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#### Abstract

W e consider a 1D lattice gas model in which the atom $s$ interact via an in nite num ber of cluster interactions within contiguous atom ic chains plus the next nearest neighbor pairw ise interaction. A llinteractions are of arbitrary strength. A $n$ analyticalexpression for the size distribution ofatom ic chain lengths is obtained in the fram ew ork of the canonical ensem ble form alism. A pplication of the exact solution to the problem s of self-assem bly and self-organization is brie y discussed.


[^0]
## I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years there has been grow ing interest in studies ofm onatom ic chains obtained
 these studies is to obtain the so-called quantum $w$ ires for potential use in $m$ icroelectronic applications. In these experim ents one usually aims at obtaining wires of in nite length for subsequent use in the studies of the Luttinger liquid [-3్1] . In practioe, however, one frequently encounters the $m$ onatom ic wires of nite length. These $m$ ay be useful in m ore practical applications, such as the microelectronic circuitry [ī]. Such nite 1D clusters were
 $m$ onatom ic clusters [7]ī] in view of their potentialuse in $m$ agnetic $m$ em ory deviges. The latter application, how ever, will require the developm ent of the techniques of $m$ ass production of such ob jects. In this context it would be interesting to study the possibility of their selfassem bly and self-organization sim ilar to analogous processes in 2D heteroepitaxial system s $4 \overline{8}, 1,19]$.

In the present paper we consider an analytic solution for the cluster size distribution in the fram ew ork of a 1D lattioe gas m odel $w$ ith an arbitrary num ber of chister interactions w ithin contiguous atom ic chains plus the next nearest neighbor pair interaction. Such model can be justi ed in the fram ew ork of the FrenkelK ontorova m odel of strained epitaxy [12i] but also can be useful in other cases, e. $g .$, in $a b$ initio approaches, where the cluster interactions appear because of the $m$ any-body nature of the electron interactions which cannot be reduced to the pair interatom ic potential.

## II. THEMODEL

Let us consider a lattide gasm odelde ned by the function $E(1)$ describing the dependence of the energy of 1D atom ic clusters (or chains) on their length l. Such a m odel was already used for the description of the self-assem bly phenom ena in Ref. [1]Ō]. W e note, how ever, that in 2D this approach can be only phenom enological because the cluster size does not characterize a 2D stnucture uniquely. In contrast, in 1D the cluster is de ned unam biguously by its length and the function $E(1)$ is also uniquely de ned provided the chusters do not interact.

To apply standard tools of statistical physics we need to nd the $H$ am iltonian corresponding to our m odel. To this end we consider the follow ing trial expression
where $V_{1}$ are num erical coe cients to be tted to reproduce the chain energies $E$ ( 1 ) and $n_{i}$ the occupation numbers taking values 0 or 1 depending on whether site $i$ is em pty or is occupied by an atom. A ssum ing we have already tted $\mathrm{V}_{11} ; \mathrm{V}_{12} ;::: ; \mathrm{V}_{2}$ to the energies E (1) $\mathrm{E}_{11} ; \mathrm{E}_{12} ;::: ; \mathrm{E}_{2}$ let us consider the chain of length l. From Eq. (1]in) one nds

$$
E(1)=E\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \tag{2}
\end{array}\right)+V_{1}+V_{11}+V_{12}+:::+V_{2} ;
$$

where the V term s on the right hand side (r. h. s.) account for the interactions of the new ly added atom w ith the rest. Sim ilarly

$$
E\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \tag{3}
\end{array}\right)=E(1 \quad 2)+V_{11}+V_{12}+:::+V_{2}:
$$

Subtracting Eq. $(\underset{-1}{\overline{3}})$ from Eq. $(\underset{-1}{\overline{2}})$ we get

$$
E\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1
\end{array}\right) \quad E\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)=E\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right)+V_{1} \quad E\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \tag{4}
\end{array}\right)
$$

from where it follow s that the H am iltonian of ourm odel is Eq. ( $\overline{1}$ ) ) ith the coe cients given by the recursion relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}=E_{1} \quad 2 E_{11}+E_{12} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

initialized by $\mathrm{E}_{0}=\mathrm{E}_{1}=0$. For the system to be well de ned in the therm odynam ic lim it the chain energy $\mathrm{E}_{1}$ cannot grow quicker then linearly when 1 ! 1 . This $m$ eans that the the cluster interactions $V_{1}$ tend to zero at large l.because according to Eq. (ف্তי) they are equal to the discrete second derivative of $\mathrm{E}_{1} \mathrm{~W}$ ith respect to 1 .

In the above $m$ odel atom s interact only when they belong to the sam e contiguous chain, so that separate chains are not coupled to each other. To $m$ ake the $m$ odelm ore realistic we allow for the interchain coupling by adding to the H am ittonian (1, (1) the next nearest neighbor (NNN) pair interaction term

III．THECANONICALENSEMBLESOLUTION

W e consider a 1D lattige with a xed number of atom $\mathrm{s} N$ whidh can occupy $\mathrm{I}>\mathrm{N}$ lattige sites．O ur m ajor goal in this paper is to nd the equilibrium distribution of the atom ic chain sizes at nite tem perature $T$ in the $m$ odel de ned by $H$ am ittonian（ rst we w ill consider the above problem using a simpler $H$ am iltonian（ $\overline{1}$ ），i．e．，by neglecting the N N N interaction which w illbe accounted for later．W e w ill seek the canonicalensem ble solution of our problem by generalizing the approach of $R$ ef．［1］⿳亠二口1］．In this approach one rst have to com pute the energy of the system in term $s$ of cluster variables $m_{l} \mid$ the num ber of clusters of length land their energy $E(1)$ ．B ecause the $1!1$ lim it is not completely trivial， we calculate the con gurationalenergy by assum ing that all $V_{1} w$ ith $l>L$ are equalto zero． In this case the totalenergy can be calculated as

$$
E=\sum_{l=1}^{X_{1}^{2}} E_{1} m_{l}+E_{L}^{0} N_{>} \quad m_{>} X_{l=2}^{\mathrm{L}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \tag{7}
\end{array}\right) V_{l} ;
$$

where $E_{L}^{0}={ }_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{L}=2} \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ is the rst（discrete）derivative of $\mathrm{E}_{1}, \mathrm{~m}_{>}$is the total num ber of clusters oflength exceeding $L 2$ which below wew ill callthebig clusters，and $N_{>}=N \quad N \quad \underset{\mathrm{l}=1}{\mathrm{~L}} \mathrm{~N}_{1}^{2} \mathrm{~m}_{1}$ is the num ber of atom $s$ contained in the big clusters．The last two term $s$ on the r．h．s． of Eq．（ $\bar{T}_{1}$ ）can be veri ed by rst checking their correctness for $m>=1$ and then noting that cutting a big cluster into two ones am ounts to cutting 11 couplings of type $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ ．To com plete the calculation of the total free energy $\mathrm{F}_{\text {tot }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {tot }} \quad \mathrm{T} S$ it rem ains to com pute the entropy $S$ ．The num ber of atom ic con gurations can be expressed through the cluster variables as

In this equality the rst multiplier on the r．h．s．counts all inequivalent perm utations betw een the clusters with all big clusters being considered as equivalent while the second factor accounts for the num ber of $w$ ays to divide $\mathrm{N}_{>}$atom s into $\mathrm{m}>$ big clusters including their perm utations．W e nd this factor by observing that the division of $\mathrm{N}_{>}$atom s into clusters of sizes exceeding $L \quad 2$ is equivalent to dividing $n=N_{>} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}L & 2\end{array}\right) m$ atom $s$ into $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{m}>$ clusters． T he latter quantity was calculated in R ef．$\left[\overline{1} \bar{j}_{-1}\right]$ to be equal to the binom ial coe cient
provided $n \quad k \quad$ 1. O therw ise it is equal to zero. T he $m$ eaning of this form ula is sim ple. In a contiguous chain of $n$ atom sthere is $n \quad 1$ places to cut the chain into pieces. $k$ pieces can be obtained w ith $k \quad 1$ cuts. $H$ ence, the num ber of the possible cuts of $n$ atom $s$ into $k$ pieces is equal exactly to the above com binatorial coe cient. To com plete the calculation of the total num ber of con gurations we have to multiply at by the corresponding factor responsible for the con gurations of vacant sites [1] $[1]$. But before doing this we repair Eq. (in) by accounting for the om Itted NNN pair interaction. To this end we note that as long as the contiguous chains are conœmed, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{N}}$ interaction am ounts sim ply to renorm alization of $V_{3}$. Additionally this term introduces the interchain coupling betw een the chains separated by a single vacant site (a vacancy). Therefore, the term $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{NNN}} \mathrm{k}_{1}$, where $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ the number of vacancies, should be added to the r. h. s. of Eq. ( $\overline{(\bar{i})}$ ) (here and above we do not pay attention to the boundary conditions [1] the them odynam ic lim it). So when counting the con gurations of em pty sites we should separate the con gurations with di erent num bers of vacancies. This is achieved by using Eq. ( $\overline{\mathrm{G}})$ ) w ith the total num ber of em pty sites I N instead of N , the big clusters in this case should exceed L $2=1$. Denoting the total num ber of clusters as k from Eq. $(\overline{\bar{T}})$ we get

O ur nal expression for $S$ is obtained from Eqs. (佼) and $(\underline{\underline{9}} \mathbf{( \underline { 9 } )}$ w th the use of the Stirling formula as

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\left(\begin{array}{lllll}
1 & c) \\
l n & (1 & c) & (1 & 2 c+v) \\
l n & (1 & 2 c+v)
\end{array}\right. \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $=N=I$ is the total coverage, $\mathrm{q}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{l}}=\mathrm{I}$ is the concentration of clusters of length $\mathrm{l}, \mathrm{c}={ }^{\mathrm{P}}{ }_{1} \mathrm{Cl}_{1}$ the total cluster concentration, $\mathrm{v}=\mathrm{k}_{1}=\mathrm{I}$ the vacancy concentration, > $=$
${ }_{\mathrm{P}}^{\mathrm{L}=1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{l}_{1}=\mathrm{N}_{>}=\mathrm{I}$. T his expression for reduced entropy together w th the reduced energy obtained from Eq. ( $\bar{\eta}_{1}$ ) are su cient to obtain the reduced free energy as a function of the unknow $n$ quantities $f_{c} g, c_{c}$; and $v . M$ inim izing it $w$ ith respect to these variables we arrive
in the lim it L! 1 at the follow ing set of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{1}=\frac{c^{2}(1}{(c \quad 2 c+v)^{2}}  \tag{11}\\
& (c \quad v)^{2}(1
\end{align*} \quad \operatorname{cop} \frac{l E_{1}!}{k_{B} T}, ~ \begin{array}{ll}
(c \quad v)^{2}=v(1 & 2 c+v) \exp \frac{V_{\mathrm{NNN}}}{k_{B} T} \tag{12}
\end{array}
$$

To nd the above lim it it is necessary to know the asym ptotic behavior of $\mathrm{c}_{1}$ at large 1 . The lim it wastaken by assum ing the exponentialbehavior $C_{1} / \exp (1)$ which is consistent w ith the resulting equation ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$. W e note that Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{2})$ is of the second order in $v$, so one can exclude this variable from the rst equation to obtain a closed equation for $\mathrm{fc}_{1} g$. From the above set, how ever, it is easier to see that if the NNN interaction is negative, than $v!c$ as T! 0, i.e., the vacancy concentration becom es equal to the concentration of clusters which $m$ eans that the system becom es ordered: the chusters self-organize into chains separated by $m$ onovacancies. This is further con $m$ ed by the fact that the entropy Eq. ( $\overline{1} \overline{\underline{O}}$ ) tends to zero at zero tem perature provided $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{N}, ~ 0$ and the clusters are size calibrated. Indeed, in the lim it L! 1 only the rst two lines of Eq. (İO) survive

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{s}{k_{B}}=2 c \ln c{ }_{l=1}^{x_{1}^{2}} C_{1} \ln C_{1} \quad v \ln v \quad 2\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c & v
\end{array}\right) \ln \binom{c}{v} \\
& +\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & c) \\
\ln (1 & \text { c) } & (1 & 2 c+v) \\
l
\end{array}\right. \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

where $s=S=I$ is the entropy per site.
In connection $w$ th this expression it is worth noting that Eqs. ( be obtained in the them odynam ic lim it from the variation with respect to $c_{1}$ of the expression for the free energy density

$$
\mathrm{f}={\underset{l=1}{\mathrm{X}^{1}} \mathrm{C}_{1} E_{1} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \operatorname{Ts} \quad\left(_{l=1}^{\mathrm{X}_{1}} \mathrm{l}_{1} \quad\right) ; ~ ; ~}_{\text {l }}
$$

where is the Lagrange multiplier. This derivation, how ever, $m$ ay cause doubts in the case of clusters of sizes $l=O(\mathbb{N})$ when the term $s l_{1}=m_{1} O(\mathbb{N})=N$ in the above equation acquire discrete values and so are not suitable for the variational treatm ent. The derivation presented above is $m$ ore rigorous and besides can be modi ed to be applicable to nite system s [1]

At $T=0$ and $c=v$ the entropy density ( $1 \overline{3}$ ) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{s}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}}}=\mathrm{cln} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{l}=1}^{\mathrm{x}{ }^{2}} \mathrm{C}_{1} \ln \mathrm{C}_{1}: \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ hus, if the clusters at $T=0$ are size calibrated, i. e., if for som e value of $=l_{0} C_{l_{0}}=c$ while $c_{1}=0$ for all other $l$, then from the above equation it follow $s$ that $s=0$. For $V_{N N N} 0$ the entropy is positive even at $T=0 \mathrm{~m}$ eaning disordered state. T he issue of size calibration w ill be considered in $m$ ore detail in section $\bar{N}_{1}^{1}$.
IV. THE ISIN G M ODEL

To check Eqs. ( the 1D Ising $m$ odel. A $s$ is know $n$, it is equivalent to the lattice gas $m$ odel $w$ ith pair interatom ic interaction. This can be easily shown (see [14] Ch. II) by substituting $n_{i}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}1 & i\end{array}\right)=2$ $(i=1 \mid$ the Ising spin variable) into the LGM H am iltonian

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\frac{1}{2}_{i j}^{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ij}}=4\right)_{i j}+{ }_{j}^{\mathrm{X}}\left(\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ij}}=4\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2
\end{array}\right) \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

$T$ hus, the Ising $m$ odelw ith N N interaction can be solved in the canonicalensem ble form alism w th the use of our form ulas. In this section we w illobtain the appropriate form ulas in closed analytical form. A lso, we w ill com pare our results obtained in the canonical ensem ble w ith $m$ ore fam iliar results obtained in the grand canonical form alism via the transfer $m$ atrix $m$ ethod. The latter solution can be found e. $g$., in $\mathrm{Ch} . \mathrm{V}$ of Ref. [īin], where the 1D Ising


$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\text {IM }}=\left(V_{N N}=4\right)_{i}^{x} \quad i \text { i+1 } \quad \frac{1}{2} h_{i}^{X}(i+i+1) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

was considered. The reduced free energy calculated in the grand canonical ensem ble was found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F_{G C}}{\mathrm{Nk}_{\mathrm{B}} T}=\ln e^{\mathrm{K}=4} \cosh h+\frac{q}{e^{\mathrm{K}=2} \sinh ^{2} h+e^{\mathrm{K}=2}} \text {; } \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K=V_{N N}=k_{B} T$ and $h=h=k_{B} T$ is the extemal eld (divided by $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ ) which xes the $m$ agnetization $M$. The latter is connected to the coverage by the relation

$$
=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & M \tag{18}
\end{array}\right)=2
$$

and can be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}=@ \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{GC}}=@ \mathrm{~h}: \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain the canonical ensem ble free energy we have to perform the Legendre transform (see Eq. (ī̄̄̄))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}}{\mathrm{~N} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{GC}}+\mathrm{h} \frac{@ \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{GC}}}{@ \mathrm{~h}} ; \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the partial derivative is calculated from Eq. (19 $\overline{1})$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\frac{e^{K=4} \sinh h\left(1+e^{K=4} \cosh h=e^{q} \overline{e^{K=2} \sinh ^{2} h+e^{K=2}}\right)}{e^{K=4} \cosh h+\frac{e^{K=2} \sinh ^{2} h+e^{K=2}}{}}: \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

F inally, to obtain the LGM free energy $F$ in the canonical ensemble form alism we have to add the statistical average of the last term on the r.h.s. of Eq. (1]

$$
\frac{F}{\mathrm{Nk}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}=\frac{\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{C}}}{\mathrm{Nk}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}}+\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{K}=4
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2 \mathrm{M} \tag{22}
\end{array}\right):
$$

In our form alism the free energy $F$ for the NN LGM can be caloulated as follow s. A ccording to Eq. (12i) , in the case $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{NNN}}=0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=c^{2}=(1 \quad): \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this into Eq. (īīi) we get in the case of the Ising m odel

$$
c_{1}=\left(1 \quad \text { c) } \exp \left[\begin{array}{lll}
+ & K
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \tag{24}
\end{array}\right)\right] ;
$$

where $==k_{B}$ T. From Eq. $(\underline{2} \overline{4} \overline{4})$ we easily nd

$$
\begin{align*}
& 8 \\
& c=X_{1}^{X} c_{1}=(1 \quad c) e=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & e^{k}
\end{array}\right)  \tag{25}\\
& X_{1=1}^{l=1} c_{1}=c+c^{2} e^{K}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & e^{K}
\end{array}\right):
\end{align*}
$$

These two equations are su cient to express the unknown quantities $c$ and through the coverage and the interaction param eter $\mathrm{K} \|$ the independent variables in the canonical ensem ble. From Eq. (2̄5్-1) we have after som e algebra

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ln ^{2} \frac{1}{2} x+\frac{5}{\frac{1}{2} x^{2}+x(1 \quad x)^{5}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{x}=\binom{1}{e^{k}}$. These expressions can be used to obtain analytic expressions for all quantities of interest.

In the canonical ensemble case the free energy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T}^{"} \quad(1 \quad) \ln 1+\frac{e^{1}}{1 \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{K}}} \quad: \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we see，it is quite di erent from Eq．（2－2＂）．W e were unable to com pare the two solutions analytically and used the num ericalprocedure．By xing $h$ and $K$ we com puted $M$ w ith the
 and $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ was com puted from Eq．（2̄⿹勹巳）．B oth values coincided w ithin 13－15 signi cant digits depending on how singular the values of $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{N} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}$ are at the chosen values of $h$ and K ．

Thus we have solved the 1D NN LG M in the canonicalensem ble approach and expressed allquantities of interest in term $s$ of the atom ic density（or coverage）which is m ore natural and easier to $m$ easure quantity then the chem ical potential variable of the grand canonical form alism ．

V．THE SIZECALIBRATION

A ccording to Ref．［1］－10］，the system exhibits the size calibration of the atom ic clusters if the energy density per atom $E(l)=l$ has a minim um．The size calibration is easiest to see in the case $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{NNN}}=0$ when the distribution Eq．（İİ－1）takes the form

$$
C_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & \text { c) } \exp
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & E_{1}
\end{array}\right):\right.
$$

Except for the excluded volum e prefactor this expression coincides w ith the form ula proposed in $R$ ef．$[\underline{[10]}]$ ］where a detailed discussion of the size calibration issue is given and which fully
 show $n$ that in the fram ew ork of the FrenkelK ontorova model the e ective chain energy（in fact，the free energy $\mid$ see $R$ ef．［12－12］for details）has the form

$$
E_{1}=V_{N N} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{gathered}
\mathrm{X}  \tag{27}\\
\text { chains }
\end{gathered}\left(W_{1} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{1}\right)
$$

where the last two term s represent the relaxation free energy．The length dependence of the relaxation energy $W_{l}$ is govemed by the dim ensionless param eter $=k_{s}=k_{p}$ ，where the spring constants $k_{s}$ and $k_{p}$ are the second derivatives of the potentials whidh bind the atom to the substrate $\left(k_{s}\right)$ and of the pair interatom ic interaction $\left(k_{p}\right)$ ，respectively．In the


F IG . 1: T he length dependence of the reduced chain energy w ith the relaxation energy corresponding to $=10{ }^{5}$ and $V_{N N}=0: 25$ in units of $k_{p} f^{2}$ (see the text). T he inset show $s$ the location of the $m$ in im $u m$.
ilhustrative calculations below the energy unit was chosen to be equal to the energy of the unrelaxed $m$ is $t$ strain $k_{p} \mathrm{f}^{2}$, where the interatom ic spring constant $k_{p} w$ as de ned earlier and $f$ is the $m$ is $t$ as de ned in $R$ ef. 1 and so according to Eq. (5-1) essentially contributes only into the NN interaction.

In our calculations we chosen $=10^{5}$ to be quite $s m$ all in order to visualize all qualitative details of the self-assem bly behavior. P hysically this w ould correspond to very weak binding to the substrate. In the above energy units $V_{N N}$ was chosen to be equal to -0 25
 distribution for this case is shown in F ig. $\mathrm{I}_{\text {İ }}$. It qualitatively agrees w ith the M onte C arlo sim ulations of R ef. [ī1] (cf. Fig. 2 of that reference). T he m ost notable feature of the above calculations is the change of the position of the maxim um of the cluster size distribution w ith low ering tem perature| the feature not clearly understood from the theory of Ref. [1]O-1]. A though the entropic contribution into $E_{1}$ is rather $s m$ all due to the $s m$ all tem peratures considered, the shallow ness of the $m$ inim um in $E(l)=l m$ akes it possible that the above shift of the $m$ axim $u m$ is due to the shift in the position of the $m$ inim $u m$ in $E_{1}=W W_{1} \quad T S_{1} W$ ith low ering tem perature. To check this possibility we repeated calculations w ith $E_{1}$ replaced by tem perature independent part $W_{1}$. T he results of this calculation is show in $F$ ig. 1 only qualitative di erence w ith F ig. $\underline{-1}$ is is that the bim odal distribution is never observed in the case of $T$-independent case. P resum ably, this is due to the 1D character of our m odel, because in 2D case where also T -independent interactions were used we found a strongly


FIG . 2: Equilibrium distribution of atom ic chain lengths at the coverage $=0: 1$ corresponding to (from left to right) $1=k_{B} T=20,22,30$, and 60 (in units of $k_{p} f^{2}$ ). Thepair interaction $V_{N N}=0: 25$ and $=10{ }^{5}$ (for explanation of notation see the text).


FIG . 3: The sam e as in Fig. ${ }_{2}$ except that the entropic part of $E_{1}$ is set to zero and the tem peratures are (from left to right) $1=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{T}=12.5,13,15,20$, and 60
bim odal distribution [ī5].
VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper we considered an analytical solution of a 1D lattice gas model with an arbitrary num ber of cluster interatom ic interactions within contiguous atom ic chains. We illustrated the $m$ odel by applying it to the description of the equilibrium distribution of atom ic clusters in strained epitaxy in the therm odynam ic lim it. H ow ever, the $m$ odel can be used also in other cases when the multiatom interactions are of the above type, as well
as applied to nite system $s$, like those discussed in Refs. [1]īn con m s the observation m ade in Ref. [ī1] in the fram ew ork of a phenom enological approach that the $m$ axim um in the equilibrium distribution of the chuster sizes $m$ oves to higher values w ith lowering tem perature. This can lead to an interesting kinetic phenom enon consisting in the saturated ripening when the system is quenched to low er tem perature.
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