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#### Abstract

W e present a generalization of the continuum theory of vortex $m$ atter for non－uniform super uid density．This theory explains the striking regularity of vortex lattices observed in Bose E instein condensates，and predicts the frequencies of long－w avelength lattice excitations．


D ense lattices of quantized vortices in rotating B ose－ E instein condensates（ BECs ）［1，2］are strikingly more regular than nite vortex arrays in hom ogeneous super－ uid B3（see Fig．1），even though BEC densities vary greatly over the sam ple．This Letter generalizes the Feynm an－T kachenko 44，5］continuum theory of vortex $m$ atter＇to cases in which the condensate density varies slow ly on the scale of the lattice spacing．This theory explains the lattioes＇surprising regularity，and nd pro－ nounced e ects of nonuniform density on lattioe excita－ tions．


F IG ．1：（a）Static lattice according to Eq．14），translated and rotated to $m$ atch（b）experim entaldata courtesy of JR．A．bo－ Shaeer．C ompare（c）vortex array in constant $\left(217_{2}\right.$ from Fig． 5 of Ref．目］）．

W e consider a two－dim ensional regular array of vor－ tioes，w hether realized in a very oblate B E C ，or asparallel vortex lines in a prolate one．Denoting the lattioe length scale by b and introducing dim ensionless com plex co－ ordinates $\mathrm{bz}=\mathrm{x}+$ iy，a regular lattice has the positions $z_{j k}$ of parallel vortex lines given by $z_{j k}=z_{j k}^{0} \quad k+j$ for $=1_{1}+i_{2}(2>0$ and $i$ real）．Much is known about vortex lattioes in super uids of constant density
，sim ply from incom pressible hydrodynam ics；and it is all simpli ed by using dim ensionless variables，express－ ing time，velocity，and energy in lattice units $M b^{2}=\sim$ ， $\sim=(M b), \sim^{2}=\left(M b^{2}\right)$ respectively，for $M$ the $m$ ass of the particles com posing the super uid．The regular trian－ gular case $=(1+i \overline{3})=2$ is the ground state 国］of a sample rotating at dim ensionless rate $==2$ ．The ir－ rotationalvelocity eld $v \quad v_{x}+i v_{y}$ consistsofa ne eld $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{f}}$ ，which is periodic on the lattioe scale，plus a coarse
eld $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}$ obeying Feynm an＇s criterion 目］

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}} \quad @_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}=2 \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{v}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for a regular lattice the vortex density v is $1={ }_{2}$ ． （In our com plex notation $2 \varrho_{z} A=b(\widetilde{r} \quad \overparen{A}+i \tilde{r} \quad \mathbb{A})$ for any A．W e assum e counter－clockw ise rotation．）A nd long－w avelength excitations of the lattioe，$z_{j k}(t)!z_{j k}^{0}+$ D $z_{j k}^{0} ; z_{j k}^{0}$ ； obey the wave equations 国］

$$
\begin{align*}
& i @_{t} @_{z} D @_{z} D=2 @_{z} D+@_{z} D  \tag{2}\\
& i @_{t} @_{z} D+@_{z} D=\frac{1}{2} @_{z z} @_{z} D \quad @_{z} D: \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

（ $E$ xtensions to three－dim ensionalvortex $m$ atter 目，［7］be－ com e considerably $m$ ore com plicated．）

A though current dihute gaseous BEC s are com press－ ible uids govemed by the $G$ ross $P$ itaevskii equation （GPE）［0］，much ofBEC vortex physics can be cast into a sim pler hydrodynam ic form．In our dim ensionless vari－ ables，the GPE in a fram e rotating about the co－ordinate origin $m$ ay be $w$ ritten

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.@_{\mathrm{t}}=@ \quad @_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{v})+@_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{v})\right]  \tag{4}\\
& \varrho_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~V}=\varrho_{\mathrm{z}} \dot{\mathrm{~V}} \quad \mathrm{iz} j^{2}+2 \mathrm{~V}+2 \mathrm{~g} \quad \frac{\varrho_{\mathrm{zz}} \mathrm{p}-}{\mathrm{P}-}  \tag{5}\\
& \text { V } \quad V_{\text {trap }} \quad \frac{2}{2} \dot{\operatorname{j}} \text { 予 }
\end{align*}
$$

where $v$ is still the lab－fram e velocity，is the polar an－ gular co－ordinate， $\mathrm{V}_{\text {trap }}(\mathrm{z} ; \mathrm{z})$ is the trap potential，and g is the dim ensionless 2D coupling，determ ined by atom ic and trap param eters．Except very near vortex cores， the rotating－frame velocity $v i z$ is of order unity； and $\quad{ }^{1=2} \varrho_{z z} \mathrm{P}$－is no larger，except in cores and sam－ ple edges，which $m$ ay be treated separately as bound－ ary layers．In current experim ents the healing length
（g ）${ }^{1=2}$ ；which sets the vortex core size，is every－ where else $m$ uch sm aller than b ．

So，outside vortex cores，the leading order results in the co－rotating fram e are

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\text { const. } \frac{\mathrm{V}}{\mathrm{~g}}  \tag{6}\\
@ & =\varrho_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{v})+\varrho_{\mathrm{z}}(\mathrm{v}): \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus depends on $v$ only through the centrifugalm od$i$ cation of the trap potential. The velocity eld, v is determ ined by (7), plus the condition of irrotationality except at the quantized vortex cores:

$$
\left.@_{z} v \quad @_{z} v=2{\underset{j k}{X}}_{i^{x}} \quad{ }^{z} \quad z_{j k}\right):
$$

This, with $\tilde{r} \quad v=0$ instead of $]_{7}$ ), is the starting point for $R$ ef. 5]. So for constant $V$, and hence uniform , the results of the incom pressible case also apply to BECs.

If varies slow ly in space, w ill not inhom ogeneous effects be sm all? N ot obviously: like itself, the lattice shape $m$ ight vary slow ly, but change greatly over the whole sam ple. Indeed, even for constant in the ground state of a nite vortex array, C am pbelland Zi Bh found gradualbut cum ulatively large distortions. But there is a basic problem in extending their analysis to non-uniform

Investigations of hom ogeneous vortex $m$ atter have generally relied on the exact single-vortex solution $\mathrm{v}=$ $\mathrm{v}_{1}\left(\mathrm{z} \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{jk}}\right)$ to (7) and (8) for constant, which one $m$ ay sim ply sum over the vortex labels j;k, because (7) and (G) are linear in v. For non-constant the fam ir iar $v_{1}(z)=i=z$ satis es (8) but not (7), and so we do not have the exact single-vortex solution. Perturbative approxim ations about $\mathrm{v}_{1}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{z}$ as an ansatz break dow $n$ at distances from the core beyond the length scale of the density variation [9]. So the few-vortex problem in inhom ogeneous BEC s becom es analytically intractable. For su ciently dense lattioes, how ever, inhom ogeneous vortex $m$ atter yields to a di erent approach.

By a dense' vortex lattice, we mean $=(" z ; " z)$ for sm all". (For a round harm onic trap w ith Thom asFerm i radius R, " $=\mathrm{b}=\mathrm{R}$, giving " $0: 1$ in current experi$m$ ents.) W e can therefore perturb in "; but to distinguish sm allness from slow ness, wem ust use m ultiple scale analysis (M SA) [10]. This form alism produces coarse-scale equations of $m$ otion for $D$, from which all lattice-scale physics has been elim inated, in the sam e sense that highfrequencies are elim inated by adiabatic m ethods. These w ill be our generalizations of (7) and (8).

The application of MSA leads to a rather involved derivation the details ofw hich w illbe reported elsew here; here we outline its steps and report its conclusions. We begin by satisfying (7) identically by de ning

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=i z+\stackrel{i}{-}_{z}(F) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for realF. W e use vortex-centered co-ordinates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{z}^{0}+\mathrm{D}\left(\mathrm{z}^{0} ; \mathrm{z}^{0} ; \mathrm{t}\right) ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $z_{j k}^{0}=z_{j k}^{0}$ regardless of $D$. We do this so that in the $z^{0}$ co-ordinates we alw ays have a regular lattice, whose sym $m$ etries we can exploit, even though the physical lattice $m$ ay be distorted by excitations, or by a
static D eld induced by inhom ogeneous. In the nonC artesian $z^{0}$ co-ordinates, Eqn. (G) becom es

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Re} @_{z^{0}} \frac{@_{z^{0}} 2\left(@_{z^{0}} D\right) @_{z^{0}}}{} F=X_{j k} \quad 2 z^{0} \quad z_{j k}^{0} \\
& 1+@_{z^{0}} D+@_{z^{0}} D+\begin{array}{ll}
@_{z^{0}} D & @_{z^{0}} D \\
@_{z^{0}} D & @_{z^{0}} D
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

This show sexplicitly that only gradients in D a ect F.
M SA then embeds the physical $z^{0}-\mathrm{plane}$ in a ctitious 4-space of com plex co-ordinates $; Z$, as the subspace $(; Z)=\left(z^{0} ; z^{0}\right)$. This provides $@_{z^{0}}$ ! @ + "@ $@_{z}$, etc., and proceeding perturbatively in ", we are able to w rite explicit solutions (in term s of rapidly converging series) for the -dependence of $F$ at every order (we need to go to third). As usual with M SA, the gauge freedom' in how functions depend explicitly on the two extra dim ensions is used to rem ove solutions grow ing secularly w ith , by constraining the purely $Z$-dependent part of $F$ (which we denote by $F_{c}$ ). O nce we restrict back to physical two-space by setting $Z="^{\prime} z^{0} ;=z^{0}$, and retum from vortex- xed $z^{0}$ to $C$ artesian $z$, we recognize the constraint on $F_{c}$ as just what is needed to $m$ aintain Feynm an's condition (1), for $v$ as perturbed by D:

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{\mathrm{z}} \frac{@_{\mathrm{z}}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)}{}+@_{\mathrm{z}} \frac{@_{\mathrm{z}}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)}{=}=2 \quad @_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{D}+@_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{D} ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we drop determ inant term $s$ quadratic in $D$ because we will have D order " or sm aller).
$H$ aving solved for $F$; and hence $v$; in term $s$ of explicit lattioe-periodic functions and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}$, we know the local uid velocity near each vortex. This xes the instantaneous vortex translationalvelocity eld D-; but the xing is not trivial. Since the hydrodynam ic approxim ation to the GPE breaks down within $\dot{k} \quad z_{j k} j \quad=b$, in these $s m$ all regions we m ust solve the tim e-dependent GPE using a di erent perturbation theory, based on Taylor-expanding $V$ about $z_{j k}$. $M$ atching the hydrodynam ic and core solutions sm oothly together (see Refs. [9, 11]) nally yields, to leading order in ",

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { i D }= & \frac{1}{2} @_{\mathrm{z}} @_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{D}+\varrho_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{D} \\
& @_{\mathrm{z}}\left(\varrho_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{D}\right)  \tag{12}\\
& +\ln \frac{\mathrm{b}}{2}+1: 17 @_{\mathrm{z}}\left(\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{c}}\right) \\
& 0: 20 @_{\mathrm{z}} \frac{\left(@_{\mathrm{z}}\right)^{2}}{}
\end{align*}
$$

which is expressed in the co-rotating fram e.
Eqns. (11) and (12) are ourm ain results. The num erical co-e cients in (2) include som e num erically evaluated contributions from the nonlinear core regions (com pare with [11]), and also functions of , generally related to fiunctions, evaluated for the triangular case $=(1+i \bar{i} \overline{3})=2$. For general (i.e. for lattioes other than the regular triangular), (12) w ould have severaladditionalterm $s$, such as $B() @_{z z} D$, where B ( ) is another
rapidly converging series. M odular covariance (a general type of lattioe sym $m$ etry) of the extra co-e cients like $B()$ constrains them to vanish when $=\frac{1+i \frac{p}{2}}{2}$.

M SA implies that the lattioe scale $b$ is to the vortex $m$ atter equations $m$ uch as the healing length is to the hydrodynam ic equations that underly them. T hus Eqns. (11) and (12) should be accurate except at distances of order b or less from of the edge of a vortex array. But is this claim really com patible w ith the results of C am pbell and Zi 3 for nite vortex arrays in in nite hom ogeneous super uid? Setting constant, to leading order in " ${ }^{2}$ the pair (11) (12) reduces to (2) and (3), and setting $D-$ ! $D_{0}=0$, we nd a wealth of solutions to these fourth order equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}=X_{m=1}^{X^{1}} a_{m} z^{m} \quad 1+(m+1) b_{m} z^{m} z \quad b_{m} z^{m+1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for arbitrary com plex constants $a_{m} ; \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{m}}$. A priori it is unclear what boundary condition $D$ should respect at the array edge; how ever if we assum e that the edge should be a uniform circle of vortices, tting leads to a unique com bination of $\mathrm{m} u$ ltipolar distortions w ith $\mathrm{m}=6 ; 12 ; 18:::$. $F$ igure 2 show $s$ that stopping at only $m=12$ gives quite good agreem ent w ith the rst excited state for 217 vortioes found in Ref. [1]. (T he ground state di ers only in the outerm ost ring.)


FIG.2: D istortions of a nite vortex array in in nite hom ogeneous super uid. Fig . 2a) show the com bination ofm $=0 ; 6$; and 12 solutions that $m$ akes the outer ring $m$ ost circular and evenly spaced, while 2b) is a) overlaid on array $217_{2}$ of F ig. 5 of Ref. 3].

If we set $=0\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \left.\mathbf{N}^{2} \text { 立手 }\right) \text { for a BEC in a round }\end{array}\right.$ harm onic trap, though, the only distortion forced on us by the inhom ogeneity is the very $m$ ild

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{P}_{3}}{4} \frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2} \mathrm{z}}{1 \mathrm{~m}^{2} \dot{\mathrm{z}}^{2}} \quad \ln \frac{\mathrm{~b}}{2}+1: 17+0 \quad \mathrm{n}^{4} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his scarcely visible radial shift of each vortex is shown in $F$ ig. 1 , for $"=1=6=(0)=\mathrm{b}$. For vortiges very close to the TF surface where form ally ! 1 , (14) spuriously predicts large inw ard displacem ents. (Four such vortioes have been excised from Fig. 1a.) A part from this failure in the lattice-edge boundary layer of thickness $b$, the accord $w$ ith experim ent is excellent.
$U \operatorname{sing}$ (9) and 12), the $v_{c}$ associated $w$ ith this $D_{0}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c} 0}=\mathrm{iz} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~m}^{2}\left[\mathrm{n} \frac{\mathrm{~b}}{2}+1: 17\right]}{1 \mathrm{"}^{2} \dot{\mathrm{z}}^{2}{ }^{2}}: \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

This purely azim uthal ow is slightly less than rigid body rotation at, and the $m$ agnitude of the back ow increases with radius. This agrees qualitatively $w$ th the num erical results show $n$ in F ig. 5 of Ref. 12].

A ny static distortions foroed by boundary conditions, like those of Fig. (2), would appear as zero-frequency $m$ odes am ong the collective excitations; so to these we now tum. W ewrite $D=D_{0}+\varrho_{z}(P+i Q)$, w ithout loss ofgenerally, for realP; $Q . T$ hen $R e f @_{z}[(12)=] g$ and (11) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
@_{\mathrm{zz}} Q=2 @_{z z} \mathrm{P} \quad 1+\mathrm{O}\left({ }^{2}\right): \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since only D is physical, any term $s$ in $P$ annihilated by $\varrho_{z z}$ are of form $f(z)+f(z)$ and so can be absorbed in $Q$ asi[f (z) $f(z)]$. A nd since one can easily show that Laplacian-free term $s$ in $Q$ $m$ ust be tim e-independent to leading order in " ${ }^{2}$, we can set $P=\frac{1}{2} Q$.

Introducing polar co-ordinates re $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}}=\mathrm{z}$, so that $=$ $o_{0}\left(1 \quad r^{2}\right)$, we can write $Q=g_{n n}(r) \cos \left(m \quad!_{m n t}\right)$ (for arbitrary constant and angular and radialquantum numbers $m$ and $n$ ). Considering rst the case $m=0$; where @ $F_{C}=0$, the im aginary part ofe ${ }^{i}$ 12) im plies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4!_{0 n}^{2}}{N^{2}} @_{r} \varrho_{0 n}=\frac{@_{r}+2 r^{1}}{\left(1 r^{2}\right)} 1 \quad r^{2} \quad @_{r} \quad r^{1} @_{r} 9_{0 n} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

phis order ": Frobenius analysis show s that only one solution to this second order equation for $@_{r} q_{n n}$ is nite at $r$ ! 0 , and imposing niteness at $r$ ! 1 as well xes the discrete spectrum . (M odi ed behavior w ithin the boundary layer r \& 1 " will be able to reconcile our coarse-scale D and $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{w}$ ith m icroscopic boundary conditions, as long as our functions rem ain regular; com pare the hydrodynam ic derivation of collective $m$ odes in the vortex-free BEC [13].) Num erical search yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
!_{o n}=" f 0 ; 1: 43 ; 2: 32 ; 3: 18 ; 4: 03 ;:: \text { : }: \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The zero $m$ ode is global rotation of the lattice, $q_{00}=r^{2}$.
$T$ hese results are unsurprising for $T$ kachenko waves in a nite cylindricalsystem; but form 6 , the dynam ics is very di erent. To elim inate $F_{c}$ we m ust take $\operatorname{Im} \llbracket_{z}$ (12)], and the non-constant leavesa rstorder tim ederivative on the left side, im plying $!_{m} n$ of order " ${ }^{2}$ instead of ": O ur leading order equation is thus rst order in $t$ but fourth in $r$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{16 m!m n}{N^{2}} q_{n n}= & @_{r r}+r^{1} @_{r} m^{2} r^{2} \quad 4 r @_{r} \\
& @_{r r}+r^{1} @_{r} \quad m^{2} r^{2} q_{n n}: \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

This equation is quite singular（Frobenius analysis show s that of the four solutions only one is nite at both $r=0 ; 1)$ ；but its di enential operator is $H$ em itian and self－dual，and its regular solution has a rapidly con－ verging Frobenius series in r：From（12）we see that the radial com ponent of $v_{c}$ blows up at $r=1$ unless $@_{r r} \quad r^{1} @_{r} m^{2} r{ }^{2} q_{n n}(1)=0$ ．This boundary con－ dition on the regular solutions $q_{n n}(r)$ xes the discrete spectrum，which $m$ ay be found by sum $m$ ing Frobenius series num erically：

| $\frac{!_{m} n}{n^{2}}$ | $m=1$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{n}=0$ | 0 | 0.365 | 0.900 | 1.60 | 2.46 | 3.49 |
| 1 | 2.93 | 5.02 | 7.53 | 10.5 | 13.8 | 17.5 |
| 2 | 32.0 | 31.3 | 35.6 | 41.6 | 48.8 | 56.8 |
| 3 | 130. | 105. | 106. | 113. | 124.137. |  |

and $s o$ on．$T$ he translationalzero $m$ ode is $q_{10}=r$ ．$T$ hese eigenvalues of a fourth order equation increase rapidly w ith radial quantum number n ，and as the co－e cients reach order＂${ }^{1}$（19）becom es invalid，and W K B－like $T$ kachenko waves will em erge instead．

The only zero－frequency solution satisfying the bound－ ary conditions is the rigid translation；and so 14）is the full static distortion．This re ects the fact that is so sm all at the edges of the lattice that no boundary ener－ gies are large enough to in uence the bulk lattioe．

Only positive $m$ have been reported，because！m；n $=$ $!_{m} n$ and replacing $m$ ！$m$ leaves our ansatz fore un－ changed．$W$ hat this $m$ eans is that the nonuniform has drastically split the degeneracy of the two m odes that would，for constant，be proportional to $e^{i m}$ ，with frequency of order＂．The linear com bination propor－ tionalto cos（m ！ $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{t}$ ）propagatesm uch m ore slow ly，in the co－rotating fram e；evidently the orthogonalcom bina－ tion，which we have not exam ined，propagatesm uch $m$ ore quickly．（T he distortion pattems rotate about the origin； the vortioes follow elliptical orbits about their equilib－ rium positions：see Fig．3．）Thus the low est frequency lattice $m$ odes have a rst order dynam ics，and only half as $m$ any distinct $m$ odes as for constant．


F IG ．3：Vortex lattice excitations in a round harm onic trap． The grey trails＇indicate vortex $m$ otion．Left：$m ; n=2 ; 0$ ； com pare F igure 3 b ）of $R$ ef．（⿴囗⿱一兀寸］． R ight： $\mathrm{m} ; \mathrm{n}=0 ; 1$ ，in which $m$ otion is alm ost purely angular，and $m$ uch faster．
$F$ inally，note that for the quadrupole $m$ ode $!_{20} \in 0$ （the zero eigenvalue solution $\Phi_{20}=r^{2}$ does not satisfy the boundary condition）．Since it is this m ode which would distort the equilateral triangular lattice into the m oderately di erent regular lattioes that are also dynam－ ically stable on short wavelengths［5］，we conclude that although stable in bulk those lattioes are frustrated in the nite system，and cannot even be stationary w ith－ out slow but cum ulatively large distortions．Review ing our calculations in this context，it is clear that the only reason the equilateral triangular lattice does not su er a sim ilar fate is the vanishing，due to lattice sym $m$ etry， of several aw kw ard term sfrom（12）．O nce the threat of cum ulative distortion is lifted，it is not surprising that $m$ erely local distortion is of order＂${ }^{2}$ ．So the regularity of the observed BEC vortex lattices is ultim ately due to their triangular structure．A n engineer w ould attribute this to triangular rigidity，and a $m$ athem atician to the fact that the triangular lattioe is the $Z_{3}$ xed point of the m odular group．P hysicists are entitled to arbitrary linear com binations of the two explanations．
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