Colloid-polymer mixtures in the protein limit

Peter G. Bolhuis, Evert Jan Meijer and Ard A. Louis^z Dept. of Chemical Engineering, University of Amsterdam, Nieuwe Achtergracht 166, 1018 WV, Amsterdam, Netherlands ^zDept. of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lens eld Road, CB2 1EW, Cambridge, UK (Dated: March 22, 2024)

We computed the phase-separation behavior and e ective interactions of colloid-polymer mixtures in the \protein limit", where the polymer radius of gyration is much larger than the colloid radius. For ideal polymers, the critical colloidal packing fraction tends to zero, whereas for interacting polymers in a good solvent the behavior is governed by a universal binodal, im plying a constant critical colloid packing fraction. In both systems the depletion interaction is not well described by e ective pair potentials but requires the incorporation of many-body contributions.

PACS num bers: 82.35 N p,61.25 H q,82.70 D d

Adding polymers to suspensions of micro- and nanoparticles induces depletion interactions that profoundly a ect their physical properties. This phenomenon has important scienti c and (bio-)technological applications. Polymers such as polyethylene glycolare routinely added to protein solutions to enable protein crystallization [1, 2], a poorly understood process and of great importance in structural biology [3]. In cell biology depletion interactions are key in the process of macro-molecular crow ding [4]. Food and paint production are among the industrial sectors where depletion phenomena play a role.

In this Letter we focus on mixtures of hard sphere (HS) colloids with a radius R_c and non-adsorbing polym ers with a radius of gyration R_{q} , in the regime where $q = R_q = R_c > 1$. This is often called the nano-particle or \protein lim it", because in practice sm all particles such as proteins or m icelles are needed to achieve large sizeratios q. W hereas the opposite ∞ in it" (q < 1) has been well studied, the physics in the protein lim it is less established. This in balance is partially due to the lack of well characterized experimental model systems for the protein lim it and partially to a poor theoretical understanding. The colloid lim it can be well described within the framework of e ective depletion pair potentials [5, 6], in contrast to the protein lim it, where the interactions cannot be reduced to a pairw ise form [7, 8]. Nevertheless, for biological and industrial applications, this regime is at least as in portant as the colloid lim it.

One of the rst theoretical treatments of colloidpolymer mixtures in the protein limit was by de Gennes [9], who showed that the insertion free-energy $F_c^{(1)}$ of a single hard, non-adsorbing sphere into an athermalpolymer solution scales as

$$F_{c}^{(1)} (R_{c} =)^{3} = (1)$$

when $R_c < ,$ with the polymer correlation length $\binom{p}{p}$ $R_{g p}^{=(3 \ 1)}$ $R_{g p}^{0:77}$ [10]. Here, = 1=k_BT is the reciprocal temperature, 0.59 is the F lory exponent and $p = p\frac{4}{3} R_g^3$ is the polymer volume fraction for a polymer number density p, so that

1 at the crossover from a dilute to a sem i-dilute solution [10]. The prefactors can be calculated by the renormalization group (RG) theory [11], yielding: $F_c^{(1)}$ 4:39 $_{p}q^{1:3}$ which has been veried by computer sim ulations for small q [12]. Based on this description of $F_{c}^{(1)}$, de Gennes [10] and Odijk [8] predicted extensive m iscibility for colloid-polymer m ixtures in the large q $\lim i t i f R_c < \ldots$ However, it is well known that proteinpolymer mixtures do phase-separate [13, 14]. Recently, O digk et al. [15] suggested that a poor solvent could facilitate phase-separation. Sear [16] altered the form of $F_{c}^{(1)}$ to include e ects when R_{c} , and also predicted phase-separation with a truncated virial theory. The sam e author recently proposed an alternative theory [17] where the colloids induce depletion attractions between the polymers, leading to a poorer e ective solvent and eventually phase-separation. M ean- eld cell m odel calculations also predict dem ixing [18]. A nother prom ising approach uses integral equation techniques [19] to predict spinodal curves and critical points. However, all these theories su er from several uncontrolled approximations leading to dierent predictions for the causes and properties of the phase-separation. To clarify this situation, we perform ed computer simulations with as few simplifying assumptions as possible, on which we report in this Letter.

We have recently used a coarse-graining technique [20] to study the colloid lim it, and found quantitative agreement with experimental uid- uid binodals [21], and signi cant qualitative di erences between interacting (\mathbb{P}) and non-interacting (N \mathbb{P}) polymers. Here, we study the same a therm alm odel of H S colloids and non-adsorbing polymers in the protein lim it, and calculate, for the rst time, the full uid- uid binodals by direct simulation. The results for the \mathbb{P} and N \mathbb{P} show even larger qualitative di erences, and many-body depletion interactions must be invoked to understand the phase-behavior.

The simulation model consists of polymers on a sim ple cubic lattice mixed with HS colloids. The interacting polymers in a good solvent are modeled as self avoid-




FIG.1: Fluid- uid binodals for a mixture of non-interacting polymers and HS colloids with di erent size-ratios q.C rosses indicate the estim ated critical point, obtained by extrapolating the calculated phase boundaries. The full lines are a guide to the eye. D ashed lines denote the simple theory described in the text, with stars marking the critical points.

ing walks (SAW) of length L, which have a radius of gyration R_g L. The non-interacting polymers are m odeled as random -walks, for which R_q L^{0:5}. In both m odels there is an excluded-volum e interaction between the colloidal HS and the polymer segments. The sim ulations were performed on a D³ lattice with periodic boundary conditions, where D = 48 and D = 100 for the NIP and IP system, respectively. Throughout this Letter, we use the lattice spacing as the unit of length. For the N IP the colloidal HS diam eter was $_{c} = 5.5$ and the polymer length was L = 50;100;200 and 500, corresponding to q = 1:03;1:45;2:05 and 3:2, respectively. For the IP L = 2000, and $_{c}$ = 10;14 and 20, yielding q = 3:86;5:58 and 7:78, respectively. Colloidal positions have continuous values, but when we calculated the interaction between colloid and polymer the colloids were shifted such that they occupied a constant number of lattice sites to prevent spurious attractive positions for single colloids (other lattice e ects, although unavoidable, are expected to be small.) Therm odynamic state points were calculated in the grand-canonical ensemble, i.e. at xed volum eV, colloid chem ical potential $_{\rm c}$ and polym er chem ical potential $_{\rm p}$ using M onte C arlo (M C) techniques. The N IP were sam pled using an (exact) lattice propagation m ethod [22, 23], while the \mathbb{P} con gurations were generated using translation, pivot m oves and con gurationalbias M C [24] in an expanded ensemble to facilitate insertion of long chains [25]. Typical simulations lengths are 10^9 M onte C arlo m oves per state point. In order to determ ine the liquid-liquid binodals we st estimated the coexistence line by scanning a series of $_{\rm c}$




FIG.2: Fluid- uid binodals for a mixture of interacting polymers and HS colloids at di erent size-ratios q. Filled sym bols are direct simulation data. The open sym bols are the colloid lim it (q < 1) results from Ref. [21]. Solid lines are a guide to the eye. Inset: The same binodals plotted in a reduced polymer density representation. The dotted curve corresponds to a simple theory for the universal binodal when polymers are in a good solvent while the dashed line is for polymers in a poorer solvent. C rosses and stars as in Fig.1.

for several values of $_{\rm p}$ and locate the $_{\rm c}$ for which a sudden density change occurred. Subsequently 8-10 ($_{\rm p}$, $_{\rm c}$) coexistence state points were simulated simultaneously using parallel tempering [25]. When the estimated coexistence points are su ciently close to the true binodal and to each other, and near the critical point, this scheme e results in proper ergodic sampling of both phases. If necessary, the chemical potentials were adjusted towards coexistence. We used the multiple histogram reweighting [25, 26] technique to determ ine the precise location of the ($_{\rm c}$; $_{\rm p}$) coexistence line, and the phase boundaries in the ($_{\rm c}$; $_{\rm p}$) plane, where $_{\rm c} = _{\rm c}\frac{4}{3}$ R $_{\rm c}^3$ is the colloid volum e fraction, with $_{\rm c}$ the colloid number density.

Figs. 1 and 2 contrast the calculated phase diagrams for N IP and IP for several size-ratios q. Firstly, we note that both m odels show extensive in m isobility, in agreement with experiment [14]. Secondly, the two system sexhibit striking di erences: for the N IP, the critical colloid volume fraction $_{\rm c}^{\rm crit}$ tends to zero with increasing size ratio q, while the IP exhibit a nearly constant value of $_{\rm c}^{\rm crit}$. For both system s the critical polymer concentration $_{\rm p}^{\rm crit}$ increases with increasing q. The phase-separation occurs well into the sem idilute regime of the IP, again in qualitative agreement with experiment [13]. Properties of sem idilute polymer solutions are independent of polymer length, being instead determined by the correlation length , which is a function of the monomer density $c = L_{\rm p}$. The phase behavior of the polymer-H S m ixture

should therefore only be a function of the ratio $R_c = [16]$. Indeed, when the phase lines in Fig.2 are rescaled with an accurate prescription for (p) [12], the binodals nearly collapse onto a \universalbinodal", as shown in the inset of Fig.2. This explains why the critical colloid packing fraction is nearly constant in the simulations. Sim ilarly, p_p^{crit} scales as $p_p^{crit} q^{3-1} = q^{13}$. For comparison, we have also included results for q < 1 from Ref. [21] in Fig.2. These results do not exhibit the same scaling behavior, since they are not in the sem i-dilute regime.

The di erences between NIP and IP phase behavior can be rationalized with som e sim ple theories. Consider a Helmholtz free-energy F of the form F=V = f = $f_{c}^{H~S}$ + f_{p} + f_{cp} . Here, the HS free energy $f_{c}^{H~S}$ is given by the accurate Camahan-Starling expression [27], and the polymer free energy f_p for either \mathbb{P} or $\mathbb{N} \mathbb{P}$ solutions is well understood [10]. The contribution due to the HS-polymer interactions f_{cp} is non-trivial. A rst approximation truncates after the second cross-virial co- $_{\rm c}F_{\rm c}^{(1)}$. For NIP the insertion e cient, yielding f_{cp} free-energy $F_{\rm c}^{~(1)}$ is exactly known [11], so that $f_{\rm cp}$ takes the form $f_{cp}^{id} = p_{c} 1 + \frac{6q}{p-1} + 3q^2$ $p_{c}\hat{b}_{cp}$, which de nes the reduced cross-virial coe cient \hat{b}_{cp} . Since f_{cp}^{id} growswith increasing q, imm iscibility sets in at lower colloid packing fraction c. The theory can be improved by realizing that the polymers only exist in the free volume left by the colloids [28]. Simply taking this free volume c is an adequate rst approximation for the to be 1 protein lim it. The trends for the binodal lines calculated from this simple theory, shown in Fig. 1, agree qualitatively with the simulations. For example, the critical point shifts to smaller $_{\rm c}$ and larger $_{\rm p}$ for increasing q, and the binodal lines cross at a low c. For computational reasons the simulations only go up to q = 32 and we expect better quantitative agreem ent for larger q since crit decreases so that the second-virial theory should become more accurate. In the q! 1 limit, this theory yields crit ! 1=bcp $1=(3q^2)$, and $r_{p}^{crit} = q^3 = \hat{b}_{cp}$ q=3. Note that in the same limit, the penetrable sphere or A sakura-O osaw a m odel [28] scales som ew hat di erently: $_{c}^{crit}$! 1=q³ and $_{p}^{crit}$! 1. Sear [7] already pointed out the crit ! 0 behavior using a slightly di erent prescription for the free volume than we employ here. Here we claim that the limiting results are a general feature of free-volum e theories.

In the IP case, f_{cp} is more di cult to estimate, even for a second cross-virial theory. The R_c limit is given by Eq. (1) with the prefactors from RG theory. For R_c we have previously shown that $F_c^{(1)}$ is given by $F_c^{(1)} = \frac{4}{3} R_c^3 + 6 R_c^2 s$ [12], where the polymer osmotic pressure ³ is well known [10]. However, since Eq. (1) is essentially a surface (depletion layer) contribution, we use a simple approximate second crossvirial term $f_{cp} = c$ () $\frac{4}{3} R_c^3 + 439 pq^{13}$, which

reduces to the correct form in both the R $_{\rm c}$ and the lim it [33]. Aswith our treatment of NIP, we take R_c the e ect of the colloid excluded volum e into account by com puting the polym er densities in the free volum e fracc (see Ref. [29] for a com plim entary approach). tion 1 The theoretical binodals were calculated using accurate expressions for and [12] and are compared with the simulation results in Fig. 2, in the $R_c = versus_c$ plane. The qualitative agreem ent suggests that we can also use this theory to estimate the e ect of a poorer solvent on the binodals. Following Ref. [15], we alter the scaling of $_{\rm p}$ = 3 so that 3 $_{\rm p}$ $_{\rm p}$ ¹. Interestingly, to Fig.2 shows that using 1:5 instead of the appropriate exponent for polymers in a good solvent (2:3), does not result in important di erences in the binodals. Of course, the di erences will appear larger in the ($_{\rm c}$; $_{\rm p}$) plane due to the di erent scaling of . One must keep in mind, however, that these predictions follow from a simple scaling theory and qualitatively di erent behavior may emerge when one approaches the -point (where = 1).

To illustrate the many-body nature of the depletion interaction we estimated the phase behavior by approximating the system by colloids interacting via pairwise electrive potentials. We computed the electrive pair interaction v(r) between two colloids in a bath of IP's, by v(r) = ln g(r) for c ! 0. The colloid radial distribution function g(r) was estimated by measuring the insertion probability of a HS at a distance r from a second xed HS in a SAW polymer solution using the above MC techniques. Results for a single size-ratio q = 7.78 as a function of $_p$ are shown in Fig.3. Several features are




FIG. 3: E ective colloid-colloid pair potentials induced by interacting polymers for q= 7.78. Theoretical lines from Ref. [30]. Inset: Reduced second osmotic virial coe cient $B_2 = B_2 = (\frac{2}{3} R_c^3)$ as a function polymer densities for several size ratio's.

sim ilar to the colloid lim it [30]: the range shortens and the well-depth increases with increasing p. Interestingly, our simple depletion potential [30], derived for the colloidal lim it, also works sem i-quantitatively in this regime. A good measure for the attractive strength of an e ective pair potentials is given by the second osm otic virial coe cient [27], shown in Fig. 3. The saturation of B_2 for larger q is an interesting qualitative feature: apparently the shortening of the range com pensates the deepening of the attraction, so that the total cohesion does not increase with increasing p, som ething also found in RG [11] and integral equation calculations [19]. For pairw ise interacting system s, phase-separation typically sets in when B₂ 1:5 [31]. Here, the saturation of B suggests that for large g the pair interactions do not provide enough cohesion to explain the phase-separation. W e arrive at the same conclusions with simple mean-eld theories [27], which should be relatively trustworthy given the long range of the pair potentials. O byiously, for q 1 a pair-level description is not su cient, and many-body interactions must be invoked.

For the N IP, one m ight also expect m any-body interactions to be important for large q. A good approxim ation to the pair-potentials exists [23, 30], from which the second virial coe cients at the calculated critical points follow: $B_2 (q = 1.03)$ $13:1; B_{2}(q = 1:45)$ 16:4; $B_2 (q = 2.05)$ 22:7. Even though the actual critical c's are very low, so that a second-virial description might be thought to be su cient, the analysis above shows that for N IP the pair-interactions provide too much cohesion, opposite to the ${\rm I\!P}$ case. Clearly, m any-body interactions m ust also be invoked to describe the phase-behavior correctly, as suggested by other authors [7, 8, 19, 23, 32].

In conclusion, we have shown by computer simulations that a mixture of polymers and non-adsorbing HS colloids shows extensive immiscibility in the protein limit, where the polymer-colloid size-ratio q 1. For IP the phase-behavior is dictated by a universal binodal in the semi-dilute regime. For NIP, the colloid packing fraction tends to zero for increasing polymer length. In contrast to the better studied colloid limit, pair interactions are not su cient to rationalize the phase behavior. We hope that future experiments on HS colloids with nonadsorbing polymer will test these predictions. Future work might include extensions to non-spherical particles, poor solvents, and adsorbing system s.

A A L.acknow ledges the Isaac New ton Trust for nancial support. E JM .acknow ledges the R oyal N etherlands A cadem y of Art and Sciences for nancial support. W e acknow ledge support from the Stichting N ationale C om puterfaciliteiten (NCF) and the N ederlandse O rganisatie voor W etenschappelick O nderzoek (NW O) for the use of supercom puter facilities. W e thank M . Fuchs, R . Sear and R. Tuinier for helpful discussions.

- [1] A.M.Kulkamiet al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 4554 (1999);
 J.Chem.Phys.113, 9863 (2000).
- [2] S. Tanaka and M. Ataka, J. Chem. Phys. 117, 3504 (2002).
- [3] S.D. Durbin and G. Feher, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 47, 171 (1996).
- [4] S.B. Zimmerman and A.P. Minton, Annu. Rev. Bioph. Biom. 22, 27 (1993).
- [5] S. Asakura and F. Oosawa, J. Chem. Phys. 22, 1255 (1954).
- [6] See W .C.K.Poon, J.Phys.: Condens.M atter 14, R 859 (2002) for a recent review.
- [7] R P. Sear, Phys. Rev. Lett 86 4696 (2001).
- [8] T.Odijk J.Chem .Phys.106 3402 (1997).
- [9] P.G. de Gennes, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris B 288, 359 (1979).
- [10] P.G de Gennes, Scaling Concepts in Polymer Physics, (Cornell University Press, Ithaca).
- [11] E. Eisenriegler et al., Phys. Rev. E 54, 1134 (1996); E.
 Eisenriegler, J. Chem. Phys. 113, 5091 (2000).
- [12] A A.Louis, P.G. Bolhuis, J.P. Hansen, and E.J. Meijer, J.Chem. Phys. 116, 10547 (2002).
- [13] R. Tuinier et al., Langmuir 16, 1497 (2000).
- [14] For a review see e.g. J.-L. Doublier et al., Curr. Opin. Colloid In.5 , 202 (2000).
- [15] S.W ang et al., B iom acrom olecules 2, 1080 (2001).
- [16] R.P. Sear, Phys. Rev. E 56, 4463 (1997).
- [17] R.P. Sear, preprint, cond-m at/0206320.
- [18] H M Schaink and JM Sm it, J. Chem. Phys 107, 1004 (1997).
- [19] M. Fuchs and K S. Schweizer, Europhys Lett. 51, 21 (2000); J.Phys.: Condens.M att. 14, R 239 (2002).
- [20] A A.Louis et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2522 (2000); P.G. Bolhuis et al, J.Chem. Phys. 114, 4296 (2001).
- [21] P.G. Bolhuis et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 128302 (2002).
- [22] D. Frenkel, J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 2, SA 265 (1990).
- [23] E J. M eijer and D. Frenkel, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1110 (1991); J. Chem. Phys. 100, 6873 (1994).
- [24] D. Frenkel and B. Sm it, Understanding molecular simulations, 2nd ed. (A cadem ic Press, San Diego, 2002).
- [25] Q. Yan and J. J. de Pablo, J. Chem. Phys. 113, 1276 (2000).
- [26] A.M. Ferrenberg and R.H. Swendsen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2635 (1987).
- [27] JP. Hansen and IR. M cD onald, Theory of Simple Liquids, 2nd Ed. (A cadem ic Press, London, 1986).
- [28] H N W . Lekkerkerker et al., Europhys. Lett. 20, 559 (1992).
- [29] D.G.A.L.Aarts et al., J. Phys.: Condens. M atter 14, (2002).
- [30] A A.Louis et al, J.Chem. Phys. 117, 1893 (2002).
- [31] G.A.V liegenthart and H.N.W. Lekkerkerker, J.Chem. Phys. 112, 5364 (2000).
- [32] A P.Gast, C K. Halland W B.Russel, J.Colloid Interf. Sci. 96, 251 (1983).
- [33] Obviously this approach, which resembles the more schematic theory of Sear [16], could be improved.