

Identification of topologically different valence bond states in spin ladders

Masaaki Nakamura

Department of Applied Physics, Faculty of Science, Tokyo University of Science, Kagurazaka, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan

Abstract

We discuss relation between three different methods to identify topologically distinct short-range valence-bond ground states in spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ two-leg ladders: the string order parameters, the level-crossing method, and the ground-state expectation value of the twist operator. For this purpose we reexamine a phase transition in the frustrated spin ladder. We propose a proper bosonization of the string order parameters. Then, these three methods are shown to be equivalent reflecting the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry breaking of the order and the disorder fields of the two-dimensional Ising model.

Key words: spin ladder; valence bond; string order parameter; level-crossing method; twist operator

Since the experimental discoveries of several spin ladder compounds, there has been considerable interest in properties of various two-leg spin ladders. The ground states of these ladders are well described by short-range valence bond (dimer) states with an energy gap in spin excitations. In ladder systems, a variety of topological configurations of the dimers are possible, so that we expect phase transitions between topologically different valence bond ground states.

As a typical model which exhibits the topological transition, we consider the antiferromagnetic two-leg spin $S = \frac{1}{2}$ ladder with frustration described by

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^L [J(S_{1,j} \cdot S_{j+1} + S_{2,j} \cdot S_{j+1}) + J_2(S_{1,j} \cdot S_j + J(S_{1,j} \cdot S_{j+1} + S_{1,j+1} \cdot S_j))]; \quad (1)$$

where $S_{n,j}$ denotes an $S = \frac{1}{2}$ operator at site j of the n -th chain. It has been pointed out that there appears competition between two phases [2,3]: For $J_2 \ll J$, the ground state is similar to that of the $S = 1$ Haldane-gap state described by the Aleck-Kennedy-Lieb-Tasaki (AKLT) model, while for $J_2 \sim J$, the

system has the same property of the conventional spin ladder, and the resonating valence bond (RVB) phase including the rung dimer state is stabilized.

First, we analyze the phase transition by using the string order parameter (SOP) which is known to detect the hidden antiferromagnetic order in the Haldane gap phase of the $S = 1$ Heisenberg chain. In ladder systems, the AKLT and the RVB phases are identified by the following two SOPs [3],

$$O_p = \lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{L} \sum_{j=1}^L \langle S_{p,j} \exp(i \sum_{k=j}^L S_{p,k}) S_{p,j+1} \rangle; \quad (2)$$

where $\langle \dots \rangle$ means the ground-state expectation value, and x, y, z . The composite spin operators for $p = \text{odd, even}$ are defined by

$$S_{\text{odd},j} = S_{1,j} + S_{2,j}; \quad S_{\text{even},j} = S_{1,j} + S_{2,j+1}; \quad (3)$$

Then the AKLT and the RVB phases are characterized by $O_{\text{odd}} \neq 0, O_{\text{even}} = 0$, and by $O_{\text{odd}} = 0, O_{\text{even}} \neq 0$, respectively.

The SOPs can be bosonized by using the relation of the $S = 1/2$ operator $\exp(i S_{n,j}^z) = 2i S_{n,j}^z$. In the con-

tinuum limit, however, O_{odd}^z and O_{even}^z have the same bosonized form [3]: $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{j!} \langle \sin[\frac{p}{2} + (x)] \sin[\frac{p}{2} + (y)] \rangle$ where $\langle \rangle$ is the symmetric/antisymmetric boson field.

In order to distinguish two SOPs, we consider the boson representations of the composite spin operators,

$$S_{\text{odd};j}^z = \frac{p}{2} \phi_x + \frac{2e^{i x=a_0}}{a_0} \sin \frac{p}{2} + \cos \frac{p}{2} \quad (4)$$

$$S_{\text{even};j}^z = \frac{p}{2} \phi_x + \frac{2e^{i x=a_0}}{a_0} \cos \frac{p}{2} + \sin \frac{p}{2} \quad (5)$$

Here $S_{\text{odd};j}^z$ and $S_{\text{even};j}^z$ are related by the shift of the phase fields,

$$\phi_x = \frac{p}{8} \quad (6)$$

This relation indicates that two SOPs are identified as

$$O_{\text{odd}}^z = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{j!} \langle \sin[\frac{p}{2} + (x)] \sin[\frac{p}{2} + (y)] \rangle \quad (7)$$

$$O_{\text{even}}^z = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{j!} \langle \cos[\frac{p}{2} + (x)] \cos[\frac{p}{2} + (y)] \rangle \quad (8)$$

Note that Eq. (6) reverses the sign of the nonlinear term of the symmetric mode of the sine-Gordon model. Thus it turns out that $O_{\text{odd}}^z = O_{\text{even}}^z$ gives the critical point. Besides, since the phase field is related to the order (ϕ) and disorder (ψ) parameter of the two dimensional noncritical Ising models as $\exp(i\frac{p}{2} + \phi)$

and $\exp(i\frac{p}{2} + \psi)$ [9], two SOPs are identified as O_{odd}^z and O_{even}^z in $h_1 i^2 h_2 i^2$, O_{even}^z in $h_1 i^2 h_2 i^2$. Thus Eq. (6) for ϕ_x corresponds to the Kramers-Wannier duality transformation $\phi \rightarrow \psi$ for two Ising copies, and $O_{\text{odd}} \leftrightarrow O_{\text{even}}$ means the breakdown of the $Z_2 \times Z_2$ symmetry in the order (disorder) fields.

On the other hand, it has been pointed out that a critical point between two non-degenerate ground states such as the AKLT and the RVB phases can be identified by the level-crossing of excitation spectra with different parities under antiperiodic boundary conditions [8]. According to the conformal field theory, operators appearing in the correlation functions O_j are related to the excitation spectra E_j through the scaling dimensions x_j :

$$E_j = \frac{2v}{L} x_j; \quad \langle O_j(x) O_j(y) \rangle \sim \frac{1}{|x-y|^{2x_j}} \quad (9)$$

where v is the spin wave velocity. The operators $\sin(\frac{p}{2} + \phi)$, $\cos(\frac{p}{2} + \phi)$ appearing in Eqs. (7) and (8) are nothing but those corresponding to the energy spectra that give the level-crossing point.

Finally, we discuss another order parameter. Recently, the author with Todo proposed the ground-state expectation value of the twist operator as an order parameter to characterize valence bond solid states in quantum spin chains [5],

$$Z_L = \exp \left(\frac{2}{L} \sum_{j=1}^L X_j^L \right) \langle S_{\text{odd};j}^z \rangle \quad (10)$$

The asymptotic form of Z_L is given by $Z_L = (1/L)^n$ [$O(1=L)$], where n is the number of valence bonds at boundary. A similar relation was also found in two-dimensional dimer systems [6]. Therefore, we obtain $Z_L > 0$ ($Z_L < 0$) for the RVB (AKLT) state. It has been proposed that Z_L defined by Eq. (10) is identified by the ground-state expectation value of the nonlinear term of the sine-Gordon model [4,5],

$$Z_L / \cos(\frac{p}{8} + \phi) \sim h_1 i^2 h_2 i^2 - h_1 i^2 h_2 i^2 \quad (11)$$

Thus it turns out that Z_L has information of two SOPs, characterizing the $Z_2 \times Z_2$ symmetry breaking in the order (disorder) fields by alternating its sign. In case that $S_{\text{odd};j}^z$ in Z_L is replaced by $S_{\text{even};j}^z$, the sign of Z_L is reversed [7] due to the relation of Eq. (6).

From the above argument, three different methods to identify the topologically distinct valence bond ground states have been shown to be equivalent. We have also performed numerical analysis for Eq. (2) using the exact diagonalization, and observed that phase transition point determined by these three methods show reasonable agreement. These three different methods are also applied to the analysis of phase transitions in the $S = 1$ spin ladder with bond alternation [10,11].

This work is partly supported by the Grant-in-Aid for scientific research of the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture of Japan.

References

- [1] I. A. A. I. A. Kennedy, E. H. Lieb and H. Tasaki, Phys. Rev. Lett. 59 (1987) 799.
- [2] Z. Weihong, V. Kotov and J. Oitmaa, Phys. Rev. B 57 (1998) 11439.
- [3] E. H. Kim, G. Fath, J. Solyom and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B 62 (2000) 14965, and references therein.
- [4] M. Nakamura and J. Voit, Phys. Rev. B 65 (2002) 153110.
- [5] M. Nakamura and S. Todo, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 077204.
- [6] N. E. Bonesteel, Phys. Rev. B 40 (1989) 8954.
- [7] M. Nakamura and S. Todo, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 145 (2002) 217.
- [8] A. Kitazawa, J. Phys. A 30 (1997) L285.
- [9] D. G. Shelton, A. A. Nersisyan and A. M. Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. B 53 (1996) 8521.
- [10] S. Yamamoto, T. Sakai and A. Koga, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 71 (2002) 1250.
- [11] M. Matsumoto, S. Todo, M. Nakamura, C. Yasuda and H. Takayama, Proceedings for LT 23 (cond-mat/0206386).