Variational W ave Function for Generalized W igner Lattices in One D imension

Sim one Fratini¹, Belen Valenzuela² and D ionys Baerisw yl^3

- ¹ Laboratoire d'Etudes des Proprietes Electroniques des Solides, CNRS, 25 avenue des Martyrs, B.P. 166, F-38042 Grenoble Cedex 9, France
- ² Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales, CSIC, Cantoblanco E-28049 Madrid, Spain
- ³ Department of Physics, University of Fribourg, Perolles CH-1700 Fribourg, Switzerland

A bstract

We study a system of electrons on a one{dim ensional lattice, interacting through the long range C oulom b forces, by m eans of a variational technique which is the strong coupling analog of the G utzw iller approach. The problem is thus the quantum version of H ubbard's classicalm odel of the generalized W igner crystal [J. H ubbard, P hys. Rev. B 17, 494 (1978)]. The m agnetic exchange energy arising from quantum uctuations is calculated, and turns out to be sm aller than the energy scale governing charge degrees of freedom. This approach could be relevant in insulating quasi{one{dim ensional com pounds where the long range C oulom b interactions are not screened. In these com pounds charge order often appears at high tem peratures and coexists with m agnetic order at low tem peratures.

INTRODUCTION

The Luttinger Liquid is a paradigm form odels of one {dimensional interacting electrons. Remarkably, it not only holds for weak (bare) couplings, but remains valid up to strong couplings (for the special case of the Hubbard model up to U = 1). For short{range interactions, a sm all set of coupling constants, corresponding to forward, backward and Um klapp scattering, determines the low {energy behavior. For bong{range interactions, such as the unscreened C oulom b interaction, the dependence of the forward scattering on momentum transfer q has to be taken into account, as this term diverges logarithm ically for q ! 0. Nevertheless, the method of bosonization, which is so useful for weak short{range couplings, can be extended to this case. As shown by Schulz, this method predicts a ground state with quasi{long{range charge order for the hom ogeneous electron gas with long{range (l=r) C oulom b interaction [2]. This behavior is found to hold up to the limit of a very dilute gas where the C oulom b interaction dom inates and the ground state is a (W igner) crystal of electrons with strongly localized wave functions.

For narrow {band materials the elects of the underlying lattice have to be taken into account. For the case of C oulom b interactions this has been pointed out by H ubbard [1], who considered the extrem e lim it of zero bandwidth. In this case the problem is equivalent to that of a system of classical

1

charges distributed over the sites of a lattice and coupled to each other by the C oulom b interaction. We have extended Hubbard's considerations to a more realistic model including a small but nite hopping term. Using a variational wave function we are not only able to describe the incipient charge delocalization, but also to account for an antiferrom agnetic interaction induced by the exchange of electrons located on the neighboring sites of the W igner lattice [3]. For a small hopping am plitude t the energy scale for the charge degrees of freedom turns out to be much larger than that for the spin degrees of freedom.

2 VARIATIONALAPPROACH

We consider a system of one{dimensional fermions interacting via a local repulsion U and a long{ range C oulom b potential $V_m = V = jm$ j. The corresponding tight{binding H am iltonian is

$$H = t_{i}^{X} c_{i;}^{+} c_{i+1;} + h c: + U_{i}^{X} n_{i"} n_{i\#} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in j}^{X} V_{i} j n_{i} n_{j}; \qquad (1)$$

where $n_i = n_{i''} + n_{i\#}$ measures the density per site. The Fourier transform of the Coulom b potential is V (q) 2V logq for q ! 0 (we set the lattice constant equal to 1). In the following we lim it ourselves to the case of a quarter{ lled band (i.e., an average electron density of n = 1=2 per site). Hubbard's classical solution is then an alternation of occupied and empty sites, corresponding to a $4k_F$ charge modulation and uncoupled spin degrees of freedom.

For nite but smallt we use the variational ansatz

$$j_{B}i = e^{\hat{T}}j_{1}i; \qquad (2)$$

where is a variational parameter, \hat{T} is the kinetic energy operator and j₁ i is the ground state for t = 0, i.e., Hubbard's classical solution. This wave function, introduced as a counterpart of the G utzw iller ansatz to describe the ground state of the large U Hubbard model at half lling [4], has been successfully applied to the M ott{Hubbard transition [5]. The role of the operator e^{\hat{T}} is analogous to that of e^{\hat{D}} in G utzw iller's wavefunction, where double occupancy is suppressed in order to reduce the weight of con gurations with high potential energy. Here the factor e^{\hat{T}} suppresses states with high kinetic energy. In the lim it ! 1 only the state with the lowest kinetic energy, i.e., the Ferm i sea, survives.

For very large U, where double occupancy is expected to be completely suppressed, the charge degrees of freedom can be described in terms of spinless ferm ions coupled by long{range C oulom b forces, whereas the spin degrees of freedom are uncoupled. For spinless ferm ions, the variational energy is readily calculated and turns out to be very close to the Hartree{Fock approximation [3]. The relevant scale for charge excitations is the energy required for moving an electron from its position in the classical Hubbard con guration to an empty neighboring site, i.e.

$$_{c} = V \frac{\dot{x}}{_{l=1}} \frac{1}{1[(2l)^{2} - 1]} = 0.39V :$$
 (3)

Note that the charge gap would be larger ($_{nn} = 0.5V$) if we only retained interactions between electrons on nearest neighbors. This simple argument shows that even though the ground state con guration is well described by an \extended" Hubbard model, the spectrum of excited states is di erent when the long-range tail of the C oulom b potential is taken into account. In the latter case, the system is \softer" with respect to charge uctuations.

For nite but large values of U, the double occupancy does not vanish but is expected to be sm all. In order to take this e ect into account we consider a re ned wave function

$$j_{BG}i = e^{D}e^{T}j_{1}i; \qquad (4)$$

where \hat{D} m easures the number of doubly occupied sites. The starting ground state j_{1} i is now a superposition of all possible congurations of spins attached to the even (or odd) sites of the chain. The operator e \hat{T} again controls the delocalization of electrons away from their classical site, while the Gutzwiller operator e \hat{D} reduces the weight of congurations with doubly occupied sites. The ansatz (4), introduced for the Hubbard model by O tsuka [6], leads to a dram atic improvement of the ground state energy, as demonstrated for the exactly soluble one{dimensional Hubbard model with long{range hopping [7]. For sm all t, the energy can be worked out as an expansion in t=V, in close analogy to the procedure used for the Hubbard model [4]. In the large U limit we obtain for the minimum of the variational energy per site [3]

$${}_{BG} = \frac{1}{2\log 2} \frac{t^2}{1V} + J h_1 j_i^{X} {}^{0} S_i S_{i+2} \frac{1}{4} n_i n_{i+2} j_1 i;$$
 (5)

where the sum runs over all even sites and the exchange constant is given by

$$J = \frac{36 \log 2 t^4}{(15 \ 16 \log 2) (2 \log 2 \ 1)^2 V^3 + 6 (2 \log 2 \ 1)^2 V^2 U}$$
 (6)

The rst term in Eq. (5) is identical to the variational energy for spinless electrons in the large V lim it (or the spinful case for U ! 1). Here we see that for nite U we obtain an antiferrom agnetic coupling between the spins. The remaining problem of nding the best magnetic state is equivalent to the problem of determining the ground state of the one{dimensional Heisenberg model. Its solution is known thanks to Bethe. Recently it has been shown that the spin correlations decay like $(1)^{(i j)}$ (log ji $j)^{\frac{1}{2}} = ji$ jj [8]. Therefore our variational wave function exhibits long{range charge order and algebraic magnetic order.

3 D ISC U SS IO N

In this work, we have studied a one-dimensional system of electrons interacting through the long-range C oulom b forces. Starting from the strong coupling generalized W igner lattice, we have introduced a variational wave function which allows to treat the elects of quantum uctuations. As a result, m agnetic correlations develop out of the charge ordered con guration, with a lower energy scale.

Quasi one-dimensional organic compounds of the $(TM TTF)_2 X$ family [9], as well as the inorganic materials (D I+D CNQ I)_2 X [10], are known to exhibit charge ordered structures at temperatures T 100 200K. There are several experimental indications that the long-range electron-electron interactions are the common driving mechanism of the charge ordering: (i) the measured electronic conductivities are low, suggesting that the long-range tail of the C oulom b potential is not screened; (ii) a strong $4k_F$ superstructure, inferred from both X-ray and NMR spectroscopy, develops in the charge ordered region, which can not be ascribed to an ordinary $2k_F$ Ferm i surface instability; (iii) the $4k_F$ ordering is not necessarily associated to a structural transition; (iv) charge ordering sets in at a much higher tem perature than magnetic ordering.

In such compounds, where the lling is xed by stoichiom etry to one carrier every two sites, electron-electron correlations are generally treated theoretically in the fram ework of the extended

Hubbard model, which only retains on-site and nearest (neighbors interactions [11]. A lthough this can successfully reproduce the $4k_F$ charge correlations, new physics can in principle be expected if the full long (range potential is taken into account. For example, in the metallic regime, it is known that quasi (long (range order appears in purely one (dimensional systems due to the strong forward scattering associated to the 1=r behavior at long distances, regardless of the interaction strength [2]. In the charge ordered regime, which is the object of the present work, the spectrum of excited states can dier substantially from what expected in the extended Hubbard model. The charge gap is lower, leading to stronger charge uctuations, and to larger magnetic exchange energies. In compounds where the lling diers from n = 1=2, such as the TTF TCNQ (studied by Hubbard [1]), the use of the extended Hubbard model is even more debatable.

C learly, the phenom enology observed in quasi{one{dim ensional com pounds is much more com - plex than what emerges from the simple model considered here. The detailed phase diagram s are determ ined by the chain dim erization, anion size and symmetry, inter-chain couplings, etc. How - ever, the ubiquitous experimental signatures of the electron-electron interactions in such insulating system s call for a more system atic study of the role of the long-range C oulom b interactions.

A cknow ledgm ents

W e wish to thank F.N ad and J.P.P ouget for fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] J.Hubbard, Phys. Rev. B 17, 494 (1978).
- [2] H J. Schulz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 1864 (1993).
- [3] A detailed account of our approach can be found in B.Valenzuela, S.Fratini and D.Baeriswyl, manuscript in preparation.
- [4] D. Baeriswyl, in Nonlinearity in Condensed Matter, eds. A.R. Bishop et al., Springer Series in Solid State Sciences 69, 183 (1987).
- [5] M. D zierzawa, D. Baeriswyl and L.M. Martelo, Helv. Phys. Acta 70, 124 (1997); for a recent account see D. Baeriswyl, Found. Phys. 30, 2033 (2000).
- [6] H.Otsuka, J.Phys.Soc.Jpn. 61, 1645 (1992).
- [7] M.Dzierzawa, D.Baeriswyland M.DiStasio, Phys. Rev. B 51, 1993 (1995).
- [8] See, e.g., A. Auerbach, Interacting Electrons and Quantum Magnetism, Springer{Verlag, New York 1994.
- [9] F.Nad and P.M onceau in the present issue, and references therein.
- [10] K.Hiraki and K.Kanoda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 4737 (1998).
- [11] JE.Hirsch and D.J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B 29, 5554 (1984); S.M azum dar and SN.Dixit, Phys. Rev. B 34, 3683 (1986); H. Seo and H. Fukuyam a, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66, 1249 (1997).