## R igorous Proof of P seudospin Ferrom agnetism in Two-C om ponent B osonic System s with C om ponent-Independent Interactions

Kun Yang<sup>1;2</sup> and You-Quan L<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>N ational High M agnetic Field Laboratory and D epartment of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306

<sup>2</sup>Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China

(April 14, 2024)

For a two-component bosonic system, the components can be mapped onto a pseudo-spin degree of freedom with spin quantum number S = 1=2. We provide a rigorous proof that for a wide-range of real H am iltonians with component independent m ass and interaction, the ground state is a ferrom agnetic state with pseudospin fully polarized. The spin-wave excitations are studied and found to have quadratic dispersion relations at long wave length.

03.75 F i,05.30 Jp,76.50.+ g

There has been an explosion of interest in the physics of interacting bosonic systems since the realization of Bose-Einstein condensation in trapped alkali gases [1]. Recently, much attention has focused on bosons with internaldegrees of freedom. These discrete internaldegrees of freedom come from the (nearly) degenerate hyper ne levels or other sources that give rise to multiple com ponents for the bosons. Indeed, recent studies [2{8] dem onstrated that the multi-com ponent bosonic systems have much richer physics than their single-com ponent counterpart due to the additional degrees of freedom.

Despite intensive research, there has been relatively few rigorous results on these interesting system s. In this paper we study an example of such systems, namely a two-component bosonic system with componentindependent m ass and interactions [9]. O by jously the two com ponents of the boson can be mapped to a pseudo-spin degree of freedom with spin quantum number S = 1=2(i.e., pseudo-spin \up" state representing one com ponent, pseudo-spin \down" state representing the other component), and the interaction is pseudo-spin independent, thus the system is invariant under pseudo-spin SU (2) rotation. We prove rigorously that for a large class of real H am iltonians, the ground state of the system is a fully polarized pseudo-spin ferrom agnet. The origin of the ferrom agnetism is neither spin-dependent interaction (as there is none in our model), nor interparticle interaction as C oulom b interaction driven ferrom agnetism in electronic system s; instead it is due to the fact the kinetic energy term of a bosonic system forbids the ground state wave function to change sign, thus forcing a totally sym m etric spatial wave function and hence a totally sym metric (and fully polarized) pseudospin wave function. Thus the ferrom agnetism is driven by kinetic energy in our system . We will also study the ferrom agnetic spin wave spectra of the system under various conditions. For sim plicity we will refer to the pseudospin of the bosons as spin from now on.

Consider the following Hamiltonian describing Nidentical bosons:

$$H = \sum_{i}^{X} \frac{p_{i}^{2}}{2m} + U(r_{i}) + \sum_{i < j}^{X} V(r_{i} r_{j}):$$
(1)

Here U is the trapping potential and V is the twoparticle interaction. Our proof can also be generalized to cases with multi-body (three and above) interactions when present. Since H is spin independent, we have  $[H;S_i] = 0$ , where  $S_i$  is the spin operator of ith particle. In particular, it possesses the global SU (2) sym m etry:  $[H;S_{tot}] = 0$ , with  $S_{tot} = {}_i S_i$ . Thus the eigenkets of H may be chosen to be simultaneous eigenkets of  $S_{tot}^2$ and  $S_{tot}^z = (N * N *)=2$ .

We now proceed by considering the ground state of an enlarged Hilbert space for H, namely that of N distinguishable particles, i.e., no permutation symmetry is required for the wave function. Since H is spin independent and commutes with  $S_i^z$  for every 1 i N, in this enlarged Hilbert space we can always choose the eigenkets of H to be simultaneous eigenkets of  $S_i^z$ , so that the wave functions take a factorized form [10]:

$$(r_1; _1; _N; r_N) = (r_1; _N; r_1; _N;): (2)$$

Since the eigen energy is independent of the spin wave function, we only need to focus on the spatial wave function of the ground state:  $_0$  (r<sub>1</sub>; r<sub>2</sub>; N )r Since H is real,  $_0$  can be chosen to be real as well. We now prove that  $_0$  can be chosen to be real and non-negative, using a well-known trick. If o contains both positive and negative parts, we can then construct a trial wave function that is non-negative: N ;) i, and show that  $_{N}$ ;)r= j<sub>0</sub> (r<sub>1</sub>;r<sub>2</sub>;  $\sim_{0} (r_{1}; r_{2};$  $h_0^{+} H j_0^{-} i = h_0 H j_0 i$ . It is easy to see that the expectation values of the potential term s are the same; the expectation values of the kinetic energy term are also the same because the integrand is the exactly the sam e everywhere  $_{0} \in 0$ , while the singularity of  $r^{2} \sim_{0} w$  here  $_{0} = 0$  is not strong enough to overcome the speed that  $\sim_0 ! 0$  and makes no contribution. Thus we nd from variational principle that the ground state energy  $E_0$  h  $_0$  H j  $_0$  i. The equal sign holds only when  $\sim_0$  happens to be the true ground state, which is not the case under generic situations. Thus generically the ground state wave function  $_0$  is non-negative de nite, and moreover, positive de nite for non-singular U and V [11]. This also implies that the ground state must be non-degenerate, because if there existed two di erent ground state wave functions that are both non-negative, it is always possible to construct new ground state wave functions that have both positive and negative parts by making linear com bination of the two.

H aving established that  $_0$  is non-degenerate and nonnegative de nite under generic situations, it follows that it must also be totally symmetric:  $P_{ij} _0 = _0$ , where  $P_{ij}$ is the permutation operator for particles i and j. This is because  $P_{ij}$ ; H ] = 0 thus a non-degenerate eigenket of H must also be an eigenket of  $P_{ij}$ , and the fact  $_0$  is non-negative ensures the eigenvalue can only be + 1.

W e can now prove that the ground state wave function of the spin-1/2 bosonic system must take the form :

$$\int_{0}^{B} (\mathbf{r}_{1}; 1; N, \mathbf{r}_{N} \mathbf{r}_{N}) = (\mathbf{r}_{1}; N, \mathbf{r}_{N}) \mathbf{r}_{N=2} (1; N);$$
(3)

where  $_{N=2}(_{1}; _{N})$  is a spin wave function with quantum number  $S_{tot} = N = 2$ . First of all,  $_{0}^{B}$  is symmetric under permutation, since both  $_{0}$  and  $_{N=2}$  are symmetric under permutation. Secondly,  $_{0}^{B}$  is an eigen wave function of H with eigen value  $E_{0}$ , thus it must be one of the ground states. Lastly but most importantly, we need to prove the lowest energy state with other  $S_{tot} = S < N = 2$  quantum numbers have energies higher than  $E_{0}$ . W ithout losing generality we can choose to focus on states with  $S_{tot}^{z} = S_{tot} = S$ . Such a state takes the following form:

where P stands for permutation, and N<sub>1</sub> = N = 2 + S is the number of up spin particles. In order for  $_{\rm S}^{\rm B}$  to be an eigen wave function of H with eigen value E, s must be an eigen spatial wave function of H with eigen value E, which can be chosen to be real. Now we prove E > E<sub>0</sub>. Since  $_{\rm S}^{\rm B}$  is a common eigen ket of S<sup>2</sup><sub>tot</sub> and S<sup>z</sup><sub>tot</sub> with S<sup>z</sup><sub>tot</sub> = S<sub>tot</sub>, we have

$$S_{tot}^{+}j_{S}^{B}i = \sum_{i}^{X} S_{i}^{+}j_{S}^{B}i = 0;$$
 (5)

Since  $S_{tot}^+$  does not annihilate any term of  $j_s^B$  i expanded as in eq. (4), and matrix elements of  $S_i^+$  are real and positive in the  $S^z$  representation, in order for eq. (5) to be valid  $_S$  must contain both positive and negative parts. Thus  $E > E_0$ . We have thus proved that the ground state of the bosonic system described by the H am iltonian (1) must have  $S_{tot} = N = 2$  with degeneracy  $2S_{tot} + 1 = N + 1$ ; if there exists an in nitesim alm agnetic eld, the degeneracy will be lifted and the spins will be fully-polarized along the direction of the eld.

U sing sim ilar m ethods one can also prove the sam e is true for lattice H am iltonians of the form :

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} X & X & X \\ t_{ij} (b_{1}^{y} b_{j} + b_{j}^{y} b_{i}) + & U_{i}n_{i} + & V_{ij}n_{i}n_{j}; \\ (ij); & i & (ij) \end{pmatrix}$$
(6)

where  $b_i$  is the boson annihilation operator for site i and spin component ,  $t_{ij}$  are real and positive hopping matrix elements,  $V_{ij}$  is the two-body interaction potential, and  $n_i = b^y_{i^{\prime\prime}} b_{i^{\prime\prime}} + b^y_{i \sharp} b_{i \sharp}$  is the total boson number on site i. The lattice structure is not important and hence not speci ed.

W e em phasize our proof does not assume the presence of BEC; in fact it is valid in the absence of BEC as well, and we will discuss an example of this situation later. On the other hand it is crucial that the H am iltonian H is real, so that the eigen wave functions can be chosen to be real; the proof is no longer valid when the H am iltonian H is complex, for example when the bosons are charged and m oving in a m agnetic eld (with no Zeem an coupling so that the SU (2) sym m etry is present), or the bosons are placed in a rotating trap.

A physical consequence of the ferrom agnetism of the ground state is the presence of ferrom agnetic spin wave excitations. In the follow ing we study the spin wave spectra of two di erent situations, one in the continuum and the other in a lattice model.

Consider a continuum system described by the H am iltonian Eq. (1) with U = 0, so that the system is translationally invariant and all eigenkets of H can be chosen to have a momentum quantum number k. A natural variational wave function for a ferrom agnetic spin-wave state takes the form

$$ki = S_k \quad \text{Di}; \tag{7}$$

where jDi is the ground state with  $S_{tot} = S_{tot}^{z} = N = 2$ , and

$$S_{k} = (1 = \frac{p_{M}}{N})^{X} e^{ik r_{j}} S_{j} :$$
(8)

This is, of course, a straightforward generalization of the single-mode-approximation (SMA) for spinless bosons [12]. Thus a variational approximation (or upper bound) for the spin-wave spectrum is

$$E(k) = f(k)=s(k) = \frac{h^2 k^2}{2m};$$
 (9)

where

$$f(k) = hkj(H = E_0)ki = \frac{h^2k^2}{2m}$$
 (10)

is the sam e oscillator strength as in SM A, while here

$$s(k) = hk_{j}k_{i} = h0_{j}S_{k}^{+}S_{k}^{-}D_{i} = 1$$
 (11)

is the spin structure factor. In the SMA for spinless bosons the collective mode spectrum is linear for small k because the density structure factor is linear in k for small k [12]; here the spin-wave spectrum is quadratic as expected for H eisenberg ferrom agnets, and we nd that it is bounded from above by the single particle spectrum.

For bosons moving in a periodic potential [13], the system can be appropriately described by a tight-binding lattice H am iltonian of the form (6). In particular, if the periodic potential is strong enough the system loses BEC and becom es a M ott insulator [13]. In this case the charge degree of freedom of the bosons are frozen, but the spin degree of freedom are still active and as we show below, they can be described by the H eisenberg m odel with ferrom agnetic couplings. For sim plicity we consider a boson H ubbard m odel with nearest neighbor hopping and onsite repulsion, on a simple cubic lattice:

$$H = t \begin{pmatrix} X & X & X \\ (b_{i}^{y} b_{j} + b_{j}^{y} b_{i}) + (U=2) (n_{i} 1)^{2} \\ & \stackrel{\langle ij \rangle}{X} \\ = t & (b_{i}^{y} b_{j} + b_{j}^{y} b_{i}) (U=2) & n_{i} \\ & \stackrel{\langle ij \rangle}{X} \\ + & U n_{i} (n_{i} 1) = 2 + const; \end{pmatrix} (12)$$

and assume the number of bosons is the same as the number of lattice sites, thus there is one boson on every site in average. For very large U=t the bosons can not hop from one site to another due to the large potential energy cost, and the system is in the M ott insulator phase with no BEC.A straightforward second-order perturbation calculation in t=U yields an elective H eisenberg spin H am iltonian for the system :

$$H = J (S_{i} S_{j} + 3=4)$$
(13)

with ferrom agnetic coupling:

$$J = 4t^2 = U$$
: (14)

And the spin-wave spectrum takes the exact form

$$E (k) = (8t^2 = U) (3 \cos k_x \cos k_y \cos k_z)$$
(15)

in this lim it. This is exactly the opposite for the Hubbard model at half-lling for electrons, where the large  $U = t \lim it leads to a H eisenberg antiferrom agnet. We note$ in passing that in electronic systems, ferrom agnetism isusually associated with itinerant electrons while localizedelectrons usually give rise to antiferrom agnetism; thustwo-com ponent bosons trapped to lattice potentials provide new opportunities to study lattice ferrom agnets. W e now turn our discussion to the relation between our work and existing theoretical work on this and related elds.

Ho and Y in B] considered the general spin structure of Bose-E instein condensates of bosons with arbitrary spin. In their work they assumed weakly interacting bosons thus to a very good approximation, all bosons occupy the lowest-energy orbital state. They pointed out for spin-1/2 bosons due to Bose statistics, the bosons must also occupy the same spin state and the system is a ferrom agnet, and very appropriately term ed the ferrom agnetic state \statistical ferrom agnet". Our work has considerable overlap with theirs in spirit, and certainly com es to the sam e conclusion as theirs. On the other hand our proof is rigorous and more general; it applies to interacting bosons with arbitrary interaction strength and in particular, strongly interacting bosonic systems without BEC.We have thus generalized the statistical ferrom agnet to a much wider class of bosonic system s.

Rojo [6] studied two-component bosonic systems with component-dependent interactions, in which the intercom ponent interaction is alw aysm ore repulsive or less attractive than the intracom ponent interaction. He showed that in this case the ground state is \fully polarized" in the sense that only bosons of one component appear. In the spin analogy the interaction he considered has Ising anisotropy, and the system is an Ising ferrom agnet. Thus his work is complementary to ours, which studies a Heisenberg ferrom agnet. By the same token, in his m odel if the intercom ponent interaction were less repulsive or m ore attractive than the intracom ponent interaction, the interaction would have XY anisotropy and the system would be an XY ferrom agnet. He further found that as the two interactions become closer and closer to each other (or one is approaching the Heisenberg point), the velocity of one of the two linear collective m odes vanishes. This, as we see here, is because at the Heisenberg point the spin wave spectrum has quadratic dispersion at long wave length.

Li and coworkers [14] have studied one-dimensional SU (2) bosons with -function interactions. They used Bethe ansatz to obtain the ground and low-lying state spectra and quantum numbers, and showed the ground state is fully spin polarized and there is a quadratic spin wavem ode. Their results certainly agree with the general conclusions of the present work.

Pu et al. [4] studied possibility of ferrom agnetic states in bosonic systems originated from dipole-dipole interactions. In their model the ferrom agnetism comes from interactions that are magnetic in the rst place, which is very di erent from our case, where the ferrom agnetism is essentially kinetic energy driven.

Thus far, our discussions have been focusing on bosonic system s. Ferm ionic system s are of course very di erent, due to the di erence in statistics. On the other hand in two-dimensions (2D) the statistics of the particles can be transform ed through ux attachm ent transform ations [15]. Thus our results also have implications on electrons in a strong magnetic eld in the quantum Hall regime. For example, it gives a new perspective to the spin/pseudospin ferrom agnetism for single/bi-layer quantum Hallsystems at Landau level lling factor at = 1 [16,17], as the electrons can be mapped to bosons carrying one ux quantum, and the ux carried by the bosons cancel that of the external magnetic eld in average, thus at the mean-eld level these system s are mapped onto boson systems at zero magnetic eld, precisely the system we study here! Using the same transform ation one can also map spin-1/2 bosons in a magnetic eld with = 1 to ferm ions with zero eld, where one expects a singlet ground state. This has indeed been found to be the case in nite-size studies, for Coulomb interaction [18].

W e thank T.-L. (Jason) Ho for useful discussions and com m ents on the m anuscript. This work was supported by NSF G rant No. DMR-9971541, and the A.P.Sloan Foundation (KY), and trans-century project of China M inistry of Education and NSFC No.1-9975040 (YQL).

- [1] For a recent review, see, e.g., A.J. Leggett, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73, 307 (2001).
- [2] T.-L.Ho, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 742 (1998).
- [3] T.-L. Ho and L. Yin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2302 (2000).
- [4] H.Pu, W. Zhang, and P.M eytre, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 140405 (2001).
- [5] C.P.Search and P.R.Berm an, Phys.Rev.A 63, 043612
   (2001); C.P.Search, A.G.Rojo, and P.R.Berm an, Phys.Rev.A 64, 013615 (2002).
- [6] A.G.Rojp, Phys. Rev. A 64, 033608 (2001).
- [7] U.AlKhawaja and H.T.C.Stoof, Phys. Rev. A 64, 043612 (2001).
- [8] R.A.Battye, N.R.Cooper, and P.M. Sutcli e, Phys. Rev.Lett. 88, 080401 (2002).
- [9] A n explicit exam ple of such two-com ponent bosonic systems is trapped <sup>87</sup>R b with two speci c hyper ne levels being active; see C.J.M yatt, E.A.Burt, R.W. Ghrist, E.A.Cornell and C.E.W iem an, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 586 (1997).W hile in real systems like this one the interaction almost always has some com ponent dependence, we believe our exact results are still highly relevant.
- [10] This is only true for the enlarged H ibert space, but not true for the bosonic or ferm ionic system where speci c permutation symmetry is required for the wave functions.
- [11] This fact is well-known; see, e.g., R. P. Feynman, Statistical M echanics, Benjam in/Cum m ings, Reading, M A, 1981.
- [12] See R.P.Feynm an, in Ref. [11].
- [13] M.Greiner, O.M andel, T.Esslinger, T.Hansch, and I. Bloch, Nature 415, 39 (2002).
- [14] Y.-Q. Li, S.-J. Gu, Z.-J. Ying, and U. Eckern, cond-

m at/0107578; S.-J.Gu, Y.-Q.Li, Z.-J.Ying, and X A. Zhao, cond-m at/0111105.

- [15] For a review, see, e.g., S.-C. Zhang, Int. J. M od. Phys. 6, 25 (1992).
- [16] S. L. Sondhi, A. Karlhede, S. A. Kivelson, and E. H. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. B 47, 16419 (1993).
- [17] K. Yang, K. Moon, L. Zheng, A. H. MacDonald, S. M. Girvin, D. Yoshioka and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 732 (1994); K. Moon, H. Mori, K. Yang, S. M. Girvin, A. H. MacDonald, L. Zheng, D. Yoshioka and S.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. B 51, 5138 (1995); K. Yang, K. Moon, L. Belkhir, H. Mori, S. M. Girvin, A. H. MacDonald, L. Zheng and D. Yoshioka, Phys. Rev. B 54, 11644 (1996); K. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 065802 (2001).
- [18] E.H.Rezayi, private communication.