

Thermal conductivity in superconducting borocarbides $\text{LuNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ and $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$

P. Thalmeier¹ and K. Maki^{2,3}

¹Max-Planck-Institute for the Chemical Physics of Solids, Notthnitzer Str.40, 01187 Dresden, Germany

²Max-Planck-Institute for the Physics of Complex Systems, Notthnitzer Str.38, 01187 Dresden, Germany

³Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA 90089-0484, USA

We have recently proposed the $s+g$ wave model for superconducting borocarbides. In spite of a substantial s -wave component, this order parameter exhibits the H -dependent specific heat and a thermal conductivity linear in H in the vortex state. This is characteristic for nodal superconductors when T , where is the quasiparticle scattering rate and the maximum superconducting gap. Here we investigate the thermal conductivity parallel to the c - and a -axis in a magnetic field tilted by θ from the c -axis and rotating within the a - b plane.

PACS numbers 74.60.Ec, 74.25.Fy, 74.70.Dd

The superconductivity in the rare earth borocarbides $\text{LuNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ and $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ is of great interest^{1,2}. We have proposed recently the superconducting order parameter^{3,4}

$$(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \sin^4 \theta \cos(4\phi)) \quad (1)$$

where θ and ϕ are polar and azimuthal angle of $\mathbf{k}$ respectively. Recent thermal conductivity experiments⁵ suggest that crystallographic $[100]$ and $[010]$ are the nodal directions, i.e. the order parameter of Eq. 1 is rotated by $\pi/4$ in the a - b plane. This gap function accounts for the H -dependence of the specific heat and the H -linear term in the thermal conductivity observed recently^{6,7,8}. The aim of this paper is to generalize an earlier result³ for κ_{zz} , κ_{xx} and κ_{xy} for general magnetic field (H) orientation given by the polar angle θ with respect to the c -axis and the azimuthal angle ϕ . First in the absence of H the specific heat and the electronic thermal conductivity for T are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_s}{NT} &= \frac{27}{4} (3) \left(\frac{T}{T_c}\right) + \dots \\ \frac{\kappa_{xx}}{T} &= \frac{2}{8m} \frac{n}{T}; \quad \frac{\kappa_{zz}}{T} = \frac{2}{8m} \frac{nC_0}{T} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $C_0 = \left(\frac{2}{\pi}\right)^{1/2} [\ln(2) - \frac{1}{2}]^{1/2}$. Note that κ_{xx} obeys the universal behaviour while κ_{zz} does not. This is because the heat current operator $\hat{j}_z$ vanishes on the four second order nodal points $(\theta; \phi) = (\pi/2; \pi/4)$ and $(\pi/2; 3\pi/4)$ for $(\mathbf{k})$ given in Eq.(1). Also this leads to a $H^{3/2} \ln \frac{p}{v_F eH}$ dependence of κ_{zz} as discussed below.




FIG. 1. Angular dependence of $I_{zz}(\theta; \phi)$ which determines $\kappa_{zz}(\theta; \phi)$ in the superclean limit for $s+g$ wave and d -wave case (up to log-terms in Eq. 3). Note the different scale in the two cases.

In the presence of a magnetic field with general orientation defined by $(\theta; \phi)$ the specific heat and thermal conductivities in the vortex phase are given by^{3,10}

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{C_s}{NT} &= \frac{p}{v_F eH} \frac{1}{2} I_+(\theta; \phi) \\ \frac{\kappa_{zz}}{n} &= \frac{1}{32} \ln \frac{2}{p} \frac{h^2}{v_F eH} \frac{r}{1 + \cos^2 \theta} \frac{i v_F^3 (eH)^{3/2}}{3} I_{zz}(\theta; \phi) \\ \frac{\kappa_{xx}}{n} &= \frac{3}{32} \frac{v_F^2 (eH)}{2} I_+^2(\theta; \phi) \\ \frac{\kappa_{xy}}{n} &= \frac{3}{32} \frac{v_F^2 (eH)}{2} I_-(\theta; \phi) I_+(\theta; \phi) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where we have the identity

$$\begin{aligned} I_{zz}(\theta; \phi) &= (1 + \cos^2 \theta) I_+(\theta; \phi) \\ I_-(\theta; \phi) &= \frac{1}{2} [f(1 + \cos^2 \theta + \sin^2 \theta \sin(2\phi))^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\quad - f(1 + \cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta \sin(2\phi))^{\frac{1}{2}}] g \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Here we have assumed the superclean limit defined by $\frac{p}{v_F eH} \gg 1$ with $v = \frac{p}{v_a v_c}$ where $v_{a,c}$ denote the anisotropic Fermi velocities. The angular dependences of κ_{zz} and κ_{xy} according to Eqs. 3,4 for the $s+g$ wave case are shown in the left panel of Fig.1 and in




FIG. 2. upper panel: polar angle variation of $I_{zz}(\theta, 45)$ for s+g- and d-wave case. lower panel: Angular dependence of the product $I_+(\theta, \phi) + I_-(\theta, \phi)$ for s+g case which determines the thermal Hall coefficient $\kappa_{xy}(\theta, \phi)$. It vanishes for field halfway between the nodal directions due to current compensation.

Fig.2. For comparison, we also present the corresponding angular dependence of κ_{zz} for the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ state with $\kappa(k) = \cos(2\theta)$ as in high T_c cuprates¹⁰, CeCoIn₅¹¹ and $-(\text{ET})_2\text{Cu}(\text{NCS})_2$ ^{12,13}. Of course for d-wave superconductors the universal zero-field behaviour is valid both for κ_{xx} and for κ_{zz} , and both exhibit a similar angular dependence in the vortex phase¹⁰. In this case the dependence on field angles θ, ϕ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_-(\theta, \phi) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} dJ_-(\theta, \phi) \\
 I_+(\theta, \phi) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d(1 - \cos(2\theta))J_+(\theta, \phi) \\
 J_-(\theta, \phi) &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\theta) \cos(2\phi) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\theta) \sin(\phi) \frac{i_1}{1 - \sin(2\theta)} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2(\theta) \cos(2\phi) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sin(2\theta) \sin(\phi) \frac{i_1}{1 + \sin(2\theta)}
 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Then κ_{xx} and κ_{xy} are obtained from $I_-(\theta, \phi)$ as in Eq.3 but now for d-wave:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \kappa_{zz} &= \frac{1}{n} \frac{v^2 (eH)}{2} I_{zz}(\theta, \phi) \\
 I_{zz}(\theta, \phi) &= I_-(\theta, \phi) I_+(\theta, \phi)
 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The θ -dependence of κ_{zz} for various ϕ is shown in comparison to the s+g wave case in Fig. 1. As is readily seen from Fig. 1 in the s+g-wave case a pronounced

cusplike feature develops for $\phi = 90^\circ$ and $\phi = 45^\circ$ due to the (second order) point node, while in the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ wave case with an extended line node along c no cusps appear and also the absolute value of angular variation is much smaller. This is clearly visible from the upper panel of Fig. 2 which also shows monotonic θ -dependence for s+g-wave and nonmonotonic behaviour for d-wave. The latter has a minimum at $\theta \approx 47^\circ$ which is due to a maximum Doppler shift for $\phi = 45^\circ$ resulting in a dominating term $I_{zz}(\theta, \phi) \propto 1 - (5/64) \sin^2(2\theta) + \dots$. Note that $I_{zz}(\theta, \phi)$ in Fig. 1 exhibits a rather sharp minimum as function of θ at $\theta \approx 47^\circ$ whereas for $\phi = 90^\circ$ the minimum is at $\theta = 0$. Experimentally however the κ_{zz} thermal conductivity shows very strong cusps at $\phi = 90^\circ$ (and $\phi = 0$ due to rotated order parameter) in $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ ⁵. This is a strong point for the s+g wave case being the appropriate one for $\text{YNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$ and $\text{LuNi}_2\text{B}_2\text{C}$. Therefore the thermal conductivity in the superclean limit can discriminate s+g-wave against d-wave superconductivity.

The angular dependence of the thermal Hall coefficient κ_{xy} in the s+g wave case is shown in the lower panel of Fig. 2. It exhibits a sign change as function of θ and varies smoothly with ϕ . In the d-wave case κ_{xy} looks rather similar.

As we have already discussed elsewhere¹⁴ the thermal conductivity provides a unique window to look at the nodal structure of $\kappa(k)$ in unconventional superconductors.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Koichi Izawa and Yuji Matsuda for useful discussions on superconducting borocarbides.

Presented at the Strongly Correlated Electron Systems Conference, Krakow 2002

- ¹ P. C. Canfield, P. L. Gammel and D. J. Bishop, Physics Today 51, (1998) 40
- ² K. H. Müller and V. Narozhnyi, eds. "Rare Earth Transition Metal Borocarbides: Superconductivity, Magnetic and Normal State Properties" (Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht 2001)
- ³ K. Maki, P. Thalmeier and H. Won, Phys. Rev. B 65 (2002) 14052(R)
- ⁴ H. Jang, H. Won and K. Maki, preprint
- ⁵ K. Izawa, K. Kamata, Y. Nakajima, Y. Matsuda, T. Watanabe, M. Nohara, H. Takagi, P. Thalmeier and K. Maki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 137006 (2002)
- ⁶ M. Nohara, M. Isshiki, H. Takagi and R. Cava, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 66 (1997) 1888
- ⁷ K. Izawa et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 1327
- ⁸ E. Boaknin, R. W. Hill, C. Proust, C. Lupien, L. Taillefer and P. C. Canfield Phys. Rev. Lett. 23 (2001) 237001

- ⁹ Y. Sun and K. Maki, *Europhys. Lett.* 32 (1995) 355
- ¹⁰ H. W on and K. Maki, *Current Applied Physics* 1 (2001) 291; also in 'Vortices in Unconventional Superconductors and Super fluids', R. P. Huebener, N. Schopohl and G. E. Volovik, Springer Verlag Berlin 2002, pp 243-252
- ¹¹ K. Izawa, H. Yamaguchi, Y. Matsuda, H. Shishido, R. Settai, and Y. Onuki, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 (2001) 57002
- ¹² K. Izawa, H. Yamaguchi, T. Sasaki and Yuji Matsuda, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88 (2002) 027002
- ¹³ H. W on and K. Maki, *Physica B* 312-313, 44 (2002)
- ¹⁴ P. Thalmeier and K. Maki, *Europhys. Lett.* 58 (2002) 119