

# Transition from non Fermi Liquid Behavior to Landau Fermi Liquid Behavior Induced by Magnetic Fields

Yu.G. Pogorelov<sup>a</sup> and V.R. Shaginyan<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Departamento de Física, Universidade do Porto, 4150 Porto, Portugal

<sup>b</sup>Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, RAS, Gatchina 188300, Russia

## Abstract

We show that a strongly correlated Fermi system with the fermion condensate, which exhibits strong deviations from Landau Fermi liquid behavior, is driven into the Landau Fermi liquid by applying a small magnetic field  $B$  at temperature  $T = 0$ . This field-induced Landau Fermi liquid behavior provides the constancy of the Kadowaki-Woods ratio. A re-entrance into the strongly correlated regime is observed if the magnetic field  $B$  decreases to zero, then the effective mass  $M^*$  diverges as  $M^* \propto 1/\sqrt{B}$ . At finite temperatures, the strongly correlated regime is restored at some temperature  $T \propto \sqrt{B}$ . This behavior is of general form and takes place in both three dimensional and two dimensional strongly correlated systems. We demonstrate that the observed  $1/\sqrt{B}$  divergence of the effective mass and other specific features of heavy-fermion metals are accounted for by our consideration.

PACS numbers: 71.10.Hf; 71.27.+a; 74.72.-h

Typeset using REVTeX

---

E-mail: vrshag@thd.pnpi.spb.ru

Recently, a peculiar critical point was observed in heavy-fermion metal  $\text{YbRh}_2\text{Si}_2$  at low temperatures  $T$  [1]. This critical point is driven by the magnetic field  $B$  which suppresses the antiferromagnetic order when reaches the critical value,  $B = B_{c0}$ , while the effective mass  $M^*$  diverges as  $M^* \propto 1/(B - B_{c0})$  [1]. The study of the magnetic field dependence of the coefficients  $A$ ,  $\rho_0$ , and  $\rho_1$  in the resistivity,  $\rho(T) = \rho_0 + \rho_1 T$ , with  $\rho_1 = A(B)T^{-2}$ , specific heat,  $C = \gamma T = \gamma_0(B)$ , and the magnetic susceptibility, has revealed that  $\text{YbRh}_2\text{Si}_2$  behaves as a true Landau Fermi liquid (LFL) for  $B > B_{c0}$  and the well-known Kadowaki-Woods ratio  $A/\gamma^2$  [2] is preserved [1]. In contrast, for  $B = 0$ ,  $\text{YbRh}_2\text{Si}_2$  demonstrates a non-Fermi liquid (NFL) behavior, and the resistivity follows a quasilinear temperature dependence  $\rho \propto T$  down to 80 mK at which antiferromagnetic (AF) order takes place. At lower temperatures, the resistivity in AF-ordered state is described by  $\rho \propto T^2$ . A similar picture is observed in heavy-fermion compounds  $\text{CeMIn}_5$  ( $M = \text{Ir, Co, and Rh}$ ), where the electronic specific heat  $C$  shows more pronounced metallic behavior at sufficiently high magnetic fields [3]. These observations are consistent with the de Haas van Alphen (dHvA) studies of  $\text{CeIrIn}_5$ , which find that the effective mass decreases with increasing  $B$  [3,4].

It is pertinent to note that heavy-fermion metals are more likely three-dimensional (3D) than two-dimensional (2D). The origin of NFL behavior observed in heavy-fermion metals is still a subject of controversy [5]. Moreover, the observed constancy of the Kadowaki-Woods ratio when  $B \rightarrow B_{c0}$  [1] leads to the failure of the standard model of heavy-fermion metals, when the mass renormalization is supposed to come from the exchange by soft magnetic fluctuations in a 2D spin fluid [6]. As a result, we are left with even more complicated and challenging problems in the physics of strongly correlated electrons.

In this Letter, we study the nature of the critical behavior, assuming that the fermion condensation phase transition (FCQPT) [7] plays the role of the critical point. Analyzing the appearance of the fermion condensate (FC) which occurs beyond the point of FCQPT in an electron Fermi liquid and induces the NFL behavior, we show that the liquid is driven, by applying a weak magnetic field, back into a specific LFL state with effective mass  $M^* \propto 1/B$ . The LFL behavior induced by rather low magnetic fields, provides constancy of the Kadowaki-Woods ratio. But the strongly correlated regime is restored when the magnetic field  $B$  decreases to zero, while the effective mass  $M^*$  diverges as  $M^* \propto 1/B$ . Also the strongly correlated regime is restored at some finite temperature  $T_c(B) \propto 1/B$ . Such a behavior is of general form and takes place in both three-dimensional and two-dimensional strongly correlated systems. We demonstrate that the observed crossover from NFL to LFL in certain heavy-fermion metals is accounted for by our consideration.

For the reader's convenience we first outline the NFL behavior of Fermi systems with FC and the main properties of FCQPT using, as an example, a two-dimensional electron liquid in the superconducting state induced by FCQPT [7,8]. At  $T = 0$ , the ground state energy  $E_{gs}[\phi; n(\mathbf{p})]$  is a functional of the superconducting order parameter  $\phi(\mathbf{p})$  and of the quasiparticle occupation function  $n(\mathbf{p})$  and is determined by the known equation of the weak-coupling theory of superconductivity (see e.g. [9])

$$E_{gs} = E[n(\mathbf{p})] + \int_0^{\infty} d\omega V(\mathbf{p}_1; \mathbf{p}_2) \phi(\mathbf{p}_1) \phi(\mathbf{p}_2) \frac{d\mathbf{p}_1 d\mathbf{p}_2}{(2\pi)^4}; \quad (1)$$

Here  $E_q[n(\mathbf{p})]$  is the ground-state energy of normal Fermi liquid,  $n(\mathbf{p}) = \theta(\epsilon_F - \epsilon(\mathbf{p}))$  and  $\epsilon(\mathbf{p}) = v_F |\mathbf{p}|$ . It is assumed that the pairing interaction  $V(\mathbf{p}_1; \mathbf{p}_2)$  is weak. Minimizing  $E_{gs}$  with respect to  $\phi(\mathbf{p})$  we obtain the equation connecting the single-particle energy  $\epsilon(\mathbf{p})$  to the superconducting gap  $\phi(\mathbf{p})$

$$\epsilon(p) = \epsilon(p) \frac{1 - 2v^2(p)}{2 \epsilon(p)}; \quad (2)$$

including the chemical potential  $\mu$ . Here the single-particle energy  $\epsilon(p)$  is determined by the Landau equation [10]

$$\epsilon(p) = \frac{E[\epsilon(p)]}{n(p)}; \quad (3)$$

while the equation for the superconducting gap  $\Delta(p)$  takes the form

$$\Delta(p) = \int_0^Z V(p; p_1) \Delta(p_1) \frac{dp_1}{4\pi^2}; \quad (4)$$

If  $\mu \neq 0$ , then, the maximum value of the superconducting gap  $\Delta_1 \neq 0$ , and Eq. (2) reduces to that proposed in Ref. [11]

$$\Delta(p) = 0; \text{ if } \epsilon(p) \notin 0 \text{ (} 0 < n(p) < 1 \text{) for } p \geq p_i \text{ : } p_i = p_c; \quad (5)$$

At  $T = 0$ , Eq. (5) defines a new state of Fermi liquid with FC, such that the modulus of the order parameter  $|\Delta(p)|$  has finite values in the FC range of momenta  $L_{FC} : p_i \leq p \leq p_c$ , while the superconducting gap can be infinitesimally small,  $\Delta_1 \neq 0$ , in this range [7,11,12]. Such a state can be considered as superconducting, with infinitesimally small value of  $\Delta_1$  so that the entropy of this state is zero. This state, created by the quantum phase transition, disappears at  $T > 0$ . The FCQPT can be considered as a "pure" quantum phase transition because it cannot take place at finite temperatures. Generally, this quantum critical point should not represent the termination at  $T > 0$  of a line of continuous transitions. However it corresponds to a certain critical value of density  $x = x_{FC}$  (the critical point of FCQPT) which is determined also by Eq. (5). Notice that at finite temperatures the FCQPT continues to have a strong impact on the system properties, up to a certain temperature  $T_f$  above which FC effects become insignificant [7,13]. FCQPT does not violate rotational or translational symmetry of the order parameter  $\Delta(p)$ . It follows from Eq. (5) that the quasiparticle system "splits" into two quasiparticle subsystems: one in the  $L_{FC}$  range, occupied by the quasiparticles with enhanced effective mass  $M_{FC} / m = 1/\epsilon_1$ , and another with LFL effective mass  $M_L$  at  $p < p_i$ . If  $\mu \neq 0$ , then  $\Delta_1$  becomes finite, and the finite value of the effective mass  $M_{FC}$  in  $L_{FC}$  can be obtained from Eq. (2) as [7,8]

$$M_{FC} = m \frac{p_F}{2\epsilon_1}; \quad (6)$$

while the effective mass  $M_L$  is only weakly disturbed. Here  $p_F$  is the Fermi momentum. It follows from Eq. (6) that the quasiparticle dispersion can be presented by two straight lines characterized by the effective masses  $M_{FC}$  and  $M_L$  respectively. These lines intersect near the electron binding energy  $E_0$  which defines an intrinsic energy scale of the system :

$$E_0 = \epsilon(p_F) - \epsilon(p_i) = \frac{(p_F - p_i)p_F}{M_{FC}} = 2\epsilon_1; \quad (7)$$

Let us assume that FC has just taken place, that is  $p_i = p_F = p_c$ , the deviation  $n(p)$  from LFL occupation function is small (though finite), and  $\mu \neq 0$ . Expanding the functional  $E[\epsilon(p)]$  in Eq. (3) in Taylor's series with respect to  $n(p)$  and retaining the leading terms, we have

$$E = \sum_1^X \sum_2^Z \epsilon_0(p_1; p_1) n(p_1; p_1) \frac{dp_1}{(2\pi)^2} + \sum_1^X \sum_2^Z F_L(p_1; p_2; p_1; p_2) n(p_1; p_1) n(p_2; p_2) \frac{dp_1 dp_2}{(2\pi)^4}; \quad (8)$$

where  $F_L(p_1; p_2; p_1; p_2) = \epsilon^2 E = n(p_1; p_1) n(p_2; p_2)$  is the Landau interaction, and  $\epsilon$  denotes the spin states. Varying both sides of Eq. (8) with respect to the functions  $n(p)$  and taking into account Eq. (5), one obtains the FC equation

$$\epsilon(p; p) = \epsilon_0(p; p) + \sum_1^X \sum_2^Z F_L(p; p_1; p_1; p) n(p_1; p_1) \frac{dp_1}{(2\pi)^2}; \quad p_1 \in p \in p_2 \in L_{FC}; \quad (9)$$

Equation (9) acquires non-trivial solutions at the density  $x = x_{FC}$  if the Landau amplitude  $F_L$  (depending on density) is positive and sufficiently large, so that the potential energy integral on the right hand side of Eq. (9) prevails over the kinetic energy  $\epsilon_0(p)$  [11]. Note, that in case of heavy fermion metals, this condition can be easily satisfied because of the huge effective mass. It is also seen from Eq. (9) that the FC quasiparticles form a collective state, since their energies are defined by the macroscopical number of quasiparticles within  $L_{FC}$ , and vice versa. The shape of their spectrum is not affected by the Landau interaction, which, generally speaking, depends on the system properties, including the collective states, impurities, etc. The only thing defined by the interaction is the width  $p_1 \in p \in p_2$  of  $L_{FC}$  (provided it exists). Thus, we can conclude that the spectra related to FC are of universal form.

At temperatures  $T \rightarrow T_c$  when  $\epsilon_1$  disappears, Eq. (6) for the effective mass  $M_{FC}$  is changed for [7,8]

$$M_{FC} \propto p_F \frac{p_F}{4T}; \quad (10)$$

The energy scale separating the slow dispersing low energy part, defined by the effective mass  $M_{FC}$ , from the faster dispersing relatively high energy part, defined by the effective mass  $M_L$ , can be estimated as  $E_0 \propto p_F (p_F - p) = M_{FC}$  [7,8], so for the case of Eq. (10) it is

$$E_0 \propto 4T; \quad (11)$$

It follows from Eq. (10) that  $M_{FC}$  depends on the temperature, and the width of the single-particle excitations results  $\propto T$ , leading to a linear temperature dependence  $\propto T$  [13]. This contrasts with the well-known LFL relations:  $\propto T^2$ , and  $\propto T^2$ .

Now we consider the behavior of an electronic system with FC in magnetic fields, supposing the coupling constant  $\epsilon_0 \neq 0$  to be infinitesimally small. As we have seen above, at  $T = 0$ , the superconducting order parameter  $\epsilon(p)$  is finite in the FC range, while the maximum value of the superconducting gap  $\epsilon_1 / \epsilon_0$  is infinitesimally small. Therefore, any small magnetic field  $B \neq 0$  will destroy the coherence of  $\epsilon(p)$  and thus FC itself. Also, the existence of FC can not be compatible with the evident Zeeman splitting of quasiparticle energy bands  $\epsilon(p; \sigma) = \epsilon(p) \pm g_{eff} B$  (see below for  $g_{eff}$ ). To define the type of FC restructuring, simple energy reasons are invoked. On the one hand, the energy gain  $E_B$  due to the magnetic field  $B$  is  $E_B \propto B^2$  and tends to zero with  $B \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand, occupying the finite range  $L_{FC}$  in the momentum space, FC delivers a finite gain in the ground state energy [11]. Thus, a new state replacing FC should be very close in its ground state energy to the former state. Such a state is given by the multiconnected Fermi sphere, where the smooth quasiparticle distribution function  $n(p)$  in the  $L_{FC}$  range is replaced by a multiconnected distribution  $\epsilon(p)$  [14]

$$\varphi(p) = \prod_{k=1}^{X^n} (\varphi(p_{2k-1}) - \varphi(p_{2k} - p)); \quad (12)$$

where the parameters  $p_1 = p < p_2 < \dots < p_{2n} = p$  are adjusted to obey the normalization conditions:

$$\int_{p_{2k}}^{p_{2k+3}} \varphi(p) \frac{dp}{(2\pi)^3} = \int_{p_{2k}}^{p_{2k+3}} n(p) \frac{dp}{(2\pi)^3}; \quad (13)$$

For the definiteness sake, we consider the most interesting case of 3D system, while the consideration of a 2D system goes along the same line. We note that the idea of multiconnected Fermi sphere, with production of new, interior segments of the Fermi surface, has been considered already [15,16]. Let us assume that the thickness of each interior block is approximately the same  $p_{2k+1} - p_{2k} = p$  and  $p$  is defined by  $B$ . Then, the single-particle energy in the region  $L_{FC}$  can be fitted by

$$\epsilon(p) = \frac{p}{p_F} \sin \frac{p}{p} + b(p); \quad (14)$$

The blocks are formed since all the single particle states around the minimum values of the fast sine function are occupied and those around its maximum values are empty, the average occupation being controlled by a slow function  $b(p) = \cos[n(p)]$ . It is seen from Eq. (14) that the effective mass  $m$  at each internal Fermi surface is of the order of the bare mass  $m_0$ ,  $m \approx m_0$ . Upon substituting  $n(p)$  in Eq. (8) by  $\varphi(p)$ , defined by Eqs. (12) and (13), and taking into account the Simpson's rule, we obtain that the minimum loss in the ground state energy due to the formation of blocks is about  $(p)^4$ . This result can be understood if one bears in mind that the continuous FC function  $n(p)$  delivers the minimum value to the energy functional, Eq. (8), while the approximation  $\varphi(p)$  by steps of size  $p$  produces the minimum error of the order of  $(p)^4$ . On the other hand, this loss must be compensated by the energy gain due to the magnetic field. Thus, we arrive at

$$p / \frac{p}{B}; \quad (15)$$

With an account taken of the Zeeman splitting in the dispersion law, Eq. (14), each of the blocks is polarized, since its outer areas are occupied only by spin-up quasiparticles. The width of this areas in the momentum space  $p_0$  is given by

$$\frac{p_F - p_0}{m} = B_{eff}; \quad (16)$$

where  $B_{eff} = B$  is the effective momentum. We can consider such a polarization, without perturbing the previous estimates, since it is seen from Eq. (15) that  $p_0 = p - 1$ . The total polarization  $P$  is obtained multiplying  $p_0$  by the number  $N$  of the blocks which is proportional to  $1/p$ ,  $N = (p_F - p)/p$ . Taking into account Eq. (15), we obtain

$$P = m \frac{p_F - p}{p} B_{eff} / \frac{p}{B}; \quad (17)$$

and thus it prevails over  $B$  contribution from the LFL part. On the other hand, this quantity can be expressed as

$$P / M = B; \quad (18)$$

where  $M$  is the "average" effective mass related to the finite density of states at the Fermi level,

$$M = N m / \frac{1}{p} : \quad (19)$$

We can also conclude that  $M$  defines the specific heat.

Otherwise Eq. (15) can be examined, starting from a different point summing that multiconnected Fermi sphere can be approximated by a single block. Let us put  $\phi_0 = 0$ . Then, the energy gain due to the magnetic field  $E_B = B^2 M$ . The energy loss  $E_{FC}$  because of the restructuring of the FC state can be estimated by using the Landau formula which directly follows from Eqs. (8) and (9)

$$E_{FC} = \int_0^Z (\epsilon(p) - \epsilon(p)) n(p) \frac{dp^3}{(2\pi)^3} : \quad (20)$$

As we have seen above, the region occupied by variation  $\epsilon(p)$  has the length  $p$ , while  $(\epsilon(p) - \epsilon(p - p_F)) p_F = M$ . As a result, we have,  $E_{FC} = p^2 M$ . Upon equating  $E_B$  and  $E_{FC}$  and taking into account Eq. (19), we arrive at the following equation

$$\frac{p^2}{M} = p^3 / \frac{B^2}{p} ; \quad (21)$$

which coincides with Eq. (15).

It follows from Eqs. (17) and (18) that the effective mass  $M$  diverges as

$$M \propto \frac{1}{B} : \quad (22)$$

Equation (22) shows that, by applying a magnetic field  $B$ , the system can be driven back into LFL with the effective mass  $M(B)$  dependent on the magnetic field. It was demonstrated that the constancy of the Kadowaki-Woods ratio is obeyed by systems in the strongly correlated regime when the effective mass is sufficiently large [17]. Therefore, we are led to the conclusion that, by applying magnetic fields, the system is driven back into LFL where the constancy of the Kadowaki-Woods ratio is obeyed. Since the resistivity  $\propto (M)^2$  [17], we obtain from Eq. (22)

$$\rho \propto \frac{1}{B} : \quad (23)$$

At finite temperatures, the system persists to be LFL, but there is a temperature  $T(B)$  at which the polarized state is destroyed. To calculate the function  $T(B)$ , we observe that the effective mass  $M$  characterizing the single particle spectrum cannot be changed at  $T(B)$ . In other words, at the crossover point, we have to compare the effective mass defined by  $T$ , Eq. (10), and that defined by the magnetic field  $B$

$$\frac{1}{M} \propto T \propto \frac{p}{B} : \quad (24)$$

As a result, we obtain

$$T(B) \propto \frac{p}{B} : \quad (25)$$

At temperatures  $T < T_f$ , the system comes back into the state with  $M$  defined by Eq. (10), and we observe the NFL behavior. It follows from Eq. (25), that a heavy fermion system at some temperature  $T$  can be driven back into LFL by applying strong enough magnetic field  $B = B_{cr} / (T)^2$ . We can also conclude, that at finite temperature  $T$ , the effective mass of a heavy fermion system is relatively field independent at magnetic fields  $B = B_{cr}$  and show a more pronounced metallic behavior at  $B = B_{cr}$  since the effective mass is decreased, see Eq. (22). The same behavior of the effective mass can be observed in the dHvA measurements. We note that our consideration is valid up to temperatures  $T < T_f$ .

Now we are in position to consider the nature of the field-induced quantum critical point in  $\text{YbRh}_2\text{Si}_2$ . The properties of this antiferromagnetic (AF) heavy fermion metal with the ordering Neel temperature  $T_N = 70$  mK were recently investigated in Refs. [1,18]. In AF state, this metal shows LFL behavior. As soon as the weak AF order is suppressed either by a tiny volume expansion or by temperature, pronounced deviations from LFL behavior are observed. The experimental facts show that the spin density wave picture is failed when dealing with the obtained data [1,6,18]. We assume that the electron density in  $\text{YbRh}_2\text{Si}_2$  is close to the critical value  $(x_{FC} - x) = x_c - 1$  [19], so that this system can be easily driven across FCQPT. Then, in the AF state, the effective mass is given by Eq. (22) and the electron system of  $\text{YbRh}_2\text{Si}_2$  possesses LFL behavior. When the AF state is suppressed at  $T > T_N$  the system comes back into NFL. By tuning  $T_N \rightarrow 0$  at a critical field  $B = B_{c0}$ , the itinerant AF order is suppressed and replaced by spin fluctuations [18]. Thus, we can expect absence of any long-ranged magnetic order in this state, and the situation corresponds to a paramagnetic system with strong correlation in the field  $B = 0$ . As a result, the FC state is restored and we can observe NFL behavior at any temperatures in accordance with experimental facts [1]. As soon as an excess magnetic field  $B > B_{c0}$  is applied, the system is driven back into LFL. To describe the behavior of the effective mass, we can use Eq. (22) substituting  $B$  by  $B - B_{c0}$

$$M / m_0 = \frac{1}{B - B_{c0}} : \quad (26)$$

Equation (26) demonstrates the  $1/(B - B_{c0})$  divergence of the effective mass, and therefore the coefficients  $\gamma_0(B)$  and  $\gamma_1(B)$  should have the same behavior. Meanwhile the coefficient  $A(B)$  diverges as  $1/(B - B_{c0})$ , being proportional to  $(M)^2$  [17], and thus preserving the Kadowaki-Woods ratio, in agreement with experimental findings [1]. To construct  $T - B$  phase diagram for  $\text{YbRh}_2\text{Si}_2$  we use the same replacement  $B \rightarrow B - B_{c0}$  in Eq. (25)

$$T(B) / T_f = \frac{q}{B - B_{c0}} : \quad (27)$$

The phase diagram given by Eq. (27) is in good qualitative agreement with the experimental data [1]. We recall that our consideration is valid at temperatures  $T < T_f$ . The experimental phase diagram shows that the behavior  $T / T_f = \frac{q}{B - B_{c0}}$  is observed up to 150 mK [1] and allows us to estimate the magnitude of  $T_f$  which can reach at least 1 K in this system. It is pertinent to note, that it follows directly from our consideration that the similar  $T - B$  phase diagram given by Eq. (27) can be observed at least in case of strongly overdoped high-temperature compounds. Except very close to the small values of both  $B$  and  $T$ , because at  $T = T_c$  the magnetic field is to be  $B > B_c$ , here  $B_c$  is the critical field suppressing the superconductivity.

To conclude, we have demonstrated that a new type of the quantum critical point observed in heavy-fermion metal  $\text{YbRh}_2\text{Si}_2$  can be identified as FCQPT.

This work was supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, No 01-02-17189.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. Gegenwart et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 056402 (2002); P. Gegenwart et al., cond-mat/0207570.
- [2] K. Kadowaki and S. B. Woods, Solid State Commun. 58, 507 (1986).
- [3] J. S. Kline et al., Phys. Rev. B 64, 134524 (2001).
- [4] Y. Haga et al., Phys. Rev. B 63, 060503 (2001).
- [5] P. Coleman et al., J. Phys. Condens. Matter 13, R723 (2001).
- [6] P. Coleman, cond-mat/0206003.
- [7] M. Ya. Amusia and V. R. Shaginyan, JETP Lett. 73, 232 (2001); S. A. Artamonov and V. R. Shaginyan, JETP 92, 287 (2001); M. Ya. Amusia and V. R. Shaginyan, Phys. Rev. B 63, 224507 (2001).
- [8] M. Ya. Amusia, S. A. Artamonov, and V. R. Shaginyan, JETP Lett. 74, 435 (2001).
- [9] D. R. Tilley and J. Tilley, Superfluidity and Superconductivity (Bristol, Hilger 1975).
- [10] L. D. Landau, Sov. Phys. JETP 3, 920 (1956).
- [11] V. A. Khotel and V. R. Shaginyan, JETP Lett. 51, 553 (1990); V. A. Khotel, V. R. Shaginyan, and V. V. Khotel, Phys. Rep. 249, 1 (1994).
- [12] G. E. Volovik, JETP Lett. 53, 222 (1991).
- [13] J. A. Dukelsky et al., Z. Phys. B 102, 245 (1997).
- [14] S. A. Artamonov, V. R. Shaginyan, and Yu. G. Pogorelov, JETP Lett. 68, 942 (1998).
- [15] M. de Llano and J. P. Vary, Phys. Rev. C 19, 1083 (1979); M. de Llano, A. Plastino, and J. G. Zabolitsky, Phys. Rev. C 20, 2418 (1979).
- [16] M. V. Zverev and M. Baldo, cond-mat/9807324.
- [17] V. A. Khotel and P. Schuck, Z. Phys. B 104, 505 (1997).
- [18] K. Ishida et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 107202 (2002).
- [19] V. R. Shaginyan, cond-mat/0208568.