A 43 858 (1991); F. Ikkai and M. Shibayama, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82 4946 (1999); S.Z. Ren and C. M. Sorensen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 1727 (1993); P. Lang. and W. Burchard M. acrom olecules 24 815 (1991)
- [7] V. Trappe, V. Prasad, L. Cipelletti, P. N. Segre and D. A. Weitz, Nature 411 772 (2001); V. Trappe and D. A. Weitz Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 449 (2000)
- [8] P.M eakin Phys. Rev. Lett 51 1119 (1983)
- [9] R. Jullien and A. Hawsmy Phys. Rev. Lett. 74 4003 (1995)
- [10] J.C. G in el, T. N icolai, D. D urand J. Sol-gel Sci. Technol. 15 129 (1999)
- [11] A D .D insm ore and D A .W eitz J.Phys.: Condens.M atter 14 7581 (2002)
- [12] P.N. Segre, V.Prasad, A.B. Scho eld, and D.A.W eitz Phys.Rev.Lett.86 6042 (2001)
- [13] H.Gang, A.H.Krall, H.Z.Cum m ins, and D.A.W eitz,
 Phys. Rev. E 59 715 (1999); F.Mallamace, P.Gam-badauro, N.Micali, P.Tartaglia, C.Liao and S.H.Chen,
 Phys.Rev.Lett. 84 (2000) 5431
- [14] W Goetze in Liquid, Freezing and G lass Transition, eds. JP. Hansen, D. Levesque and P. Zinn-Justin, Elsevier (1991)
- [15] L.Fabbian, W.Gotze, F.Sciortino, P.Tartaglia and F. Thiery, Phys. Rev. E 59, R1347 (1999); 60, 2430 (1999); J.Bergenholtz, M. Fuchs, and Th. Voigtmann, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 12, 4341 (2000)
- [16] K.Dawson, G.Fo, M.Fuchs, W.Goetze, F.Sciortino, M.Sperl, P.Tartaglia, Th.Voigtmann and E.Zaccarelli, Phys.Rev.E 63 011401 (2001); E.Zaccarelli, G.Fo, K.A.Dawson, F.Sciortino and P.Tartaglia, Phys.Rev. E 63, 031501 (2001)
- [17] A M . Puertas, M . Fuchs and M E . Cates condm at/0211087; M E . Cates to appear in Annales Henri Poincare; A M . Puertas, M . Fuchs and M E . Cates PhysRevLett.88 (2002) 098301
- [18] E D elG ado, L. de A r
cangelis and A .C oniglio Eur. Phys. J.B 2 352 (2000)
- [19] E. Del Gado, L. de Arcangelis and A. Coniglio PhysRevE 65 041803 (2002)
- [20] I. Carm esin and K. Krem er Macrom olecules 21 2819 (1988)
- [21] A.T.Ogielski Phys. Rev. B 32 7384 (1985)
- [22] E.DelGado, L.de Arcangelis and A.Coniglio in preparation
- [23] F.M allam ace, S.H. Chen, Y. Liu, L. Lobry and N.M icali

Physica A 266 (1999); F.M allam ace, R.Beneduci, P.G am badauro, D.Lombardo and S.H.Chen, Physica A 302 202 (2001)

[24] F. La eche, D. Durand and T. Nicolai preprint (2002)

[25] A.Coniglio J.Phys.: Condensed Matter 13 9039 (2001)







FIG. 1. Double logarithm ic plot of the autocorrelation functions f_q (t) as function of the time for q=1:36 and =0:6;0:718;0:3;0:35. For < $_{\text{C}}$ the long time decay is well tted by a function (full line) $= ^{(\text{t=})}$ with =0:3. At the percolation threshold and in the gel phase in the long time decay the data are well tted by a function $=(1+\frac{t}{0})^{\circ}$.






FIG. 3. The f_q (t) as function of the time for q 1:36 calculated on a cubic lattice of size L = 16 for $_b$ = 1000M C step=particle. (from left to right = 0:6;0:718;0:8;0:85;0:87;0:9). The full lines correspond to the t with the mode-coupling beta-correlator. In the inset, the data for = 0:718 and = 0:8 (from left to right) are plotted together with the ones obtained at the same densities in the case of perm anent bonds (dashed line).




FIG. 4. The mean-square displacement hr^2 (t)i of the particles as function of the time in a double logarithm ic plot for $_b$ = 1000M C step=particle: from top to bottom = 0.8;0.82;0.85;0.9, approaching $_g$. In the inset hr^2 (t)i for the same $_b$ = 1000M C S=particle and in the case of perm anent bonds (dashed line) (from top to bottom , = 0.718;0.8)