# Castaing's instability in a trapped ultra-cold gas

JN.Fuchs<sup>a</sup>, O.Prevote<sup>b;c</sup> and DM.Gangardt<sup>d</sup>

Laboratoire Kastler Brossel, Departem ent de Physique de l'ENS, 24 rue Lhom ond, 75005 Paris, France

Received: date / Revised version: date

Abstract. We consider a trapped ultra-cold gas of (non-condensed) bosons with two internal states (described by a pseudo spin) and study the stability of a longitudinal pseudo spin polarization gradient. For this purpose, we num erically solve a kinetic equation corresponding to a situation close to the experim ent at JILA [1]. It shows the presence of Castaing's instability of transverse spin polarization uctuations at long wavelengths. This phenom enon could be used to create spontaneous transverse spin waves.

PACS. 03.75 Fi Phase coherent atom ic ensembles; quantum condensation phenom ena { 51.10.+ y K inetic and transport theory of gases { 75.30 D s Spin waves

#### 1 Introduction

Recent experiments [1] with a trapped ultra-cold (non condensed) Bose gas with two internal states have shown the existence of interesting relative population dynam ics. Theoretical studies [2][3] making use of a pseudo spin description for the internal states have explained this phenomenon as pseudo spin oscillations due to the \identical spin rotation e ect" (ISRE) [4] which appears when the temperature is low enough for the binary collisions to be in the quantum regime. A lternatively, this mechanism can be understood as a \spin mean-eld" [5]. In a polarized system, this implies the existence of low energy excitations of the transverse spin polarization, named spin waves. The prediction of spin waves in dilute gases [5][6] as well as their observations in H # and helium [7] goes back to the 1980's. Shortly later, Castaing [8] showed that a strong gradient of longitudinal spin polarization is unstable with respect to transverse uctuations. H is study focused on hom ogeneous polarized <sup>3</sup>H e gas and assum ed that the spin waves were in the hydrodynam ic regime. The purpose of this article is to provide a quantitative study of the existence of Castaing's instability in an inhom ogeneous system relevant to the experim ental situation at JILA [1]. In this experiment, neither the hydrodynamic nor the collisionless regime for the spin oscillations are reached. This work is motivated by the recent contribution of Kuklov and Meyerovich [9], who were the rst to suggest and study the existence of Castaing's instability

in this context. For completeness, one should be aware of the num erical studies of Castaing's instability in polarized Ferm i uids, which are based on the solution of the Leggett equations rather than the full kinetic equation [10].

## 2 K inetic equation; Leggett equations

The physical situation we consider is close to that of the experiment at JILA [1]:<sup>87</sup>Rb atoms (bosons) with two hyper ne states of interest (denoted by 1 and 2) are con ned in an axially symmetric magnetic trapelongated in the 0 x direction. The tem perature T is about twice the critical tem perature for Bose-Einstein condensation, so that the gas is non-degenerate (Boltzm ann gas). How ever, the de Broglie therm alwavelength is much larger than the scattering length so that the collisions occur in the quantum regime. It is convenient to consider the pseudo spin associated with the two hyper ne states 1 and 2 (the basis in spin space is denoted by  $fe_{2,1}; e_{2,2}; e_{k}g$ ). Initially the spin polarization is longitudinal (i.e. along the \e ective externalm agnetic eld") and has a strong spatial gradient. For example, on the left (resp. right) of the trap center, the cloud of atom s is mostly in state 1 (resp. 2). This might be achieved, for example, by preparing a cloud of atom s in state 1 and a cloud of atom s in state 2 separated by a sharp optical potential barrier at the center of the magnetic trap. A fler rem ovalof the optical barrier, as the two clouds m ix, a strong longitudinal spin polarization gradient appears in the region of overlap. If it is strong enough, one should be able to observe the appearance of a large transverse component of the spin polarization as a result of Castaing's instability.

To study this system, we write an e ective one dim ensional kinetic equation [2] in terms of a local density in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> em ail: fuchs@ lkb.ens.fr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> Present address: Universite de Cergy-Pontoise, Departement de Physique, 5 mall Gay Lussac, Neuville sur Oise, 95031 Cergy Pontoise, France

<sup>°</sup> em ail: olivier.prevote@ voila.fr

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm d}\,$  em ail: gangardt@  ${\rm kb}\,{\rm ens.fr}$ 

phase space f (x;p;t) and (pseudo) spin density M (x;p;t), where x is the position, p the m om entum, and t the time. The one dimensional description for an elongated system is justi ed by the time scale separation associated with radial and axial m otions characterized by frequencies !  $_{\rm rad}$ and ! $_{\rm ax}$  respectively. The time scales di er by m ore than an order of m agnitude leading to an elective dynamical averaging over radial dynamics as described in Ref. [2][3]. W e de ne the local density n and spin polarization m by

$$n (x;t) = dpf (x;p;t)$$

$$Z$$

$$m (x;t) = dpM (x;p;t) (1)$$

The local density of atom s in state 1;2 is then given by  $n_{1;2} = (n - m_k)=2$ , dening  $m_k$  as the longitudinal component of the spin polarization. We also denote  $g_{ij} = 4 - a_{ij}=m$  as the coupling constants associated to the different scattering lengths  $a_{ij}$  where i and j = 1;2 ( $a_{21} = a_{12}$  and m is the mass of the particles).

We now review the di erent term s entering the kinetic equation (for details, see Ref. [2]). The force acting similarly on both internal states contains three term s: the m agnetic trap, them ean-eld, and the Stem-G erlach force (associated to a gradient of \e ective external m agnetic eld", see below). The m agnetic trap force is dom inant, so the associated potential energy is given by

$$\frac{V_1(x) + V_2(x)}{2} = \frac{1}{2}m !_{ax}^2 x^2$$
 (2)

where  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are the magnetic trapping potentials acting on states 1 and 2. The dimensional force can be described in the pseudo spin picture by an \e ective external magnetic eld" which contains two contributions: one due to the dimensional Zeem and ect and one due to the dimensional eld, so that

~ 
$$(x) = [V_2(x) \quad V_1(x) + (g_{22} \quad g_1)n(x)=2]e_k$$
 (3)

where  $e_k$  is a unit vector in the longitudinal direction.Following Ref. [1], we have assumed that  $2g_{12}$  '  $g_{11} + g_{22}$  for simplicity. The average value over the sample of the \effective external magnetic eld" is removed by going to a uniform ly rotating frame (Larm or fram e). It is also crucial to consider the ISRE which manifests itself as a \molecular eld" or \spin mean eld"  $g_{12}$  m (x;t)=2, which adds to the \e ective external magnetic eld" (3). Finally, the collision integral is treated in a relaxation-time approximation with a time of the order of the average time between collisions. The kinetic equation is written as

$$(\underline{\theta}_{t}M + \frac{p}{m} \theta_{x}M \quad m !_{ax}^{2} x \theta_{p}M \quad (+ \frac{g_{12}m}{2}) M$$
  
' (M M<sup>eq</sup>)= (5)

where  $f^{eq}$  and M  $^{eq}$  are local equilibrium phase space densities.

In the hydrodynam ic regim e close to local equilibrium, the above equations reduce to the counterpart of the Leggett equations [11] in the case of a Boltzm ann gas [6], nam ely

$$[e_{t}m + e_{x}j = m$$
  
 $[e_{t}j ( + \frac{g_{12}m}{2^{\sim}}) j + \frac{k_{B}T}{m}e_{x}m + !_{ax}^{2}xm$ 
  
,  $\underline{j}$ 
  
(6)

where j(x;t) is the spin polarization current along 0x. These equations are also valid in the collisionless regime close to global equilibrium [11].We de ne =  $g_{12}n(0) = 2^{-1}$  as the dimensionless parameter which characterizes the strength of the ISRE and denote  $D = k_B T = m$  as the spin di usion coe cient.

## 3 Castaing's instability

Studying polarized <sup>3</sup>He gas, Castaing [8] noticed that a su ciently strong longitudinal spin polarization gradient is unstable against transverse long wavelength uctuations. This result was obtained by analyzing a uniform gas (! $_{ax} = 0$ ) with a uniform precession frequency (so that = 0 in the Larm or fram e) and by assuming a time independent spin gradient. U sing the Leggett equations (6), Castaing studied the stability of this system with respect to the transverse uctuations. He assumed a small plane wave perturbation around a slightly non-uniform stationary solution such that

$$m (x;t) = m_{k}^{0} (x)e_{k} + m e^{i(kx ! t)}$$

$$j(x;t) = D Q_{k}m_{k}^{0} (x)e_{k} + je^{i(kx ! t)}$$
(7)

For circularly polarized transverse spin waves in the presence of a strong gradient of longitudinal spin polarization, one obtains the following spectrum for the hydrodynamic regime ( $(\theta_t j' 0)$ )

$$! = \frac{D}{1 + (m_{k}^{0} = n)^{2}} m_{k}^{0} = n \quad i \quad k^{2} \quad k (\theta_{x} m_{k}^{0}) = n$$
(8)

Here, a mode with wave vector k is unstable if the mode frequency ! has a positive in aginary part  $!_{I}$ , which leads to instability when

$$k^{2} < k (0_{x}m_{k}^{0})=n$$
 (9)

The goal of this paper is to study C astaing's instability in a trapped ultra-cold gas. U sing a numerical simulation, described in the next section, we show that an instability does occur. The situation we study is richer than the one considered in C astaing's original work in several aspects: (i) with the full kinetic equation (instead of Leggett equations) we can describe spin oscillations of large amplitude, (ii) we are not limited to the hydrodynam ic regime (for example, regimes between hydrodynam ic and collision less

F ig. 1. Initial density of atoms in state 1 (solid line) and 2 (dashed line) giving a strong gradient of longitudinal spin polarization near the center of the trap  $(@_x m_k^0 = n (0) = 2 = x_T)$ . The total density is also plotted (dotted line).

are included), (iii) the longitudinal spin polarization gradient is not assumed to be constant, and (iv) we can also include a possible gradient of the precession frequency (i.e. the \e ective external magnetic eld" is position dependent).

## 4 Numerical sinulation

The kinetic equation (4,5) is solved num erically by propagating in time the initial distribution in a discretized phase-space using the Lax-W endro method (see e.g. [12]). We assume that the density in phase space is initially at equilibrium  $f = f^{eq} / \exp(\frac{x^2 = 2x_T^2}{k_B T = m !_{ax}^2} \text{ phi} f^{eq})$ , with  $x_T = \frac{p}{k_B T = m !_{ax}^2}$  and  $p_T = \frac{p}{m k_B T}$ . As the equilibrium  $p = 2p_T^2$ ), with distribution does not evolve in time under the action of (4), the local density is constant and we concentrate on the evolution of M described by the kinetic equation (5). The initial spin density distribution M is taken as the product of the Maxwell-Boltzmann equilibrium distribution along the longitudinal axis and a function which equals 1 to the left of the trap center (x <  $x_{T}$ ), +1 to the right  $(x > x_T)$  and has a constant positive slope through the center (  $x_T < x < x_T$ ). The resulting initial density of atom s in state 1 and 2 is shown in F igure 1.W e introduce a small initial transverse perturbation in order to start the instability. W ithout loss of generality, the perturbation can be written as a plane wave (with spatial frequency of order 1=x<sub>T</sub>) multiplied by the Maxwell-Boltzmann equilibrium distribution and divided by a number N 1 (typically between  $10^3$  and  $10^6$ ):

$$M_{?;1}(x;p) = f^{eq}(x;p)\cos(x=x_T)=N$$
 (10)

Parameters used in the simulation are taken from Ref. [1]. The axial trapping frequency is  $!_{ax}=2 = 7 \text{ Hz}$  and the time between collisions 10 ms, so that  $!_{ax}$ 

Fig. 2. Time evolution of the (normalized) transverse spin polarization m<sub>?,1</sub> (x;t)=m<sub>?,1</sub> (x;0) at di erent positions in the trap:  $x=x_T = 0$  (solid line); 5=25 (dashed line); 10=25 (dotted line); 15=25 (dashed-dotted line). For this simulation ' 22,  $!_{ax}$  ' 0:6, =!  $_{ax} = 2$ ,  $@_x m_k^0 = n$  (0) =  $2=x_T$  and N =  $10^3$ .

0:5. The \e ective external magnetic eld" is taken to be an inverted G aussian of depth (j  $\neq$ ! <sub>ax</sub> is varied between 0 and 2) and half-width  $x_T$ . The density at the center of the trap is n (0) = 1:8  $10^{19}$  m<sup>-3</sup>. The initial spin polarization gradient near the center of the trap is varied and typically  $j_{2x}m_k \neq n(0)$   $2=x_T \cdot For^{87}Rb$  atom s, with  $a_{12} = 5:2 \cdot 10^{-9} m$  and T = 0:6 K, the ISRE parameter is

5.W ith these parameters, an instability can already be observed in our simulations but is probably too weak to be detected experimentally. To enhance the e ect, we take a scattering length 5 times smaller ( $a_{12}$  !  $a_{12}$ =5) and an axial trap frequency 20 times smaller (!  $a_x$  ! !  $a_x$ =20), keeping a constant density at the center of the trap.W e discuss these matters further in the next section.

As the phase space is modeled by a discrete grid with nite size, it is important to distinguish between a physical instability and a num erical one. This can be easily done as only the latter will depend on the grid spacing. One can always get rid of a num erical instability by choosing a su ciently tight grid.

Results of the simulation are shown in Figures 2 and 3. The time evolution of the transverse spin polarization is plotted in Figure 2 for di erent positions near the center of the trap, where the longitudinal spin polarization gradient ism ost pronounced. The instability is clearly visible, owing to a large enhancement of the transverse spin polarization by a large factor comparable to N .Figure 3 shows the logarithm of the time evolution of the (normalized) transverse spin polarization at the center of the trap. In this representation, one can clearly distinguish the exponential rise of the envelope (after a short delay of order of the time between collisions) and its subsequent exponential decay.







F ig. 3. T in e evolution of the logarithm of the (norm alized) transverse spin polarization m<sub>?;1</sub> (0;t)=m<sub>?;1</sub> (0;0) at the center of the trap. The two lines are plotted to visualize the exponential rise of the instability (full line) and then the exponential decay of the spin wave (dashed line). The parameters have the sam e values than for the simulation of Fig. 2.

## 5 D iscussion

The initial rise of the transverse spin polarization shows that an instability indeed occurs. A salready stated, the results presented here were obtained for a scattering length  $a_{12}$  and trap frequency  $!_{ax}$  that were smaller than in the current experiment at JLA [1] (by factors of 5 and 20 respectively). This was done to enhance the e ect of the \spin m ean - eld" (to favor the instability) by increasing the ISRE parameter <sup>1</sup>. As will be seen below, we have to keep  $!_{ax}$ . 1, which in plies scaling the axial trap frequency with the square of the scattering length since <sup>1</sup> /  $a_{12}^2$ . This procedure for enhancing the ISRE in-

sures that the gas rem ains non-degenerate. The phenom enon observed in Fig.2 and 3 m ay be broken down into the following four steps:

I.) During a time of the order of the time between collisions 250 m s a hydrodynam ic description is not valid (the spin polarization current, which is a fast variable, has not reached its stationary value yet). Figures 2 and 3 show that the transverse spin polarization does not evolve signi cantly.

II.) Then, as shown by the solid line in Figure 3, the envelope of the transverse spin polarization rises exponentially (the coe cient in the exponential ! I is almost constant in time). This is a characteristic of an instability. The value of !I (as compared to the formula obtained by C astaing, see equation (8)) is modified by the presence of the trap. An estimate of the time needed for the instability to develop (see Ref. [9]) is given by  $t_{in\,st}$  I<sup>2</sup><sub>m</sub> =D ' 1=!<sup>2</sup><sub>ax</sub> 1 s (where I<sub>m</sub> is the char-

acteristic size of the longitudinal spin polarization gradient;  $L_{\rm m}$  ' $x_{\rm T}$  in a typical simulation). It reproduces correctly the order of magnitude of the observed maximum of transverse spin polarization in the simulation. The instability can develop only if the spin polarization current has reached its stationary value, so that  $< t_{\rm in\,st}$  which in plies  $!_{\rm ax}$ . 1.

III.) The imaginary part of the frequency  $!_{\rm I}$  varies slow ly in time because the gradient of longitudinal spin polarization is not constant but decays as a result of both spin di usion and the presence of the trap. The change of sign of  $!_{\rm I}$  m arks the end of the exponential grow . Once  $!_{\rm I}$  is negative, the transverse spin polarization decays as an ordinary dam ped spin wave.

IV.) The longitudinal spin polarization gradient nally decays on a time scale of the order of the diusion time  $L^2 = D$ 4 s where L, is the size of the cloud tdiff (L=x<sub>T</sub> 2). As emphasized by Kuklov and Meyerovich [9], if L >  $L_m$ , the instability develops faster than the longitudinal spin polarization relaxes, in accordance with the results of our simulation. Once the longitudinal spin polarization is zero, ! I ceases to evolve in time, and consequently the envelope of the transverse spin wave decreases exponentially (dashed line in Figure 3). This happens for t& t<sub>diff</sub>. The frequency of the spin wave is not com pletely determ ined by the realpart of the mode frequency in equation (8), as the gradient of external precession frequency, ß , and the presence of the trap are not taken into account. A ctually, its order of m agnitude (at the center of the trap) is given by the external precession frequency = 2! <sub>ax</sub> ′ 2 0:7 Hz.We have checked that when =! ax = 0, the transverse spin polarization at the center of the trap does not oscillate.

The criterion for an instability (see equation (9)) is qualitatively veri ed at the center of the trap if one takes into account the fact that wave vectors k are limited by the presence of the trap. This forces k > 2 = L and the criterion becomes

$$\frac{j \theta_{\rm x} m_{\rm k}^{0} j}{n(0)} > \frac{2}{L} - \frac{1}{x_{\rm T}}$$
(11)

Using an initial longitudinal spin polarization gradient  $(\mathfrak{g}_x \mathfrak{m}_k^0 = \mathfrak{n} (0) = 2 = \mathfrak{x}_T$  and L  $2\mathfrak{x}_T$  implies that the ISRE parameter should be larger than 2. In the simulation, we found an instability threshold at '4.0 btaining a signi cant instability requires a much larger value of the ISRE parameter.

We now discuss the relevance of this criterion for one of the experiments done at JILA (see the rst article of Ref. [1]), where spatial separation of the two internal states was observed as a result of an initial =2 rf pulse. The maximum longitudinal spin polarization occured at the maximum of spin state separation and can be estimated as  $\mathfrak{g}_{x}\mathfrak{m}_{k} = 0$  (0)  $1=\mathfrak{x}_{T}$  (at x = 2). Since the value of the ISRE parameter is 5, our numerically obtained criterion ( $\mathfrak{g}_{x}\mathfrak{m}_{k} = 0$ ) &  $\mathfrak{g}_{x}\mathfrak{m}_{k} = 0$ )  $\mathfrak{g}_{x}\mathfrak{m}_{k} = 0$  (b)  $\mathfrak{g}_{x}\mathfrak{m}_{k} = 0$ ) whether it is experimentally feasible in practice to reach transient total (or nearly total) separation of the two spin

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This could be done experimentally by using a Feshbach resonance to decrease the scattering length, as  $T = a_{12}$  where T is the de Broglie therm alwavelength.

states, so that, when re-m ixing, the longitudinal spin polarization gradient would be strong enough for C astaing's instability to develop, is not clear. A very strong initial gradient of longitudinal spin polarization with 5 m ay not be enough to start the instability because the spin di usion is very e cient in decreasing a strong gradient. O ne would have to maintain a strong longitudinal spin polarization gradient in order to create an instability with the current experimental value of .

# 6 Conclusion

Our num erical simulation con m s the possibility of observing Castaing's instability in a trapped ultra-cold gas with two internal states, as proposed by Kuklov and Meyerovich [9]. We solved a one dimensional kinetic equation num erically, and were therefore able to include e ects that are beyond the usual treatm ent of Castaing's instability in term sofa smallam plitude hydrodynam ic description.We argue that C astaing's instability was probably not relevant for the previous experiments done at JILA [1] as both the ISRE parameter and the longitudinal spin polarization gradient were too small. This does not preclude observation of the instability in future experiments if relevant param eters like the trapping frequency and the scattering length are chosen appropriately. We suggest the use of Castaing's instability as a way of creating spontaneous transverse spin waves as a result of a strong initial longitudinal spin polarization gradient. Our calculations are also valid for a non-degenerate gas of ferm ions (see Ref. [2]), where similar e ects could be observed, in a case where  $g_{11} = g_{22} = 0$  and the \spin m ean-eld" changes sign.

W e are gratefulto Franck Labe form any useful discussions and to Chris B idinosti for reading the m anuscript. Le Laboratoire K astler B rossel (LKB) est une Unite M ixte de Recherche du CNRS (UMR 8552), de l'ENS et de l'Universite Pierre et M arie C unie (Paris).

#### References

- H J. Lew andow ski, D M. Harber, D L. W hitaker and E A. Comell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, (2002) 07403; JM. M cG uirk, H J. Lew andow ski, D M. Harber, T. Nikuni, JE. W illiam s and E A. Comell, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, (2002) 090402.
- JN.Fuchs, D.G angardt and F.Laloe, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, (2002) 230404.
- 3. M D. Oktel and L. Levitov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, (2002) 230403; J.W illiam s, T.Nikuniand C.W. Clark, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, (2002) 230405.
- 4. C. Lhuillier and F. Laloe, J. Physique 43, (1982) 197.
- 5. E.P.Bashkin, JETP Lett. 33, (1981) 8.
- 6. C. Lhuillier and F. Laloe, J. Physique 43, (1982) 255.

- 7. B R. Johnson, J.S. Denker, N. Bigelow, L P. Levy, J.H. Freed, and D M. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, (1984) 1508; W J. Gully and W J.M ullin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, (1984) 1810; G. Tastevin, P.J.N acher, M. Leduc, F. Labe, J. Phys. Lett. 46, (1985) 249.
- 8. B. Castaing, Physica 126B, (1984) 212.
- 9. A.Kuklov and A.E.M eyerovich, Phys.Rev.A 66, (2002) 023607.
- 10. R J.Ragan and D M .Schwarz, J.Low Temp.Phys. 109, (1997) 775; R J.Ragan and R W .W eber, J.Low Temp.Phys. 118, (2000) 167.
- 11. A J.Leggett, J.Phys.C 3, (1970) 447.
- 12. W H. Press, B P. Flannery, S A. Teukolsky and W. T. Vetterling, Numerical Recipes (C J P., 1986), chapter 17.