Level-statistics of D isordered System s: a single param etric form ulation

P ragya Shukla

(i) M ax-P lanck Institute for Physics of C om plex Systems, N othnitzer Str.38, D resden-01187, G erm any,
(ii) D epartment of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, K haragpur-721302, India.
(D ecem ber 26, 2021)

We nd that the statistics of levels undergoing metal-insulator transition in systems with multiparametric Gaussian disorders behaves in a way similar to that of the single parametric Brownian ensembles [1]. The latter appear during a Poisson! Wigner-Dyson transition, driven by a random perturbation. The analogy provides the analytical evidence for the single parameter scaling behaviour in disordered systems as well as a tool to obtain the level-correlations at the critical point for a wide range of disorders.

PACS numbers: 05.45+b, 03.65 sq, 05.40+j

The nature of the eigenfunctions can signi cantly affect the statistical behaviour of energy levels of a disordered system and thereby related physical properties eg transport. The presence of disorder may cause localized waves in the system, implying lack of interaction between certain parts. This is rejected in the structure of the Hamiltonian matrix which is sparse or banded in the site representation depending on the dimensionality of the system. The variation of the disorder-strength can lead to a metal-insulator transition (MII), with eigenfuctions changing from a fully extended state (m etal) to a strongly localized one (insulator) with partial localization in the critical region. The associated Hamiltonian also undergoes a transition from a full matrix to a sparse or banded form and nally to a diagonal matrix. The statistical studies of levels for various types of disorders require, therefore, analysis of dierent ensembles. Here the nature of the localization and its strength is re ected in the measure and the sparcity of the ensemble, respectively. Our objective in this paper is to obtain a mathem atical formulation for the level-correlations, com m on to a large class of disorders (G aussian type); the inform ation about the nature of disorder enters in the formulation through a param eter, basically a function of various param eters in uencing the localization.

Recently it was shown that the eigenvalue distributions of various ensembles, with a Gaussian measure, appear as non-equilibrium stages of a Brownian type di usion process [2]. Here the eigenvalues evolve with respect to a parameter related to the complexity of the system represented by the ensemble. The solution of the di usion equation for a given value of the parameter gives, therefore, the distribution of the eigenvalues, and thereby their correlations, for the corresponding system. The present study uses the technique to analyze the spectral properties of the levels undergoing MIT in various disordered systems.

The Anderson model for a disordered system is

described by a d-dimensional disordered lattice, of size L, with a Hamiltonian H = $_{n}$ $_{n}$ a_{n}^{T} a_{n} $_{n\, \bullet\, m}\,\, b_{\!m\,\, n}\,\, (a_{n}^{\!+}\, a_{\!m}\,\, +\,\, a_{n}\, a_{\!m}^{\!+}\,)$ in tight-binding approxim ation. The site energies n, m easured in units of the overlap integral between adjacent sites, correspond to the random potential. The hopping is assumed to connect only the z nearest-neighbors (referred by m) of each site. In the site representation, H turns out to be a sparse m atrix of size $N = L^d$ with diagonal m atrix elements $H_{kk} = k$ and o -diagonals H_{kl} describing the hopping. The level-statistics can therefore be studied by analyzing the properties of an ensemble of (i) sparse real sym metric m atrices, in presence of a time-reversal symmetry and (ii) sparse com plex Herm itian matrices in absence of a timereversal.

The M IT can be brought about by a competitive variation of the disorder and hopping rate which can be m in icked by a change of the distribution parameters of the ensemble measure (H). In this paper, we consider the case in which the site-energies $_{\rm i}$ are independent G aussian distributions. The hopping can be chosen to be nonrandom or random (G aussian). The (H), for any intermediate state of M IT can therefore be described by

(H;
$$\gamma$$
;b) = C exp[(1=2 $h_{kl;s}$) (H $_{kl;s}$ $b_{kl;s}$)²] (1)

with subscript "s" of a variable referring to its components, as their total number (= 1 for real variable, = 2 for the complex one), C as the normalization constant, h as the set of the variances $h_{kl;s} = \langle H_{kl;s}^2 \rangle$ and b as the set of all $b_{kl;s}$. As obvious, in the limit $h_{kl;l}$; $h_{kl;2}$! 0, eq.(1) corresponds to the non-random nature of H_{kl} ($H_{kl} = b_{kl;l} + ib_{kl;2}$). Here the strongly insulated state corresponds to all h_{kl} ! 0 (k & 1) and b_{kl} ! 0 (all k; 1), in plying no overlap between site states. The metal, with almost similar overlap between various site states, can be modeled by eq.(1) with all h_{kl} ! 1, that is, a Wigner Dyson (W D) ensemble [1].

The eigenvalue distribution for a metal is given by the W D distribution, and, for an insulator by a Poisson distribution [3]. The distribution for various transition stages can be obtained by integrating over the associated eigenvector space. Let P (;h;b) be the probability of nding eigenvalues $_i$ of H between $_i$ and $_i$ + d $_i$ at Rap given h and b, it can be expressed as P (;h;b) = $_{i=1}^{N}$ ($_i$ $_i$) (H ;h;b)dH . As discussed in ref. [2], it is possible to de ne a "com plexity" parameter Y , a function of various distribution parameters $h_k l_{is}$ and $b_k l_{is}$ [2],

$$Y = \frac{1}{2M} \ln^4 \frac{y^0 \quad Y}{\sum_{k, l; s = 1}^{k} j_{k, l; s} j_{k$$

(here the $\frac{Q}{m}$ implies a product over non-zero $b_{k\,l;s}$ and $x_{k\,l;s}$ 1 $g_{k\,l}h_{k\,l;s}$ only, $g_{k\,l}=2$ $_{k\,l}$, C as a constant determ ined by initial ensemble and M as the number of all non-zero parameters $x_{k\,l;s}$ and $b_{k\,l;s}$) such that the evolution of P with respect to Y results in the diusion of eigenvalues with a nite drift due to their mutual repulsion,

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial Y} = X \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x} 4 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + X \quad 3$$

The spectral correlations for M II can now be obtained by using following analogy. The eq.(3) is same as the equation governing an evolution of the distribution P of the eigenvalues, of a Hamiltonian $H = \int f(H_0 + V)$ with $^2 = e^2 (Y Y_0)$ 1 and $f = e^2 (Y Y_0)$, from an initial state H o to W D ensemble. The transition, referred as WDT later on, is caused by a random perturbation V, taken from a WD ensemble (of variance), and of strength [1,4]; the elements H_{kl} are thus Gaussian distributed with a variance $h_{kl} = (1 ext{ f})=2$, $h_{kk} = 1$ =2 and same mean for all of them . The transition (WDT) to equilibrium, with Y Y_0 as the evolution parameter, is rapid, discontinuous for in nite dimensions of matrices [1]. But for small-Y and large N, a smooth crossover can be seen in terms of a rescaled parameter = $(Y Y_0) = ^2$, with = N = as the mean-level spacing in the correlated region of the spectrum at Y Y_0 , as the correlated "volume" and (;Y) as the mean level spacing of the whole

spectrum. The interm ediate states, corresponding to nite, non-zero -values, during the crossover are known as Brownian ensembles (BE) [1,4] or Rosenzweig-Porter ensembles (RPE) [7,6,10]. The similar evolution equations of P for M IT and W D T, imply a similarity in their

eigenvalues distributions for all Y-values and thereby correlations for all -values, under similar initial conditions (that is, P (; Y_0) same for both the cases although (H; Y_0) may be dierent). In nite disordered systems, therefore, a continuous family of intermediate statistics exists between metal and insulator which can be described by the BE for the corresponding -value, occurring during Poisson! W D ensemble type W DT.

The behaviour of the m ean level spacing and the correlation volume divide the level-statistics for the large BE (N ! 1) into three regions [7]:

(i) N 2 (Y Y $_0$) ! 0: / N 1 and < N , giving ! 0 and P oisson statistics,

(ii) N 2 (Y Y_0) ! 1 : / N $^{1=2}$ and > N giving ! 1 and W D statistics.

(iii) N 2 (Y $_{0}$) = 1=2c, w ith cas an arbitrary constant w ith respect to N : although still behaves as o (1=N), but now N thus giving = 1=2c (referred as $_{\rm B\,E}$).

The = BE therefore corresponds to a third statistics, intermediate between Poisson and WD ensemble and is known as the critical Brownian ensemble (CBE). This being the case for arbitrary values of c, an in nite family of CBE occur during WDT. The presence of such a family can be seen from any of the uctuation m easures for W DT.One traditionally used meausure in this regard is relative behaviour of the tail of nearestneighbour spacing distribution P (s), de ned as (;) $_{0}$ (P (s) P_{w} (s))ds= $_{0}^{-1}$ (P $_{p}$ (s) P_{w} (s))ds w ith as any one of the crossing points of $P_{\rm w}$ (s) and $P_{\rm p}$ (s) (here subscript w and p refer to the W D case and Poisson case respectively). In the $\lim it N ! 1 , = 0$ and 1 for W DE and Poisson lim it respectively. The FIG 1 shows the num erically obtained behaviour of with respect to tc cj(for 2:02), with c corresponding to one of the CBEs. The convergence of all the points on two branches for di erent N -values con m s the existence of a CBE at c with a critical exponent! 1 (as (c) + constant: \dot{c} c N 1= near c). The N independence of (c) also indicates the possibility of innitely many c (and its arbitrarity) and therefore an in nite family of CBEs.

For disordered systems with in nite system size L, the states are critical near a particular disorder W (or energy), term ed as critical point, with a correlation length (W)/jW W j, as the critical exponent. At the critical point, L and the critical value of the parameter (referred as $_{A\,H}$) depends on the dimensionality; $_{A\,H}$ = (Y Y₀)= 2 , with both Y and dimensionality-dependent. A know ledge of $_{A\,H}$ can then be used to map the critical level statistics at M IT for various dimensions d > 2! 1 to the in nite family of critical Brownian ensembles (CBE).

The identication of the appropriate CBE corresponding to the critical Anderson Hamiltonian (CAH) re-

quires $_{\rm B\,E}$ = $_{\rm A\,H}$, and, thus a prior know ledge of value associated with CAH.We consider here one example (this case is also used in our numerical analysis). Consider an Anderson system with the Gaussian disorder, same for each site, and random or nonrandom hopping between nearest neighbours. The corresponding ensemble measure can be described by eq.(1) with $h_{kk} = W^2 = 2$, $b_{kk} = 0$ and $h_{kl} = W_1^2 = 2$, $b_{kl} =$ t for fk; lg pairs representing hopping, h_{kl} ! 0 and bkl ! 0 for all fk; lg values corresponding to dissconnected sites. This gives, by using eq.(2), Y = $(N = 2M) \ln j$ W^2 jj 2 W_1^2 j z=2 j + t0 j z + C. $H \text{ ere } M = N (N + z_{t0} + 2)$)=2 with zN as the number of the connected sites (nearest-neighbours) which depends on the topology and the dimensionality d of the system. Analogous to WDT, a rescal-Yo would give the parameter for smooth transition: = $2(a \quad a_0)^{-1}(=L)^d$ with a(;t) In jl W^2 jjl $2W_1^2$ jz=2j+ t_0 jz, and a_0 as the value of a at Y_0 . Here behaves as O (N $^{1=2}$) (as $N^{2}(Y Y_{0}) ! 1)$ and $= ^{d} w ith$ as the localization length which depends on disorder W and can be determined by using wavefunction statistics (e.g. inverse participation ratio). As disorder decreases, the (W) increases resulting in a smooth increase in nite systems, and, thereby the statistics intermediate between Poisson and W DE. However for in nite system sizes. ! 0 and the statistics is Poisson as long as < L. But at a certain disorder strength, L, which size-independent thus giving its critical value $2(a a_0)$ ¹. The level statistics of the system at this disorder strength is given by the CBE with the same -value and is critical due to (i) being di erent from both Poisson and W D behaviour, (ii) its invariance as N ! 1 . Now for a further decrease in disorder, > L, as a result! 1, and the system has a W D statistics. However, for an in nitely long one dimensional lattice with z nearest neghbours, the statistics always remains Poission irrespective of disorder strength. This is because z^2 =L! 0 for L! 1 unless there is a z^2 giving very long range connectivity in the lattice (i.e z However a crossover from Poisson to WD ensemble can be seen for nite L by varying the ratio $\hat{z} = L$.

In general, will be a function of coordination number, disorder strength, hopping rate and dimensionality of the lattice as well as the level-density of the system. The boundary conditions/ topologies, leading to dierent sparcity and coordination numbers, may therefore result in dierent critical level statistics even if degree of disorder, hopping rate and dimensionality is same; this is in agreement with numerical observations [11] and analytical study for 2D systems [12]. Similarly dierent dimensions, can lead to dienent local level-densities and thereby dierent critical points.

M any results for the spectral uctuations of the W D T

with Poisson ensemble as an initial state are already known [5] and can directly be used for the corresponding measures for the M IT in dierent disordered systems. For example, consider the 2-level density correlator R $_2$ (x;); R $_2$ (r;) = < (1;) (2;) > = < >²= $\frac{N!}{(N-2)!}$ P (;)d $_3$::d $_N$. Here (;)= N 1 i (i) is the density of eigenvalues and < ::> implying the ensemble average. The R $_2$ for the Anderson transition in presence of a magnetic eld, can therefore be given by the R $_2$ for W D T between Poisson! GUE ensemble [5,6]; R $_2$ = 1 Y $_2$ where

$$Y_2(r;) = \frac{4}{r} du F e^{2 u^2 4} u$$
 (4)

 $\frac{\text{y}}{\text{p}} \frac{\text{ith } F}{8u = I_2(z)} = \sin(ur)f_1 \cos(ur)f_2, \quad f_1 = (2=z)[I_1(z)]$ $\frac{\text{p}}{2u = I_2(z)}, \quad f_2 = (1=u)[I_2(z)] = \frac{2u}{2u = I_3(z)}, \quad z = \frac{2u}{2u = I_3(z)}$ $\overline{32}$ $2\overline{u^3}$ and I_n as the n^{th} Bessel function. Here $R_2(r;1) = 1$ (sin²(r)= ²r²) and $R_2(r;0) = 1$ corresponding to metal and insulator regime respectively. A substitution of = in eq.(4) will thus give the R_2 for the CAH. Sim ilarly the nearest-neighbour spacing distribution P (s) for the M II can be given by using the one for the BE during Poisson! GUE transition [13]: P (s;) / (2) $^{1=2}$ se $^{s^2=8}$ R_1 dx x 1 e x $^{x^2=8}$ sinh (xs=4). Further the good agreem ent of the num erically obtained P (s) for the CAH without time-reversal sym metry with that of a CBE (with same -value) recon mms our analytical result; the FIG 2(a,b) show the behaviour of the CAH in presence of a random hopping (CAH-Iwith W = 8:15, $W_1 = 1$, t = 0, and, thereby and a non-Random hopping (CAH- Π with W = 21:3, $W_1 = 0$, t = 1, thus 0:53), respectively along with their CBE analogs (c determined by the relation $_{AH}$ = $_{BE}$ = (2c) 1); see [8] for the details on the

An important characterstic of critical level statistics is the level compressibility , () 1 $_1$ Y₂(r;)dr; = 0;1 in the metallic and the insulator phase, respectively, and takes an intermediate value at the hybrid phase near the critical point. The eq.(4) can be used to obtain () = 1 4 $_0$ duf₁(z)exp[2 u^2 4 u]. The critical region, with its nite value (=), will thus have a level compressibility dierent from both metal and insulator regimes; a 0 < 1 value is supposed to be an indicator of the multifractal nature of the eigenvectors.

The compressibility of the spectrum can also be seen from the "number variance" $_2$ which describes the variance in the number of levels in an interval of rmean level spacings. The $_2$ (r), basically a measure of the "spectral rigidity" is related to the compressibility: $\lim r! 1$ r. The FIG 3 shows the numerical behaviour for the 2 (r;) for the CAH-I and CAH-II along with their CBE analogs; the good agreement in each case reveries our claim about the similarity between the MIT

and W D T. Furtherm ore FIG 3. also shows the fractional behaviour of for CBE, which is in agreement with the form given in the preceeding paragraph.

The statistical measures for the Anderson transition in presence of a time-reversal symmetry can similarly be obtained by using their equivalence to WDT from Poisson! GOE ensemble. However due to the technical di culties [5]), only some approximate results are known for the latter case. for example, the R_2 for small-r can be given as R_2 (r;) $(2r 1)^{1=2}J_{1=3}$ $(2r 1)^{3=2}=3$ $e^{r=2}$ with J(z) as the Bessel function (obtained by solving eq.(17) of [5]). Sim ilarly for large-r behaviour, R2 can be shown to satisfy the relation (see eq.(21) of [5]) $R_2(r;) = R_2(r; 1) +$ $^{1}_{1}$ dsR₂ (r s;0) R₂ (r s;1)=(s² + 4 2 2 2). B_{R} taking = 1 and R_{2} (r; 1) = 1 $\sin^{2}(r) = 2r^{2}$ r dxsin x= x $\frac{d}{dr}$ sin r= r (GOE limit), the R₂ (r;) for the transition in presence of a TRS can be obtained; $R_2(r; 1) + 4 = (r^2 + 4^{-2})^2$. The lack of the know ledge of R₂ (r;) for entire energyrange handicaps us in providing an exact form of . However the P (s) for this case can be given by using the one for a BE (RPE) during Poisson! GOE transition [13]: P (s;) = $(-8)^{1-2}$ se $s^2=16$ I₀ ($s^2=16$), with I₀ as the Bessel function.

In the end, a comparison of our results with some of the past studies is crucial. The presence of a fractional compressibility in an ensemble essentially same as CBE and its possibility as a model for CAH was also suggested in [9] which was later on contradicted in [10]. The claim in [10] is disproved by our numerical studies of both the transitions, showing similar behaviour for compressibility, besides other actuation measures at the critical point. The numerical work therefore reams our claim

based on the exact analytical work: (i) the level-statistics for M IT in disordered systems with a Gaussian disorder can be described by the same for W D T with a Poisson initial condition, (ii) the level-statistics in the disordered systems is indeed governed by a single scaling parameter.

- [1] F D yson, J.M ath. Phys. 3, 1191 (1962).
- [2] P.Shukla, Phys. Rev. E 62, 2098, 2000;
- [3] B JShklovskii, B Shapiro, B R Sears, P Lambrianides and H B Shore, Phys. Rev. B, 47, 11487 (1993).
- [4] F Haake, Quantum Signature of Chaos, Springer, Berlin (1991).
- [5] A Pandey, Chaos, Solitons and Fractals, 5, (1995).
- [6] H Kunz and B Shapiro, Phys. Rev. E, 58, 400, (1998).
- [7] B Shapiro, Int J M od Phys. B, 10, 3539, (1996).
- [8] The ensemble of matrices considered in each BE (N = 3000) and CAH (L = 13) case consisted of 300 matrices. To avoid mixing of the levels with dierent transition rates, we used only 27% of the levels from middle of the spectrum of each matrix.
- [9] M F Hussein and M P Pato, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80, 1003, 1998.
- [10] M Janssen, B Shapiro, I.Varga, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3048, (1998).
- [11] D Braun, G M ontam baux and M Pascaud, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 1062, 1998.
- [12] V E K ravtsov and V J.Yudson, PhysRev Lett. 82, 157, 1999
- [13] V K B K ota and S Sum edha, Phys. Rev. E, 60, 3405, (1999); S Tom sovic, Ph D Thesis, University of Rochester (1986).

I.FIGURE CAPTION

CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. vs jc c j for W D T

Fig. 2.P (S) vs S for (A) CAH-I and the corresponding CBE (c= 0.015), (b) CAH-II and the CBE with c= 0.3.

Fig. 3. 2 vsr for (i) CAH-I and CBE with c= 0:3, (ii) CAH-II and the CBE with c= 0:015.







