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#### Abstract

In this paper we perform a renorm alization group analysis on the $1+1$ dim ensional version of a previously proposed e ective eld theory [了] ] describing (quantum) uctuating stripe and superconductor orders. W e nd four possible phases corresponding to stripe order/disorder com bined w ith superconducting order/disorder.


PACS num bers: PACS num bers: $74.25 .-q, 64.60 \mathrm{Ak}$

## I. INTRODUCTION

In $\mathrm{La}_{2}{ }_{x} \mathrm{Sr}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{CuO}_{4}$ ( LSCO ) compounds, there are three w ell-established ordering tendencies: antiferrom agnetism, superconductivity and charge/spin stripes.[I]l. Som e experim ents indicate that stripes and superconductivity can even coexist in these com pounds [2ll Further$m$ ore, neutron scattering experim ents by Lake et al [3] show that a moderate $m$ agnetic eld can have large effects on the incom $m$ ensurate $m$ agnetic uctuations. This is w idely taken as evidence suggesting the stabilization of stripes by the $m$ agnetic eld.

In m ean eld theory, when two order param eters are in close com petition it is possible for them to coexist in a certain region of the phase diagram [iti]. In such a coexistence region quantum uctuations of both order param eters dom inate the low-energy physics. In a recent paper Lee $\left[\frac{15}{1}\right]$ exam ined such a situation. T hat paper described how the G oldstone m odes of stripe and superconducting orders and their respective topological defects interact.

W e stress that the theory presented in Ref.[5] im portant ways from the conventional self-dual chargedensity wave/superconductivity action in one dim ension. Indeed, in one dim ension the displacem ent eld of the charge density wave is conjugate to the phase of the superconducting order. A s a result the charge density w ave and the superconducting orders are mutually exclusive (i.e. whenever superconducting susceptibility strongly diverges the charge density wave susceptibility does not and vide versa). In contrast, in the theory ofR ef. [5 $\overline{1} / 1]$ there exists a generic region in phase diagram where both orders exist.

In this paper we exam ine in detail a one-dim ensional analog ofthem odelstudied in Ref.[E[]. Them otivation for this is that well-developed calculational m ethods (such as the renorm alization group) can be used to analyze the phase structure of the $m$ odel. $T$ his can be used to check the correctness of the asserted phase structure in Ref. [']

N ow we describe the theory proposed by Lee $\left.\bar{F}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$. Since the stripe order is a one dim ensional charge density w ave, its $G$ oldstone $m$ ode (i.e. stripe displacem ent) is a $U(1)$ scalar[][G]. The superconducting order, of course, also possesses a U (1) G oldstone m ode. T he im portant question is: how do these two $U$ (1) m odes couple together? A hint
of how this coupling works com es from the experim ental fact that the period of incom $m$ ensurate spin correlation decreases as the doping density increases. M otivated by this Lee[][] constructed the follow ing Lagrangian density

$$
\begin{align*}
L= & \frac{1}{2 K} J^{2}+\frac{1}{2 K} q^{2}+J \quad 0 @ \quad 0+q_{x}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 @_{x} 0 & i g J_{t}
\end{array}\right) \\
& +q\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left.0 @_{t} 0 \quad i q J_{x}\right):
\end{array}\right. \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above $0=e^{i} s$ is the $U(1)$ phase factor of the superconducting order param eter, and $0=e^{i p}$ is the phase factor of the stripe order. That is, $p=$ $(2=) \hat{x} u(x ; t)$, $w$ th the stripe period and $u(x ; t)$ the displacm ent eld of the stripe order. J and q are auxiliary elds coupling to the superconducting and stripe phases, respectively. These auxiliary elds have the physical interpretation of energy-m om entum currents. (In this paper greek indices run over x; t and repeated indioes are sum $m$ ed.)

W ithout the coupling $\left(g_{1 ; 2}=0\right)$, integrating out J and $q$ produces the eld theory for two independent U (1) G oldstone $m$ odes and their respective vortices. The e ect of the coupling is to favor stripe displacem ent (u) in the presence of local charge im balanœe ( $\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{t}}$ ).
To analyze Eq. ([1]), Lee used a duality transform ation plus an educated guess about the four possible quantum phases corresponding to com binations of stripe and superconducting order/disorder. In this paper we study a one-dim ensional version of Eq. (17), applying the welldeveloped techniques of duality transform ation and the renom alization group to determ ine the possible phases in a m ore unbiased fashion. We nd that all four com binations of stripe order/disorder and superconducting order/disorder are stable phases. T his supports the conjectured phase structure in Ref. [1]

In the follow ing we use a real-space renom alization procedure sim ilar to that used by K osterlitz and T houless to treat the phase transition of the 2 -dim ensional coulomb gas $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[1]} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$ action (the vortex of the stripe order param eter is the dislocation). In section three we obtain the renom alization group recursion relations for the coupling constants in that theory. A s in the K osterlitz-T houless theory we $m$ ake the $s m$ all vortex fugacity approxim ation. W e analyze the im plications of these ows for phase stability in
section four.

## II. DUALITY TRANSFORMATION TO 2-SPECIESCOULOMB GAS

Follow ing the work of Jose et alig we rst perform a duality transform ation and w rite the theory in term $s$ of vortex degrees of freedom.

Starting w th Eq. ([1]), we rst separate the phase of 0 and $o$ into a topologically trivialpart and a topologically non-trivialpart:

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =e^{i} 0 \\
0 & =e^{i} 0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above 0 and 0 are single valued, while and contain con gurations w ith non-zero windings. A fter integrating over the topologically trivial phases ( $0 ; 0$ ) we obtain two conservation law s:

$$
\begin{align*}
& @ \quad J=0 \\
& @ q=0: \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

To explicitly ful llthese conservation law swew rite J = @ andq = @ , where and arescalar elds. Substitution leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
L= & \frac{1}{2 K}(@ \quad)^{2}+\frac{1}{2 K}(@ \quad)^{2}+@ \\
& +@ @+i g_{1} @_{\mathrm{t}} @_{\mathrm{x}}+\lg _{2} @_{\mathrm{x}} @_{\mathrm{t}}: \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

U pon integrating by parts and identifying the vortex densities $N=i \quad @(@)$ and $M=i \quad @(@)$ the Lagrangian density becom es

$$
\begin{gather*}
L=\frac{1}{2 K}(@)^{2}+\frac{1}{2 K}(@)^{2}+i M+i N \\
i\left(q+g_{2}\right) @_{t} @_{x}: \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The above equation can be written in $m$ om entum space as

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\begin{array}{lll}
\text { i } & \left.(k) \quad(k) \quad \begin{array}{l}
N(k) \\
M
\end{array}\right)
\end{array} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $G=g_{1}+g_{2}$. Integrating out the and elds then produces

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det}=\frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{\mathrm{~K}} \frac{\mathrm{k}^{2}}{\mathrm{~K}}+\mathrm{G}^{2} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}}^{2} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{t}}^{2} \text { : } \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. ( $\bar{q}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) is the starting point of our renom alization group analysis. It describes a system of two interacting (anisotropic) coulom b gases \{ the vortices of the superconducting order param eter and the dislocations of the stripe order param eter. Inspired by the w ork of $K$ osterlitz and $T$ houlessw e perform a realspace renom alization group analysis of Eq. (iq) in the follow ing.

## III. RENORMALIZATION GROUPANALYSIS

Eq. ( $\left.\overline{8} 1 \overline{1}_{1}\right)$ is $m$ ore complicated than the one species C oulom bas problem in two respects: 1) there are two species of vortioes and 2) the interactions are not rotationally invariant (i.e. the interaction depends not only on the distance betw een vortices but also on their relative orientation). In order to com plete the renom alization group program we have to characterize the interaction in term $s$ of a discrete set of coupling constants. O ne way of achieving this is to Fourier transform the angular dependence of the vortex-vortex interaction. In $m$ om entum space each elem ent of the interaction $m$ atrix is of the form $G(k)=G(k ;)=\frac{g()}{k^{2}}$ ( is the angle $m$ ade by $k$ and the $k_{x}$ axis). Therefore we expand each of these term $s$ in a fourier series, e.g. $\left.g()={ }_{n} a_{n} e^{(i n}\right)$. W hen transform ed back to realspace, our action then becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
S= & \frac{1}{2} d^{Z} R_{1} d^{2} R_{2} N\left(R_{1}\right) G_{N}\left(R_{1} \quad R_{2}\right) N\left(R_{2}\right) \\
& +M\left(R_{1}\right) G_{M}\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\left(R_{1}\right. & \left.R_{2}\right) M & \left(R_{2}\right) \\
& +2 M & \left(R_{1}\right) i\left(\begin{array}{ll}
R_{1} & \left.R_{2}\right) N
\end{array}\left(R_{2}\right) ;\right.
\end{array}\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{N}(R)=\frac{Z}{(2)^{2}} \quad d^{2} X^{A} \quad a_{n} e^{i n} \frac{e^{i k R}}{k^{2}}  \tag{10}\\
& G_{M}(R)=\frac{Z}{(2)^{2}}{ }_{n=1}^{d^{2} k}{ }_{n} e^{i n} \frac{!}{e^{i k} R} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{\mathrm{n}} & =(1)^{n} a_{\mathrm{n}} \\
\mathrm{n} & =(1)^{\mathrm{n}}{ }_{\mathrm{n}} \\
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}} & =(1)^{n} c_{\mathrm{n}} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

to ensure the interaction functions are real. $W$ e stress that because of the angular dependence of $E$ qs. ${ }_{1}^{1} \bar{O}_{1}^{\prime}, \overline{1}_{1}^{\prime} \bar{I}_{1}^{1}$, and $\overline{1} 12, G_{N} ; G_{M}$ and depend not only on the distance $\mathcal{R}_{1} \quad R_{2} j$ but also on the relative orientation $\left(R_{1} \quad R_{2}\right)=R_{1} \quad R_{2} j$. In general $a_{n}$ and $n$ are nonzero only for even $n$. The physical reason for this is indistinguishability of tw o charges of the sam e type (for details
see the appendix). $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{n}}$ can be nonzero for both odd and even n .

The lim it where all the $a_{n}, n$, and $c_{n}$ are zero except $a_{0}$ and 0 describes two decoupled isotropic $2-D \mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ m odels in their coulom b gas representations $\{$ the K osterlitz and $T$ houless problem. Before we attack Eq. ( $\left.\overline{1})_{1}\right)$, as a warm up, let us brie y review the $K$ osterlitz-T houless results for the one com ponent system. In the renorm alization group approach one integrates out one pair oftightly bound dipole (i.e. a dipolew ith $r_{C}+d r_{c}<$ size $<r_{C}$ ) at a tim e. The renorm alization group proceeds teratively by treating $r_{c}$ as a running length scale. The tw o coupling constants in that case are the vortex-vortex interaction strength $a_{0}$ and vortex fugacity $y=e$ where is the core energy of vortices. In the lim it ofy $\ll 1$, the renorm alization group equations for $\mathrm{a}_{0}$ and y are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d y}{d l} & =y \quad 2 \quad \frac{a_{0}}{4}  \tag{14}\\
\frac{d a_{0}}{d l} & =y a_{0}^{2}: \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ he above equations have the entire $y=0$ axis as xed points. H ow ever depending on whether $a_{0} 8$ is positive/negative the xed point is stable/unstable. T he point $y=0 ; a_{0}=8$ is a critical point. $N$ ear $\mathbb{1}$, the ow tra jectories are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{0}^{2} \quad\left(4 f^{A} y^{2}=c\right. \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here C is a constant labelling each trajectory. This ow is shown in gure ${ }_{1}^{1}$. N ote that the $C=0$ separatrix y $=$ $\frac{1}{(4)^{4}}\left(a_{0} \quad 8\right)$ separates the basins of attraction for the ordered and disordered phases. In the ordered phase the density of vortices renorm alizes to zero ( $y!0$ ) at large length scales, signifying the presence of a bound dipole phase. In the disordered phase the density of vortioes increases (y increases) at large length scales, signifying the existence of a vortex plasm a (unbound dipole) phase.

The two-com ponent vortex gas problem we are facing is not so di erent. H ow ever, we have to painstakingly keep track of all the Fourier coe cients in Eq. ( $\left.\overline{0}^{-}\right)_{1}^{1}$, Eq. (111) and Eq. (12 $\mathbf{1}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) and exam ine how they renorm alize. Interestingly, even in the presence of these anisotropic interactions the K osterlitz-T houless renom alization group program closes.

All the technical details are given in the appendix. Here we just note the following point. Because the \C oulom b charge" of the superconducting vortiges is not related to the \C oulomb charge" of the stripe vortioes, only intra-species dipoles are possible. This im plies that the positions of vortioes belonging to di erent species do not have to obey the constraint that the m inim um distance is $r_{c}$. To low est order in $y$ the resulting renorm al-


FIG. 1: Renorm alization group ow for the K osterlitz$T$ houless transition. The xed points are the $y=0$ axis. In ourm odel this corresponds to only y, $a_{0}$ nonzero.
ization group equations are given by

$N$ ote that the renorm alization of $y_{N} ; M$ only depends on the isotropic part of the coupling ( $a_{0}$ and 0 ). T he renom alization of an anisotropy coe cient, (e.g. $a_{n}$ ) includes $m$ any term s . Each term is a quadratic function of $a_{k}$, $k$, or $q_{k}$. If we set all coupling constants except $a_{0}$ and 0 to zero we recover the K osterlitz- T houless ow equations (for two separate species). It is easily veri ed that the condition for $G_{N} ; G_{M}$, and to be real ( $a_{n}=$ ( 1$)^{\mu} a_{n}$, etc.) is preserved by these ow equations. It is also clear from the form of these equations that these coe cients form a closed set under renorm alization.
IV. PHASESOFTHETWO-SPECIES COULOMB GAS

Eq. $\left(1 \bar{\eta}_{1}\right)$ predicts $x e d$ points for $y_{M}=y_{N}=0$ and $a_{n}, n, \bar{c}_{n}=$ anything. As in the nom al K osterlitz$T$ houless case, we interpret $y=0$ as the absence of unbound dipoles. From rst line of Eq. (171), $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{N}}=0$ is linearly stable if $a_{0}>8$. For $a_{0}>8$ the renorm alization group brings $y_{N}$ to larger values. Sim ilar statem ents hold for 0 and $Y_{M}$. This suggests the presence of four
phases depending on whether the vortiges of $N$ or $M$ species form dipoles or unbind．

H ow ever，this is not quite enough for our purposes． W hat we really need to know is whether all four phases can be reached by varying the ve param eters in Eq．（ $\left.\bar{T}_{1}\right)$ ． $P$ ut another way，the physical system of Eq．（1－1）lives in a ve dim ensional subspace of the in nite dim ensional space form ed by the $a_{n}, n$ ，and $c_{n}$ ．W e need to check which phases can be reached by trajectories originating in the physical subspace，not just which phases exist for the in nite dim ensional space．

In order to obtain a tractable problem，in the follow－ ing we concentrate on the case in which $K=K$ ， K $=\mathrm{K}$ and GK ；$\ll 1$ ．W hen GK ；are sm all it is easy to evaluate the fourier coe cients in equations
 we nd that the leading contribution to $a_{n}, n, c_{n}$ is $O\left(j^{j} j^{2}\right)$ ．For the speci c form of interaction in Eq．（T， $\bar{l}^{\prime}$ ）
 additional constraints on $a_{n}, n$ and $c_{n}$ ：

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n}=n=0 \text { unless } n=4 m \\
& c_{n}=0 \text { unless } n=4 m+2: \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

A ll of these conditions are preserved by the ow equa－ tions．In term s of the original param eters in Eq．（ 7 （ 1 ），the non－vanishing coe cients up to order are：

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rlrllll}
\mathrm{a}_{0} & =\mathrm{K} & (1 & \mathrm{K} & \mathrm{~K} & \mathrm{G}^{2}=8
\end{array}\right)
$$

In the follow ing we truncate the space considered to only these coe cients，which is correct to lowest order in ． Furthem ore，this restricts us to a ve－dim ensional space of param eters，which we can take to be independently determ ined by the ve param eters in Eq．（7）．

In this case，the ow equations becom e

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d y_{N}}{d l}=y_{N} \quad 2 \quad \frac{a_{0}}{4}  \tag{20}\\
& \frac{d y_{M}}{d l}=y_{M} \quad 2 \quad \frac{0}{4}  \tag{21}\\
& \frac{d a_{0}}{d l}=\quad y_{N}^{2} a_{0}^{2} \quad \text { 启 } \dot{\mathcal{C}}_{2} \xlongequal{\rho} \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d c_{2}}{d l}=\quad y_{N}^{2} a_{0} c_{2}+y_{M}^{2} \quad{ }_{0} c_{2} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

First，by m ultiolying Eq．（24－1）by $c_{2}$ and then adding the result to its com plex conjugate，we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \dot{\mathcal{C}}_{2} \tilde{J}}{d l}=2 \quad y_{N}^{2} a_{0}+y_{M}^{2} \quad 0 \quad \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{2} \tilde{J} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing this in Eq．（223）and Eq．（23）then gives us

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d a_{0}}{d l}=\quad \dot{H}_{j}^{2} \quad a_{0}^{2}+\dot{\mathcal{J}}_{2} \tilde{J} \quad \frac{1}{16} \frac{d \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{2} \tilde{J}}{d l} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

At this point，we exam ine closely the region ofparam e－ ter space around the critical point by m aking the change of variables

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathrm{a} & =\mathrm{a}_{0} & 8 \\
& =0 & 8 \\
\mathrm{c} & =\mathrm{c}_{2} & \mathrm{c}
\end{array}
$$

In the above c is the xed point $\mathrm{ofc}_{2}$ ．A fter som e algebra， and keeping term $s$ to low est order in $a, \quad, \quad, y_{N}$ ，and $y_{M}$ ， the ow equations for a and are，after som e algebra，

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d y_{N}^{2}}{d l}=\frac{1}{2} y_{N}^{2} a  \tag{29}\\
& \frac{d y_{M}^{2}}{d l}=\frac{1}{2} y_{M}^{2} \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d^{2}}{d l}=2 \dot{H}_{1}^{2} \quad(8)^{2} \quad \dot{\operatorname{c}}{ }^{2} j \quad \frac{d \dot{\mathcal{J}}_{2}{ }^{2}}{16} \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Finally，we can com bine these equations to yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{d a^{2} X_{L_{N}}^{2}}{d l}=\frac{d C_{N}}{d l}=\frac{a}{8} \frac{d \dot{C}_{2} f}{d l}  \tag{34}\\
& \frac{d{ }^{2} X_{\text {道 }}}{d l}=\frac{d C_{M}}{d l}=\frac{d \dot{j}_{2} \tilde{J}}{8} \frac{d l}{d r} \tag{35}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $X=(4)^{4}\left(1+j \dot{j}=(8)^{2}\right)$ ．To understand these equations，note that the quantity in parentheses on the LHS of each equation is precisely of the form of the con－ tour num bers for tra jectories in the K osterlitz－T houless case（cf．Eq． $1 \mathbf{1}$（1－1）），w the the slope of the separatrix renor－ m alized from $\frac{-1}{(4)^{4}}$ to $1=X$ ．In the absence of interac－ tions（ $c=0$ ）these contour num bers $C_{N}$ and $C_{M}$ are conserved，but in the presence of interactions the renor－ $m$ alization group pushes the ow from one contour to the
 （i．e．$a_{0}>8$ ）the contour num ber $C_{N}$ increases，while for $a<0$（i．e．$a_{0}<8$ ）$C_{N}$ decreases．Sim ilarly for $C_{M}$ and ．The resulting ow is diagrammed in gure

It is clear from this that for a trajectory originat－ ing below the separatrioes $\left(y_{N}<\left(a_{0} 8\right)=X\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{Y}_{\mathrm{M}}<\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 8\end{array}\right)=\mathrm{X}\right)$ ，the ow leads to both y and Yм zero．In other words，there is a stable phase with both types of vortices bound as dipoles，corresponding to a stripe ordered／superconducting ordered phase．If the tra jectory startsw ith $\left(\begin{array}{ll}a_{0} & 8\end{array}\right)<0$ and $\left(\begin{array}{ll}0 & 8\end{array}\right)<0$ ，the


FIG. 3: Phase diagram for interacting stripe and Superconducting order, for isotropic couplings $K$ and $K$ and weak interaction G. Only the K $K$ plane is shown. Although di erent values of $G$ correspond to di erent longrange interactions, $G$ does not control the existence of stripe/superconducting order or disorder.
partm ent of $D$ efense through a $N$ ationalD efense Science and Engineering G raduate Fellow ship.

## APPENDIX A:DETAILSOF

RENORMALIZATION GROUP CALCULATION

Here we present the details for the renorm alization
 param etrized in fourier coe cients via equations 10, 11, and 112.2 . The details closely follow the procedure used by Jose et. al. [ill 1 ]. The basic idea is to introduce a sm all length scale cuto $r_{c}$, and then integrate out con gurations $w$ ith pairs of the same type of charge which are $r_{c}+d r_{c}$ apart to nd a new system $w$ ith a longer $m$ inimum length scale.

In our action Eq. (9), the $p_{\text {elds }} N$ and $M$ consist of point charges, e.g. $\mathrm{N}^{-}(\mathrm{r})=\mathrm{N} \quad\left(\begin{array}{rr}\mathrm{r} & \mathrm{r}) \text {. W e will } 10\end{array}\right.$ express the term sEq. (9) involving $G_{N}$ and $G_{M}$ as sum $s$ over pairs of these charges. This results in the cancellation of all odd fourier com ponents of $G_{N}$ and $G_{M}$, i.e. $a_{n}$ and $n$ are zero for odd $n$. To see this, note that in re-expressing the sum as a sum over pairs, we combine term s like N N G $N(\mathbb{R} \quad \mathrm{R})+\mathrm{N} N \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{N}}(\mathbb{R} \quad \mathrm{R})$. $T$ he two $G_{N}$ 's above di er by reversing the relative vector (i.e. ! + ). Since odd fourier com ponents pick up a relative $m$ inus sign under this revensal, they cancel each other, and only the even fourier com ponents survive. On the other hand, since the term $s w$ ith describe the interaction betw een distinguishable vortiges, we cannot convert them into sum s over pairs, and therefore the fourier coe cients $c_{n}$ can be nonzero for both odd and even n .

As in the $K$ osterlitz-T houless case, $G_{N}$ and $G_{M}$ diverge logarithm ically at short length scales. In Eq. $\left(\overline{7}_{1}\right)$
this translates to divergences when $R_{1}=R_{2}$. To remove this divergence, we $m$ ust enforce charge neutrality ( $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{M}=0$ ) and im pose a sm alldistance cut-- $r_{c}$ [9/1]. To account for the $m$ icroscopic physics lost in
this procedure, we introduce core energies $N$ and $M$ for the vortices (charges). A fter this our action is w ritten as
where ( ; ) denotes a sum over pairs and ; denotes an unrestricted sum over both and. At this point we $m$ ake the sim plifying assum ption that $N$ and $M$ are very large, so we may restrict to $N ; M=1$. Introducing the fugacities $y_{N}=e^{N}$ and $Y_{M}=e^{M}$, we can write the partition function as a sum over $j N$-dipoles and $k$ M -dipoles:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (;) (;) } \tag{A3}
\end{align*}
$$

The rst step in the real-space renom alization procedure is to integrate over dipoles of size $r_{C}+d r_{c}$. Because $\mathrm{YN}_{\mathrm{N}}$; Ym 1 we need only consider con gurations w ith one dipole of either type. Furtherm ore, m ixed $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}$ dipoles are not integrated out because doing so would violate overall charge neutrality for each species. $T$ his $m$ eans that the $M$ and $N$ charges are con gured freely $w$ th respect to each other. W riting out these single dipole contributions, the partition function gains an extra factor:

$$
\begin{align*}
& e^{s}!e^{s} 1+\frac{d^{2} R_{N}}{r_{C}^{2}}{ }_{r_{c}}^{Z} \frac{r_{c}+d r_{c}}{r_{C}^{2} r_{N}} y_{N}^{2} e^{S_{N}^{0}}+\frac{Z}{r_{C}^{2}} r_{r_{c}}^{Z} r_{c}+d r_{c} \frac{d^{2} r_{M}}{r_{C}^{2}} y_{M}^{2} e^{S_{M}^{0}}+O\left(y^{4}\right)  \tag{A4}\\
& S_{N}^{0}=G_{N}\left(r_{N}\right)+{ }^{X^{k}} N \quad G_{N}\left(R_{N}+\frac{r_{N}}{2} \quad x\right) \quad G_{N}\left(R_{N} \quad \frac{r_{N}}{2} \quad x\right)+ \\
& X^{2 j} \quad i \quad\left(R_{N}+\frac{r_{N}}{2} \quad z\right) \quad i\left(R_{N} \quad \frac{r_{N}}{2} \quad z\right)  \tag{A5}\\
& S_{M}^{0}=G_{M}\left(r_{M}\right)+{ }^{Z^{j}} M \quad G_{M}\left(R_{M}+\frac{r_{M}}{2} \quad z\right) \quad G_{M}\left(R_{M} \quad \frac{r_{M}}{2} \quad z\right)+ \\
& x^{2 k} \quad i\left(R_{M}+\frac{r_{M}}{2} \quad x\right) \quad i\left(R_{N} \quad \frac{r_{N}}{2} \quad x\right) \tag{A6}
\end{align*}
$$

$w$ here $R$ signi es the center and $r$ the separation of the dipole being integrated out. To obtain the above, we have used the fact that the com binatorial factor for $j+1$ pairs of charges, one of which is a dipole (i.e. $\left.\frac{j+1)^{2}}{(j+1)!}\right)$, is equal to the com binatorial factor of jpairs of charges (i.e. $\frac{1}{j!}$ ).

 in this expansion produce nothing interesting. To see this, note that there are two types of these term s: those w ith a gradient, and those w ithout. T he linear term $s$ involving the gradient do not contribute since their integralw ith respect to $r$ is zero. The linear tem s involving no gradient ( $G_{N}\left(r_{N}\right)$ and $\left.G_{M}\left(r_{M}\right)\right)$ integrate to constants independent of $j, k$, and the $N, M$, so they $m$ erely produce an overall constant $m$ ultiplying the partition function.

Since the linear term $s$ in the expansion of the exponentials of Eq. (A_4) are uninteresting, we look at the second order term $s$. There are three types of term s here: those involving no gradients (e.g. $G_{N} G_{N}$ ); those involving one
 gradients, integrates to a constant independent of $j, k$, and the $N, M$, so we ignore it.

P The second type of term, with only one gradient, integrates to zero. For example, one such expression is $N G\left(r_{N}\right) r_{N} \Re_{N} G_{N}\left(\mathbb{R}_{R} \Phi x\right)$. However, in each term of the sum we can change integration variables to $R_{N}^{0}=R_{N} \quad x$, producing $N G\left(n_{N}\right) n_{N} \Re_{N}^{0} G_{N}\left(R_{N}^{0}\right)$. Charge neutrality then $m$ akes the sum over $N$ zero.
$T$ he third type of term, w ith tw o gradients, does contribute to renorm alization. A typicalterm of this type is (using the fourier expansion of )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{N} \quad \Re_{N} \quad i \frac{d^{2} k}{(2)^{2}} \frac{1}{k^{2}}{ }^{X} \quad C_{m} e^{i m} \quad e^{i k}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
R
\end{array}\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

$U \operatorname{sing} r_{N} \quad r_{E}(\cos ; \sin )$ we can integrate over $r_{N}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{d r_{C}}{2!} \frac{X}{r_{C}} y_{N}^{2} \quad M M \quad \frac{d^{2} k}{(2)^{2}} \frac{1}{k^{2}} e^{i k} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(z \quad z \quad)^{X} \\
n ; m
\end{array} C_{n} C_{m} e^{i(n+m)} e^{i m}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

A fterm any calculations like this and som e algebra, we obtain a new partition function in the form of Eq. (Ā̀-) again, except that the interaction functions have been rede ned to $G_{N}^{0}, G_{M}^{0}$, and ${ }^{0}$ via the new coe cients

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n}^{0}=a_{n} \quad X \quad{ }_{n ; k+m}\left(y_{N}^{2} a_{k} a_{m}+y_{M}^{2}(1)^{m+1} a_{k} c_{m}\right) d l \\
& { }_{\mathrm{K}}^{\mathrm{k} m} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
0 \\
n
\end{array} \quad n \quad{ }_{n} \quad n ; k+m\left(y_{M}^{2} \quad k \quad+y_{N}^{2}(1)^{m+1} q_{k} G_{m}\right) d l \\
& c_{n}^{0}=c_{n}  \tag{A7}\\
& X^{k ; m} \\
& n ; k+m\left(y_{N}^{2} a_{k}+y_{M}^{2} \quad k\right) c_{m} d:
\end{align*}
$$

$H$ ere $d l=d r_{C}=r_{C}$.
At this point it is useful to exam ine $m$ ore closely the structure of the contributions to the interaction functions and understand what sorts of interactions we are dealing with. To this end we evaluate a typical term,

$$
\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \mathrm{k}}(2)^{2} e^{\mathrm{in}} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{ik} \mathrm{r}}}{\mathrm{k}^{2}}
$$

using $k \quad r=k r c o s$ this becom es

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{(2)^{2}}{ }_{0}^{Z_{2}} d_{\frac{1}{L}}^{Z_{1}} \frac{d k}{k} e^{i k r \cos ( } \quad e^{i n} \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
={\frac{i n}{} e^{i n}}_{\frac{Z^{x}}{1}}^{\frac{d x}{x}} J_{n}(x) \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have introduced the B essel fiunction $J_{n}$. $D$ ue to the oscillatory nature of the B essel function the integral is dom inated by the in frared so weem ploy the asym ptotic form $J_{n}(x) \quad \frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{n}$ to obtain
where the negative sign refers to $\mathrm{n}<0$. For the case $n \in 0$, the in frared part converges and we are left w ith a function of the spatial angle independent of $r$. For the case $n=0$ we obtain a logarithm ic divergence in $r$. $U$ sing the cut-o $r_{c}$ the precise form for the $n=0$ contribution to the interaction functions is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{Z}}{(2)^{2} k} \frac{e^{i k r}}{k^{2}}=\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{r}{r_{c}} \tag{A11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus in the interaction terms only the zero-m ode fourier com ponent has any $m$ ention of $r_{c}$. To com plete the renorm alization group program, we must write the partition function in a form containing only the renorm alized core size $r_{c}^{0}=(1+d l) r_{c}$. A fter we do this the partition function is (up to an overall constant)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z={\underset{j=0}{X^{2}} X^{A}}_{y_{N}^{2 j} y_{M}^{2 k}(1+d 1)^{4 k+4 j} \frac{1}{j^{!}} \frac{1}{k^{!}!}}^{8} \frac{d^{2} x_{1}}{r_{C}^{Q}} \quad \frac{d^{2} x_{2 j}}{r_{C}^{Q}} \quad \frac{d^{2} z_{1}}{r_{C}^{Q}} \quad \frac{d^{2} z_{2 k}}{r_{C}^{Q}}
\end{aligned}
$$

> 9
> $=$
where $S^{0}$ is the sam e as in equation ${ }^{-1} 3$, but $w$ th $r_{C}, G_{N}$, $G_{M}$, and replaced by $r_{C}^{0}, G_{N}^{0}, G_{M}^{0}$, and ${ }^{0}$, respectively. $T$ he second exponential is the correction from changing $r_{\mathrm{C}}$ to $r_{\mathrm{c}}^{0}$ in the zero m odes $\circ \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{p}}$ the intepactions.

N ow, by charge neutrality ${ }_{P} \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{M}={ }_{\mathrm{P}} 0$. There${ }_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{re}_{2} ; \mathrm{M} \mathrm{N}=0$. A lso $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{P}} \in \mathrm{N} \mathrm{N}=(\mathrm{P})^{2}$
$\mathrm{N}^{2}=2 j$ and sim ilarly $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{M}}=2 \mathrm{k}$, so
that the last exponential in equation dl) ${ }^{2 j \frac{a_{0}^{0}}{4}}(1+d l)^{2 k \frac{0}{4}}$. $W$ ith these contributions the renorm alization of $y_{N}$ and $y_{M}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{N}}^{0}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{N}}\left(2 \frac{\mathrm{a}_{0}}{4}\right) \mathrm{dl} \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{M}}^{0}=\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{M}}+\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{M}}\left(2 \frac{0}{4}\right) \mathrm{dl} \tag{A13}
\end{align*}
$$
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to lowest order in the y. At this point, the partition function is in the sam e form as in equation 'A $\bar{A}$ except that $r_{C}$ hasbeen replaced by $r_{c}^{0}$, and the fouriercoe cients and fugacities have changed according to equations iA 7 and A- 13 '. This com pletes the renom alization group program and gives us the the di erential renorm alization group ow equations $\left.{ }_{1}\right]_{1}$ stated in the text.

