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#### Abstract

. W e suggest a pseudospectral method for solving the three-dim ensional tim edependent $G$ ross $P$ itaevskii ( $G$ P ) equation and use it to study the resonance dynam ics of a trapped B ose $E$ instein condensate induced by a periodic variation in the atom ic scattering length. W hen the frequency of oscillation of the scattering length is an even multiple of one of the trapping frequencies along the $x, y$, or $z$ direction, the corresponding size of the condensate executes resonant oscillation. U sing the concept of the di erentiation $m$ atrix, the partial-di erentialG $P$ equation is reduced to a set of coupled ordinary di erentialequationswhich is solved by a fourth-order adaptive stepsize controlR unge- $K$ utta $m$ ethod. T he pseudospectralm ethod is contrasted $w$ ith the nite-di erence $m$ ethod for the sam e problem, where the tim e evolution is perform ed by the C rank N icholson algorithm. The latterm ethod is illustrated to be m ore suitable for a three-dim ensional standing-w ave optical-lattice trapping potential.


PACS num bers: 03.75.to
A coepted in J. P hys. B : At. M ol. Opt. P hys.

## 1. Introduction

The experim ental realization $[1 \overline{1} 1]$ of $B$ ose E instein condensates (BEC s) in dilute weaklyinteracting trapped bosonic atom s at ultra-low tem perature initiated intense theoretical e ort to describe the properties of the condensate at zero tem perature are usually described by the tim e-dependent, nonlinear, $m$ ean- eld G ross P itaevskii ( $G$ P ) equation $[1 \bar{i} \bar{i}]$. The e ect of the interatom ic interaction leads to a nonlinear term in the GP equation which com plicates the solution procedure. A lso, to sim ulate the proper experim ental situation one should be prepared to dealw ith an anisotropic trap

A num erical study of the tim edependent GP equation is of interest, as this can provide solution to $m$ any stationary and tim eevolution problems. The timeindependent GP equation yields only the solution of stationary problems. As our principal interest is in time evolution problem s, we shall only consider the tim edependent GP equation in this paper. There are $m$ any num erical $m$ ethods for the solution of the GP equation $\left[\begin{array}{l}12\end{array}, 1\right.$

Here we suggest a pseudospectral tim e-iteration $m$ ethod $\left[1 \overline{1} \overline{6}{ }_{1}^{\prime} 1 \overline{1} \overline{1}_{1}\right]$ for the solution of the three-dim ensional GP equation with an anisotropic harm onic trap and contrast it $w$ ith the nite-di erence $m$ ethod wave fiunction is expanded in term s of a set of $N$ orthogonal polynom ials. W hen this expansion is substituted into the GP equation, the (space) di erential operators operate on a set of known polynom ials and generate a di erentiation $m$ atrix operating on the unknow $n$ coe cients. C onsequently, the tim e-dependent partial-di erential nonlinear GP equation in space and time variables is reduced to a set of $N$ coupled ordinary di erentialequations (ODEs) in tim ewhich is solved by a fourth-order adaptive step-size controlR unge $K$ utta $m$ ethod $\left.{ }_{20-1}^{2}\right]$ using successive tim e iteration. In the pseudospectral $m$ ethod we use the $H$ erm ite polynom ials to expand the wave function. In references [inilin pseudospectralm ethods have been em ployed for the solution ofthe G P equation, where a variable step forth order R unge-K utta tim e propagator was used, as in the present w ork. In [ilinl] a pseudospectral fourier-sine basis was used for nite traps, and a corresponding com plex pseudospectral basis w as used for system $s$ w ith periodic boundary conditions. H ow ever, the present study seem s to be the rst system atic study in dealing w ith the GP equation in three space dim ensions using the pseudospectral and nite-di erence approaches.

In the nite-di erence $m$ ethod the time iteration is implem ented by the splitstep C rank $N$ icholson scheme. The rst approach will be term ed pseudospectralR unge-K utta (P SR K) m ethod and the second nite-di erence-C rank-N icholson (FDCN) $m$ ethod in the follow ing. H ere pseudospectral and nite-di erence refer to the space part and R unge-K utta and C rank-N icholson refer to the tim e part. H ow ever, it should be noted that the tem poral and the spatial parts in the GP equation can be dealt w ith in independent fashions. O ne can combine the pseudospectral or nite di erence approach with a speci c algorithm for time stepping which can be Runge-Kutta or Crank-N icholson (w ith or w thout tim e-splitting) schem e, or any other. In fact it is quite com $m$ on to im plem ent som e version of the pseudospectral $m$ ethod $w$ ith $C$ rankN icholson tim e stepping when solving the N avier-Stokes equation.

The PSRK $m$ ethod is illustrated by calculating the wave function and energy eigenvalue of the GP equation for di erent nonlinearity and trap sym m etries in three dim ensions as well as by studying a resonance dynam ics. We nd that in both cases the PSRK m ethod presented here tums out to be a practical and e cient one for the solution of the tim e-dependent GP equation.

Resonance is an interesting feature of an oscillation under the action of an extemal periodic force $m$ anifesting in a large am plitude, when the frequency of the extemal force equals a multiple of the natural frequency of oscillation. A though, the phenom enon of resonance is well-understood in linear problem $s$, in nonlinear dynam ics it is far $m$ ore nontrivial. Hence, it is worthw hile to study the dynam ics of resonanœ using the nonlinear GP equation. The possibility of generating a resonance in a BEC sub ject to an oscillating trapping potential has been explored previously hīin. H ere, using the P SRK approach we study the resonance dynam ics of a three-dim ensional BEC sub ject to a periodic variation in the scattering length. O ne can have resonant oscillation in the $x, y$, and $z$ directions, when the frequency of oscillation of scattering length equals an even multiple of the trapping frequency in the respective direction. Such a variation in the scattering length is possible near a Feshbach resonance by $m$ anipulating an extemal

space $[\underline{[1} 4 \overline{4}]$ variables.
Next we consider the FDCN m ethod for the num erical solution of the tim edependent GP equation tīilici]. In this approach the tim e-dependent GP equation is rst discretized in space and tim e using a speci c rule $\left[\begin{array}{ll}10 \\ \hline 1019\end{array}\right]$ and the resultant set of equations is solved by tim e treration w ith an intial input solution tis $\{1$
 we extend it here to the fiull three-dim ensional case. In the two-space-variable case a three-step procedure [ $[\bar{\theta}]$ is rst used to separate the $H$ am iltonian into three parts before applying the C rank N icholson schem e in tw o directions. In the three-space variable case we consider a four-step procedure where the H am iltonian is broken up into four parts. $W$ e illustrate the FD CN m ethod in three dim ensions for the solution of the G P equation with a three-dim ensional standing-w ave optical-lattice potential.

In section 2 we describe brie y the three-dim ensional, tim e-dependent GP equation $w$ ith an anisotropic trap. The PSRK and FDCN m ethods are described in section 3. In section 4 we report the num erical results for the wave function and energy for di erent sym $m$ etries and nonlinearities as well as an account of our study of resonanœ in the anisotropic case due to an oscillating scattering length. In section 5 we present the solution of the GP equation for an optical-lattioe potential using the FDCN method and nally, in section 6 we present a discussion of our study.

## 2. $N$ on linear $G$ ross $-P$ itaevskii $E$ quation

At zero tem perature, the tim e-dependent Bose E instein condensate wave function
( $r$; ) at position $r$ and tim e $m$ ay be described by the follow ing $m$ ean- eld nonlinear G P equation

$$
\frac{h^{2} r^{2}}{2 m}+V(r)+g N_{0} j(r ;) j^{2} \quad i h \frac{@}{@}^{\#}(r ;)=0:
$$

Here $m$ is the $m$ ass and $N_{0}$ the number of atom $s$ in the condensate, $g=4 h^{2} a=m$ the strength of interatom ic interaction, with $\underset{R}{ }$ the atom ic scattering length. The norm alization condition of the wave function is $d r j(r ;) j^{2}=1$ :
$T$ he threedim ensional trap potential is given by $V(r)=\frac{1}{2} m\left(!{ }_{0}^{2} x^{2}+!{ }_{y}^{2} y^{2}+!{ }_{z}^{2} z^{2}\right)$, where $!_{x} \quad!_{0},!_{y}$, and $!_{z}$ are the angular frequencies in the $x, y$ and $z$ directions, respectively, and $r(x ; y ; z)$ is the radial vector. T he wave function can be written as
 de ned by $x={ }^{2} \overline{2}=1, y \underset{p}{p} \overline{2}_{y}=1, z=\bar{p}_{2} z=1, t=!_{0} ; 1 \quad \frac{q}{\left(h=m!_{0}\right)}$ and $(x ; y ; z ; t)=(x ; y ; z ;)(\hat{X}=\bar{p})^{1=2}$, the GP equation becom es " $\left.\frac{@^{2}}{@ x^{2}} \frac{\varrho^{2}}{@ y^{2}} \frac{@^{2}}{@ z^{2}}+\frac{x^{2}+{ }^{2} y^{2}+{ }^{2} z^{2}}{4}+N \quad j(x ; y ; z ; t)\right)^{2} \quad i \frac{\varrho^{\#}}{\varrho t} \quad(x ; y ; z ; t)=0 ;(2.1)$ where $N=8^{p} \overline{2} n$, $=!_{y}=!_{0}$ and $=!_{z}=!_{0}$ w ith nonlinearity $n=N_{0} a=1$. The nom alization condition for the wave function is

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x_{1}^{Z_{1}} d y{ }_{1}^{Z_{1}} d z j(x ; y ; z ; t) f=1: \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. N um erical M ethods

### 3.1. P seudospectral R unge-K utta (P SR K ) m ethod

$F$ irst we describe the PSRK method [1] $\overline{1}, 1 \overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1}]$ for the one-dim ensional GP equation in som e detail and then indicate the necessary changes for the three-dim ensional case. $T$ he one-dim ensionalG P equation is obtained by elim inating kinetic energy (derivative) term $s$ in $y$ and $z$, setting $=\quad=0$ and elim inating the $y$ and $z$ dependence of in (2.1.1), e.g.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho x^{2}}+\frac{x^{2}}{4}+N j(x ; t) J^{2} \quad i \frac{\varrho^{\#}}{\varrho t} \quad(x ; t)=0 ; \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

w th the nom alization ${ }^{R_{1}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{dxj}(\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{f}=1$ :
In this $m$ ethod the unknown function $(x ; t) \quad(x)$ is expanded in term $s$ of a set of $N$ known interpolating orthogonal functions $\mathrm{ff}_{\mathrm{j}}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{j}=0}^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{O}^{1}$ as follow s [ī]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { (x) } \quad P_{N} \quad 1(x)={ }_{j=0}^{X^{1}} \frac{(x)}{\left(x_{j}\right)} f_{j}(x)_{j} ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f x_{j} g_{j=0}^{N}{ }^{1}$ is a set of distinct interpolation nodes, $j_{j} \quad\left(x_{j}\right)$, $(x)$ is a weight function, and the functions $f f_{j}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{j}=0}^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{1}$ satisfy $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{j}}\left(\mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)={ }_{j k}$ (the K ronecker delta) and involve orthogonal polynom ials of degree $(\mathbb{N} \quad 1)$, so that $\left(x_{k}\right)=p_{N}{ }_{1}\left(x_{k}\right) ; k=$ $0 ; 1 ;:: ;{ }^{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N} \quad 1$ : In this work the interpolating functions $\mathrm{ff}_{j}(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{j}=0}^{\mathrm{N}}{ }^{1}$ are the Herm ite polynom ials $H_{j}(x): f_{j}(x)=H_{j}(x)$. H owever, one could use other polynom ials, such as, Chebyshev, Laguerre, and Legendre. O ne could also consider a Fourier (spectral) expansion of the wave function in term s of periodic cosine and sine functions. The Herm ite polynom ials are the eigenfunctions of the linearized GP equation and hence already satisfy the boundary conditions of the wave function of the GP equation the 1 . C onsequently, by choosing the $H$ erm ite polynom ials in the expansion, ( $\overline{3}_{2}^{2} \bar{L}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) satis es the proper boundary conditions by construction.

For obtaining the num erical solution, the G P equation (3). on the set of grid points $x_{j}$. The solution at any other point is obtained by using the interpolation form ula ( $\overline{3} \bar{Z}_{2}^{2}$ ), or any other convenient interpolation rule. The advantage of the expansion ( $\overline{3} \bar{Z})$ is that when it is substituted in the GP equation, the space derivatives operate only on the known analytic functions $(x)$ and $f_{j}(x)$, so that one can de ne a m atrix for the second-order space derivative [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{k ; j}^{2}=\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}} \frac{(x)}{\left(x_{j}\right)} f_{j}(x)_{x=x_{k}}^{\#} ; \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the num erical di erentiation process $m$ ay therefore be perform ed as the $m$ atrixvector product: ${ }^{\mathrm{N}}{ }_{\mathrm{j}=0^{1} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{k} ; j}^{2} \mathrm{j}}$. C onsequently, the partial di erential equation ( $\left.\overline{\mathrm{B}} . \mathrm{I}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ is reduced to a set of coupled OD Es in the tim e variable tinvolving j;j=0;1; ::; (N1). In this way we obtain a set of D E sby considering the original equations on a suitable set of discretization points (the roots of H erm ite polynom ials). O ne could have also used a G alerkin procedure [1] 1 ] and pro jected the equations, essentially by integrating them against $H$ em ite polynom ials for that purpose. H ow ever, we do not explore this possibility in this paper.

For solving the set of ODEs we use the adaptive step-size control based on the

how to m odify the step size in order to achieve a desired accuracy in a controlled way. For orders M higher than four of the R unge-K utta form ula, evaluation ofm ore than M fiunctions (though neverm ore than $M+2$ ) is required. Thism akes the classic fourth order $m$ ethod requiring the evaluation of four functions $m$ ore econom ic. Fehlberg suggested a fourth-order and a th-order $m$ ethod each requiring the evaluation of six fiunctions. The di erence between the results of these two gives the error in the fourth-order $m$ ethod with a step size $h$, where scales as $h^{5}$ : / $h^{5}$. This scaling im m ediately gives the factor by which the step size $h$ should be reduced, so that a desired can be obtained. The detailed fourth-order and fth-order $R$ unge $K$ utta form ulas of Fehlberg are given in $[\overline{2} \overline{0}]$. W e use these form ulas $w$ ith the constants given by C ash and K anp also tabulated in in the Fehlberg form ulas leads to $m$ ore accurate results than the original constants due to Fehlberg.

N ext we specialize to the case of H em ite polynom ials used to generate the solution. H erm ite polynom ials are very convenient in this case [2]5] as the solutions of the linear GP equation ( $\overline{3} \overline{3} \bar{Z} \mathbf{I})$ ) w th $N=0$ are aussian-type functions. They also satisfy the correct boundary conditions of the wave functions. In this case $x_{j}$ are the roots of $H_{N}{ }_{1}(x)$ : $H_{N}{ }_{1}\left(x_{j}\right)=0 ; j=0 ; 1 ;:: ; \mathrm{N} \quad 1: T$ he roots can be found by diagonalizing a tridiagonal sym $m$ etric Jacobim atrix as described in [ī̄] or otherw ise. The weight functions are taken as $(x)=\exp \left(x^{2}=2\right)$, such that expansion ( $\left.\overline{3}-2,{ }^{2}\right)$ becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad 1(x)={ }_{j=0}^{\mathbb{K}{ }^{1}} \frac{\exp \left(x^{2}=2\right)}{\exp \left(x_{j}^{2}=2\right)} f_{j}(x)_{j} ; \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{j}(x)$ are taken as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{j}(x)=\frac{H_{N} 1(x)}{H_{N}^{0} \quad\left(x_{j}\right)\left(x \quad x_{j}\right)} ; \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where prim e refers to derivative $w$ ith respect to $x$. C onsequently, the di erentiation $m$ atrix can be obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{k ; j}^{2}=\frac{1}{\left(x_{j}\right) H_{N}^{0}{ }_{1}\left(x_{j}\right)} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}} \frac{\exp \left(x^{2}=2\right) H_{N} \quad 1(x)^{\#}}{\left(x x_{j}\right)} \quad x=x_{k} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and calculated using an algorithm described in [ī $1 \overline{1}]$.
$U$ sing the di erentiation $m$ atrix ( $\left.\overline{0} . \mathbf{W}_{1}\right)$, the GP equation is discretized. The grid points are the roots of the $H$ erm ite polynom ial $H_{N} \quad\left(x_{j}\right)=0$. H ow ever, the actual $x_{j}$ values em ployed are obtained by scaling these roots by a constant factor so that $m$ ost of the roots fall in the region where the condensate wave function is sizable and only a few points are located in the region where the wave function is negligible. For the spherically sym $m$ etric case $!_{0}=!_{y}=!_{z}$; the discretization $m$ esh in the three directions are identical. For the anisotropic cases, in general, the discretization points in the three directions are di erent from each other.

Though the passage from the one-dim ensional to three-dim ensionalP SR K m ethod is form ally straightforw ard, it involves nontrivial com putational steps. The unknown function $(x ; y ; z ; t)(x ; y ; z)$ is expanded in term sof a set of $N$ known intenpolating


$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y ; z) \quad p_{N} \quad 1(x ; y ; z)=\sum_{i=0}^{X_{X} 1 x_{X} 1 X_{k}{ }^{1}} \frac{(x)}{\left(x_{i}\right)} \frac{(y)}{\left(y_{j}\right)} \frac{(z)}{\left(z_{k}\right)} f_{i}(x) f_{j}(y) f_{k}(z) \quad i j k ; \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f x_{j} g_{j=0}^{N}{ }^{1}$ is a set of distinct interpolation nodes, ${ }_{i j k} \quad\left(x_{i} ; x_{j} ; x_{k}\right)$, and the functions and $f$ are de ned as in the one-dim ensional case, so that $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{j}} ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)=$ $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{N}}{ }_{1}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}} ; \mathrm{Y}_{j} ; \mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)$. The di erentiation m atrioes along $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ and z directions can be de ned via (3̄-G) as in the one-dim ensional case. C onsequently, the partial di erential GP equation $\left(\overline{2} \overline{2}^{\prime} \overline{1}\right)$ in three space variables is transform ed to a set of O D Es in tim e variable on the grid points $x_{i}, y_{j}$ and $z_{k}$, which is solved by the adaptive step-size controlled Runge $K$ utta $m$ ethod. The wave function at any point is then calculated using the interpolation form ula ( $\left(\overline{3}-1,{ }_{2}\right)$.

### 3.2. Finite-di erence $C$ rank-N icholson (FDCN) m ethod

The GP equation (1]. $\overline{2}$ ) has the form of the follow ing nonlinear Schrodinger equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
i \frac{@}{@ t}=H \quad ; \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the H am iltonian H contains the di erent linear and nonlinear term s including the spatial derivatives. W e solve this equation by tim e iteration after discretization in
 set of algebraic equations. In the present split-step $m$ ethod the iteration is conveniently perform ed in four steps by breaking up the full H am iltonian into di erent derivative and nonderivative parts: $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{1}+\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{4}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{1}=\frac{x^{2}+{ }^{2} y^{2}+{ }^{2} z^{2}}{4}+N j(x ; y ; z ; t) \jmath^{\jmath} ;  \tag{3.9}\\
& H_{2}=\frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho x^{2}} ; H_{3}=\frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho y^{2}} ; \quad H_{4}=\frac{\varrho^{2}}{\varrho z^{2}}: \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he tim e variable is discretized as $t_{n}=n$ where is the time step. The solution is advanced rst over the time step at $t_{n}$ by solving ( $\left.\overline{3}, \mathbf{B}_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ w th $H=H_{1}$ to produce an interm ediate solution ${ }^{n+1=4}$ from ${ }^{n}$, where ${ }^{n}$ is the discretized wave fiunction at $t_{n}$. $T$ his propagation is perform ed essentially exactly for sm all via

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{n}+1=4}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{nd}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \quad \exp \left(\mathrm{iH}_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} ; \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{nd}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ denotes time evolution with $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and the su x hd' refers to nonderivative term s . N ext we perform the tim e propagation corresponding to the operators $H_{i} ; i=2 ; 3 ; 4$ successively via the follow ing sem i-m plicit C rank $-N$ icholson schem es $\left[\begin{array}{l}-\overline{1} \\ \hline\end{array}\right]$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }^{n+2=4}=O_{C_{N}}\left(H_{2}\right)^{n+1=4}{\frac{1}{\text { i H }_{2}=2}}_{1+\text { i H }_{2}=2}^{n+1=4}  \tag{3.12}\\
& { }^{n+3=4}=O_{C N}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)^{n+2=4} \frac{1 \text { i }^{H_{3}=2}}{1+\text { i H }_{3}=2}{ }^{n+2=4} \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{CN}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ denotes tim e evolution w ith $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}$ and the su x CN' refers to C rankN icholson. Hence the nal solution at tim $e t_{n+1}$ is obtained from

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\mathrm{n}+1}=\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{CN}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{CN}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{CN}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{nd}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)^{\mathrm{n}}: \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

 advantages of the above split-step $m$ ethod are the follow ing. First, the error involved
in splitting the H am ittonian is proportional to ${ }^{2}$ and can be neglected for sm all. A considerable fraction $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ of the H am iltonian is treated fairly accurately without m ixing $w$ th the C rank -N icholson propagation. This $m$ ethod can deal with a large nonlinear term accurately and lead to stable and accurate converged result.

### 3.3. C alculationald etails

In both the FDCN and PSRK m ethods the tim e iteration is started with the follow ing norm alized ground-state solution of the linear GP equation (2.1') with $N=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x ; y ; z)=\frac{8}{8}^{1=4} \exp \left[\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)=4\right]: \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The norm of the wave function is conserved after each iteration due to the unitarity of the tim e evolution operator. H ow ever, it is of advantage to reinforoe num erically the proper nom alization of the wave function after several (100) tim e iterations in order to im prove the precision of the result. Typical tim e step em ployed in the calculation is $=0: 001$. D uring the iteration the coe cient $n=N \quad{ }_{o} a=1$ of the nonlinear term is increased from 0 at each step by $1=0: 001$ until the nal value of nonlinearity $n$ is attained. This corresponds to the nal solution. Then several thousand time iterations of the equation were perform ed until a stable result is obtained.

For large nonlinearity, the $T$ hom as $F$ erm $i$ (TF) solution of the GP equation is a better approxim ation to the exact result In that case it m ight be advisable to use the TF solution as the initialtrial input to the GP equation $w$ th full nonlinearity and consider tim e teration of this equation w thout changing the nonlinearity. This time iteration is to be continued until a converged solution is obtained. H ow ever, in all the calculations reported in this paper only ( $\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{~J}}$ - $\overline{\mathrm{G}}$ ) is used as trial input. The use of initial TF solution did not lead to satisfactory result.

## 4. $N$ um erical R esults w ith the P SR K M ethod

### 4.1. W ave Function and Energy

The present $m$ ethod relies on tim e evolution and is suitable for both stationary and tim e-evolution problems. The stationary problems are govemed by a wave function
 param eter. Thus the stationary wave function and the param etric energy (the chem ical potential) can be extracted from the evolution of the tim e-dependent GP
 chem icalpotentialofseveralBEC s in three dim ensions for spherically sym m etric, axially sym $m$ etric and anisotropic cases. These traps $w$ th di erent geom etries for ${ }^{23} \mathrm{~N}$ a have been em ployed in experim ents as well as in a tim e-independent solution of the threedim ensional G P equation ${ }_{p}\left[\frac{9}{9}\right]$. The com pletely anisotropic trap em ploys the param eters
 cylindrically sym m etric trap param eters are $!_{0}^{\mathrm{c}}=33: 86 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s},==13: 585 \mathrm{as}$
 W e em ploy the scattering length of $a=52 a_{0}$ of $N a$, where $a_{0}$ is the B ohr radius tan].

In all calculations reported in this section we used 21 Herm ite polynom ials each in $x, y$ and $z$ directions so that we shall be dealing $w$ ith a wave function in the form of

Table 1. The chem ical potential corresponding to spherical, cylindrical and an isotropical geom etries, respectively, for various num bers of condensate atom $\mathrm{s} \mathrm{N}_{0}=$ $2^{\mathrm{q}}$ : present ( y ), reference $[\underline{\underline{q}} \overline{\underline{9}}](\mathrm{z})$.

|  | Spherical |  |  | Cylindrical |  |  | A nisotropical |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| q | n | y | z | n | y | z | n | y | z |
| 10 | 0.50 | 1.82 | 1.825 | 0.55 | 17.39 | 17.384 | 1.77 | 3.55 | 3.572 |
| 11 | 1.00 | 2.05 | 2.065 | 1.10 | 19.32 | 19.392 | 3.54 | 4.32 | 4.345 |
| 12 | 1.98 | 2.42 | 2.435 | 2.19 | 22.27 | 22.359 | 7.09 | 5.39 | 5.425 |
| 13 | 3.97 | 2.95 | 2.970 | 4.38 | 26.66 | 26.620 | 14.18 | 6.86 | 6.904 |
| 14 | 7.93 | 3.69 | 3.719 | 8.77 | 32.41 | 32.682 | 28.35 | 8.83 | 8.900 |
| 15 | 15.86 | 4.71 | 4.743 | 17.54 | 41.61 | 41.055 | 56.70 | 11.55 | 11.572 |

a cubic array of dim ension 2121 21. The maxim a of $x, y$ and $z$ in discretization were chosen consistent with the trap param eters. Typicalm axim a jx jn ax; jijn ax; and立in ax are of the order of 8 for the spherical and anisotropical cases, although a sm aller yin ax and jinax ( 3) together with a larger jxin ax (10) have been used in the axially sym $m$ etric case because of large distortion of trap param eters in that case ( $==13: 585$ ).

In table 1 we list the chem ical potentials for di erent sym $m$ etries obtained from the PSRK approach as a function of num ber of atom $S N_{0}=2^{q}$ in the condensate and com pare them w th those of a calculation based on a discrete variable representation of the tim e-independent G P equation $\left.\underline{\underline{9}}_{\underline{9}}\right]$. In the present calculation the chem icalpotentials are extracted from results of time evolution of the GP equation. The results were evaluated at a space point near the center of the BEC and averaged over several sam ples of calculation. The error (standard deviation) of the tim e averaged chem ical potential is typically of the order of $0.2 \%$. C onsidering that the present approach is based on tim e evolution, the precision is quite satisfactory about less than a percentage point of discrepancy when com pared to results of $[\underline{9}]$. It was most di cult to obtain good convergence in the cylindrical case w th highly distorted trap ( $==13: 585$ ). A m ore carefully chosen values of the $m$ axim a $\dot{x} \dot{m}$ ax ( 10 ); and $\dot{y} \dot{m}$ max $=\dot{k} \dot{j}$ ax ( 3 ) were needed in this case.

In the follow ing we study the convergence of the PSRK m ethod in the anisotropical
 seem $s$ to be optim al and were found after som e experim entation. These values were taken to be roughly ve tim es the root $m$ ean square ( m s) sizes hx;y;zims in the respective directions. H ere we consider the convergence of these m s sizes. A fter the proper nonlinearity is introduced in the G P equation, if we continue to integrate using the R unge-K utta ODE solver routine the wave function and the ms sizes uctuate a little. This type of uctuation is com $m$ on to tim e stepping $m$ ethods for a partial di erential equation. To quantify this uctuation we calculate the m s sizes over 250 successive sam ples generated after 20 tim e steps of 0.01 each in the $R$ unge-K utta ODE routine. This corresponds to a totaltim e intervalof250 20 0:01 or 50 units. Then we calculate the m ean m s sizes and the standard deviations which we show in table 2 for di erent num ber of expansion points $N$ in ( is typically less than one percent for $\mathrm{N}>10$ and the convergence is quite satisfactory.

Table 2. The convergence of the ms sizes $\mathrm{hx} ; \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{zim}_{\mathrm{m}}$ calculated w th the PSRK $m$ ethod for the anisotropical $q=12$ case for various num ber of space discretization points $N$.

| N |  | hxims s |  | hyim s |  | hzim s |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 7 | $1: 767$ | $0: 029$ | $1: 295$ | $0: 028$ | $0: 981$ | $0: 015$ |
| 8 | $1: 707$ | $0: 025$ | $1: 277$ | $0: 018$ | $0: 959$ | $0: 015$ |
| 9 | $1: 654$ | $0: 022$ | $1: 251$ | $0: 010$ | $0: 957$ | $0: 004$ |
| 10 | $1: 690$ | $0: 015$ | $1: 257$ | $0: 008$ | $0: 959$ | $0: 006$ |
| 11 | $1: 701$ | $0: 002$ | $1: 263$ | $0: 005$ | $0: 960$ | $0: 007$ |
| 12 | $1: 691$ | $0: 015$ | $1: 263$ | $0: 005$ | $0: 960$ | $0: 007$ |
| 13 | $1: 689$ | $0: 004$ | $1: 262$ | $0: 012$ | $0: 961$ | $0: 008$ |
| 14 | $1: 691$ | $0: 003$ | $1: 262$ | $0: 013$ | $0: 962$ | $0: 008$ |
| 15 | $1: 693$ | $0: 006$ | $1: 263$ | $0: 013$ | $0: 961$ | $0: 009$ |
| 16 | $1: 692$ | $0: 005$ | $1: 263$ | $0: 013$ | $0: 962$ | $0: 009$ |

Considering that we are solving a partial di erential equation in four variables this error is sm all. It willbe di cult to obtain sim ilar precision in the FD CN m ethod in this problem even w ith a signi cantly larger num ber ofspace discretization points $(\mathbb{N}>100)$ in each direction. The FDCN $m$ ethod is no $m$ atch to the $P S R K m$ ethod in this problem w ith a sm ooth potential. H ow ever, the FDCN m ethod seem $s$ to be very suitable for a rapidly varying potential, such as the optical-lattice potential considered in section 5, which requires a large num ber of equally distributed spatial discretization points for a faithfill reproduction of the potential. A though, the present PSRK m ethod yields satisfactory result for the stationary problem, its $m$ ain advantage lies in its ability to tackle tim e-dependent problem $s$ as we shall see in the follow ing.

### 4.2. Resonance D ynam ics

The appearance of a resonance in the oscillation of a BEC due to a periodic variation of the scattering length has been postulated recently in spherically $[\overline{2} \overline{-1}]$ and axially sym $m$ etric traps $\overline{2} \overline{4}]$ ]. H ere we extend this investigation to the $m$ ore realistic and com plicated case of an anisotropic trap.

In the case of a dam ped classical oscillator under the action of an extemal periodic force a resonance appears when the frequency of the extemal force is equal to or a $m$ ultiple of the natural frequency of oscillation of the system. The natural frequency of oscillation of a trapped three-dim ensional BEC along a certain direction ( $x, y$, or $z$ ) is tw ice the trapping frequency in that direction to a nonlinear extemal force due to a periodic variation in the scattering length given by $n=n_{0} \sin (t)$, in analogy $w$ ith the dam ped classical oscillator a resonance in the oscillation of the BEC along a particular direction is expected when equals the natural frequency of oscillation in that direction or a multiple of this frequency. In the present num erical study of the anisotropic case we nd that this is indeed the case.
$\mathrm{p} \frac{\mathrm{T} O}{2}$ investigate the phenomenon of resonance we solve (2.1') with $\mathrm{N}=$



Figure 1. The $\frac{m}{m}$ ssizes hxim $i_{m}$, hy $i_{m} s$, and hzim $s$ vs. tim e for a BEC in a harm on ic trap $w$ ith $=\overline{2} ;=2$ sub ject to a sinusoidalvariation ofnonlinearity $n=0: 3[\sin (t)$ w ith (a) $=2$, (b) $=2 \overline{2}$, and (c) $=4$.
value depends on the asymm etry param eters and of the harm onic trap. For the spherically symmetric case $==1, \mathrm{n}_{\text {crit }}=0.575$ [2] $]$. To study the resonance dynam ics we use 31 H erm ite polynom ials in each of $x, y$, and $z$ directions. Them axim um values of $\dot{\chi} \dot{j} \dot{y} \dot{j}$, and $\dot{k} j$ jem ployed in space discretization are each 15. The resonance is best studied by plotting the m s sizes hx;y;zim spvs. time. In this study we em ploy

unit of tim e is $!_{0}{ }^{1}=0: 9 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}$ and of length is $1{ }^{\mathrm{P}} \overline{2}=1: 115 \mathrm{~m}$. For values of 0 the resonance the m s sizes exhibit oscillation of very sm all am plitude. For resonance frequencies, the m s sizes execute oscillation of large am plinude.

To illustrate the resonance we plot in gures 1 (a), (b), and (c) hx;y;zims vs. time for $=2,2 \overline{2}$, and 4, respectively. These values of correspond to the natural frequency of oscillation of the condensate along the $x, y$, and $z$ directions, respectively $\left.{ }^{[8}, 1 \mathbf{1} \mathbf{2} \overline{-1}\right]$. In addition, $=4$ is also tw ioe the natural frequency of oscillation of the condensate along the x direction. So for $=2$ and $2 \overline{2}$ the ms values of x and y execute resonance oscillation as shown in gures 1 (a) and (b), respectively. At resonance in a particular direction, the corresponding dim ension increases $w$ th tim $e$ in a oscillatory fashion. The m s values of the other com ponents do not show resonance. For $=4, \mathrm{~ms}$ values of both x and z exhibit resonance. In case of hzim s this corresponds to the low est harm onic and for hxim s this corresponds to the rst excited state. H ow ever, the behavior of hxims and hzim s are di erent in this case.
5. N um erical R esults w ith the FDCN M ethod

For a sm ooth trapping potential the P SR K m ethod discussed in the last section yields excellent result $w$ th a sm aller num ber of spatialdiscretization points w hich are uneven ly distributed (at the scaled roots of the Herm ite polynom ial) com pared to the FDCN $m$ ethod em ploying a relatively large num ber of evenly distributed spatial discretization points. Forsm ooth potentials the CPU tim e in the PSRK m ethod could be even an order ofm agnitude sm aller than that in the FD CN m ethod. H ow ever, the FD CN m ethod has advantage in the case of a rapidly varying trapping potential requiring a large num ber of evenly distributed spatial discretization points for a proper description of the trapping potential. O ne such potential is the optical-lattioe trapping potential recently used in


The optical-lattice potentialcreated $w$ th the standing-w ave laser eld ofw avelength
is given by $V_{\text {Opt }}=V_{0} E_{R}{ }^{P} \underset{i=1}{3} \sin ^{2}\left(k_{L} x_{i}\right)$, with $E_{R}=h^{2} k_{L}^{2}=(2 m), k_{L}=2=$, and $V_{0}$ the dim ensionless strength of the optical-lattice potential govemed by the intensity of the laser $\uparrow \underline{1} \mathbf{N} \overline{0} \overline{0}]$. In term $s$ of the din ensionless laser wave length $0=\overline{2}=1$ and the dim ensionless standing-w ave energy param eter $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{R}}=(\mathrm{h}!)=4^{2}={ }_{0}^{2}, \mathrm{~V}_{\text {opt }}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{Opt}}}{\mathrm{~h}!}=\mathrm{V}_{0}{\frac{4}{2} \mathrm{x}_{0}^{2}}_{\mathrm{o}=1}^{\sin ^{2}} \frac{2}{0} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}: \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the actual experim ent $\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[30} \\ -1\end{array}\right]$ this dim ensionless standing-w ave optical-lattioe potential is supenposed on the harm onic trapping potential of ( $\overline{2} \overline{\overline{1}})$ ) and we present the solution of (2.1) under the action of spherically sym $m$ etric harm onic ( $==1$ ) as well as the optical-lattice potential (5.1.').

To calculate the wave function we discretize the G P equation with tim e step 0.001 and space step 0.1 along $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$, and z directions spanning the cubic region $4<\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y} ; \mathrm{z}<4$. $\begin{array}{llll}C \text { onsequently, the wave function is de ned on a cubic array of dim ension } & 80 & 80 & 80\end{array}$ Starting the tim e iteration $w$ th the know $n$ harm onic oscillator solution for nonlinearity $\mathrm{n}=0$ and $\mathrm{V}_{0}=0$, the nonlinearity n and the optical-lattioe strength $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ are slow ly increased by equal am ount in 1000n steps of tim e iteration until the desired values of n and $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ are obtained. Then w ithout changing any param eters the solution is iterated several thousand tim es so that a converged solution independent of the intial inputs


Figure 2. Three-dim ensional contour plot of the interior part of the BEC ground state $w$ ave function under the com bined action of the ham onic and the optical trap for $\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{N}_{0} \mathrm{a}=\mathrm{l}=10,0=1$ and $\mathrm{V}_{0}=10$ on a cubic lattioe of size $3 \quad 3 \quad 3$ ( $1: 5<x ; y ; z<1: 5)$ : (a) view along the diagonal of the cube and (b) along one of the axes of the cube.
is obtained. To illustrate the present $m$ ethod we calculate the $w$ ave function for the ground state for nonlinearity $n \quad N_{0} a=1=10$, laser wave length $0=1$ and opticallattice strength $V_{0}=10$. T w o view s of the three-dim ensional contour plot of the central part of the wave function on a cubic lattioe of size $3 \quad 3 \quad 3$ are shown in gures 2 (a) and (b) as seen from two di erent angles. The droplets of BEC at each pit of the optical-lattice potential can be identi ed in gures 2. There are about 10 occupied cites in each of $x, y$, and $z$ directions of which the centralpart is show $n$ in gure 2.

## 6. C onclusion

In this paper we propose and im plem ent a PSRK m ethod [1] $\overline{1}]$ and contrast it with the FDCN $m$ ethod [ $[\bar{\theta}]$ for the num erical solution of the tim e-dependent nonlinear GP equation under the action of a three-dim ensional trap by tim e iteration. In the PSRK m ethod the unknown wave function is expanded in a set of known polynom ials (H erm ite). C onsequently, the partial di erential GP equation in three space and time variables becom es a set of coupled ODEs in tim e for the unknown coe cients, which is solved by a fourth-order adaptive step-size control $R$ unge $K$ utta $m$ ethod $\underline{\underline{2} \overline{0}} \overline{1}$. In the FD CN m ethod the fiull H am iltonian is split into the derivative and nonderivative parts. In this fashion the tim e propagation $w$ ith the nonderivative parts can be treated very accurately. The tim e derivative part is treated by the C rank N icholson schem e in three independent steps. B oth $m$ ethods lead to stable and accurate results. The nal result rem ains stable for thousands of tim e iteration of the GP equation.

W e applied the PSRK m ethod for the num erical study of certain stationary and tim e-evolution problem s. W e solved the G P equation for spherically sym $m$ etric, axially symmetric and anisotropic cases and calculated the chem ical potential for di erent nonlinearities. The results com pare well w ith those obtained by a tim e-independent approach $\left[\frac{9}{9}\right]$. The tw o sets of energy values agree to $w$ thin a fraction of a percentage
point. The num erical error in the $m$ ethod is found to be less than one percent $w$ ith a sm all num ber of expansion functions ( $\mathbb{N}$ 20). The PSRK m ethod was also used to study the resonance dynam ics of an anisotropic BEC under the action of periodic sinusoidal variation of the scattering length. W hen this period of oscillation coincides $w$ ith the natural frequency of oscillation of the BEC along $x, y$, or $z$ directions or a m ultiple thereof the corresponding m s size executes resonant oscillation $\left.{ }_{2}^{2} \overline{3}, 12 \overline{2} \overline{4}\right]$. U sing the FDCN m ethod we study the ground state of a BEC under the com bined action of a harm onic and a periodic optical-lattice trapping potential in three space dim ensions.

The dom ain of applicability of the PSRK and FDCN methods seems to be com plem entary rather than overlapping. The PSRK method is more e cient and econom ic for $s m$ ooth potentials where a relatively $s m$ all num ber of expansion functions and unevenly distributed spatialdiscretization points seem sto be adequate. T he FD CN $m$ ethod em ploys a large num ber of evenly distributed spatial discretization points and is suitable for a rapidly varying potential, such as the optical-lattioe potential, favoring such a distribution.
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