arXiv:cond-mat/0210065v2 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 19 Mar 2003

Entropy of chains placed on the square lattice

Wellington G. Dantas* and Jürgen F. Stilck†
Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal Fluminense,
Campus da Praia Vermelha,
Niterói, RJ, 24.210-340, Brazil.
(Dated: 12th January 2022)

We obtain the entropy of flexible linear chains composed of M monomers placed on the square lattice using a transfer matrix approach. An excluded volume interaction is included by considering the chains to be self-and mutually avoiding, and a fraction ρ of the sites are occupied by monomers. We solve the problem exactly on stripes of increasing width m and then extrapolate our results to the two-dimensional limit $m \to \infty$ using finite-size scaling. The extrapolated results for several finite values of M and in the polymer limit $M \to \infty$ for the cases where all lattice sites are occupied ($\rho = 1$) and for the partially filled case $\rho < 1$ are compared with earlier results. These results are exact for dimers ($M = 2$) and full occupation ($\rho = 1$) and derived from series expansions, mean-field like approximations, and transfer matrix calculations for some other cases. For small values of M, as well as for the polymer limit $M \to \infty$, rather precise estimates of the entropy are obtained.

I. INTRODUCTION

The term dimer was introduced in the thirties [1] as an abbreviation for diatomic molecules in a model for their adsorption on crystal surfaces. Later dimer models were applied in the study of other physical systems such as ferroelectrics, and much is known about their thermodynamic properties [2]. One relevant question in these models is the entropy associated to placing dimers on a regular lattice. For the particular case of full covering of the square lattice by dimers, this question was answered exactly some time ago, using a technique based on pfaffians [3, 4, 5]. However, even the generalization of this problem for the case on partial covering of the square lattice is still an open question today, no exact result being known.

In this paper we address a generalization of the entropy of dimers problem, considering entropy related to covering the square lattice with chains with M monomers each (we will call them M-mers), as a function of the fraction ρ of sites of the lattice occupied by monomers. The chains will be considered flexible, so that there is no energy associated to bending them. Since the only energy in the model is the infinite excluded volume interaction, which forbids the presence of more than one monomer on the same lattice site, the problem is athermal. It may be a simple model for the adsorption of monodisperse flexible chains on the surface of a crystal. Besides the exact solution of the problem for $M = 2$ and $\rho = 1$ mentioned above, other cases were already considered in the literature. Rather precise transfer matrix calculations were performed in the polymer limit $M \to \infty$ for hamiltonian walks ($\rho = 1$) [6]. There are also mean-field approximations [7], Bethe - and Husimi lattice calculations [8], and series expansions in q^{-1}, where q is the number of first neighbors of each site in the lattice [9], and in those calculations approximate values for the entropy are obtained for both the full ($\rho = 1$) and partial ($\rho < 1$) coverage cases.

In this paper we obtain estimates for the entropy of flexible M-mers placed on the square lattice, using transfer matrix techniques. This is done calculating numerically exact values for the entropy of the problem on strips with finite widths m and periodic boundary conditions and then using finite-size scaling to extrapolate the results to the two-dimensional limit $m \to \infty$. We separate the problem in cases where M is finite or infinite (polymer limit). Also, the case of full coverage ($\rho = 1$) may be treated separately from the general case. In the general case, it is convenient to address the problem in an ensemble which is grand-canonical with respect to the number of monomers placed on the lattice, whereas for full coverage it is easier to perform a microcanonical calculation.

The expressions we used to calculate the entropy are shown in section II. The model is discussed in more detail and the transfer matrices are described in section III. Our results for the entropies may be found in section IV, as well as the extrapolation procedure and their results. Section V presents final discussions and conclusions.

II. DETERMINATION OF THE ENTROPY

For the case of full coverage, it is convenient to obtain the entropy directly from Boltzmann's expression

$$s(\rho = 1) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{S}{Nk_B} = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln \Omega, \qquad (1)$$

where Ω is the number of ways to fill the lattice with N sites completely with M-mers. In the polymer limit $M \to \infty$, we consider a *single* hamiltonian walk, that is, a self-avoiding walk (SAW) which visits all sites of the lattice.

In the general case where a fraction ρ of lattice sites is occupied by monomers, we define the grand-canonical

*Electronic address: wgd@if.uff.br
†Electronic address: jstilck@if.uff.br

partition function

$$\Xi(z) = \sum_p z^{pM} \Gamma(M, N, p), \tag{2}$$

where z is the activity of a monomer and $\Gamma(M, N, p)$ is the number of ways to place p chains with M monomers each on the lattice with N sites. For the polymer limit, again a single SAW is placed on the lattice and the partition function is defined as

$$\Xi(z) = \sum_n z^n \Gamma(n, N), \tag{3}$$

where $\Gamma(n, N)$ is the number of ways to place a SAW with n monomers on the N-site lattice. The density of monomers may now be written as

$$\rho(z) = z \frac{d}{dz} \phi(z), \tag{4}$$

where the thermodynamic potential per lattice site is defined as

$$\phi(z) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \ln \Xi(z). \tag{5}$$

In the thermodynamic limit, a Legendre transformation allows us to rewrite the potential as

$$\phi(z) \sim \max_\rho \{\rho \ln z + s(\rho)\}, \tag{6}$$

and thus the entropy may be written as

$$s(\rho) = - \int_0^\rho \ln z(\rho') d\rho', \tag{7}$$

with $s(0) = 0$.

III. DEFINITION OF THE TRANSFER MATRIX

We proceed defining a strip of width m on the square lattice in the (x, y) plane, so that $1 \leq x \leq m$ and $-\infty \leq y \leq \infty$, with periodic boundary conditions in both directions. A transfer matrix may be built for this problem, inspired on the prescription due to Derrida [10] for infinite chains in strips. We thus consider the operation of including an additional step to the strip in the positive y direction,adding m new sites of the lattice. To properly take into account the statistical weight of the new step, we may define the state of the m vertical bonds of the lattice which are incident to the new sites by specifying:

1. The number of monomers already present in the chain which passes through the vertical bond (it is equal to 0 if no chain is present). These numbers may be put into a vector $|p\rangle$, with m components. It is necessary to keep track of this information so that we know when to end each chain.

2. The pairs of bonds which are connected to each other through a path lying entirely below the reference line (see figure 1). These pairs may be also be specified by a m-component vector $|v\rangle$, associating a different positive integer to each pair of connected bonds and 0 to the ones which are not connected to any other. This connectivity information prevents us from closing a ring at any level, since this configuration is not allowed in the model.




Figure 1: Example of a state for $m = 4$ vertical bonds. The reference line indicates the set of vertical bonds whose configuration is described.

As an example of these definitions, we consider the case of pentamers ($M = 5$) placed on a strip of width $m = 4$. Among the possible configurations of a set of vertical bonds, the one depicted in figure 1 is described by the vectors $|v\rangle = (0, 1, 1, 0)$ and $|p\rangle = (1, 2, 2, 0)$. Elements of the line associated to this state of the transfer matrix $\mathcal{T}$ are obtained considering the possible continuations of the state $\{|v\rangle, |p\rangle\}$ one step upwards, as shown in two examples in figure 2. The resulting state in figure 2.a is described by the vectors $|v\rangle = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ and $|p\rangle = (2, 0, 4, 0)$, while the final state in figure 2.b corresponds to the vectors $|v\rangle = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ and $|p\rangle = (2, 0, 0, 0)$. Each




Figure 2: Possible continuations (reference line RL') following the configuration depicted in 1 (reference line RL).

monomer placed on a site between the two sets of vertical bonds contributes with an activity z to the partition function, so that the element of the transfer matrix which corresponds to the first configuration is equal to z^3, while the second configuration is associated to an element equal to z^4 in the transfer matrix. Only the second configuration contributes in the case of full occupancy.

For the polymer case $M \to \infty$, a single chain passes through the whole strip, so that it is enough to describe

the connectivity at a particular set of m vertical bonds by indicating the bond which is connected to the initial monomer of the chain (in $y \to -\infty$) and the pairs of bonds connected to each other, exactly as was done in the original work of Derrida [10]. Thus, a single vector $|v\rangle$ is enough to describe the state in this limit.

Once the transfer matrix $\mathcal{T}$ is obtained, the entropy of the model on the strip in the thermodynamic limit is related to the largest eigenvalue of the matrix. For the case of full occupancy ($\rho = 1$), the number of configurations is given by

$$\Omega = Tr(\mathcal{T}'^{l}), \tag{8}$$

where $N = ml$ is the number of sites and the elements of the matrix $\mathcal{T}'$ are defined by the limit

$$\mathcal{T}'_{i,j} = \lim_{z\to\infty} \frac{\mathcal{T}_{i,j}}{z^m}. \tag{9}$$

The entropy is then related to the largest eigenvalue λ' of this matrix, so that

$$s(\rho = 1) = \frac{1}{m} \ln \lambda'. \tag{10}$$

For the general case, where a fraction ρ of lattice sites are occupied by monomers, the grand-canonical partition function is related to the transfer matrix through

$$\Xi(z) = Tr(\mathcal{T}^l), \tag{11}$$

and thus the density $\rho(z)$ will be

$$\rho(z) = \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{z}{N}\frac{d}{dz} ln\Xi(z) = \frac{z}{m}\frac{d}{dz} ln\lambda, \tag{12}$$

where λ is the largest eigenvalue of the transfer matrix $\mathcal{T}$. This relation may be inverted to obtain the entropy as a function of the density using equation 7.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS

The size of the transfer matrix increases very fast with both the molecular weight M and the width m of the strip grow. This sets an upper limit to the widths we were able to consider for each chain of a given molecular weight. Figure 3 shows this effect, and in the inset one may appreciate that the growth of the transfer matrix is roughly exponential.

Furthermore, as was already observed in similar calculations for polymers [11], the values of the entropy for each class of M-mers are split into subsets with different finite-size scaling behavior in each subset according to the width of the strips, so that extrapolations must be done within each subset. These splitting seems to be related to frustration effects in the limit of the fully occupied lattice and the subsets are indicated in table I, where all the widths we considered are given.




Figure 3: Dimension of the transfer matrix as a function of the molecular weight ($m = 2$) and width of the strip ($M = 2$), respectively.

The data of each subset were extrapolated to the two-dimensional limit $m \to \infty$ using the Shanks transformation [12], since we expect finite-size corrections to be exponential. Since at least three values for the entropy are needed in a subset to obtain an estimate for the two-dimensional entropy and its confidence interval, not all subsets may be extrapolated, so that, for example, no estimate could be found for hexamers ($M = 6$), where we calculated entropies for widths up to $m = 7$. The final estimate was chosen to be the highest possible extrapolant, and the error associated to it was obtained from the previous generation of extrapolants, through

$$\epsilon = \lim_{l'\to\infty} 2|s_{l'-1} - s_{l'+1}|. \tag{13}$$

The extrapolated values of the entropies for $\rho = 1$ and their uncertainties are displayed in table II, together with values obtained with other techniques and best values found in the literature.

Our results may be compared with other values in the literature. One may notice that the mean-field estimates are systematically smaller than the values obtained here, but no such general trend is apparent for the Bethe- and Husimi lattice results. The estimate for dimers agrees with the exact value obtained by Fisher, Temperley and Kasteleyn [3, 4, 5], and the entropy for hamiltonian walks ($M \to \infty$) is consistent with both transfer matrix calcu-

Table I: Entropies calculated for each M-mer, divided in subsets with the same finite-size scaling behavior

Molecular weight	Entropies of each subset
$M = 2$	
	$\{s_1'\} = \{s_2, s_4, s_6, ..., s_{14}\}$
	$\{s_2'\} = \{s_3, s_5, s_7, ..., s_{13}\}$
$M = 3$	
	$\{s_1'\} = \{s_3, s_6, s_9, s_{12}\}$
	$\{s_2'\} = \{s_2, s_4, s_5, s_7, s_8, s_{10}, s_{11}\}$
$M = 4$	
	$\{s_1'\} = \{s_4, s_8\}$
	$\{s_2'\} = \{s_2, s_6, s_{10}\}$
	$\{s_3'\} = \{s_3, s_5, s_7, s_9\}$
$M = 5$	
	$\{s_1'\} = \{s_5\}$
	$\{s_2'\} = \{s_2, s_3, s_4, s_6, s_7, s_8\}$
$M = 6$	
	$\{s_1'\} = \{s_6\}$
	$\{s_2'\} = \{s_3\}$
	$\{s_3'\} = \{s_2, s_4\}$
	$\{s_4'\} = \{s_5, s_7\}$
$M = 7$	
	$\{s_1'\} = \{s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6\}$
$M = 8$	
	$\{s_1'\} = \{s_4\}$
	$\{s_2'\} = \{s_2\}$
	$\{s_3'\} = \{s_3, s_5\}$
$M = 9$	
	$\{s_1'\} = \{s_3\}$
	$\{s_2'\} = \{s_2, s_4\}$
$M \to \infty$	
	$\{s_1'\} = \{s_2, s_4, s_6, ..., s_{12}\}$
	$\{s_2'\} = \{s_3, s_5, s_7, ..., s_{13}\}$

lations [6] and the result of series expansions up to third order in q^{-1} [13], which is $s_\infty \approx 0.38629$.

Another relevant question is the value of the molecular weight which maximizes the entropy at full occupancy. Mean-field and Bethe lattice results show maximum entropy at $M = 8$ for a lattice with coordination number $q = 4$ [7, 8], while series up to second order in q^{-1} on the square lattice result in a maximum entropy at $M = 7$. Our results suggest that this maximum actually occurs at $M = 4$ on the square lattice, if we suppose that that only one maximum exists in the curve $s(\rho = 1) \times M$ and also disregard the value obtained for $M = 7$ due to the large uncertainty associated to it.

For partial occupancy of the lattice, the results are similar to the ones shown in figure 5 for the entropy of dimers as a function of the fraction of occupied lattice sites ρ. For all the cases we considered the entropy displays a single maximum. The density at which this maximum occurs increases with M, getting closer to $\rho \approx 0.79$, the value found in the polymer limit. The densities and maximum entropies are listed in table III, and it may be noticed that the largest value for the maximum entropy occurs for tetramers, as was also found for $\rho = 1$.




Figure 4: Entropy at full occupancy of the lattice as a function of the molecular weight M




Figure 5: Entropy for dimers as a function of the density. In the inset, results of values obtained in this work are compared with results from series expansion [9] and Bethe lattice [8] calculations.

In the polymer limit $M \to \infty$, the model of a polymer placed on a strip in the grand-canonical ensemble displays a first order phase transition at a critical activity z_c, with the coexistence of a non-polymerized phase ($\rho = 0$) and a polymerized phase ($\rho = \rho_c > 0$) [14]. As the width m of the strip is increased, the discontinuity in the density at the transition becomes smaller and in the

Table II: Entropy of M-mers on the square lattice for full coverage ($\rho = 1$) obtained through different techniques

M	MF	Bethe	Series	Transfer Matrix	Best value
2	0.19315	0.26162	0.26867	0.29120± 0.00071	0.29156
3	0.39268	0.42284	0.41699	0.41201± 0.00002	
4	0.46301	0.48166	0.48889	0.51486± 0.0045	
5	0.49229	0.50669	0.51008	0.49917± 0.00091	
7	0.51008	0.52217	0.52170	0.54770± 0.15301	
$M \to \infty$	0.3863	0.4055	0.4090	0.3870± 0.0009	0.3866

Table III: Maximum values of the entropy as a function of the density.

M	density of maximum entropy	maximum entropy
2	0.64	0.66
3	0.71	0.70
4	0.76	0.74
5	0.76	0.73
7	0.78	0.72
$M \to \infty$	0.79	0.56




Figure 6: Entropy of polymers as a function of the density. The squares correspond to extrapolations of the values on strips of finite widths and the full line is the result for a strip of width $m = 7$.

two-dimensional limit $m \to \infty$ a continuous transition is found at $z_c \approx 0.3790522$ [15]. The entropy of a polymer on a strip of finite width is therefore not defined for $\rho < \rho_c$, as may be seen in figure 6, where the extrapolated value of the entropy as a function of the density for polymers is depicted. As larger widths are considered, the step in the entropy decreases and in the two-dimensional limit we have $s(\rho = 0) = 0$.

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper we estimate the entropy of chains with M monomers each placed on the square lattice as a function of the fraction ρ of lattice sites occupied by monomers. The estimates were obtained by extrapolating numerically exact values for the entropy on strips of finite widths m, calculated using a transfer matrix approach, to the two-dimensional limit $m \to \infty$.

A particular case where results may easily be obtained analytically is the one dimensional problem ($m = 1$). In this case, the dimension of the transfer matrix $\mathcal{T}$ is equal to M, and we have

$$\mathcal{T}_{i,j} = \delta_{i,1}\delta_{j,1} + z(\delta_{i+1,j} + \delta_{i,M}\delta_{j,1}), \tag{14}$$

where $1 \leq i, j \leq M$. One may easily obtain the secular equation of this matrix, which is

$$\lambda^M - \lambda^{M-1} - z^M = 0. \tag{15}$$

The density may then be found as a function of the largest eigenvalue λ through using the secular equation above and also expression 12. One obtains

$$\lambda = \frac{1 - \alpha\rho}{1 - \rho}, \tag{16}$$

where $\alpha = (M-1)/M$. Then the entropy may be found by performing the integration in equation 7 changing the integration variable from ρ to λ. The result is

$$\begin{aligned} s &= (1-\alpha\rho)\ln(1-\alpha\rho) - (1-\rho)\ln(1-\rho) + \\ &- \rho(1-\alpha)\ln[\rho(1-\alpha)]. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

This result is equal to the expression which is obtained if the coordination number of the Bethe lattice result (expression 22 in [8]) is taken equal to 2. The entropy in the one-dimensional case vanishes, as expected, for $\rho = 1$, and the maximum is located at a value of the density which is equal to $1/2$ for monomers ($\alpha = 0$) increasing monotonically with α and approaching 1 in the polymer limit $\alpha \to 1$ (figure 7), where the entropy vanishes for all values of ρ. The value of the maximum entropy is a decreasing function of α.




Figure 7: Density of maximum entropy as a function of $1/M$.

For a given value of M, the density which maximizes the entropy is obtained through he equation

$$(1-\alpha\rho)^{-\alpha}(1-\rho)[\rho(1-\alpha)]^{-(1-\alpha)} = 1 \tag{18}$$

On the square lattice, our calculations indicate that the absolute maximum of the entropy $s_M(\rho)$ occurs for tetramers ($M = 4$). In the one-dimensional case, the maximum entropy of $s_M(\rho)$ is a monotonically decreasing function of M, the absolute maximum $s_1(1/2) = \ln(2)$ being obtained for monomers and approaching 0 as $M \to \infty$.

Finally, the problem of M-mers confined inside strips of finite width with closed boundary conditions, is an interesting extension of earlier work done in the polymer limit [16].

Acknowledgments

This research was partially supported by the Brazilian agencies CAPES, FAPERJ and CNPq, whose assistance is gratefully acknowledged.

[1] R. H. Fowler and G. S. Rushbrooke, *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **33**, 1272 (1937).

[2] J. F. Nagle, C. S. O. Yokoy and S. M. Bhattacharjee in *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena*, vol 13, ed. by C. Domb and J. Lebowitz, Academic Press (1989).

[3] M. Fisher, *Phys. Rev.***124**, 1664 (1961).

[4] P. W. Kasteleyn, *Physica***29**, 1329 (1962).

[5] H. N. V. Temperley and M. Fisher, *Philos. Mag.* **6**, 1061 (1961).

[6] B. Duplantier and H. Saleur, *Nucl. Phys.* **290**, 291 (1987).

[7] P. J. Flory, *Principles of polymer chemistry*, Cornell University Press, (1953).

[8] J. F. Stilck and M. J. de Oliveira, *Phys. Rev. A* **45**, 5955 (1990).

[9] A. Nemirovsky and M. D. Coutinho, *Phys. Rev. A* **39**, 3120 (1989).

[10] B. Derrida, *J. Phys. A*, **14**, L5 (1981).

[11] T. G. Schmaltz, G. E. Hite and D. J. Klein, *J. Phys. A*, **17**, 445 (1984).

[12] M. N. Barber, *PTCP*,**8**, 146 (1983).

[13] S. Lise, A. Maritan and A. Pelizzola, *Phys. Rev. E*, **58**, 5241 (1998).

[14] P. M. Pfeuty and J. C. Wheeler, *Phys. Rev. A* **27**, 2178 (1983).

[15] A. R. Conway and A. J. Guttman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **26**, 5284 (1996).

[16] J. F. Stilck, Europhys. Lett. **40**, 19 (1997); J. F. Stilck, Physica A **257**, 233 (1998); J. F. Stilck and K. D. Machado, Eur. Phys. J. B **5**, 899 (1998).