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## A bstract

A social system is represented by the B arabasi－A lbert model．At each node of the graph，an Ising spin is placed，$S=1$ ，w ith antifer－ rom agnetic interaction between connected nodes．The tim e to reach equilibrium via $G$ lauber kinetics does not depend on the system size． $T$ he average energy associated $w$ ith the rare spin ips in equilibrium oscillates $w$ th the num ber $m$ of edges of new nodes．The conclusions are illustrated with events from recent European history，where after som e strong change a rather im $m$ obile society evolved．

K eyw ords：sociophysics，stability，B arabasi－A lbert，Ising antiferrom agnet

Som e of us wonder som etim es why it is so di cult to reorganize a social system［通］．There are $m$ any exam ples in history when a society prevents changes just by $m$ eans of inertia．A com $m$ only accepted exam ple is provided by the history of Soviet Union in 60 ＇s，when reform $s$ of $K$ hnushchev failed despite apparent lack of ob jections．In fact，the fam ous＇perestro jka＇in 80＇s could happen only because $m$ em bers of the $P$ olitburo in $M$ osoow were pretty sure that G orbachev does not talk seriously

Here we are interested in the tim e dependence of a exibility of a social system，described in possibly sim plest way．We pro $t$ from recent works of B arabasi and A Ibert $\left[\frac{1}{3}, 1,[\overline{1}]\right.$ ，where social connections are m odeled as edges of graphs．A special algorithm was introduced in Ref．［⿹勹龴⿵⺆⿻二丨凵⿴囗⿱一一廾刂土 to construct the so－called scale－free netw orks of nodes connected by edges．The resulting system s were proven to show qualitative sim ilarities with netw orks known from di erent branches of know ledge，as biology，ecology or linguistics．For a review of this new sub ject see Ref．$\dagger \overline{4} 1]$ ．

A social system is represented by a scale－fiee B arabasi－A lbert netw ork， $w$ th the num ber m ofedges of successively added nodes as the only param－ eter．Ising spins $S=1$ are assigned to all nodes．$T$ he interaction is chosen
to be antiferrom agnetic and it is lim ited to the nodes directly connected by edges. This choige can be m otivated heuristically as follow s. There is a natural tendency of social units (people, enterprises or political fractions) to di er and to com pete. A s a result of the com petition, one unit of each two becom es $m$ ore self-concentrated, egoistic and powerfiul than the other - and it wins. In this sense, a stable state ofeach pair of units is: one up (positive, subordinated) and one dow n (negative, prevailing). At the initial state of a new ly created system, how ever, all units are idealistic and claim to serve to the com $m$ unity. A stable state of the whole system is attained by a gradual evolution of the units, which is com posed from local com petitions betw een $m$ em bers of interacting pairs. A sim ilar splitting of an evolving society into perform ers of two opposite strategies was described by M itchell et al. in term s of rules of œlhular autom ata [5] $]$.

O ur tim e evolution accords w ith the M onte-C arlo $G$ lauber dynam ics $[\bar{\sigma}]$. H ow ever we believe that in our problem, the character of the evolution rule is ofsecondary im portance. The relevant feature is the existence of the w orking function -energy in the Ising $m$ odel-which is $m$ inim ized apart from therm al uctuations. A s it was proven by D errida [ī], in this case a localequilibrium state is wellde ned, and the length of the lim it cycle is at most 2 . In fact, we need only the existence of an absorbing state, which is stable against existing disturbances. This condition seem s to be ful lled also in the world of social phenom ena, at least until a $G$ reat $D$ isturbance appears.

In contrast to spin glass sim ulations since a quarter of a century, we do not discuss here phase transitions, ordering below the phase transition tem peratures, or ground states. Instead we look at the in itial nonequilibrium dynam ics as a $m$ odel for the developm ent of social rigidity.

W e used the program em ployed already by A leksiejuk et al [ī] and replaced ferrom agnetic by antiferrom agnetic couplings. The B arabasi-A lbert network started with $m$ mutually connected sites and then was grown to $\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{m}$ sites according to the standard rule that a new ly added site selects m neighbours from the already existing netw ork sites, w ith a selection probability proportional to the num ber $k$ of neighbours the selected site had before. The Ising spins, initially all up, where ipped with heat bath (G lauber) dynam ics, i.e. up with probability $x=(1+x)$ and down with probability $1=(1+x)_{\beta}$ where $x=\exp \left(n J=k_{B} T\right)$ is the Boltzm ann them al probability and $n={ }_{j} S_{j}$ is the sum over all $k$ (i) neighbours of spin $i$, with $S_{j}=1$ and a positive exchange energy $J$. O ne iteration $t!t+1$ is one $M$ onte C arlo step per spin. D uring each iteration we counted the total num ber of
spins which are ipped, the total energy change (injsum med over all spin ips), and the $m$ agnetization $M={ }_{i} S_{i}$.

F ig. 1 for $\mathrm{m}=5$ show $s$ that the $m$ agnetization decays $w$ eakly $w$ ith tim $e$, the totalenergy changes strongly, while the num ber of ipped spins is m ostly in between. Changing the network size N from 2 m illion to 20,000 gives sim ilar results, F ig 2. Figs. 3 and 4 show a slightly faster equilibration w ith $m=1$ or 2 , instead of 5 , and $F$ ig. 5 sum $m$ arizes this trend for $m=2,4,6,8$, 10.

In $F$ ig 2 we see that for $m=5$ the energy change stabilizes in about 100 tim esteps, and this characteristic tim e does not depend on the system size. (It alse rem ains about the sam e if we use lower tem peratures.) The stable value is a sm all fraction of the num ber of nodes, i.e. about $0: 005 \mathrm{~N}$. Sim ilarly, the num ber ofspin ips stabilizes near 0:015N . T hem agnetization changes reveal two stages; after a short transient, it decreases w ith tim et proportionally to $t$, w ith less than 0.1.

Them dependence of the results, $F$ ig.6, reveals som e kind of oscillations $w$ ith amplitude decreasing $w$ th $m$. This e ect can be assigned to the difference betw een $m$ even and odd, which is expected to alter the density of frustration in the system : A cycle w ith an even num ber of antiferrom agnetic edges allow s all spins to be antiparallel to their neighbours on this cycle; for an odd num ber of edges this is im possible and leads to frustrated edges connecting parallel spins even at zero tem perature.

In the above results, the exibility of the m odeled system is m easured by the num ber of spins ipped at a given teration step, and by the energy change during these ips. C ontinuing our allegoricalintenpretation, we assign the num ber ofpersonnelchanges to the num ber of spin ips. This is justi ed by our every-day experience that it is easier to change a person than his/her attitude. Even $m$ ore im portant is the change of energy, which can be seen as a m easure of a socialcost of changes. A $n$ exchange of a politician $w$ thout a resulting change in the problem s of the society corresponds to a spin ip $w$ ith zero energy change, $n=0$. These ips dom inate at long tim es in our sim ulations.

For social system $s$, tw o conclusions can be drawn from our results. F irst, after a big (extemal) disturbance, the ability of a system to change decreases w ith tim e and soon it becom es very sm all. A ctually, this result follow s as a sim ple consequence of ourm odel of a socialsystem as an interacting m edium w ith the energy as a work function which is minim ized during the tim e evolution. W ith this assum ption, the proof of D errida $\underline{i n}_{[1]}$ holds. O ur second
conclusion is $m$ ore subtle. If the above interpretation is valid, personnel changes or even changes of attitude of the adm inistration are not equivalent to changes of the social structure, which can rem ain unharm ed. A real change dem ands a new initial state far from equilibrium, when most units agree.

These conclusions can be easily illustrated by exam ples from recent history of E urope. In years 1989-91 the reform s of the dem ocratic P olish govemm ent transform ed the life in the country $m$ uch $m$ ore than the changes in the ten follow ing years. T he characteristic tim e can then be evaluated as one or two years. The moral is: once you can change som ething, do it quidkly. O $n$ the other hand, there is a continuity of the so-called 'nationalinterests' of $m$ any countries, and they are present in politicalstrategies through centuries. At the reverse of the same e ect we nd that the am ounts of unem ployed people in several countries (including ours) seem to be very resistant with respect to changes of govemm ents and parliam ents, not to $m$ ention a "New Politics" claim ed frequently during elections.

## R eferences

[1] S.G alam, Les reform es sont-elles im possibles ?, Le M onde, p.18-19, M arch 28, 2000; S.G alam , Eur. Phys. J. B 25 (2002) 403.
[2] N a jinow sza historia sw iata [in P olish], edsA P atek, J R ydeland J J. W ec, W ydaw nictwo Literackie, K rakow 2000.
[3] A. . B arabasi and R A lbert, Scienœ 286 (1999) 509; se also H A. Sim on, B iom etrika 42 (1955) 525.
[4] R A lbert and A. . $\operatorname{Ba}$ arabasi, R ev M od Phys. 74 (2002) 47.
[5] M M itchell, J. .C nutch eld and P.T H raber, P hysica D 75 (1994) 361.
[6] D W H eem ann, C om puter Sim ulation M ethods in TheoreticalP hysics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1990.
[7] B D errida, in Fundam ental Problem s in Statistical M echanics V II, ed. H van Beijeren, E lsevier 1990, p 273.
[8] A A leksiejuk, JA H olyst, and D. Stau er, P hysica A 310 (2002) 260.


F igure 1: Relaxation for $\mathrm{m}=5$ at $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{J}=0.5$ for $\mathrm{tw} \circ \mathrm{m}$ illion nodes.


Figure 2: Sum ofenergy changes for sm all, $m$ edium and large system $s$; again $\mathrm{m}=5$ at $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=\mathrm{J}=0.5$.


Figure 3: A s Fig,1 but w ith $m=1$ instead of $m=5$.


Figure 4: A s Fig, 1 but with $m=2$ instead ofm $=5$.


Figure 5: C entral part of energy curves from Fig. 4 com bined w ith other m values, show ing $m=2,4,6,8,10$ from top to bottom, and a relaxation tim e increasing $w$ th $m$. U sing odd $m$ only the plot (not shown) is sim ilar except that the curve for $m=1$ is $m$ ore separated from the others.


F igure 6: Sem ilogarithm ic plot of energy change per spin ip during the last of 1000 iterations ( $M$ onte $C$ arlo steps per spin); $N=2 \mathrm{~m}$ illion, $J=k_{B} T=0: 5$. Every succesfiul spin ip attem pt is taken into account.

