A New face on old code - NOBUGS2002/024

P.F. Peterson[1] and Th. Proffen[2]

[1]*Intense Pulsed Neutron Source, Building 360, 9700 South Cass Ave, Argonne, IL 60439-4814, USA*
[2]*Los Alamos National Laboratory, LANSCE-12, Mailstop H805, Los Alamos, NM 87544, USA*

(Dated: October 16, 2002)

In science we often use established code that was developed many years ago. Frequently the documentation is lost and many of us know the feeling of putting a number in the wrong column and trying to understand why the program is not working. However, these old codes are usually very useful, well tested and established. Rather than writing new code from scratch, which must first be tested against the established standard, it is desirable to put a new front end on the standard, commonly referred to as 'wrapping'. This paper will discuss wrapping of FORTRAN code using a selection of other languages to provide simpler user interface.

arXiv:cond-mat/0210355v1 16 Oct 2002

I. INTRODUCTION

In the ideal world data processing and modeling techniques are thoroughly studied and understood at the beginning of a research program. Then someone sits down and writes easily maintainable and extendible code with lots of comments throughout. Finally someone writes a detailed user manual including examples and what methods were used, then confirms that everything does indeed work on all of the supported platforms. However, this is almost never the case.

Normally, one is trying to understand some science and has little time to do more than write *ad hoc* code that frequently has a confusing interface and only is known to work for the parameters of the original problem. After time this type of software is grouped together in an analysis or modeling package that is fully functional, but lacks a real interface. Because of a steep learning curve to use the software a new user must have lots of interaction with the author to start to apply it to the current situation. This is a waste of everyone's time. The solutions to this problem are to undergo extensive rewrites of the code to simplify its user interface, or to wrap the code with new software which deals with all of the idiosyncrasies of the various input files. This paper will discuss the latter option.

II. SIMPLE WRAPPING

Several cases of wrapping fall into a simple class where the underlying FORTRAN runs either off of a input file or a few command line parameters. This section will demonstrate an easy way to call such code.

We will start with the absolute simplest program to call: `hello world`. This will be done with a *very* simple FORTRAN program that requires no input or user interaction.

```
        program hello
c
        write(*,*) 'Hello world'
        end
```

Once compiled this will have either the name `hello` in Linux or `hello.exe` in DOS. For the rest of the paper we will assume that Linux is being used. To confirm that the program works we simply try (using a GNU compiler):

```
% g77 -o hello hello.f
% hello
Hello world
%
```

Even though this example is very simple it represents the vast majority of analysis and modeling software. The wrapping program in these cases can write the input file and call the FORTRAN using parameters that have already checked to avoid errors in execution.

Most require input either from a simple ASCII file (which can be set up by the wrapping software also) or a few command line parameters and be called in exactly the same way as our simple FORTRAN program. The examples in this section do not go over writing input files or parsing output files because the methods for calling the FORTRAN program is unchanged.

A. Hello Perl

In Perl[1,2], and many other languages, a simple `hello world` type of program can be executed in a few lines. In this case the preferred function is `system` and the program is as follows (assuming that Perl is in `/usr/bin`)

```
#!/usr/bin/perl
$command="hello";
system($command);
```

This can be tested on the command line

```
% hello.pl
Hello perl
%
```

The `system` function gives control of the current thread to the function call and takes it back once the program executed is done. The exit status of the program executed (multiplied by 256) is the return value of `system`. There is also a similar function, `exec`, which starts the

system call but never regains control. Because of the nature of the two commands, `system` is the generally preferred method since it provides a means to step through a series of programs in an orderly fashion.

B. Hello Java

Java[3,4] is a significantly more structured language than Perl. Because of this, a simple 'hello world' program takes several more lines, while the basics are the same.

```
import java.io.*;
class hello{
  public static void main(String args[]){
    String command="hello";
    try{
      Runtime.getRuntime().exec(command);
    }catch(java.io.IOException e){
      // let it drop on the floor
    }
  }
}
```

Compiling and running this program results in

```
% javac hello.java
% java hello
%
```

There is no output from the executed code.

The lack of output comes from the `exec` command which grabs the input, output, and error streams from the program executed. To have the result of our program shown on the screen we need to ask `exec` for the process' output stream so we can redirect it to the console. This can be done by

```
...
Process process=Runtime.getRuntime().exec(command);
InputStream in_stream=process.getInputStream();
InputStreamReader in_reader=
                  new InputStreamReader(in_stream);
BufferedReader in=new BufferedReader(in_reader);
while(true){
    output=in.readLine();
    if( output==null ){
        break;
    }else{
        System.out.println(output);
    }
}
...
```

After the redirection lines are added execution results in

```
% javac hello.java
% java hello
Hello java
%
```

Much like the Perl example, this can be repeated with several different programs to allow for stepping through an analysis process.

III. INTERACTIVE WRAPPING

While the examples above represent the majority of wrapping needed, there are other cases which are important to discuss. Some other tasks are to deal with an interactive program or call FORTRAN subroutines directly. Here we will discuss the former since the latter frequently requires an interface layer, such as C/C++, which calls the FORTRAN subroutines. Wrapping an interactive program happens when the original author spent the time to make a front-end to a program but new users want to use it through a GUI or with other programs in a seamless manner.

A. KUPLOT and Perl

The example here is taken from PDFgetN[5] which places a simple graphical user interface on analysis code written in FORTRAN. While several programs are called similar to the example above, part of the interface is plotting the data in various stages of the analysis. KUPLOT[6] is a plotting package written using the PGPLOT library[7]. The three important subroutines are to open a connection to the outside program, send commands to it, and close the connection. Of course, if interactivity is not needed then the methods in the previous section can be used for any software. First we show how to open a connection to KUPLOT

```
sub kuplot_open {
  my $cmd=File::Spec->canonpath("$exepath/kuplot");
  (return)unless(-x $cmd || -x "$cmd.exe");
  my $pid = open2(\*kin, \*kout, "$cmd")
            || die ('KUPLOT connection failed ..');
  kout->autoflush();
  kin ->autoflush();
  ...
```

The important part of this subroutine is the `open2` method. This opens reading and writing connections to the same external code. The notation `\*kin` denotes that a reference is being used to pass the handle `kin`. Here `kin` is the output stream KUPLOT and `kout` is input stream of KUPLOT. In other terms, our program writes to `kout` and reads from `kin` in order to hold a conversation with KUPLOT.

Next we send information to KUPLOT

```
sub kuplot_send {
  my ($cmd)=@_;
  print kout "$cmd\n";
  print kout "echo done\n";
  while(<kin>){
    (last) if(/echo done/);
  }
}
```

A series of commands is given to KUPLOT followed by `echo done`. This is done so the output of the commands are parsed until the `echo done` is returned, which lets

the calling program know that all of the other commands were completed.

Finally we close the connection to KUPLOT

```
sub kuplot_close {
  print kout "exit\n\n";
  close(kin);
  close(kout);
}
```

This is by far the simplest of the three subroutines. It tells KUPLOT to execute the `exit` command, then closes the input and output streams.

B. BLIND and Java

The example in this section is a program called BLIND developed for the Single Crystal Diffractometer (SCD) at the Intense Pulsed Neutron Source (IPNS) at Argonne National Laboratory. Blind determines the orientation matrix, UB,[8] and lattice parameters consistent with a given set of Bragg peaks. Here the purpose of wrapping was to dramatically shorten the development time of visualization and analysis software by simply using existing code and interacting with its results. Similar to the KUPLOT example there are three basic steps: starting a process, interacting with it, and ending the process. The first step is fairly simple given the earlier Java example

```
public Process startProcess(String command,
                    String cwd) throws IOException{
  // must specify a command
  if(command==null) return null;
  // get the working directory
  if(cwd!=null && cwd.length()<=0) cwd=null;
  if(cwd!=null){
    File dir=null;
    if(isDirectory(cwd)){
      dir=new File(cwd);
      return Runtime.getRuntime()
                      .exec(command,null,dir);
    }
  }else{
    // call the shorter version of the command
    return Runtime.getRuntime().exec(command);
  }
}
```

This method allows for starting a process and specifying its current working directory, `cwd` . Without the extra parameter Java assumes that all processes are executed from the directory where the Java session was started.

The process of interaction is similar to the previous example where things are read from and written to the process. Again, this method of interaction assumes a fair amount of predictability in how the program works. Since BLIND does not return a generic *done* message for each interaction, the possible results for each step and what to do next need to be coded into the wrapper.

```
...
InputStream  in_stream=process.getInputStream();
OutputStream out_stream=process.getOutputStream();
InputStreamReader in_reader=
                  new InputStreamReader(in_stream);
OutputStreamWriter out_write=
                new OutputStreamWriter(out_stream);
BufferedReader in=new BufferedReader(in_reader);
BufferedWriter out=new BufferedWriter(out_write);

output=this.readline(in);
while( output==null ||
       output.indexOf("Input reflection from")<0 ){
  if( output!=null && output.length()>0){
    System.out.println(output);
  }
  output=this.readline(in);
}
this.writeline(out,"y");
System.out.println(output+"y");
...
```

As in the simple Java example, the process input and output must be redirected by the caller to make the program work as expected. Lines of information are read from the process until the proper string is seen which needs actions taken. Then the appropriate answer is given to the process (and the console) to make it look like the program is being automatically run by Java. The reason for writing out the communication to the command line is to aid in debugging and provide a means to assure the user that the program is being run as expected.

The `readline` and `writeline` methods are wrapping common functions in a convenience method. Since `writeline` is straightforward it is omitted.

```
public String readline(BufferedReader in)
                              throws IOException{
  char buff[]=new char[BUFF_SIZE];
  String result=null;
  int go_back=0;
  int size=0;

  while( ! in.ready() ){
    // wait until it is ready
  }
  for( size=0 ; size<BUFF_SIZE ; size++ ){
    if(! in.ready() ){
      if(size==0) return null;
    }else{
      buff[size]=(char)in.read();
      String charac=
        (new Character(buff[size])).toString();
      if(charac.equals("\n")){
        go_back++;
        break;
      }else if(buff[size]==-1){
        go_back++;
        break;
      }
    }
  }

  if(size<go_back) return "";
  result=new String(buff,0,size);
  return result;
}
```

The reason for not using Java's default `readLine` method is that it stops reading only at the end of line character. Since BLIND has all of its input at the end of a line rather than on a separate line, some output is never fully written which causes the default `readLine` method to wait forever.

Finally the process is ended by a simple `process.waitFor()`. The connections to the input and output streams of the process are closed and memory freed automatically by Java.

IV. SUMMARY

This paper demonstrated methods for wrapping FORTRAN code. The techniques shown did not use any special interaction between the wrapping code and FORTRAN program so the same methodology can be applied to wrapping software written in any language. Most modern languages have a method similar to the `system` command of Perl and `exec` command of Java. In cases where this, in combination with input and output files, is enough interaction between programs wrapping is very straightforward. Also discussed was the case of dealing with interactive sessions. While this is, in theory, straightforward some of the idiosyncrasies were demonstrated.

Acknowledgments

Argonne National Laboratory is funded by the U.S. Department of Energy, BES-Materials Science, under Contract W-31-109-ENG-38. Los Alamos National Laboratory is funded by the US Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36.

[1] Perl homepage, http://www.perl.com.
[2] E. Siever, S. Spainhour, and N. Patwardhan, *Perl in a Nutshell*, O'Reilly and Assoc., Inc., 1999.
[3] Java homepage, http://www.java.sun.com.
[4] K. Arnold, J. Gosling, and D. Holmes, *The Java Programming Language*, Addison Wesley, 3rd edition, 2000.
[5] P. F. Peterson, M. Gutmann, Th. Proffen, and S. J. L. Billinge, J. Appl. Crystallogr. **33**, 1192 (2000).
[6] T. Proffen and R. B. Neder, KUPLOT: Kurven ploting program, http://www.pa.msu.edu/cmp/billinge-group/programs/discus/kuplot.html, 1999.
[7] T. J. Pearson, PGPLOT graphics subroutine library, http://astro.caltech.edu/ tjp/pgplot/, 1994.
[8] W. R. Busing and H. A. Levy, Acta Cryst. **22**, 457 (1967).