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#### Abstract

Incom pressible ( m agic) states that result from m any-body e ects in vertically coupled quantum dots subm itted to strong $m$ agnetic elds such that only the low est Landau level is relevant are studied within an exact diagonalization calculation for $\mathrm{N}=3 ; 5$ and 6 , electrons. We nd that the sequences of total angular $m$ om entum $M$ for which these incom pressible states exist depend on the interplay between the inter-dot hopping param eter $t$ and the inter-dot distance $d$. For $d$ of the order of the $m$ agnetic length and for all values of $t$, we conclude that, in contrast to previous claim s, these incom pressible states appear at $m$ agic values of $M$ which do not di er from those obtained for a single dot, nam ely $\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{N} \mathbb{N} \quad 1)=2+j \mathrm{~N}$ where $j$ is a positive integer num ber. For large inter-dot distance and sim ultaneously sm all inter-dot hopping param eter, new sequences of $m$ agic values of $M$ are observed. These new sequences can be easily understood in term s of a transition regim e tow ards a system oftw o decoupled single dots. H ow ever, im portant di erences in the nature of the incom pressible ground states are found with respect to those of a single dot.
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## I. Introduction

M uch e ort has been devoted to understand the m agic incom pressible states (IS's) of two dim ensional electronic nanostructures. This is due to the fact that they are closely related to the states that determ ine properties like superconductivity or the quantum H alle ect (Q HE) ${ }^{2} \mathrm{l}$ interacting electronic system $s m$ ay display ${ }^{3 / 2,2,4}$. F in ite system $s$ like quantum dots ( $Q$ D 's) provide sim pler physical realizations of strongly interacting electronic system swhere di erent $m$ odels can be tested. $W$ hen they are subm itted to strong $m$ agnetic elds, the pro jection of the system to the low est Landau level (LLL) becom es a good approxim ation which greatly sim pli es theoretical studies in general, and, in particular, $m$ akes exact diagonalization calculations feasible. M uch work has been done on single QD's in the LLL regim e yielding a reasonable understanding of the nature of their $I S$ 'sida . The search of IS w th well de ned properties which may produce fractional Q HE experim entally observable, led to analyze double layered system $\mathrm{s}^{\prime} 112$. D ouble quantum dots (D Q D 's) in a vertical con guration subm itted to strong $m$ agnetic elds provide a nite system in which the existence ofIS is expected. H ow ever, the additionaldegree of freedom, together w ith the two new param eters, nam ely the distance betw een the dots and the tunneling strength, $m$ ay give rise to new phenom enology. For instance, $Y$ ang et al ${ }^{1.12}$. suggest an experim ent to test the quantum coherence of a special stable tw o-level system built in a DQD subm itted to an adjustable interlayer bias voltage, which dem onstrates suitable conditions for serving as quantum com puting bits. M oreover, correlation e ects can be experim entally detected in the far infrared range $(\mathbb{F})$ using uniform electric eldswith non-vanishing com ponent along the vertical direction as the generalized K ohn theorem, under such condition does not apply ${ }^{13} \cdots$.

This paper is organized as follow s. In Section II we describe the model used in our calculation and analyze the H am iltonian of the system. In Section III, after the identi cation of the incom pressible states of interacting electrons, we begin with a review of the results previously obtained for single dots and show next our $m$ ain results for double dots, which cover a wide range of input param eters. F inally, in Section $\mathbb{I V}$ we com pare our ndings w ith previous results in the literature and draw our conclusions.

## II. The H am iltonian

W e consider two identical two-dim ensional quantum dots (in a vertical con guration) con ned to the XY-plane by equal parabolic potentials and subm itted to a strong $m$ agnetic eld directed along an arbitrary direction. T he Ham iltonian of the system reads,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{0}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{e} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{0}$ is the single-particle part which contains the kinetic contribution, the con ning potential and the Zem an term. We adjust the input param eters in such a way that Landau levelm ixing is negligible. Then, in second quantization form alism is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}=M+N \quad{ }_{z} S \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{h}{2}\left(\overline{!_{c}^{2}+4!_{0}^{2}} \quad!_{c}\right) \text {; }  \tag{3}\\
& =\frac{h^{q}}{{ }^{q}} \overline{!_{c}^{2}+4!{ }_{0}^{2}} \text {; } \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\mathrm{B} 9 B \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$!{ }_{0}$ being the con ning potential frequency, $!_{c}$ the cyclotron frequency given by $!_{c}=$ $e B=m \quad c(m \quad$ is the e ective electron $m$ ass, $B$ the $m$ agnetic eld and $e$ and $c$ the electron charge and the speed of light in vacuum respectively), $\quad=$ eh $=2 \mathrm{~m} c$ the B ohrm agneton and $g$ the Lande factor (we will consider jg $0: 44 \mathrm{whenever}$ the Zeem an term is included). $\mathrm{M}={ }^{\mathrm{P}} \underset{\mathrm{i}=1}{\mathrm{~N}} \mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}^{+} \mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the totalangularm om entum and N is the totalnumber of electrons. $a_{i}^{+}$creates a single particle state and $i$ refers to the three indexes that characterize the single particle wave functions: angular m om entum, spin and isospin ( $s$ or a associated to sym $m$ etric and antisym $m$ etric com binations of $w$ ave functions concentrated in each dot: right and left). T he tunneling term is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{t}=\frac{t}{2} X \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $t$ is the energy gap between the sym $m$ etric and antisym $m$ etric states in the noninteracting system and $X=N_{S} \quad N_{A}$ is given by the balance between sym m etric and antisym $m$ etric states. Finally the two-body interaction part of the H am iltonian is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e} e}=\frac{1}{2}_{\mathrm{ijkl}=1}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{X}^{3} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ijkl}}^{( }\right) a_{\mathrm{i}}^{+} a_{j}^{+} a_{1} a_{k} ; V_{i j k l}^{()}=\operatorname{hij} j V^{()} j k l i \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the index is used to distinguish betw een the three di erent possibilities: (i) $\mathrm{V}^{(1)}=0$ when only one change of a single particle isospin takes place, (ii) $\mathrm{V}^{(2)}=$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(V_{r r}+V_{r l}\right)$ when both isospins rem ain unchanged and (iii) $V^{(3)}=\frac{1}{2}\left(V_{r r} \quad V_{r l}\right)$ when both isospins are changedrl. $V_{r r}$ and $V_{r l}$ are the intra and inter-dot $C$ oulom b potentials respectively, which are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{r r}=\frac{e^{2}}{r} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{r l}=\frac{e^{2}}{\left(x^{2}+d^{2}\right)^{1=2}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

d being the distance between the dots along the $z$-direction, 1 a 2 -dim ensional vector and is the dielectric constant of the host sem iconductor. W e have assum ed D iracdelta distributions along the $z$-direction and have taken as a basis, Slater determ inants built up from Foch-D arw in single particle wave functions projected on the LLLL. The diagonalization can be perform ed in separated subspaces characterized by three well de ned quantum num bers: the total angular mom entum $M$, the total spin $S$ along the direction of the eld $\bar{B}$ and the parity $P$ related to the re exion sym $m$ etry with respect to the plane $m$ idway between the dots ( $P$ de ned as $P=(1)^{x=2}$ for even $N$ and $P=(1)^{(X+1)=2}$ for odd $\left.N\right)$. Wew ill de ne the set ( $M$; $;$; ) as a con guration.

The eigenstates within each con guration are determ ined by $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{e}} \mathrm{e}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}$ alone, and the role of the constant term given by $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ is to shift the eigenenergies as a whole w ithout changing their relative order.
III. In com pressible states in the LLL.
A. Single Q D.

Before studying the IS's in DQD's, we brie y review previous work on single QD's and its consequences. For $Q D$ an $I S w$ ith totalenergy $E$ and characterized by ( $M$; $S$ ) is identi ed as the one which has the follow ing singular property ${ }^{114}$ : the low est excited state $w$ th quantum num bers $(M+1 ; S)$ has energy $E+$. That is to say, the energetically $m$ ost favorable way to excite an IS increasing its total angular $m$ om entum by one unit is by $m$ oving the system as a whole, nam ely by increasing by one unit the angularm om entum of the center of $m$ ass (CM) only and leaving the intemal structure unchanged. This characteristic was nioely recognized analyzing the Coulomb contribution to the total energy of a full polarized QD as a function of $M$. A periodical arrangem ent of plateaux (steplike structure), in the otherw ise decreasing curve signaled the values of the $m$ agic angular $m$ om ental ${ }^{14}$. Furtherm ore, the variation of the $m$ agnetic eld (or the con ning potential) did not drive the ground state ( $G, S$ ) through all neighboring values of $M$ but through the sequence ofm agic values only $y^{15}$.

This soenario corresponds to the regim e characterized by a lling factor low er or equal to one, de ned as ${ }^{14}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)}{2 \mathrm{M}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which involves the $m$ inim um possible value of the total angular $m$ om entum for a full polarized QD given by $M_{m \text { in }}=N(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)=2$ (the "com pact state") and the angular m om entum $M$ ofthe $m$ agic state. Som e care $m$ ust be taken for low values ofB forwhich the assum ption of the LLL regim e is not full led. A suitable way to check this condition is by $m$ aking sure that the energy of the highest single-particle occupied state is $m$ uch sm aller than $!_{+}=\frac{h}{2}\left(\overline{!_{c}^{2}+4!{ }_{0}^{2}}+!_{c}\right)$, which is the energy gap between Landau levels fornon-interacting electrons. The lling factor refers to the num ber of sublevels occupied $w$ thin the LLL. There are two sublevels (spin up and down) in the case of a single $Q D$
and four (two for spin and two for isospin) in the case of a D Q D. In general, for regim es in which several sublevels are occupied, the lling factor ofa QD is notwell de ned. G S's which are not related w ith IS are also possible under such m ultiple-sublevel occupancy.

The sequence of $m$ agic lling factors depend on the num ber of electrons, for $N=3$ the values of are $=1 ; \frac{1}{2} ; \frac{1}{3} ; \frac{1}{4} ;:$ or for $N=4$ they are $=1 ; \frac{3}{5} ; \frac{3}{7} ; \frac{1}{3} ;::$ in both cases related to the $m$ agic angular $m$ om entum given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~N}(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)+j \mathrm{~N} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ here $j$ is a positive integer num ber. It tums out that the analysis of the $C$ oulom b contribution to the total energy as a function of M gives exhaustive and precise infor$m$ ation about the $m$ agic values of the angular $m$ om entum and hence about the $m$ agic
lling factors. T he $m$ agic values of $M$ are the initial values of the plateaux. H ow ever, no inform ation about the total spin of the IS's com es from the previous analysis. In the $N=3$ case, for a QD, the sequence of $S^{\prime} S$ is alw ays fiull polarized ( $S_{z}=3=2$ ) if the Zeem an term is included in the H am ittonian (with jg $j 0: 44$ ) or in contrast, oscillations betw een $S_{z}=3=2$ and $S_{z}=1=2$ were obtained ifno Zeem an term is included in the calculation 14115.1 . H ow ever, in the last case, the changes in spin and angular $m$ om entum do not appear sim ultaneously.

$$
B . D Q D \text { for } d \quad l_{B} \text {. }
$$

For a DQD we have a richer param eter space to be explored as, in addition to the param eters of a single QD , $t$ and $d$ also enter the $H$ am iltonian, which open new possibilities for IS's to exist. W e w ill focus on the phase diagram ( $t=d$ ) for standard values of the rem aining input param eters. D ue to the fact that C oulom b interaction and changes in parity are coupled processes in a D Q D , we de ne the "interaction" energy as the C oulomb plus the tunneling contribution ( $\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{T}$ ).

Ford $\quad l_{B}$, where $l_{B}$ is the $m$ agnetic length given by $l_{B}=\frac{r \bar{h}}{m\left(!{ }_{c}^{2}+4!{ }_{0}^{2}\right)^{1=2}}$, the pure C oulomb contribution to the totalenergy $(=\quad=\quad t=\quad z=0)$ as a function of M, is a decreasing function w ithout plateaux as it is shown in the curves (a) in Fig. 1 and 2 for $N=5$ and $N=6$ respectively (energies are given in units of $u=e^{2}=7$ ). Figs.1A and 2 A correspond to parity $\mathrm{P}=1$ and F igs. 1 B and 2 B to $\mathrm{P}=1$. A 11 four cases refer to fill polarized system $S(S=5 / 2$ for $N=5$ and $S=3$ for $N=6)$. For each value of $M$, the energy displayed is the low est $w$ thin the con guration ( $M$; $S$; $P$ ). The absence ofplateaux can be understood as follow s. Since $t=0$, the num ber of electrons in each dot is a well de ned num ber. H ence, in order to increase the total angular m om entum by one unit, the angular $m$ om entum of either dot $m$ ust be increased by one unit, whidh unavoidably increases the typical distance from the electrons of one dot to the ones of the other dot, and, therefore, decreases the inter-dot C oulom b energy.

A coording to $F$ igs. 1 and 2, it is necessary to include a sizeable tunneling contribution in order to obtain a sequence of plateaux, which, furtherm ore, only occur for $P=1$.

Indeed, from a series of calculations for $N=5$ (not show $n$ in $F$ igs.1), whidh correspond to a variation of $t$ from 0 to 2 meV by sm allsteps, we see a num ber ofplateaux gradually appearing as $t$ increases. W e nd that from $t=2 \mathrm{meV}$ to $0: 8 \mathrm{meV}$ the sections from $M=10$ to $M=11$ and from $M=15$ to $M=16$ are exact plateaux. For $t=0: 4 \mathrm{meV}$ they are approxim ately at and for $t=0.2 \mathrm{meV}$ they disappear. H ow ever in all cases the curves are abruptly decreasing before $M=10$ and betw een m agic values. $T$ hat is to say, we do not nd any extra value ofm agic $M$ di erent from those given by Eq. (1] 1

In order to obtain inform ation about the spin and parity of the $I S^{\prime}$ 's, we calculated the C oulom b plus tunneling contribution for all possible con gurations. Fig. 3 (for $N=5$ ) show s that the sequence of plateaux appear only when the system is fully polarized in spin and have parity $P=1$ (sim ilar results were obtained for $N=6$ ). Furtherm ore, although the parity $P=1$ for $N=5$ can be obtained from di erent values of $X$, i.e., $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{S}} \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}=5$, 1 or -3 , the occupancy of the single-particle states for such incom pressible GS tums out to be X $=5$ only, nam ely the system is alw ays full spin and isospin polarized. This suggests that the G $S$ that are $I S$ 's will not present variations
 di erent from zero, by an explicit calculation ofthe G $S$ vs B , which tums out to be alw ays full spin and isospin polarized. $F$ ig. 4 displays the totalenergy of the $G S$ as a function of the magnetic eld. The arrow spoint to the places where the angularm om entum jum ps from one m agic value to the next one, leaving the spin and parity unchanged. In the inset we show $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{G}} \quad \mathrm{N}$ in order to com pare w ith other publications which om it the N -dependent term. The nearly m onotonous function of B is due to the fact that, in the absence of spin or isospin transitions, the interaction energy has a negligible in uence in the plot and hence the evolution of the system is driven by the $m$ onotonous increasing term $\quad \mathrm{N}$ which is much more im portant than the decreasing term M , which would produce kinks at the transition points, as it is shown in the inset ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{GS}} \mathrm{N}$ vs B ). In brief, the fullspin and isospin polarization appears to be a well de ned attribute of these IS's that result from $m$ anyłoody e ects.

A s show $n$ in $F$ ig. 3A the interaction energy appears to be degenerated at the $m$ agic values w ith respect to the three possible spin polarizations. Since the curves that belong to $S=\frac{3}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ have low er energy at the end of the plateaux, the nalbalance of energy depends critically on the relation betw een this di erence ofinteraction energy, the kinetic and the Zeem an term s . T hat is to say, an IS that is the G S for a given value of B and ! o will rem ain as G S as B increases or ! o decreases only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{C+T}\left(\mathbb{M} ; \frac{3}{2} ; 1\right) \quad E_{C+T}\left(\mathbb{M}+1 ; \frac{3}{2} ; 1\right)<\quad+\quad z \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

or otherw ise the new G S w illbe a com pressible not fully spin polarized state at $M+1$. Hence, as B or ! o change, the GS can be driven into com pressible zones in contrast w ith the results obtained for single Q D's.

The single particle occupancies of the $m$-vahues for the rst three $I S$ 's for $N=5$, calculated at $B=4,7$ and 9 T respectively are show $n$ in $F$ ig.5. The rst $G S$ for $M=10$ ( $=1$ ) is the com pact fullpolarized state which belongs to a one dim ensional subspace and, as a consequence, no correlation is involved as one Slater determ inant produces the
exact solution. M oreover, the density is a "dom e" shape circular sym m etric distribution $w$ thout any structure. A sB grow s, the angularm om entum changes from $M$ to $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{N}$, all the electrons jum $p$ together $m$ oving aw ay from the origin and form ing a ring. The dim ension of the G S subspace increases and as the relative weights of the di erent Slater determ inants w ithin the expansion of the GS becom e signi cant for di erent elem ents of the bases, nam ely, the correlation becom es im portant.

```
C.D Q D : P hase diagram t=d.
```

So far we have explored the situation d $l_{B}$. In order to get the com plete scenario of IS's in a DQD, we have also investigated in detail the rem aining regions of the phase diagram ( $t=d$ )

In $F$ igs.6-9 we follow, for $N=3$, the variation of the interaction energy vs $M$ ( $E+$ $\mathrm{T})=\mathrm{M}$ ) as d and t change.

From A to B (Fig.6), as it was just discussed for the case $d \quad l_{B}$, the plateaux em erge as $t$ grows from zero until they are well de ned at $t=0: 11 u$, that is to say, for values ofM given by Eq. (İili) the system evolves from com pressible to incom pressible states. For d close to zero (at the left of point B) and $t$ large, all the electrons are in the sym $m$ etric state. A s a consequence, the interaction energy of a single QD can be reproduced w ith high accuracy by the addition of the constant contribution ${ }_{t} X=2$ to the energy of the $\mathrm{D} Q \mathrm{D}$ in this region.

From A to D (Fig.7), tunneling between the two dots is not allowed. Starting from a curve w thout plateaux for sm all distances ( $d$ 10A), we $m$ ove across the transition regim ew ith a gradual form ation of new plateaux at $M=M_{R}+M_{L}$ where $M_{R}$ and $M_{L}$ are the $m$ agic num bers of single $Q D$ 's. $W$ e come close to the point $D$ at $d=1000 \mathrm{~A}$ which show the features of two decoupled dots with $\mathrm{N}=1$ (w ith no contribution to the C oulom b term ) and $N=2$ ( w th m agic num bers $\mathrm{M}=1 ; 3 ; 5 ; 7::$ ) respectively. T his regim e in which tunneling is forbidden has been previously_studied for double layers and special attention has been devoted to the $=1$ case the incom pressible state $=1$ is observed for values of $d$ about the $m$ agnetic lengthi'. Furthem ore, as d increases, the state exhibits a phase transition to a com pressible one. The di erence between the two cases com es from the fact that, as it was previously discussed, when $t \quad 0 M_{R}$ and $M_{L}$ are well de ned quantum num bers, the increase by one unit of $m$ eans the increase of $M_{R}$ or $_{L}$ (but not both) changing, in $a D Q D$, the relative position of the electrons in each dot and so decreasing the $C$ oulom b interaction which prevents the form ation of a plateau. H ow ever, this is not the case for a double layer in which the shift of charge due to the change of angular $m$ om entum does not change the relative inter-layer distribution of charge, allow ing for the appearance of plateaus. For large $d$ the two layers decouple and hence one would expect (for total $=1$ ) two
$=1=2$ IS's. H ow ever, since fractionalQ HE of $=1=2$ is not observable for a single layer, these states were not identi ed in Ref. (ivi).

From B to C (Fig.8), although the distance d grow s, the sequence ofm agic num bers typical of $A D D$ does not disappear due to the relative large value of $t(t=0.229 u)$.

An exceptional case appears ford $>500 \mathrm{~A}$ at $\mathrm{M}=1$ (for $\mathrm{N}=5$ the analog exceptional case appears at $M=4$ ). It tums out to be the only IS within the LLL regim e whid does not ful $l l$ the general nule of being full spin and isospin polarized. T he subspace associated to the appropriate con guration, i.e., $(M=1, S=3=2, P=1)$ is one dim ensional and the only Slater determ inant in the bases has one electron in the sym $m$ etric state and two electrons in the antisym $m$ etric state (or $\mathrm{X}=1$ ). It is the only IS w th no single dot analog. O ur interpretation of the fact that the $M=1 \mathrm{~m}$ agic value appears only for relative large distances is as follow s: for large tunneling and sm all d, the system is closer to a single dot with $N=3$ than to a DQD of the sam e num ber of electrons (as discussed before). Thus M = 1 can only appearw hen the C oulomb inter-dot interaction weakens related to $t$ and DQD properties di erent from those of a single QD may arise. N otice how ever, that for the $\mathrm{M}=1$ state to be a GS such a low magnetic eld (or large con ning potential) is required that the LLL regim e assum ption would not apply anym ore. Finally, even for values of d as large as 1500 A (being $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{B}}=65 \mathrm{~A}$ ), we did not nd the transition from DQD to two decoupled single dots.

From D to C (Fig.9), the tunneling increases and the system of two decoupled QD's $w$ th a period of two typical of the $N=2$ single dot evolves into a DQD reproducing the period of three typical of a $N=3 D Q D$. During the transition, there is a narrow interval of values of $t$ for which the $E(C+T)$ vs $M$ curve has no plateaux (except for the $M=1$ case). That is to say, an initially incom pressible $G S$ would evolve into a com pressible state and again into a IS as $t$ increases. This evolution takes place as the system changes from two decoupled single dots to a DQD.
$C$ om pressible regions have been obtained before by Rontani et allín for $D Q D$ with nite width. They consider the evolution of the GS of the system of $N=6$ as $d$ increases for $t$ exponentially decreasing $w$ ith $d$, which is equivalent to the evolution along a trajectory from $B$ to $D$ in our phase diagram. They obtain a sm all zone of com pressibility in the $m$ iddle, related to the transition from a regim e where the system behaves as a unique coherent system to a regim e of well separated QD's. W e observe the sam e qualitative behavior along the $B-C$ trajectory (which is di erent to theirs) although we do not obtain the sam em agic values.

The transition from DQD top, two decoupled single $Q \mathrm{D}$ 's as t decreases has been observed before by $P$ eeters et allol by $m$ eans of a current spin density functional calculation.

W e have also studied the D to C evolution for $N=5$ which show $s$ the sam e qualitative behavior. A s D is approached the structure of plateaux can be understood in term s of the IS of two decoupled $N=2$ and $N=3$ single $Q D$ 's, although the analysis is much $m$ ore intricate than for the $N=3$ case.
IV . D iscussion

W e have investigated in detail the existence of IS's that result from the C oulom.b $m$ any-body e ects in a DQD for the entire phase diagram ( $t=d)$.

An im portant point in our analysis is the criterium used to identify IS's. First we want to em phasize that in contrast to the case of a single layer for which the integer Q HE is associated to gaps ofsingle-particle origin and the fractionalQ HE is associated to gaps involving $m$ any-body e ects, for double layered system $s$ (and thus in accordance for DQD ), single-particle as well asm any-body regin es can be related to Q HE at the same lling factor by the tuning of appropriate sam ple param eters'. T he IS's we are interested in are those associated w th e-e interaction (coupled with tunneling) and thus signaled som ehow in the variation of the interaction energy w ith M. A s discussed in the previous section, we de ne the interaction energy as the C oulomb plus tunneling contributions, and require IS's to preserve the interaction energy when the angular $m$ om entum $M$ is increased by one unit. W e want to stress that this is not equivalent to identifying $m$ agic $M$ from the kinks of the low est energies ofeach con guration as a function of M or from the kinks of the variation of the absolute GS energy as a function of B, as it has been used in the literature ${ }^{1+1{ }^{1+1}-2}$ to identify correlated IS's.

O ur criterium is equivalent to the one used by Laughlin in Ref. (i) for the single layer, as we discuss below. The H am ittonian is separable into the CM and the relative coordinates and as a consequence, the total angular $m$ om entum can be analyzed as $M=M_{C M}+M_{\text {rel }}$ and the energy as $E_{\text {tot }}=E_{C M}+E_{\text {internal }}$. For three two dim ensional electrons, Laughlin obtains that the intemalenergy as a function of the relative angular $m$ om entum has dow nward cusps at special ( $m$ agic) values $(M=3 ; 6 ; 9 ; 12$; ::). These $m$ agic values appear to be related to incom pressibility: the area of the system de ned as the area of the triangle determ ined by the correlated positions of the electrons w ithin these states changes discontinuously as pressure is applied. At the dow nward cusps, $E_{\text {internal }}\left(M_{r e l}\right)<E_{\text {internal }}\left(M_{\text {rel }}+1\right)$ for the low est energy states of each con guration. They are the only states for which the increase of $M$ rel by one und requires a positive am ount of intemal energy. In order to show that our criterium is equivalent to Laughlin'sl', notioe rst that $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{M}$ ) only depends on $\mathrm{M}_{\text {rel }}$ and $M_{\text {rel }} \quad M$. Since $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{M})$ is de ned as the m inim al energy am ong those of the states $w$ ith total angular $m$ om entum $M$, it im plies it is the $m$ inim al energy am ong all states $w$ ith relative angular $m$ om entum $M$ rel $M . H$ ence, given $M$ and $E_{C+T}(M \quad 1)$, $E_{C+T}(M 1) \in E_{C+T}(M)$ implies $E_{C+T}(M 1)>E_{C+T}(M)$ and furtherm ore $M=M_{\text {rel }}$. Since $E_{C+T}$ reduces to $E_{\text {internal }}$ for a single layer, if $E_{C+T}(M \quad 1)>E_{C+T}(M)$ then $E_{\text {internal }}\left(M_{\text {rel }} 1\right)>E_{\text {internal }}\left(M_{\text {rel }}\right)$ for $M_{\text {rel }}=M . N a m$ ely, negative slopes in our plots im ply negative slopes in Laughlin's. If, on the contrary, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{M} 1)=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{M})$, then $M_{\text {rel }}$ has not changed and $M$ contains at least one unit of $C M$ angular $m$ om entum. Being $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{M})$ the m in im al energy with totalangular $m$ om entum $M$, it implies that any state with $M_{\text {rel }}=M$ has larger energy than $E_{C+T}(M 1)$. If, in addition,
 tains only relative angular $m$ om entum, as show $n$ above. Then, for the single layer, $E_{C+T}(M \quad 1)=E_{C+T}(M)$ implies $E_{\text {internal }}\left(M_{\text {rel }} 1\right)<E_{\text {internal }}\left(M_{\text {rel }}\right)$ for $M_{\text {rel }}=M$. Nam ely plateaux in our plots im ply positive slopes in Laughlin's, which concludes our proof. On the other hand, there is no guarantee that downward cusps in the curve $\mathrm{E}_{\text {tot }}$ Vs M are related to plateaux in the curve $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{T}}$ vs M. It is enough to have $>$ $E_{C+T}(M) \quad E_{C+T}(M+1)$ at a non $m$ agic $M$ to obtain there a dow nward peak in $E_{\text {tot }}$ vs $M$ which is not related to a plateau in $E_{C+T}$ vs M.
 $m$ ade in $R$ efllid that extra $m$ agic values for $M$ (depending on the value on the tunneling strength) exist in this regim e. The authors of Ref. (f71181) identi ed IS's w ith dow nward cusps of the totalenergy as a function of , i.e. the interaction energy (our curve) w ith the addition of the single-particle contribution. In Fig. 10 we show the two possibilities for $N=5$. It is clear from the upper curve that som e dow nw ard cusps, which would be
 criterium.

In order to $m$ ake sure that the discrepancies with Ref ${ }^{1-111)^{-1}}$ are only due to the di erent criteria to identify IS's, we have reproduced their results (see Fig.11). To bem ore precise, we perform ed the calculation for $\mathrm{N}=3$ and the sam e input param eters as those used in Refiris ( $N=3, B=15 \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{~d}=200 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~h}!0=3 \mathrm{meV}$ and $\mathrm{t}=0.2 \mathrm{meV}$ ). In F ig. 11 A the interaction energy contribution versus $M$ is shown. Due to the low value of the tunneling contribution, the plateaux that $w$ ill appear, for larger values of $t$, at $M=3$, 6 and 9 are still not visible and the only ones that already appear are $\mathrm{M}=12$ and 15 . If the kinetic contribution is added, it com es out that the G S is at M $=5$, (see Fig.11B) at the low est dow nw ard cusp of the total energy in accordance w ith Refis.

A word of, caution should be given here as a number of relevant papers exists in the literature ${ }^{18}$ 는 which use the term $m$ agic angular $m$ om entum to denote the angular $m$ om entum $M$ which displays dow nward cusps in the curve $E_{\text {tot }}(M)$. The corresponding states en jpy enhance stability and have been the sub ject of intensive studies. In particular
 where the fractional quantum H all regim e is som etim es identi ed, to large values of M , where strip-like structures and $W$ igner $m$ olecules seem to appear (see Ref. (un) for a review and Ref. (2n) for related work on layers). H ow ever, only a subset of these states ful lls our criterium of incom pressibility and the $m$ agic $M$ displayed in ( 111 in $)$ correspond to this subset only.

O ur conclusions can be sum $m$ arized as follow $s$ :
(i) The dow nw ard cusps obtained by Laughlin in Ref. íl $^{\prime \prime}$ ) tum out to be equivalent to the plateaux of the curve $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{T}}$ VSM.
(ii) A ll the incom pressible states are fullspin and isospin polarized (except the $\mathrm{M}=1$ case for $N=3$ ). Since a single $Q D$ full spin polarized and a $D Q D$ full spin ans isospin polarized are system $s w$ th no extra degrees of freedom aside from angular $m$ om entum, we expect a sim ilar behavior for the electronic distance quantization as that obtained in Ref $\mathrm{f}^{(2)}$ ).
(iii) An exceptional incom pressible state was found for $d=l_{B} \quad 8$ at $M=1$ and $X=1$ for $N=3$ and $M=4$ and $X=1$ for $N=5$. This is the only one that is not full isospin polarized and does not have a single QD analog. H ow ever, for it to be a G S values of the input param eters that do not full $l l$ the assum ption of the LLL regim e are required.
(iv) For d $l_{B}$, it is not possible to obtain IS's if the tunneling is sm all.
(v) For sm all t , as d grow s , the D Q D evolves into two decoupled single Q D's. N ew $m$ agic values appearw hich correspond to the addition ofm agic num bers oftw $o$ decoupled QD's.
(vi) An IS atM will rem ain as the GS under changes ofB or/and! 0 if the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{M}) \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{M}+1)<+\mathrm{z} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is ful lled. In general, how ever, the variation of B, ! o or d can drive the G S from incom pressible into com pressible zones of the phase diagram. This behavior di ers from the well known properties of a single QD for which the variation of the GS as B or ! o change is driven through incom pressible states only, skiping all non-m agic values of $M$.
(vii) W henever the G S is full spin and isospin polarized, it is an IS. In other words, the IS's are the only possible GS for lower that one. How ever for $>1$ other GS are possible.

Before closing, let us brie y elaborate on the last point. N otice that the follow ing situations are also possible: (a) G S's w ith M that ful lls Eq. (īili) and are not IS's due to the fact that from $M$ to $M+1$ there is not a plateau. This condition can be obtained for very low values of $t$, for example, for $N=5, M=10, B=5 \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{~h}!0=2: 6 \mathrm{meV}$, $t=0.2 \mathrm{meV}$ and $d=20 \mathrm{~A}$. (b) G round
states with M not given by Eq. (īī) for which the system is not full spin or isospin polarized, (or > 1) and $E(C+T$ ) has not a constant evolution from $M$ to $M+1$. $T$ his is the case for example for $N=5, M=13$ ( $B=6 T, h!0=2: 6 \mathrm{meV} d=20 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\left.t=5: 86 \quad 10^{3} \mathrm{meV}\right)$. In the last case, $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{N}=2$ and $\mathrm{P}=1$, how ever $\mathrm{X} \in \mathrm{N}$.
N otice also that the previously discussed states are not the only possible G S's w ithin the LLL regim e. For instanc, if the con ning potential is strong enough, other types of G S's are possible like the ferrom agnetic, canted and sym m etric states (all of them w ith
$=2$ ) rst studied in double layerss? and latter recognized in $D Q D^{24}$.
Finally, let us note that the correlation plays an increasingly im portant role as the $m$ agnetic eld grow sup. The interaction energy and correlation e ects can be experim entally tested by uniform electric eldsw ith non-vanishing com ponent along the z-direction due to the fact that under this condition, the $K$ ohn theorem does not apply $y^{13}$ and the F $\mathbb{R}$ spectroscopy becom es sensitive to the intemal structure.
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## FIGURES



FIG.1. A : C oulomb plus tunneling contribution to the total energy as a funtion of M for $N=5, S=N=2$ and parity $P=1$, for several values of the tunneling gap: (a) $t=0$, (b) $t=2 \cdot 2 \mathrm{meV}$ and (c) $t=11 \mathrm{meV} . \mathrm{B}: \mathrm{T}$ he same as A for $\mathrm{P}=1 . \mathrm{The}$ triangles point to the begining of the plateaux. W e have taken $\mathrm{B}=5 \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{~d}=20 \mathrm{~A}$, and $\mathrm{h}!_{0}=2: 6 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{eV}$ :


FIG.2. The sam e as Fig. 1 for $N=6$


FIG.3. A: C oulomb plustunneling contribution to the totalenergy as a function of $M$ for $N=5$ and parity $P=1$ for all the possible values of the spin $S . B: T$ he sam e as A for $P=1$. W e have taken $\mathrm{B}=5 \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{~d}=20 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~h}!_{0}=2: 6 \mathrm{meV}$ and $\mathrm{t}=2 \mathrm{meV}$.


FIG.4. A: G round state energy versus B for $N=5$. The triangles point to the transitions betw een $m$ agic angular $m$ om enta. $W$ e use the sam e values for $d,!_{0}$ and $t$ as in $F$ ig.3. Inset: $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{GS}} \quad \mathrm{N}$ as a function of B .


FIG.5. Totaloccupancy of the single-particle angularm om entum states $m$ for $N=5$. The values of $B$ considered correspond to the $m$ agic values $M=10,15$ and 20 respectively. We have taken $d=20 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~h}!_{0}=2: 6 \mathrm{meV}$ and $\mathrm{t}=6 \mathrm{meV}$.


FIG. 6. C oulomb phis tunneling contribution versus $M$ for $N=3$. From $A$ to $B$ the tunneling gap is: $0: 0,0: 018,0: 106$ and $0: 229$ u respectively. Inset: phase diagram used. W e have taken $h!_{0}=2: 6 \mathrm{meV}, \mathrm{B}=15 \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{~d}=10 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~S}=3=2$ and $\mathrm{P}=1$ ( $\mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{B}}=65 \mathrm{~A}$ ).


FIG.7. The sam e as Fig. 6 for $t=0$. From $A$ to $D$ the inter-dot distance is: $10,50,500$ and 1000 A respectively. Inset: phase diagram used.


FIG. 8. The sam e as Fig. 6 for $t=0.229$ u. From B to $C$ the inter-dot distance is: 50, 100,500 and 1000 A respectively. Inset: phase diagram used.


FIG.9. The sam e as Fig. 6 for $d=1000$ A. From $D$ to $C$ the tunneling gap is: $0: 0,0: 018$, $0: 035,0: 080$ and $0: 106$ u respectively. Inset: phase diagram used.


FIG.10. C oulom b plustunneling contribution (low er curve) and totalenergy (upper curve) as a function of $M$ for $N=5$. Theblack triangles point to the actualm agic values $M$ whereas the white triangles point to cusps which could be $m$ istaken by them. The values of $B,!0, d$ and $t$ are the sam e as in $F$ ig 3


FIG.11. A : C oulom.b plus tunneling contribution versus $M$ for $N=3$. $\mathrm{B}: \mathrm{T}$ he sam e as in A for the totalenergy. The input param eters are given in the text. $T$ hebladk triangles point to the actualm agic values $M$ whereas the white triangles point to cusps which could be m istaken by them.

