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#### Abstract

In this paper we revisit the problem of nding hidden sym $m$ etries in quantum $m$ echanical system s. O ur interest in this problem was renewed by nontrivial degeneracies of a sim ple spin Ham iltonian used to $m$ odel spin relaxation in alkali-m etal vapors. $W$ e consider this spin $H$ am iltonian in detail and use this exam ple to outline a general approach to nding sym $m$ etries when eigenvalues and eigenstates of the H am iltonian are know. W e extract all nontrivial sym m etries responsible for the degeneracy and show that the sym $m$ etry group of the $H$ am iltonian is SU (2). The sym $m$ etry operators have a sim ple $m$ eaning which becom es transparent in the lim it of large spin. A s an additional exam ple we apply the $m$ ethod to the $H$ ydrogen atom .


## 1 Introduction

The close connection between sym $m$ etry and degeneracy has been explored since the very foundation of $Q$ uantum $M$ echanics. Fam ous exam ples inchude degeneracies of the energy spectra in angular m om entum in the 3d harm onic oscillator [i] ] and in the H ydrogen atom (well known as the accidental degeneracy) [ַ̄]. Here we undertake a detailed study of the connection between sym $m$ etries and degeneracies of a H am iltonian that describes the exchange interaction of two spins, $\hat{\mathrm{S}}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{K}}$, and also includes Zeem an splitting for spin $\hat{S}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}(x)=x(K+1=2) \hat{S_{z}}+\hat{K} \quad \hat{S} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S=1$ and $K$ is arbitrary.
 $m$ etal vapors. In this case $\hat{S}$ has a $m$ eaning of the total electronic spin, $\hat{K}$ is the nuclear spin, and the dim ensionless constant $x$ represents the $m$ agnetic eld. A diagram ofenergy levels of $H$ am iltonian (11) for a typical value of $K=2$ is shown on $F$ ig. 'in . In addition to degeneracies at $x=0$ (no Zeem an splitting) the spectrum displays less trivial ( $2 \mathrm{~K}+1$ )-fold degeneracies at $\mathrm{x}=1$. These degeneracies show up as resonances in the spin relaxation rate and provide the key evidence for a particular $m$ echanism of spin relaxation (see [了ָ1] for details). The degeneracies at $x=1$ have been also discussed in $\left[\frac{4}{4}\right]$.

D espite the smplicity of H am ittonian ( $\overline{1} 1)$, it is not trivial to determ ine its sym $m$ etry at $\mathrm{x}=1$. To the best of our know ledge there is no general textbook algorithm for extracting sym $m$ etries. In this
paper we propose such an algorithm. W e dem onstrate how, using only a m inim al intuition into the reasons for degeneracy, one can nd hidden sym $m$ etries whenever the eigenstates and the eigenvalues of the H am iltonian are known.


Figure 1: E igenvalues of $\hat{H}(x)=x(K+1=2) \hat{S_{z}}+\hat{K} \quad \hat{S}$ for $S=1$ and $K=2$. $N$ ote the level crossings at $\mathrm{x}=1$.

Before proceeding further let us form ulate the general problem of identifying the sym $m$ etry responsible for a particular spectral degeneracy. Sym $m$ etries $m$ anifest them selves through operators that com $m$ ute $w$ ith the $H$ am iltonian, $\hat{H}$. C onsider a set of these operators $A=f \hat{A_{i}} \boldsymbol{g}$. If not all of the operators $\hat{A_{i}}$ com $m$ ute $w$ ith each other, this sym $m$ etry im plies som e spectral degeneracy. Indeed, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left[\hat{\mathbb{A}_{i}} ; \hat{H}\right]=\hat{\mathbb{A}_{j}} ; \hat{H}\right]=0 \text { and }\left[\hat{\mathbb{A}_{i}} ; \hat{\mathcal{A}_{j}}\right] 0 ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

there exists an eigenstate of the $H$ am iltonian $j$ i for which $\hat{A_{i}} j$ if $\hat{A_{j}} j$ i. This state is necessarily degenerate, since according to equation $(\underline{2}) \hat{A_{i}} \hat{j}$ i and $\hat{A_{j}} j$ i are eigenstates of $\hat{H}$ of the sam e energy as j i (see e.g. [in ${ }_{-1}^{1}$ ).

Is a particular set of operators A su cient to account for given spectraldegeneracies? The positive answer to this question im plies that

1. alldegenerate states can be labelled by eigenvalues of a num ber ofm utually com $m$ uting operators from the set A
2. any degenerate state can be obtained from any other state in the sam e eigenspace by a repeated action of operators $\hat{A_{i}}$ or their linear com binations.

P rovided that conditions 1) and 2) are m et one can com plete the analysis by clarifying the physical signi cance of operators $\hat{A}_{i} g$. An additional, $m$ ore abstract, question one $m$ ight ask is what is the group generated by operators $f \hat{A_{i}}{ }_{i}$.

C onsider for exam ple the degeneracy of H am iltonian ( $(\overline{1})$ ) at $\mathrm{x}=0$. O ne can introduce $\hat{G}=\hat{K}+\hat{S}$ and identify, e.g., $\hat{A_{1}}=\hat{G_{x}}$ and $\hat{A_{2}}=\hat{G_{y}}$. The com mutator of $\hat{A_{1}}$ w ith $\hat{A_{2}}$ yields $\hat{A_{3}}=\hat{G_{z}} \cdot E$ igenvalues of $\hat{G}_{z}$ and $\hat{G}^{2}$ can be used to label the degenerate states, while linear com binations of $\hat{G}_{x}$ and $\hat{G}_{y}$, $\widehat{G}$ and $\hat{G}$, connect all degenerate states in a given eigenspace. $F$ inally, any operator that com $m$ utes $w$ th $\hat{H}(0)$ can be written in term $s$ of $\hat{G}_{x}, \hat{G}_{y}, \hat{G}_{z}$, and $\hat{\mathrm{G}}^{2}$. The group generated by the com ponents of $\hat{G}$ is $S U$ (2) and the group elem ents have a $m$ eaning of rotations in 3d space.

Frequently, we encounter a situation when the spectrum is known exactly and yet the sym $m$ etries are hidden. In such cases the follow ing form al expression for com $m$ uting operators serves as a useful starting point. O ne can show quite generally that, up to an operator that annihilates all degenerate states, all integrals of $m$ otion $m$ ust be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{X}=\hat{X}_{n}^{X} \hat{P_{n}} \hat{Y} \hat{P_{n}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere $\hat{P_{n}}$ is an operator that pro jects out the nth degenerate eigenspace and $\hat{Y}$ is an arbitrary operator. $W$ e prove equation $(\overline{\widehat{B}})$ in the A ppendix. The problem of nding the sym $m$ etry thus reduces to $m$ aking appropriate choioes for $\hat{Y}$. This choige can be either guided by lim iting cases, e.g., the classical lim it, where the sym $m$ etry is simple to identify, or by an intuition as to what type of conservation law s (e.g. scalars or vectors or etc.) one expects to nd. H ow ever, exam ples we considered show that $m$ any apparently di erent choioes for the operator $\hat{Y}$ produce equivalent conservation law s. Thus, it is usually su cient to explore the sim plest options - the basic operators of the problem. For exam ple, if the $H$ am iltonian is w ritten in term s of $\hat{r}$ and $\hat{p}$, natural choioes for $\hat{Y}$ would be $\hat{r}^{2}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{p}}^{2}$ if one is looking for a conserved scalar or $\hat{y}$ and $\hat{p}$ if a conserved vector is expected.

The paper is organized as follow s. First, we determ ine and analyze in detail the spectrum of H am iltonian $(\underset{i}{1})$ ) at $\mathrm{x}=1$ (Section 2). W e show that, apart from the zero eld degeneracy at $\mathrm{x}=0$, there are degeneracies only at $x=1$, the spectrum at $x=1$ being simply related to that at $x=1$. Given the eigenstates, integrals of $m$ otion ( $(\overline{3})$ can be evaluated without know ing pro jectors $\hat{P_{n}}$ explicitly. In this case the general strategy is to com pute the $m$ atrix elem ents of $\hat{X}$ in equation $\left(\frac{3}{3}\right)$ and use them to determ ine $\hat{X}$ in term s of basic operators of the problem. W ew ill illustrate this approach in Section 6.

In Section 3 we use ( $(\underset{3}{\overline{3}})$ to explicitly derive com $m$ uting operators that connect all degenerate states at $\mathrm{x}=1 . \mathrm{W}$ e dem onstrate that the symmetry group of H am iltonian (ī) at $\mathrm{x}=1$ is SU (2). The physicalm eaning of sym $m$ etry operators is clari ed in Section 4, where we consider the lim it of large K .

In Section 5 we rew rite the $H$ am iltonian in the form that $m$ akes the analogy to the large $K$ lim it particularly clear and allows us to establish som e additional properties of the energy spectrum. In Section 6 we provide another exam ple of the general approach of nding sym $m$ etries based on '( $\beta$ ) by deriving the $R$ unge-Lenz vector from (3̄).

## 2 Energy Spectrum

Here we analyze the spectrum of H am ittonian ( $\bar{i} \mathbf{i}$ ) at arbitrary x and consider in detail the spectrum
 adopting in $m$ ost cases the sam e notation.
$F$ irst, we note that the $z$ com ponent of the total spin is conserved.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\hat{G_{z}} ; \hat{H}(\mathrm{x})\right]=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $S=1$, there are at $m$ ost three independent states for each eigenvalue $m$ of $\hat{G}_{z}$. In the basis
 corresponds to $m$ for $\mathfrak{j n} j K \quad 1$ is
w th the eigenvalue equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& E^{3}+2 E^{2} \quad a(x) E \quad K^{2} \quad b(x)=0 \\
& a(x)=\left(1+x^{2}\right)(K+1=2)^{2}+2 x(K+1=2) m \quad 5=4  \tag{6}\\
& b(x)=K^{2}+K+x(K+1=2) m
\end{align*}
$$

 blocks for $\mathfrak{j n} j=K+1$. Energies for these values ofm are also solutions of ( $\overline{1} \mathbf{1})$.
 identical to that at $x=1$ while the eigenstates are related via a unitary transform ation (a rotation by around any axis in $x y$ plane). Therefore, it is su cient to consider only $x=1$.
$T$ he spectrum at $x=1$ has the follow ing features:

There are $2 \mathrm{~K}+1$ degenerate states $\mathrm{D} \mathrm{mi}(\backslash \mathrm{D}$ " for degenerate states) w th the energies $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Dm}}=\quad 1=2$

There is a gap at $m=K$ in the values of $m$ that can be assigned to degenerate states $D \mathrm{~m} i$, $m=K ; K \quad 1 ;::: ; \quad K+1$ and $K \quad 1$

There are $2 \mathrm{~K}+2$ non-degenerate states $\mathrm{f} \mathrm{m} \mathrm{i}\left(\backslash \mathrm{T}\right.$ " for top states) w thenergies $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}>\quad 1=2$ and $m=K+1 ; K ;::: ; ~ K$

There are 2 K non-degenerate states $\mathcal{B m}$ i ( $\backslash \mathrm{B}$ " for bottom states) with energies $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{m}}<1=2$ and $m=K \quad 1 ; K ;::: ; ~ K$

Energies of the top $\mathcal{T} \mathrm{m}$ i and bottom $\beta \mathrm{Bm}$ i states are related by $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Bm}}=3=2$ ( $\mathrm{m} j<\mathrm{K}$ )
Finally, we can use ( $(\underset{-1}{(5)}$ ) to com pute the energies at $\mathrm{x}=1$ and the wave-fiunctions D m i of the degenerate states

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{D m}=1=2 \quad E_{T m}=3=4+\frac{q}{B(m)^{2}+1=16} \quad E_{B m}=3=2 \quad E_{m} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(m)=(2 K+1)(K+m+1=2) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Sym m etries

In this section we derive integrals ofm otion responsible for degeneracies at $x=1$. $W$ e write them in term s of com ponents of $\hat{S}$ and $\hat{K}$ and show that the sym $m$ etry group is $S U$ (2).
 one degenerate subspace at $x=1$, so there is only one pro jection operator in $\frac{(\overline{3})}{\overline{-})}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{X}=\hat{P} \hat{Y} \hat{P} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Even though we do not need to know projection operators explicitly to evaluate ( $\overline{-1})$, in the case of H am iltonian (ī) the projector $\hat{P}$ has a simple meaning in the large $K$ lim it, so we derive it bellow from the eigenvalue equation ( (बَ).
$W$ hen the energy $E$ is replaced by $\hat{H}$ and $m$ is replaced by $\hat{G}_{z}$, eigenvalue equation ( $(\overline{\text { G/ }}$ ) translates into a cubic identity for the H am iltonian [बढ] $]$. At $\mathrm{x}=1$ this identity can be factored out as follow s

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.(\hat{H}+1=2){ }^{h} \hat{B}\left(\hat{G}_{z}\right)^{2} \quad \hat{H}+1=2\right)(\hat{H}+1)^{i}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{B}\left(\hat{G}_{z}\right)=(2 K+1)\left(K+\hat{G}_{z}+1=2\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

States $w$ th $E=1=2$ are degenerate $w$ ith respect to the eigenvalues of $\hat{G}_{z}$. The operator in the square brackets, ${ }^{\wedge}$, is then an \unnorm alized projection operator" that projects out the degenerate subspace.

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{Nm} \mathrm{mi}=0{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{Dmi}=\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{~m})^{2} D \mathrm{mi} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator ${ }^{\wedge}$ can be norm alized to a usual pro jection operator for the degenerate subspace , $[\underline{[ }]$ ].

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{P}=\frac{\wedge}{\hat{B}\left(\hat{G}_{z}\right)^{2}}=1 \quad \frac{(\hat{H}+1=2)(\hat{H}+1)}{\hat{B}\left(\hat{G}_{z}\right)^{2}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

N ow we have to identify appropriate choiges for the operator $\hat{Y}$ in equation $(\overline{1} \overline{0} \overline{0})$. Let us rst try the sim plest options - operators that are linear in com ponents of $\hat{S}$ and $\hat{K} . C$ onsider, for exam ple, a conserved vectori?

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{L}=\hat{P} \hat{K} \hat{P} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us determ ine the action of com ponents of $\hat{L}$ on the eigenstates of H am iltonian. Since $\hat{P}$ is a pro jector for the degenerate subspactín ,

$$
\hat{\mathrm{L}}_{i} \nexists \mathrm{~N} m \mathrm{i}=0 \quad i=1 ; 2 ; 3:
$$

To caloulate the action of $\hat{L}_{i}$ on degenerate states recall that due to selection rules (see e.g. [i] 1 ) the com ponents of a vector can have nonzero $m$ atrix elem ents only fortransitionsm ! mandm!m 1 . $W$ e note also the follow ing relation between $m$ atrix elem ents of operators $\hat{X}$ and $\hat{Y}$ in equation ( $\overline{1} \overline{0} \mathbf{-})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{hm}{ }^{0} \hat{\mathfrak{y}} \hat{\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hD}^{0} \hat{\mathrm{j}} \hat{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{D} \mathrm{~m} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in $i$ and $\mathrm{m}^{0} \mathrm{i}$ are any two eigenstates of $\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{\mathrm{z}} \mathrm{w}$ th eigenvalues m and $\mathrm{m}{ }^{0}$ respectively. $U$ sing equations (

The action of $\hat{L}$ can be determ ined directly from equation ( $\left(\bar{I}_{-1} \bar{\eta}_{1}\right)$ and the action of $\hat{L}_{z}$ from equations (1̄-1) and (
$N$ ote that equation ( $\left(\underline{1} \overline{1}_{-}\right)$is not well de ned form $=K \quad$. For the state $p ; K \quad 1 i$ instead of equation (1-1 $\left.\mathbf{1}_{-1}\right)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{L} \mp ; \quad K \quad 1 i=0 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0] states. A n operator that connects the state $\ddagger ; K$ li to the rest of degenerate subspace $m$ ust change $m$ by at least two. A ccording to selection rules such an operator is neither a scalar nor a com ponent of a vector. Let us rst consider values ofm $\ddagger \quad 1$ and revisit the problem of the gap at the end of the section.

Since equation ( $\left.\overline{1} \bar{I}_{-1}\right)$ is not of the standard form for a raising operator in su (2), com mutation relations for $\hat{L}$ are \deform ed" versions of su (2) com mutation relations. H ow ever, one can derive usual su (2) operators from $\hat{\mathrm{L}}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{z}$. De ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{N}}_{+}=(\hat{\mathbb{N}})^{y}=\hat{A}\left(\hat{G}_{z}\right) \hat{\mathrm{A}}\left(\hat{G}_{z}+1\right) \hat{\mathrm{L}}_{+} \quad \hat{\mathrm{N}}_{z}=\left(\hat{G}_{z} \quad 1=2 \hat{\mathrm{P}}\right. \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\hat{A}\left(\hat{G}_{z}\right)=\frac{\hat{B}\left(\hat{G}_{z}\right)}{\hat{B}\left(\hat{G}_{z}+1=2\right)}
$$

$O$ perators $\hat{N}_{i}$ form an su(2) algebra:

$$
\left.\hat{\mathbb{N}}_{i j} ; \hat{\mathrm{N}}_{j}\right]="_{i j k} \hat{\mathrm{~N}}_{\mathrm{k}}
$$

A lldegenerate states $w$ ith $m \quad K \quad 1$ are connected by operators $N$. E ach of these states is labelled by $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{z}}$ and by an eigenvalue of the C asim ir operator

$$
\hat{\mathrm{N}}^{2} \quad \mathrm{X}_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{3} \hat{\mathrm{~N}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}=\hat{\mathrm{N}} \hat{\mathrm{~N}}_{+}+\hat{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}+\hat{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{z}}
$$

O perator $\hat{\mathrm{N}}^{2}$ has eigenvalues $\mathrm{N}(\mathbb{N}+1)$ w th (half) integer values of N .

$$
\text { Dmi=jN }=\mathrm{K} \quad 1=2 ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{m} \quad 1=2 i \quad m \quad K \quad 1
$$

Thus, degenerate states $w$ th $m \quad K \quad 1$ transform under the 2 K -dim ensional representation of this su (2), while nondegenerate states are singlets.
$N$ ote that by selection rules taking any other vector, e.g. a linear com bination of $\hat{K}$ and $\hat{S}$, instead of $\hat{K}$ in the de nition of $\hat{H}$, equation ( $(\overline{1} \overline{5})$, will produce the sam e results and lead to the sam e su (2) algebra.

A swasm entioned above, to connect the state D ; K $1 i$ to the rest of the degenerate subspace, one needs an operator that can change $m$ by at least two. Let us consider, for exam ple, operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{M}=\hat{P} \hat{S}^{2} \hat{P} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

U sing operators $\hat{M}$ and operators $\hat{N_{i}}$, one can construct a new su (2) algebra with a representation that incomporates the state $\ddagger$; $K \quad 1 i . W$ e de ne

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{J_{+}}=(\hat{\mathcal{J}})^{\mathrm{y}}=\hat{\mathrm{r}} \overline{\frac{\mathrm{~K}+\hat{G}_{z}}{\mathrm{~K}+\hat{G}_{z} 1} \hat{\mathrm{~N}}_{+}} \quad \mathrm{q} \overline{3 K+3=2} \hat{\mathrm{P}} \hat{S}_{+}^{2} \hat{P_{K}} \quad 1  \tag{21}\\
& \hat{J}_{z}=\hat{G_{z}} \hat{P}+\hat{P}{ }_{K} \quad 1
\end{align*}
$$

Heres, ${ }^{3} \hat{P}_{K} \quad 1$ denotes the pro jector onto the state $D$; $\quad K \quad 1 i$

$$
\hat{P}_{K} 1-1 ; \quad K \quad 1 i=\emptyset ; \quad K \quad 1 i \hat{P}_{K} \quad 1 \text { jn } \quad K \quad 1 i=0
$$

The operator $\hat{P_{k}}{ }_{1}$ can be written in term $S$ of $\hat{G}_{z}$ as follow $S$

$$
\hat{P}_{K}=\frac{1}{(2 K+2)!_{m \xi K}}{ }_{1}^{Y} \quad\left(m \quad \hat{G}_{z}\right)
$$



1. O perators $\hat{J}$ and $\hat{J}_{z}$ com $m$ ute $w$ ith $H$ am iltonian (
2. All degenerated states are uniquely labelled by eigenvalues of $\hat{\mathrm{J}}_{z}$ and $\hat{\mathrm{J}}^{2}$

$$
D \mathrm{mi}=j J=\mathrm{K} ; \mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{mi} \quad \mathrm{~K}+1 \quad \mathrm{~m} \quad \mathrm{~K}
$$

and

$$
D ; K \quad 1 i=j J=K ; I=K i
$$

Thus, $J_{z}$ runs from $K$ to $K$ with no gap and the degenerate subspace transform $s$ under a ( $2 \mathrm{~K}+1$ )-dim ensional representation of the su (2) de ned by equations i( $2 \overline{1}$ ).
3. All nondegenerate states are singlets, i.e. they have $J=J_{z}=0$
$N$ ote that operators $\hat{M}$ are linear combinations of com ponents of a conserved rank 2 tensor $\hat{M}_{i j}=\hat{P} \hat{S}_{i} \hat{S}_{j} \hat{P}$. By the sam e argum ent as for vectors, inserting any other rank 2 tensor instead of operator $\hat{Y}$ in equation ( $\overline{1} \overline{0} \mathbf{-})$ w ill result in equivalent operators. $H$ igher rank tensors are not needed. Indeed, operators $\hat{J_{i}}$ already connect all degenerate states. Therefore, com ponents of tensors of a rank greater than two can be written in term s of products of operators $\hat{\mathcal{J}_{i}}$ in the sam e way as com ponents of any tensor that com $m$ utes $w$ th $H$ am iltonian ( $\underline{I}_{-1}$ ) at $x=0$ can be expressed through $\hat{G}_{x}, \hat{G}_{y}$, and $\hat{G}_{z}$.

## 4 Large K Lim it

To gain some insight into the conservation law s derived in the previous section let us consider the lim it $K \quad 1$. In this lim it the meaning of sym $m$ etry tums out to be transparent. O ur intention in this section is not to present a rigorous analysis, but rather to develop an intuition about sym $m$ etries responsible for degeneracy.

If $\hat{K}$ is a classical vector, we can w rite the H am iltonian at $\mathrm{x}=1$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{c l}=j(\mathbb{K}+1=2) z+\hat{K} \hat{S_{n}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]where z is a unit vector along the z -axis and operator $\hat{\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{n}}}$ is the pro jection of $\hat{S}$ onto the axis parallelto the vector $(\mathbb{K}+1=2) z+\hat{K}$. Foreach orientation of $\hat{K}$ there are three energies corresponding to $S_{n}=+1$;

1 and $0\{\backslash t o p ", \backslash b o t t o m "$ and $\backslash m$ iddle" levels . M iddle levels have zero energy, independent on the direction of $\hat{\mathrm{K}}$.

N ow let us take into account quantization of $\hat{K}$ in the lim it $K \quad$ 1. W e assum e nevertheless that $\hat{S}_{n}$ still can be interpreted as a projection of $\hat{S} \hat{S}_{1}^{\mu_{1}}$.

The direction of $\hat{K}$ is speci ed by $K_{z} \quad m$. States $j_{h}=0 ; G_{z}=m$ i are degenerate $w$ ith respect to m . Evidently, sym $m$ etries responsible for th is degeneracy \rotate $\hat{K} "$ for states $w$ th $\hat{S_{n}}=0$, while keeping it unchanged for states $w$ ith $\hat{S_{n}} 0$. Generators for such rotations are

$$
\hat{\mathrm{L}}_{x ; Y}^{c 1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \hat{S}_{n}^{2}
\end{array}\right) \hat{K}_{x ; Y}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \hat{S}_{n}^{2} \tag{23}
\end{array}\right)
$$

O perators $\hat{L}_{x ; y}^{c l}$ com $m$ ute $w$ th the $H$ am iltonian (2 $\left.2 \overline{2} \overline{2}\right)$ which follow from the spin -1 identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{S_{n}}\left(1 \quad \hat{S}_{n}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

In deriving ( $\overline{2} \overline{3} \overline{3})$ we $m$ ade use of a pro jection operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{P_{c l}}=1 \quad \hat{S}_{n}^{2} \quad \hat{P_{c l} D m i}=\emptyset m i \quad \hat{P_{c l}} \hat{\mathrm{~N} m i}=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ddagger \mathrm{mi}$ and $\neq \mathrm{N}$ m i denote degenerate and non-degenerate states respectively. O perators $\hat{\mathrm{L}}_{\mathrm{x} ; \mathrm{y}}^{\mathrm{cl}}$ together w th $\hat{\mathrm{L}}_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{cl}}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}1 & \hat{S}_{n}^{2}\end{array}\right) \hat{K}_{z}\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & \hat{S}_{n}^{2}\end{array}\right)$ form an su (2) algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\hat{\mathbb{U}}_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{cl}} ; \hat{\mathrm{L}}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{cl}}\right]=\mathrm{i}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{\mathrm{ijk}} \hat{\mathrm{~L}}_{\mathrm{k}}^{\mathrm{cl}}+\mathrm{O}(1=\mathrm{K}) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

The degenerate subspace transform sunder a $2 \mathrm{~K}+1$ dim ensional representation of this su (2), while nondegenerate states are singlets. Neglecting term $s$ of the order of $1=K$, we can write $H$ am iltonian (2̄2̄) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{c l}=\hat{B}\left(\hat{\mathrm{G}}_{\mathrm{z}}\right) \hat{\mathrm{S}}_{\mathrm{n}} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote that the operator $\hat{B}\left(\hat{G}_{z}\right)$ de ned by equation
 obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{S}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}=\frac{\hat{H}_{c l}^{2}}{\hat{\mathrm{~B}}\left(\hat{G}_{z}\right)^{2}} \quad \hat{\mathrm{P}}_{\mathrm{cl}}=1 \quad \frac{\hat{H}_{c l}^{2}}{\hat{\mathrm{~B}}\left(\hat{G}_{z}\right)^{2}}  \tag{28}\\
\hat{H}_{\mathrm{cl}} \hat{\mathrm{~B}}\left(\hat{G}_{z}\right)^{2} \quad \hat{H}_{c l}^{2}=0 \tag{29}
\end{gather*}
$$

N ow let us compare these results to the \quantum " case. First, one can check that operators $\hat{L}_{i}^{c l}, \hat{H}_{c l}$, and $\hat{P}_{c l}$ de ned above are indeed the lim its of the corresponding operators introduced in the previous section. N am ely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}!\hat{H}_{c l} \hat{P^{\prime}}!\hat{P}_{c l} \hat{J_{i}}!\hat{N}_{i}!\hat{L}_{i}!\hat{\mathrm{L}}_{i}^{\mathrm{cl}} \text { ask ! } 1 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]Therefore, we can think of the operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2} \quad \frac{(\hat{\mathrm{H}}+1=2)(\hat{\mathrm{H}}+1)}{\hat{\mathrm{B}}\left(\hat{G}_{z}\right)^{2}} ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

appearing in the de nition ofP, equation (1) $\overline{1} \overline{1})$, as a projection of a spin one onto a lquantum axis" along $(K+1=2) z+\hat{K}$. Further, the spin-1 identity, equation ( $\overline{2} \overline{4})$, and its descendent equation (2 $\overline{9})$ are the $\lim$ it of equation ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$. Equation ( $\left.\overline{3} \overline{0}_{1}\right)$ ) show $s$ that the di erence betw een $\hat{N_{i}}$ and $\hat{J}_{i}$ disappears in the lim it of large K. Thus, the gap in the values of $m$ has no analogs in the leading order of this lim it. $N$ ote also that in the leading order in $1=K$ the $m$ iddle levels of $H$ am iltonian (픈) are degenerate at any $x$ and for all integer values of $S$. A $n$ analysis of the subleading corrections to the large $K$ lim it $m$ ay provide a sim ple explanation of why the degeneracies occur only for $S=1$.

## 5 G eneralized Sym m etries

In this section we deviate som ew hat from the $m$ ain topic of the paper and discuss generalized sym $m$ etries of $H$ am iltonian ( $\mathbf{1}_{-1}^{\prime}$ ). G eneralized sym $m$ etries are antioom $m$ utation relations that explain, for exam ple, the follow ing relation betw een energies of top and bottom levels (see Section 2):

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Tm}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Bm}}=3=2 \quad \text { in } j<\mathrm{K} \quad 1
$$

W e also show that the analogy to the large $K$ lim it can be pushed even further if we replace the H am ittonian ( $\bar{l}_{1}^{\prime}$ ) at $\mathrm{x}=1 \mathrm{w}$ ith an e ective H am ittonian by keeping only the blocks w ith in j< $\mathrm{K} \quad 1$
$F$ irst, we note that there exists a basis w here the block of the $H$ am iltonian ( $\overline{-1}$ ) at $x=1$ reads

 and (5)| represent the sam e operator in two di erent basises. From equation ' in $j<K$ in this basis is

$$
\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}=\begin{array}{llll}
2 & 1 & 0 & 0  \tag{33}\\
4 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
5 \\
& 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$

C om paring $m$ atrix ( $\overline{3} \overline{2} \overline{2})$ and equation $\left(\overline{2} \bar{Z}_{1}\right)$, and using ( $\left(\overline{3} \overline{3}_{1}\right)$, we conclude that it is natural to de ne $\hat{I_{n}}$ as

$$
\hat{I}_{\mathrm{n}}=\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 3  \tag{34}\\
6 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\
& 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$

Thus, we can write (cf. $\left.\overline{(2})_{1}^{2}\right)$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{e f f}=\hat{B}\left(\hat{G}_{z}\right) \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{1} \quad 1=4 \hat{I}_{3} \quad 1=4 \hat{\mathbb{I}}_{1}^{2} \quad 1=2 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{I_{1}}, \hat{I_{2}}$, and $\hat{I_{3}}$ are the rst three $G$ ell-M ann $m$ atrices of $s u(3)$.
$M$ atrices $\hat{I_{1}}, \hat{I_{2}}$, and $\hat{I_{3}}$ generate an su (2) subgroup of $s u(3)$ known as the isospin subgroup. W e note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{\text {eff }} \hat{I}_{2}+\hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2} \hat{H}_{\text {eff }}=\frac{3}{2} \hat{I}_{2} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

A ntioom $m$ utation relations of this type are som etim es called generalized sym $m$ etries. Equation ( $\overline{3} \bar{\sigma} \overline{1})$ show sthat if $\mathrm{in} i$ is an eigenstate of the $H$ am iltonian at $x=1 \mathrm{w}$ th $\mathrm{jn} j<\mathrm{K}$ and the energy $E, \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2} \dot{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{i}$ is either also an eigenstate but w ith the energy $\quad 3=2 \quad \mathrm{E}$ o $\hat{\hat{H}_{2}} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~m} i=0$. E xam in ing the eigenstates of m atrix ( $\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{Z}})$ one can verify that ${\hat{I_{2}}}_{2} \mathrm{Nm} i \neq 0$ and $\hat{\mathrm{I}_{2}} \ddagger \mathrm{mi}=0$. Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Tm}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Bm}}=\frac{3}{2} \quad \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2} \boldsymbol{\jmath} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{i}=\boldsymbol{\beta} \mathrm{mi} \quad \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2} \beta \mathrm{Bm} i=\boldsymbol{\jmath} \mathrm{mi} \quad \hat{\mathrm{I}}_{2} 円 \mathrm{D} \mathrm{mi}=0 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

$N$ ote also that since for each $\min j<K$ the operator $\hat{K} \quad \hat{S}$ has three eigenvahes $K \quad 1$, 1 , and $K$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{T m}+E_{D m}+E_{B m}=\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{H})=(\mathbb{K}+1=2) \operatorname{Tr}\left(\hat{S_{z}}\right)+\operatorname{Tr}(\hat{K} \quad \hat{S})=2 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6 H ydrogen A tom

H ere we derive the nontrivial conservation law sfor the H ydrogen atom from the generalexpression (了ָ̄). The H am iltonian in atom ic units (see e.g. [i] $[1]$ ) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{H}}=\frac{\hat{\mathrm{p}}^{2}}{2} \quad \frac{1}{\hat{\hat{N}}} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition to the angular mom entum $\hat{L}=\hat{y} \quad \hat{P}, H$ am iltonian $(\overline{3} 9)$ also conserves the $R$ unge $\{L$ Lenz vector

$$
\hat{A}=\frac{\hat{r}}{r} \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{llll}
\hat{P} & \hat{L} & \hat{L} & \hat{P} \tag{40}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Integrals ofm otion ( $(\overline{4} \overline{0}-1)$ explain the degeneracy in angularm om entum. Com ponents of vectors $\hat{L}$ and $\hat{A}$ can be com bined to yield generators of the so (4) sym $m$ etry $\overline{\underline{Z}}]$ of $H$ am iltonian ( $\overline{3} \overline{9} \overline{9})$.

Let us show that conservation law s ( $(\overline{4} 0,-1)$ can be derived directly from equation ( know ledge of exact eigenstates of H am iltonian ( $\overline{3} \overline{\mathrm{~g}})$. The conservation of angular m om entum is not
speci $c$ to $1=r$ potential. $W$ e therefore assum $e$ that we know that the angularm om entum is conserved, but do not know any other conservation law s of H am iltonian ( $\left(\frac{3}{-1} 9\right)$.

A ccording to ( $\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$ the conservation law s are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{X}=\hat{n}_{n}^{x} \hat{P_{n}} \hat{Y} \hat{P_{n}} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{P_{n}}$ pro jects out the eigenspace of $\left(\frac{\overline{3}-\overline{9}}{9}\right)$ w th eigenvalue $E_{n}=1=2 n^{2} \cdot \mathrm{~W}$ e take a straightforw ard approach and try the sim plest choioes for $\hat{Y}$.

First, we look for a conserved scalar and try $\hat{Y}_{1}=\hat{r}^{2}$ and $\hat{Y}_{2}=\hat{p}^{2}$. This choice as well as any other powers of $\hat{p} j$ and $\hat{\dot{Y}} \dot{j}$ result only in trivial comm uting operators - combinations of $\hat{H}$ and $\hat{L}^{2}$. For exam ple,

$$
\hat{X}_{1}=\hat{X}_{n}^{x} \hat{P}_{n} \hat{\mathrm{P}}^{2} \hat{D_{n}}=\frac{1}{4 \hat{H}} \frac{5}{2 \hat{H}}+\hat{\mathrm{L}}^{2} \quad 1
$$

Next, we search for a conserved vector and try $\hat{Y}=\hat{y}$. To evaluate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{B}=\hat{n}_{n}^{X} \hat{P_{n}} \hat{P_{P}} \hat{n} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

explicitly it is advantageous to use parabolic coordinates. The eigenstates of discrete spectrum are speci ed by three integers $\eta_{n} ; n_{2} ; m$ i, where $m$ is the $z$-projection of the angular $m$ om entum and the principle quantum number is $n=n_{1}+n_{2}+j n j+1$ (see e.g. tijl). Evaluating the $m$ atrix elem ents of $B_{z}$ in this basis, we nd that only diagonalm atrix elem ents are nonzero and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{B_{z}} \dot{n}_{1} ; n_{2} ; m i=\frac{3 n}{2}\left(n_{1} \quad n_{2}\right) \dot{n}_{1} ; n_{2} ; m i \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

To express $\hat{B_{z}}$ through com ponents of $\hat{r}$ and $\hat{p}$ we have to identify operators that have eigenvalues $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{n}_{2}$. All we need to do is to follow backw ards the derivation of eigenstates in parabolic


$$
\hat{B_{z}}=\frac{3 \hat{A_{z}}}{4 \hat{H}}
$$

Since the choice of z -axis is arbitrary, we conclude that

$$
\hat{B}=\frac{\hat{A}}{4 \hat{H}}
$$

w ith $\hat{A}$ given by equation ( $(\overline{4} \overline{0})$ ).
Thus, the substitution of $\hat{Y}=\hat{Y}$ into equation $(\overline{\widehat{T}})$ produces the $R$ unge-Lenz vector - the nontrivial conservation law responsible for the \accidental" degeneracy in H ydrogen atom. O ne can check that the altemative choice $\hat{Y}=\hat{p}$ yields the sam e result.

## 7 C onclusion

W e have derived a com plete set of sym $m$ etry generators of $H$ am iltonian ( $\underline{i}_{1}$ ) at $x=1$ and established that the sym $m$ etry group of the $H$ am iltonian is $S U(2)$. The degenerate subspace transform $s$ under a ( $2 \mathrm{~K}+1$ )-dim ensional representation of this $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, nondegenerate states being singlets. For K 1 the degenerate states correspond to $\hat{S_{n}}=0$ where $n$ is a unit vector along $z+\hat{K}=K$ and the sym $m$ etry operators have a m eaning of rotations of $\hat{K}$ for these states. $W$ e outlined a generalapproach for nding sym $m$ etries that can be used when the eigenstates and eigenvalues of the H am iltonian are know n . The form alstarting point in this approach is the general expression for conservation law s (3ె) . W e have seen that for both $H$ am iltonian ( $\left.1_{1}\right)$ ) and the $H$ ydrogen atom sim plest choioes for $\hat{Y}$ produce all nontrivial conservation law s.

W e do not have a satisfactory explanation of why ultiple degeneracies at nonzero value of $x$ occur only for $S=1$ and not for other integer values of $S$. If this question is to be answ ered on the sym $m$ etry grounds, further insight into the nature and sim ple $m$ anifestations of sym $m$ etries derived in this paper is needed. In particular, the analysis of subleading corrections to large K lim it m ay be an interesting avenue to pursue.

## 8 A ppendix: C om m uting O perators

H ere we prove equation ( operator whose eigenstates span the H ibert space.

In the energy representation H am ittonian ( $\overline{1}$ ī) can be w ritten as

$$
\hat{H}={ }^{2} \begin{array}{llll}
4 & \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{E} & 0 & \begin{array}{l}
3 \\
4
\end{array}  \tag{A.1}\\
& 0 & D^{7}
\end{array}
$$

where $E$ is a $(2 \mathrm{~K}+1) \quad(2 \mathrm{~K}+1)$ identity m atrix and D is a diagonalm atrix w ith no two diagonal elem ents equal to each other or to $1=2$. The block $1=2 \mathrm{E}$ corresponds to the degenerate subspace, $D$ to the rem aining levels. Since any $m$ atrix com $m$ utes $w i t h$ an identity $m$ atrix and only diagonal $m$ atrices com $m$ ute $w$ ith $D$, an operator $\hat{X}$ com $m$ utes $w$ ith the $H$ am iltonian if and only if it is of the form
where $A$ is an arbitrary $(2 K+1) \quad(2 K+1) m$ atrix and $D^{0}$ is a diagonalm atrix of an appropriate size. U sing the pro jection operator $\hat{P}$, we can rew rite ( $(\bar{A}-\overline{2})$ ) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{X}=\hat{P} \hat{Y} \hat{P}+\hat{} \tag{A,3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{Y}$ is an arbitrary operator and ${ }^{\wedge}$ represents the second operator on the left hand side ofequation


$$
{ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{Dmi}=0
$$

Thus, w ith no loss of generality the search of com $m$ uting operators can be restricted to operators of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{X}=\hat{P} \hat{Y} \hat{P} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ P ro jection operator $\hat{P}$ is a scalar, because the $H$ am iltonian is a scalar. To see this the operator $\hat{S_{z}}$ in $H$ am iltonian (ī)) should be written as $\hat{S} \quad \mathrm{~b}$, where b is a unit vector along the $m$ agnetic eld. By the sam e argum ent $\hat{G}_{z}=\hat{\mathrm{G}} \quad \mathrm{b}$ is a conserved scalar. Therefore, $L$ is a vector.
    ${ }^{2} \mathrm{~W}$ e use the usual notation $\hat{\mathrm{L}}_{1} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~L}}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \frac{\hat{\mathrm{L}}_{+}+\hat{\mathrm{L}}}{2}, \hat{\mathrm{~L}}_{2} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~L}}_{\mathrm{y}} \quad \frac{\hat{\mathrm{L}}_{+} \hat{\mathrm{L}}}{2 \mathrm{i}}$, and $\hat{\mathrm{L}}_{3} \quad \hat{\mathrm{~L}}_{z}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ote that $\hat{P}{ }_{K}{ }_{1}=\hat{P}{ }_{K}{ }_{1} \hat{P}=\hat{P P P}{ }_{K}{ }_{1} \hat{P}$ and $\hat{G}_{z} \hat{P}=\hat{P} \hat{G}_{z}=\hat{P} \hat{G}_{z} \hat{P}$. Hence, operators $J$ and $J_{z}$ de ned by
    

[^2]:    ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~T}$ he large K lim it can be treated m ore accurately by introducing a preferred direction for $\hat{\mathrm{K}}$ and representing $\hat{\mathrm{K}}$ in term sof H olstein $\{\mathrm{P}$ rin ako bosons.

