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#### Abstract

D ynam ical behavior of steady granular ow is investigated num erically in the inelastic hard sphere lim it of the soft sphere model. We nd distinctively di erent lim iting behavions for the tw o ow regim es, ie., the collisional ow and the frictional ow. In the collisional ow, the hard sphere lim it is straightforw ard; the num ber of collisions per particle per unit time converges to a nite value and the total contact tim efraction with other particles goes to zero. For the frictional ow, how ever, we dem onstrate that the collision rate diverges as the pow er of the particle sti ness so that the tim e fraction of the multiple contacts rem ains nite even in the hard sphere lim it although the contact tim e fraction for the binary collisions tends to zero.


PACS num bers: 45.70 Mg g, 45.50 .-j
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## I．INTRODUCTION

The interactions between grains in ow ing granular material are roughly classi ed into tw o types；the im pulsive contact（collision）w th the $m$ om entum exchange and the sustained contact w ith the transm ission of forces［i］ 1 ］．The ow in which the im pulsive contact is dom inant is called collisional ow，while the ow where the sustained contact dom inates is called frictional ow．These two types of ow may be found in a sim ple geom etry such as granular ow on a slope．The grains stay at rest when the inclination angle is too sm all．If the inclination exceeds a critical angle，the $m$ aterial starts ow ing frictionally at rst．The ow becom es collisional when the inclination is large enough．

As for the collisional ow of granular $m$ aterial，its dynam ics has some analogy with molecular uid，and the kinetic theories based on inelastic binary collisions of particles hold to som e extent molecular uid，and we have little understanding on it．M any m odels have been proposed for dense granular ow s：For exam ple，som e models take into account the e ect of non－ local force transm ission which com es from the network of contacting grains $\left.{ }_{1 /-1}^{1}\right]$ ．In the experim ents，how ever，it is di cult to specify the nature of sustained contact in the dense ow．

For the sim ulations of granular dynam ics，the follow ing tw o m odels have been com m only used，i．e．the inelastic hard sphere model and the soft sphere m odel．

In the inelastic hard sphere $m$ odel，the particles are rigid and the collisions are thus instantaneous，therefore its dynam ics can be de ned through a few param eters that charac－ terize a binary collision because there are no many－body collisions［īi］．The model is sim ple and there are very e cient algorithm sto sm ulate it［5］，but it describes basically only the collisional ow［大⿹丁口欠］because the sustained contact is not allowed．It is also known that the
 of collisions take place am ong a sm all num ber of particles in a nite tim $e$ ，thus the dynam ics cannot be continued beyond that point w ithout additional assum ption．

O $n$ the other hand，in the soft sphere $m$ odel，that is som etim es called the discrete elem ent
 the dynam ics is de ned through the foroes acting on the colliding particles．C ollision takes nite tim e，and not only binary collision but also $m$ any－body collision and sustained contact
betw een particles are possible, therefore, both the collisional and the frictional ow s m ay realize in the $m$ odel. $M$ any researches have been done on granular ow dow $n$ a slope using the D EM in both the collisional regim e and the frictional regim e $[\overline{1} \overline{2} r, 1 \overline{1} 3,1 \overline{1} \overline{1}]$ ]

In actual sim ulations, how ever, the sti ness constants used in the soft sphere m odel are usually m uch sm aller than the one appropriate for realm aterial such as steel or glass ball [1]5], because of num erical di culty. T herefore, som e part of sustained contact in sim ulations $m$ ay be decom posed into binary collisions if sti er particles are used. It is also not clear that the frictional force in the sustained contact $m$ ay be described by the sam e foroes w ith the one suitable for the collisional events.

It is, therefore, im portant to exam ine how the system behaviorm ay change as the sti ness constant increases in the soft sphere model, or in other words, how the soft sphere m odel converges to the inelastic hard sphere model in the in nite sti ness lim it. In this paper, we present the results of num erical sim ulations of the granular ow using the soft sphere m odel, and investigate the system behavior when we change the sti ness constant system atically w ith keeping the resulting restitution constant unchanged.

In Sec. II, we brie y sum $m$ arize an inelastic hard sphere $m$ odel that is used for collisional granular ow s. Then we introduce a sim ple soft sphere model for granular material and discuss how we take the hard sphere lim it keeping the restitution coe cient constant. In Sec. IIII, the sim ulation results are shown. At rst, the sti ness dependence of the steady state of a single particle rolling down a slope is presented to see how the inelastic collapse appears in the hard sphere lim it. The collisional ow and the frictional ow are exam ined. W e nd that the interactions betw een particles in the collisional ow sm oothly converge to binary collisions of inelastic hard spheres, while those in frictional ow show s non-trivial behavior; the behavior is also di erent from the \inelastic collapse" in the single particle system. T he sum $m$ ary and the discussion are given in Sec. $\overline{I N T} \bar{V} \cdot$

## II. HARD SPHERE M ODELAND SOFT SPHEREMODEL

In this section, we introduce the hard sphere m odeland the soft sphere m odelfor granular $m$ aterial. For sim plicity, we consider a two dim ensional system and grains are modeled by two dim ensional disks.

A fter show ing the correspondence of param eters in the soft sphere $m$ odel to those of the
hard sphere $m$ odel, we brie y sum $m$ arize the phenom enon called inelastic collapse, which is the singular behavior in the inelastic hard sphere system. At the last part of this section, we discuss the lim iting behavior of the soft sphere $m$ odel that corresponds to the inelastic collapse of the hard sphere $m$ odel.
A. Inelastic hard sphere model

In hard sphere models, collisions between particles or between a particle and a wall are considered to happen instantaneously, and itsdynam ics is de ned by thebinary collision rule. W e consider the collision rule in term s of the norm al and tangential restitution coe cients


Let us consider a collision between the two spheres $i$ and $j$ of the diam eters $i$ and $j$ and the $m$ asses $m_{i}$ and $m_{j}$ at the contact positions $r_{i}$ and $r_{j}$, respectively. P rior to the collision, the disks have velocities $C_{i}$ and $C_{j}$ and angular velocities $!_{i}$ and $!_{j}$. Then the relative velocity of the point of contact $v_{i j}$ is given by

$$
\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}} & \mathrm{~g} \tag{1}
\end{array}\right)+\mathrm{n} \quad \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}!_{\mathrm{i}}+\frac{\mathrm{j}}{2}!_{j} ;
$$

where the nom al vector $n=r_{i j}=\dot{j}_{i j} j=\left(n_{x} ; n_{y} ; 0\right)$ with $r_{i j}=r_{i} \quad r_{j}$.
If $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{ij}}^{0}$ denotes the post-collisional relative velocity, the collision rule for nom al direction is
where $e$ is the nom al restitution coe cient w th 0 e 1.
In the case w ithout sliding, the collision rule in the tangential direction is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right)=\quad\left(\mathrm{n} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{ij}}\right) ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where is the tangential restitution coe cient w ith 1

1. $T$ he sliding is taken into account so that the tangential com ponent of im pulse does not exceed in $J j w$ th the C oulomb friction coe cient, where $J$ is the $m$ om entum change of the particle $i$ through the collision. $N$ am ely, when the $m$ om entum change of the particle $i$ through the collision rules (2-2) and (3) is $J^{\text {nos }}$, then ( $n \quad V_{i j}^{\rho}$ ) is determ ined so that

$$
\text { jn } \quad J j=m \text { in }\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\text { in } & J j \text { jin } & \left.\operatorname{ngs}^{s} j\right) \tag{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

is satis ed. T he detailed description of the rule is given in Refs. ${ }^{[6]}$,

## B . Soft sphere m odel: D EM

 granular m aterials. In the present work, we adopt the two dim ensional one w the the linear elastic force and dissipation. W hen the tw o disks iand $j$ at positions $r_{i}$ and $r_{j} w$ ith velocities $C_{i}$ and $c_{j}$ and angular velocities ! $i_{i}$ and ! ${ }_{j}$ are in contact, the foroe acting on the particle $i$ from the particle $j$ is calculated as follow $s$ : T he norm al velocity $v_{n}$, the tangential velocity $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{t}}$, and the tangential displacem ent $u_{t}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}=\mathrm{n} & \mathrm{i} \mathrm{Y}^{\prime} ; \quad \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{t} \quad \mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{Y}} ; \\
& \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{t}}
\end{array}  \tag{5}\\
& u_{t}=v_{t} d t_{i} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v_{i j}$ is given in Eq. (1민), and $t=\left(n_{y} ; n_{x} ; 0\right)$. H ere, $t_{0}$ is the tim ew hen the two particles start to be in contact. Then the norm alforce $F_{i j}^{n}$ and the tangential force $F_{i j}^{t}$ acting on the particle $i$ from the particle $j$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{i j}^{n}=2 M k_{n} \frac{i+j^{2}}{2} \quad \dot{r}_{i j} j \quad 2 M{ }_{n} V_{n} ;  \tag{7}\\
& F_{i j}^{t}=m \text { in }\left(h_{t} \dot{j} ; F_{n} j\right) \operatorname{sign}\left(h_{t}\right) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

w ith

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{t}}=\quad 2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad 2 \mathrm{M}{ }_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{t}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{n}$ and $k_{t}$ are the elastic constants, $n$ and $t$ are the dam ping param eters, is the C oulom b friction coe cient for sliding, and $M=m_{i} m_{j}=\left(m_{i}+m_{j}\right)$ is the reduced $m$ ass.
C. H ard sphere lim it of soft sphere m odel

W hen we adopt the linear dependence of the elastic and viscous force on the overlap and the norm al relative velocity as in Eq. ( $\bar{T}_{1}$ ), we can calculate the duration of contact $c$ and the restitution coe cient for a norm albinary collision e [7] $\overline{7}]$; they are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=P \overline{2 k_{n} \quad{ }_{n}^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
e=\exp \quad P \frac{\mathrm{n}}{2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}{\underset{\mathrm{n}}{2}}_{2}} ;
$$

respectively.
N eglecting the sliding friction and the variation of n during the contact, the half period of the oscillation in the tangential displacem ent $u_{t}$ is estim ated as [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=P \overline{6 k_{t} \quad 9_{t}^{2}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the two dim ensional disks w ith the $m$ om ent of inertia $I_{i}=m_{i}{ }_{i}^{2}=8$. Here we choose the param eters $k_{t}$ and ${ }_{t}$ so that the relation $s={ }_{c}$, or,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p} \overline{6 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad 9_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}}=\mathrm{p} \overline{2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad{ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{2}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

is satis ed. U nder this condition, the tangential restitution coe cient is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\exp \left(3 t_{c}\right): \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations ( $(\underline{1} \bar{O})$ and ( $\overline{1} \overline{1} \overline{1})$ ) can be rew ritten as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{n}={\frac{2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}}{(=\ln e)^{2}+1}}^{1=2} ;  \tag{15}\\
& \mathrm{c}={\frac{2+(\ln e)^{2}}{2 \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}}}^{1=2}: \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$


 and the duration tim ef oollision c goes to zero as Eq. (1َ(i)).

D . Inelastic collapse

It is well known that the inelastic hard sphere system can undergo the inelastic collapse, i.e., the phenom enon where in nite collisions take place w thin a nite period of tim e . The sim plest exam ple is the vertical bouncing motion of a ball under gravity, but it has been shown that the inelastic collapse also occurs in higher dim ensional system s w ithout gravity

The inelastic collapse never occurs in the soft sphere $m$ odelbecause of the nite length of the collision time. H ow ever, it is worth to discuss what will happen in the soft sphere m odel in the sim ple situation where the inelastic collapse occurs in the hard sphere m odel. First, let us consider the vertical bouncing $m$ otion of a soft ball under gravity. W e assum e
the sam e force law between the ball and the oor as in Eqs. (7iי) and (佼), except that we replace 2M by the $m$ ass of the ball. W hile the ball and the oor are in contact, the equation ofm otion for the overlap of the ball and the oor, $z$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{z}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{z}+\mathrm{n} \underline{z}=\mathrm{g} ; \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$w$ ith the acceleration of gravity $g$, where the dots represent the tim e derivatives. O ne can show that, if the im pact velocity $v_{i}$ is below a critical value $v_{c}$, which is $O\left(1=p \overline{k_{n}}\right.$ ) for large enough $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}$, the ball stays in contact w th the oor; for $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{c}}$, the restitution coe cient $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{w}}$ can be considered as a constant. Therefore, for a given initial im pact velocity $\mathrm{v}_{0}$, the num ber of necessary collisions $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}}$ for the ball to stay in contact w ith the oor is roughly estim ated by the condition $e_{w}^{n_{c}} v_{0} \quad V_{r}$, nam ely, $n_{c} \quad \ln \left(t \in=v_{0}\right)=\ln e_{w}$. Because $v_{c} \quad 1 \stackrel{p}{=} \overline{k_{n}}$ for large $k_{n}, n_{c}$ behaves as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{c}} / \ln \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}+\text { const. } \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the hard sphere lim it. Thus $n_{c}$ diverges logarithm ically when $k_{n}!1$, which corresponds to the inelastic collapse due to gravity.

In the case w ithout gravity, the inelastic collapse results in the \m any-body collision" in the soft sphere model. For exam ple, we consider three soft spheres in one dim ension and assum e that the binary collision can be approxim ated by the collision law with a constant restitution coe cient. In the situation where a particle goes badk and forth betw een the two particles approaching each other, the inelastic collapse $m$ ay take place in the hard sphere $m$ odel [ele $]$; three balls lose relative velocity com pletely in the lim it of in nite collisions. In the soft sphere $m$ odel, how ever, when the interval betw een tw o collisions becom es sm aller than the duration of contact $c$, three balls are in contact at the sam e tim e, nam ely, the three body collision occurs; then they will y apart. The num ber of collisions before the three body collision willalso diverge logarithm ically in the hard sphere lim it because c/ $1=\frac{\mathrm{p}}{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}}$. O $n$ the other hand, one should note that a $m$ any-body collision in the soft sphere $m$ odel does not necessarily result in the inelastic collapse in the hard sphere lim it. A ctually, in $m$ ost of the cases, a m any-body collision will be decom posed into a set ofbinary collisions in the hard sphere lim it.
III. SIM ULATION RESULTS

In this section, we investigate the sti ness dependence of granular $m$ aterial on a slope in the follow ing three situations: (i) a single particle rolling down a slope, (ii) the dibute collisional ow, and (iii) the dense frictional ow. W e focus on the steady state in each situation and com pare the sim ulation data $w$ th changing $k_{n}$ system atically. The particles arem onodisperse in (ii), while they are polydisperse in (iii) in order to avoid crystallization.

In the sim ulations, the param eters have been chosen to give $e==0: 7,=0: 5$ in the hard sphere lim it. A llvalues are non-dim ensionalized by the length unit , them ass unitm, and the tim e unit ${ }^{P}=g$. Here, is the diam eter of the largest particle in the system and $m$ is the $m$ ass of that particle. T he second order A dam $s B$ ashforth $m$ ethod and the trapezoidal rule are used to integrate the equations for the velocity and the position, respectively [īiol]. $N$ ote that the tim e step for integration, $d t$, needs to be adjusted as c becom es sm aller. All the data presented in the paper are results w ith $\mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{m}$ in $\left(c=100 ; 10^{4}\right)$. W e have con m ed that the results do not change for dt $\quad c=100$ by calculating also w ith $\mathrm{dt}=\quad c=50$ and $d t=c=200$ in the case of the single particle.

A . A single particle rolling dow $n$ a bum py slope

Let us rst consider a single particle rolling down a bum py slope. It is known that the particle show $s$ the steady $m$ otion for a certain range of the inclination angle [1]g]. In the sim ulations, we m ake the boundary rough by attaching the sam e particles $w$ th the rolling one to the slope w ith the spacing 0:002 (see Fig. 䛔). For the chosen param eters w ith the norm al sti ness $k_{n}=2^{1} \quad 10^{5}$, the range of for $w$ hich steady $m$ otion is realized is
 the tim e evolution of the velocity in the $y$ direction, $v_{y}, w$ ith $k_{n}=2^{1} 1 \Phi^{(s o l i d}$ line) and $k_{n}=2^{17} \quad 1 \sigma^{5}$ (dashed line). It is show $n$ that $v_{y}$ behaves periodically; this period ( $t$ 4) corresponds to the period for the particle to get past one particle at the oor. The period hardly depends on the sti ness.

In F ig. ${ }_{1-1}^{-1}(\mathrm{a})$, the $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}$ dependence of the tim e averaged kinetic energy E is show n . It is show $n$ that the energy is an increasing function of $1=k_{n}$ in the softer region ( $1=k_{n}$ \& $10^{7}$ ), but no system atic $1=k_{n}$ dependence of $E$ exists for $1=k_{n} \cdot 10^{7}$. The average collision
rate (num ber of collisions per unit tim e) betw een the slope and the particle , $N_{w}$, shows
 nd that the average contact tim e fraction $w$ ith the slope, $t_{w}$, is a decreasing function of $k_{n}$ in the soft region, but it seem $s$ to approach a constant value for large enough $k_{n}$.

The logarithm ic $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}$ dependences of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{w}}$ and the constant $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}$ in large $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}$ region agree w ith our previous analysis of \inelastic collapse under gravity" in the soft sphere m odel in Section 'İİ.'. The m otion of the particle in one period is as follow s; when the particle com es to a bum p (a particle attached to the slope), the particle jum ps up, bounces on the bum pm any tim es, loses the relative velocity, and nally rolls down keeping in contact w th the bum p . Therefore, the contact tim e fraction has a nite value even in the hard sphere lim it due to the rolling $m$ otion at the last part. $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{w}}$ increases logarithm ically in the hard sphere lim it as has been expected from Eq. (İō).

## B . Collisional ow

N ext we consider the steady state of the collisional ow. The system considered is shown in $F$ ig. 'in'. The particles are $m$ onodisperse, and the slope is $m$ ade rough as in the single particle case. The periodic boundary condition is adopted in the ow direction (x direction). The length of the slope is $L=50: 1$ and the num ber of the particles attached to the slope is 50 . The num ber of ow ing particles is also 50 , nam ely, the num ber of the particles per unit length along the slope is about 1. The inclination angle is set to be sin $=0: 45$. The initialcon guration of particles is the row of 50 particles at rest w ith regular spacing in the $x$-direction, but each particle is at random height in the $y$-direction. A fter a short initial transient, if the totalkinetic energy uctuates around a certain value, we consider it as the steady ow. A ll the data were taken in this regim e and averaged over the tim e period of 1500.

A s can be seen in the snapshot, F ig. ${ }_{-}{ }_{-1}$, , the particles bounce and the num ber of particles in contact is very sm all. In Fig. 'S.' (a), the averaged kinetic energy per one particle, E, is shown. Though E becom es larger as the particles becom e softer in $1=k_{n} \& 10^{5}$, the system atic dependence of E on $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}$ disappears for large enough $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}\left(1=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}} \cdot 10^{6}\right)$ [2]01]. The $y$-dependence of the average num ber density and the ow speed in this region are also show $n$ in Fig. ${ }_{-1} \bar{\sigma}_{1}(\mathrm{a})$ and (b).

In F ig. ' ${ }_{-1}$ ' (b), the average collision rates betw een particles, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ ( lled circles), and betw een particles and the slope, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{w}}$ (open circles), per particle are plotted vs $1=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}$. B oth of them stay roughly constant in the region where E is alm ost constant, $1=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}} .10^{6} . \mathrm{On}$ the other hand, the average tim e fractions during which a particle is in contact w th other particles, $t_{c}$ (lled circles), and in contact $w$ ith the slope, $t_{w}$ (open circles), decrease system atically as $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}$ increases as shown in Fig. 点 (c). B oth the solid and the dashed lines are proportional to
 tim e fractions $t_{c}$ and $t_{w}$ converge to $N_{c} c_{c}$ and $N_{w}{ }_{w}$ ( $w$ is the duration of norm alcollision of a particle and the oor $\left.\left[\underline{[1} \overline{1}_{1}\right]\right)$, respectively, in the hard sphere lim it. The di erences $t_{c} \quad N_{c} c$ and $t_{w} \quad N_{w} w$ are plotted in $F$ ig. 'is (d) to show that they go to zero very rapidly upon increasing $k_{n}$. This $m$ eans that the interactions of the soft sphere $m$ odel in the collisional ow regim e converge to those of the inelastic hard sphere $m$ odelw ith binary collisions.

Ifw e look carefully, how ever, in the large $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}$ region in F ig. '덩 (c), we can see slight deviation of $t_{w}$ from the dashed lines; it decreases slow er than $1=\frac{p}{k_{n}}$. This tendency $m$ ay indicate that $t_{w}$ rem ains nite in the hard sphere lim it: A ctually, in the event-driven sim ulation of the hard sphere m odel, we alw ays found the inelastic collapse as long as the restitution constant betw een a particle and the oor is less than 1 . This suggests that $N_{w}$ should diverge and $t_{w}$ should rem ain nite in the hard sphere lim it because of the inelastic collapse due to gravity. The slight deviation of $t_{w}$ from the dashed line in $F$ ig. 'I-1 (c) m ay be a sym ptom of it, while we cannot see the logarithm ic divergence in $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{w}}$.

## C. Frictional ow

N ow we study the steady state of the dense frictional ow . W e adopted the at boundary w ith slope length $L=10: 02$ and im posed the periodic boundary condition in the ow direction. In order to avoid crystallization, we used the polydisperse particles $w$ th the uniform distribution of diam eter from $0: 8$ to $1: 0$. The num ber of particles in the system is 100. The inclination angle is set to be $\sin =0: 20$ and the initial condition is given in the sim ilar way w th the collisional ow. The ten row s of ten particles w ith regular spacing in the $x$-direction are at rest with large enough spacings between row $s$ in the $y$-direction so that particles do not overlap; only the particles in the top row are at random heights in $y$-direction.

It tums out that the steady state is not unique and uctuation is large. Depending on the initialconditions, the particles $m$ ay ow with a di erent value of average kinetic energy, or in som e cases, the whole system stops. W e presum e that this is mainly because the system is not large enough: G rains form a layer structure as in Fig. in, and the ow velocity strongly depends on the con guration of particles in the bottom layer. T he tim e evolutions of the kinetic energy per particle, $E$ ( $t$ ), of three sam ples with di erent con gurations in the bottom layer are shown in F ig ${ }_{1} \mathrm{~B}$ stops after running w ith lower energy for some time. Sam ple 3 show s larger uctuation w ith higher energy; the sudden change of $E(t)$ in sam ple 3 results from the change in the con guration of the bottom layer. This large uctuation should be averaged out ifwe could sim ulate large enough system for long enough tim $e$, but the am ount of com putation is too large especially for the system w ith sti particles.

In order to $m$ ake $m$ eaningful com parison out of these largely uctuating data $w$ ith $a$ variety ofbehaviors, we select sim ulation sequences that com e from sim ilar ow ing behaviors in the follow ing way. First, we de ne the steady part of the tim e sequence in each of the sam ples as the part where the width of the energy uctuations is sm aller than 0.45 over the tim e period longer than 500. Second, we exchude the data whose averaged energy is out of the range $[0.4,0.8]\left[\begin{array}{ll}{[2 \overline{2}} & 1\end{array}\right]$.
$M$ any of the excluded data by this criterion show quite di erent ow ing behavions. W e use only the data selected from this criterion to calculate tim e averages of physical quantities.

In the snapshot of the frictional ow, Fig. in in m ost of the particles seem to be in contact w ith each other and form a layer structure. The $y$-dependence of the average density and the ow speed are show n in Figs. ${\underset{-1}{\prime}}_{1}^{-1}(a)$ and (b), respectively. W e can see that the relative $m$ otion between layers is largest at the bottom and very sm all in the bulk. The sti ness dependence of the average kinetic energy $E$ is show $n$ in $F$ ig. $1 \overline{1} \overline{-1}(a)$; the data are scattered due to the non-uniqueness of the steady state.

Nevertheless, the average collision rates show system atic dependence as shown in F ig. ' $1 \overline{1}=-1(b)$. Here, the de nition of $N_{c}\left(t_{c}\right)$ is the sam e as that for the collisional ow, nam ely, it is the average collision rate (contact time fraction) between particles per particle in the system. On the other hand, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{w}}\left(\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}\right)$ is de ned di erently; it is the collision rate (the contact tim e fraction) betw een the particles and the slope per particle in the bottom layer, because other particles never touch the slope.

In $F$ ig. ${ }_{1}^{10} 0$ rapidly as $k_{n}$ becom es larger: they diverge as a power of $k_{n}$. This is quite di erent from the behavior in the collisional ow in which they are alm ost constant. Furtherm ore, this increase is faster than the logarithm ic divergence found in the single particle case (see Fig. l-3, (b)).
$T$ he contact tim e fractions, $t_{c}$ (lled circles) and $t_{w}$ (open circles), decrease as show $n$ in Fig. i' $10-1$ (c) . The $m$ ain reason why $t_{c}$ and $t_{w}$ decrease is that ${ }_{c}$ and ${ }_{w}$ decrease faster than $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{w}}$, nam ely, the contact time fractions estim ated from the duration of a binary collision, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c} \mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{w}}{ }_{\mathrm{w}}$, continue to decrease. A ctually, the decrease of the contact time fraction and the increase of the collision rate are natural because a longer multiple contact breaks up into shorter binary contacts as the particles becom e sti er.
$T$ hese contact time fractions, $t_{c}$ and $t_{w}$, how ever, do not converge to $N_{c} c$ and $N_{w} w$, respectively. A s shown in $F$ ig. ,ī1 (d), $t_{c} \quad N_{c} c$ (lled circles) and $t_{w} \quad N_{w} w$ (open circles) are very large as com pared to those in the collisional ow , even in the sti est region. The com parison of this w the rapid convergence in the collisional ow regime ( $F$ ig. ${ }^{15}$ (d)) indicates that there rem ains nite multiple contact tim e in the hard sphere lim it and the interaction in the frictional ow can never be considered as many, or even in nite, instantaneous binary collisions. The particles experience the lasting multiple contact even in the hard sphere lim it.

IV . SUM M ARYAND D ISCUSSION

T he inelastic hard sphere lim it of granular ow has been investigated num erically in the steady states of (i) a single particle rolling dow $n$ the slope, (ii) the dilute collisional ow, and (iii) the dense frictional ow. In (i), it has been found that the \inelastic collapse" between the particle and the slope occurs in the hard sphere lim it due to gravity, and the contact time fraction between the particle and the slope rem ains nite. In (ii), the collision rates $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{w}}$ are alm ost constant when particles are sti enough. The contact time fraction betw een particles $t_{c}$ approaches zero as $k_{n}$ increase in the sam e $m$ anner $w$ ith the duration of contact for binary collision, $c$. This $m$ eans that the interaction between particles in the hard sphere lim it can be expressed by binary collisions in the inelastic hard sphere $m$ odel. On the other hand, the decrease in $t_{w}$ is slightly slower than $1={ }^{p} \overline{k_{n}}$ in the harder region,
which can be a sign of the inelastic collapse betw een a particle and the slope.
In the case of the frictional ow (iii), the situation is not simple: A though the contact tim e fractions $t_{c}$ and $t_{w}$ decrease upon increasing $k_{n}$, the collision rates $N_{c}$ and $N_{w}$ increase as a power of $k_{n}$, which is faster than the logarithm ic divergence found in the single particle case (i). The origin of this power divergence of collision rate does not seem to be sim ple because it is a property of the steady state, not a particular dynam ical trajectory of the system .

The multiple contact tim e fraction $m$ ay be estim ated by $t_{C} \quad N_{c} c$ and $t_{w} \quad N_{w}$ w. They were found to be quite large com pared to those for the collisional ow, and seem sto rem ain nite even in the in nite sti ness lim it: This suggests that the interaction in the frictional ow can never be considered as in nite num ber ofbinary collisions. Even in the hard sphere lim it, particles experience the lasting $m$ ultiple contact.

T he non-negligible fraction ofm ultiple contact in the hard sphere lim it im plies the existence of the netw ork of contacting grains even though they are ow ing. Them odels ofdense ow s should consider the e ect of this lasting contacts.

H ere we have investigated only the two cases, i.e., the collisional ow and the frictional ow. The system should undergo the transition between the two ows if we change continuously the param eters such as the inclination angle, the roughness of the slope, or the density of particles. It is interesting to investigate how the transition occurs by looking at the quantities $m$ easured in this paper, because their sti ness dependences are qualitatively di erent in the two ows.
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F IG . 1: A snapshot of a single ball rolling dow $n$ a rough slope.


FIG.2: Tim e evolution of $v_{y}$ w th $k_{n}=2^{1} \quad 10^{5}$ (solid line) and $2^{17} 10^{5}$ (dashed line).


FIG. 3: The sti ness dependence of (a) the tim e averaged kinetic energy of the particle $E$, (b) the collision rate betw een the slope and the particle $N_{w}$, and (c) the contact tim e fraction betw een the slope and the particle $t_{w}$.


F IG . 4: A snapshot of the dihute collisional ow .


F IG . 5: The sti ness dependences of (a) the averaged kinetic energy per one particle E , (b) the averaged collision rates betw een particles $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ (lled circles) and between particles and the oor $N_{w}$ (open circles), (c) the averaged contact tim e fractions betw een particles $t_{c}$ (lled circles) and betw een particles and the oort ${ }_{w}$ (open circles), (d) the estim ated multiple contact tim e fractions, $t_{c} \quad N_{c} c$ (lled circles) and $t_{w} \quad N_{w} w$ (open circles). The solid and the dashed lines in (c) are proportional to $1=\frac{p}{k_{n}}$.


FIG. 6: The num ber density pro le (a) and the velocity pro le (b) of the collisional ow .


FIG.7: A snapshot of the dense frictional ow.


FIG. 8: Time evolution of the kinetic energy per particle of three sam ples (sam ple 1: red solid line, sam ple 2: green dashed line, sam ple 3: blue dotted line). (b) is the magni cation of (a). Sample 1 w th $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}=2^{1} 10^{5}$ show steady behavior w thin the threshold. Sam ple 2 and 3 are w ith $k_{n}=2^{7} 10^{5}$. Sam ple 2 show steady behavior for a while but nally stops. E ( t ) of sam ple 3 shoots up suddenly at t 1300 when one of the particles in the bottom layer runs on other particles.


FIG.9: The density (a) and velocity (b) pro les of the frictional ow .


F IG .10: The sti ness dependences of (a) the averaged kinetic energy E, (b) the averaged collision rates between particles $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ (lled circles) and between particles and the oor $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{w}}$ (open circles), (c) the averaged contact tim e fractions betw een particles $t_{c}$ ( lled circles) and betw een particles and the oor $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}}$ (open circles), and (d) the estim ated multiple contact timefractions, $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{C}} \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{c}$ (lled circles) and $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{w}} \quad \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{w}$ (open circles). In (b) the solid line proportional to $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{n}}^{0: 4}$ is show for the guide of the eyes.
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