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W e propose a new interpretation of the spontaneous tim e reversal sym $m$ etry breaking (TRSB) observed recently in a pseudogap state of cuprates ( $K$ am insky et al.). It is show n that the TRSB dichroism in ARPES signalm ay be connected with the local spin spiral structures in system. It $m$ ay be caused by a spin-orbit interaction and by spin polarization ofelectrons at various sections of Ferm i surface in spiral state. A ngular dependence of dichroism signal is studied in schem atic K K R approxim ation. Tests are proposed to check an existence of the local spiral spin structure and to distinguish it from the TRSB state $w$ ith $m$ icro-currents constructed by Varm a.
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The nature of a pseudogap (PS) state of high $-T_{c}$ cuprates in underdoped (UD) region rem ains the intrigu-
 photoem ission (ARPES) w th circularly polarized light (CPL), K am insky et al. $\underline{1}_{1}^{-1}$ ] reveal new property of the pseudogap state of $\mathrm{DD} \mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{Sr}_{2} \mathrm{CaC} \mathrm{u}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8} \quad$ (BSCCO). It was shown that this state displays a spontaneous tim $e^{-}$ reversal sym m etry breaking (T R SB). E arlier Varm a [4] had predicted the possibility of TRSB in cuprates. They proposed the fascinate ground state $w$ ith circular $m$ icrocurrents inside the plaquettes of $\mathrm{CuO}_{2}$ plane $w$ ith de nite alignm ent of orbitalangularm om enta associated $w$ ith these $m$ icro-currents. $N$ am ely, the up-directed orbitalm om enta arrange along one diagonaland the dow $n-$ directed orbitalm om enta arrange along other diagonal. $T$ he proposed in [ $m$ enta is not connected $w$ th any spin alignm ent.

The aim of present paper is to discuss an altemative possibility for constructing the state $w$ th TRSB. H ere we propose a state in which the TRSB is due to a spiralspin structure. The argum ents in favor of such hypothesis are follow ing. The electric eld of CPL really interacts only w ith the orbitalm otion. Therefore the TRSB dichroism im plies a de nite orientation of orbitalangularm om enta $<L_{n}>0$. Such $m$ om enta, centered on the atom $s$, $m$ ay be induced by aligned spin $m$ om enta $\left\langle S_{n}\right\rangle \in 0$ through the spin-orbit interaction. This $m$ eans that in ARPES one could observe a TRSB dichroism D if the photoem ission setup can selectively $m$ easure the ejected electronsw ith de nite spin projection = " or \#. Then a
sign of the TRSB dichroism would depend on a sign of . Since usually the ARPES is non-selective with respect to the nal spin projection of an ejected electron, the sum $m$ ary TRSB dichroism is expected to be zero if a $m$ ean spin polarization of initial states is zero. But for the spiralspin structure the occupancy $n_{k}$ of initialoneelectron band state fk g w th de nite k depends on . Such spin polarization of initial $k$-state $m$ ay induce the non-zero TRSB e ects in ARPES signal. So we calculate a dichroism which $m$ ight $m$ anifest in ARPES in case of the spiralspin con guration of cuprates.

The schem e of experim ent $\left[\overline{3}_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ is given in $F$ ig. 1. The right (left) polarized light w ith a propagation vector $q$ im pacts the crystal surface determ ined by a norm alvector $n$. The $x z$ plane is one of the $m$ irrorplanes of crystal w ith x -axis along the CuO bonds or along a diagonal direction. The ejected electron has a nal mom entum $k_{f}$. The ARPES intensity I $\quad \mathrm{M}_{\text {if }} \mathrm{J}$ ( $\left.\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{f}} \quad \mathrm{h}!\right)$ is determ ined by a matrix elem ent of interaction $0=$ $\left(e=2 m_{e} C\right)(A p+p A) w$ ith eld

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=A F ; F=\left\langle f j_{i} j_{i}(k)\right\rangle \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

betw een initial and nalstates. In dipole approxim ation it contains the vector potential A of the right $\left(\begin{array}{l}R\end{array}=1\right)$ or left ( $\quad=1$ ) CPL with com plex am plitude

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{R(L)}=A_{0}\left[e_{x} \cos +i_{R(L)} e_{y}+e_{z} \sin \quad\right] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Forgiven con guration of the setup vectorsn; $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} ; \mathrm{q}$ the ARPES dichroism signal $D$ is determ ined by a relative di erence of intensities for both light polarizations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\left(M_{R} \quad M_{L}\right)=\left(M_{R}+M_{L}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

W e study the sym m etry properties of A R PES m atrix elem ents $w$ th respect to re ection in a m irror plane of a crystal, which is perpendicular to the surface in a typical photoem ission experim ent. Follow ing [13] $]$ a time reversal invariant initial state $i(k)$ and let $q$ and $n$ lie in the $m$ irror plane $m$ of crystal (here the $x z$ plane). Then, the dichroism signalD is nonzero only if $k_{f}$ does not lie in the $m$ irror plane $m$ and $D$ has an opposite signs for $k$ at di erent sides of $m$ irror plane. Such dichroism is called a geom etrical one. This large e ect has been observed at any doping $\left[\begin{array}{l}{[13} \\ 1\end{array}\right]$. But in UD BSCCO the residual dichroism ( $\mathrm{D} \in 0$ ) has been observed even for coplanar con guration ofn, $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}, \mathrm{q}$, in which all three vectors lie in $m$ irror plane $m$. Further we adopt a de nitions $q_{z}, q$ and $k_{z}, k$ for the norm al and 2D intra-layer com ponents of the photon and nalelectron m om enta q and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}$ correspondingly.

C onsider rst a large geom etrical dichroism and then discuss a possible origin of the observed residual dichroism connected with TRSB of the ground state of UD cuprate. $W$ e suggest that the $m$ ain contributions to $m a-$ trix elem ent give the space regions inside the atom ic spheres. This is in accordance with a fact that the frequency dependencies of photoem ission intensity roughly repeat the dependencies of the photoem ission crosssections com ing from corresponding atom ic com ponents [-1].

The form alism for evaluating the optical matrix ele$m$ ent for general lattige w ithin $K K R$ schem $e$ is given in [ri'1. . Som e corrections should be introduced to provide the comm on asym ptotic $e^{\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}} r}$ of the nalwave function of the ejected electron outside the sample ( $z>0$ ). W e restrict our consideration by the one-step $m$ odel (see [ $\left.\left.\overline{[1}_{1}^{1} 1\right]\right)$ describing a coherent part of photoem ission. Incorporation of rescattering and relaxation processes in fram e of generalization to a three-step $m$ odel are needed to describe the background in the energy distribution function of ejected electron. W e believe that one-step $m$ odel is su cient to describe in qualitative $m$ anner the angular dependence of dichroism. For this aim we will use the $m$ ost simpli ed form of the initial and nal states in the
process.
The starting point in calculating of $F$ in (1) is the K K R wave function for a multicom ponent lattice [1] $\overline{\underline{1}}]$. In H artree Fock representation the one-particle in itial state $i$ W ith quasi-m om entum $k$ is a superposition of orbitals belonging to each center

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{i}(r ; k)=\frac{1}{N}_{n ;}^{X} B\left(n_{z}\right) e^{i k r} i^{1} C_{L}^{i} \quad \underset{L}{i} \quad\left(r \quad R_{n}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

H ere enum erates all atom $s$ placed at $R_{n}$ in unit cell $\mathrm{n}=\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{y}} ; \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{L}=1 ; \mathrm{m}$ are the angularm om entum quantum numbers of orbitals inside each atom ic sphere jr $R_{n} j<a_{n}$. For the upper valence anti-bonding band of $\mathrm{CuO}_{2}$ plane them ain orbitals $\underset{\mathrm{L}}{i}$ are the $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{x}^{2}} \mathrm{y}^{2}$ orbital of $C u$ and $p_{x} ; p_{y}$ orbitals of two oxygens $O_{x} ; \mathrm{O}_{y}$. $T$ hese onbitals constitute a basis set of the Em ery model.
$T$ hus the in itialone-electron state is taken as supenposition of the $m$ ain realorbitals and in the second quantization representation it is

$$
\begin{equation*}
{ }_{i}(k ;)={ }_{n_{z}}^{X} B_{i}\left(n_{z}\right)\left[c_{d} d_{k}^{Y}+i c_{x} x_{k}^{y}+i c_{y} y_{k}^{y}\right] \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding site operators $d_{n}^{y}, x_{n}^{y} ; y_{n}^{y}$; of Em ery $m$ odel refer to the realfunctions $d_{x^{2}} y^{2}\left(r R_{n ; d}\right)$, $p\left(r \quad R_{n}\right.$; ) w th $R_{n}$; $=R_{n}+e a=2$, $=x ; y$. Thefunctions are considered to extend inside the corresponding atom ic ( mu n -tin) spheres. The realcoe cients $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{d}}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{x}}$, $C_{y}$ in (5) are obtained from solution of the Em ery m odel. In Eq. $(4,5)$ the term under the sum refer to a layer num ber $n_{z}$. The am plitudes $B\left(n_{z}\right)$ depending on distance of layer from the surface describe in phenom enologicalm anner a coherent or incoherent interlayer transport along $z$ near the surface depending on the phase correlations betw een di erent layers. For standard bulk initial state i used in $\left[\bar{Z}_{1}\right] B\left(n_{z}\right) \quad \exp \left(i k_{z} n_{z}\right)$.

A nalstate inside the sam ple is taken in sim ilar K K R form $w$ th the sam $e$ in-plane $m$ om entum $k$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{1}{\bar{N}}_{n ;}^{X} B^{f}\left(n_{z}\right) e^{i k R_{n}} i^{1} C_{L} \quad Y_{L} \quad L \quad\left(r \quad R_{n}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here each of functions $L$ with angular $m$ om entum quantum num bers $L=(1 ; m)$ is determ ined inside atom ic spheres around corresponding center $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{n}}$; . In uence of surface at $\mathrm{z}=0$ is described by introducing the factors $B^{f}\left(n_{z}\right)$, by phases 1 ; of com plex coe cients

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{L}=X_{L} \dot{j}^{1} ; \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by explicit angular spherical harm onics $Y_{L}=$ $Y_{l m}\left(\hat{k}_{f}\right)$ depending on direction of nalm om entum $k_{f}$. $T$ he phases are speci c for centers in unit cell and for angular mom entum 1 . These phases arise from a matching of nal state (6) inside the sam ple w ith the com $m$ on plane wave $e^{j_{f} r}$ in em pty space outside it. The phase m odulation of contributions in (6) determ ines the geo$m$ etrical dichroism of the photoem ission.

T he origin of spherical harm onics in Eq. (6) and of the phasem odulation ofcoe cients (7) m ay be illustrated by next consideration. First let us construct the nal state
f ; (r) for the electron photoem ission into direction $\hat{\mathrm{k}}_{\mathrm{f}}$ from one center fn; $g$ only. A ccording to $[\overline{[g]}]$ it $m$ ust be such function of continuum which has an asym ptotic form ofplanewave $\quad e^{\mathrm{k}_{f} \mathrm{r}}$ and incom ing radialw aves at if $R_{n} j!1$. This nalstate is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\exp \left(i k_{f} R_{n}\right)^{X} i^{i^{1} e^{i}{ }^{1} Y_{l m}(\hat{1}) Y_{l m}\left(\hat{k}_{f}\right)^{\prime}{ }_{l}(r), ~(r)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=j r R_{n} j$. The scattering phase 1 for the orbital $m$ om entum $l$ is de ned by the asym $p-$ totic of real radial function of continuum $r_{1}(r)$
$\frac{1}{r} \sin (k r \quad l=2+1)$. (A ccording to the $K K R$ approach one $m$ ay consider that asym ptotic form is achieved at the surface of the $m u n$-tin sphere.)

In sim ilar manner the nal state $f$ for the electron ejected from $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}$ centers of the surface layer should be a function which at large $z>0$ have an asym ptotic form $w$ ith com $m$ on plane wave $e^{\mathrm{j}_{f} r}$ and incom ing spherical w aves contributed from di erent centers.

If $k_{f} R_{n} \quad R_{n}{ }^{0} ;{ }^{\circ} j>1$ and if we neglect the secondary scattering processes, then inside each of nonoverlapping $\mathrm{mu} n$-tin spheres surrounding center ( n ) of surface layer the nal state wave function should just have a form (6) w ith com plex coe cients C $L^{0} e^{j}{ }^{1}$. Really the secondary processes synchronize the phases 1 of all contributions into the nal state from di erent angular harm onics and di erent centers. The K K R bulk solution for the nalstate $\mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ found in [-7 $\underline{1}_{1}$ takes into account the phase and am plitude synchronization of all secondary processes, but it neglect the necessary additional synchronization and phase modulation com -
ing from the boundary surface w here solutions should be $m$ atched $w$ th the plane wave $w$ ith $m$ om entum $k_{f}$.

In order to study in qualitative $m$ anner the angular dependence of dichroism and its symmetry, it is su cient to use the form (6) of the nal state w thout specifying the values and phases of $C_{L 0} 0$ in $(6)$. So we use Eqs. $(5,6)$ for schem atic representation of initial and nal states to study the sym $m$ etry and possible angular dependence of dichroism $m$ anifested in ARPES.The com ponents $F$ of the $m$ atrix elem ent (1) is expressed as a sum of integrals inside atom ic spheres around centers ( ) for corresponding channels 1 ! $1^{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{R(L)}=A \quad() F \quad\left(l^{0} \hat{k}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here A are com ponents of the vector potential (2) depending on the right or left polarization of CPL, = $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{L})=1$, and $\hat{k}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}=\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}$. Functions $\mathrm{F} \quad\left(1^{0} ; \hat{\mathrm{k}}\right)$ correspond to realinitialorbitals $=d_{x^{2}} y^{2} ; p_{x} ; p_{y}$. To obtain them we use the selection rules $l^{0}=1 \quad 1$ for orbital angular $m$ om enta for integrals inside atom ic spheres. For sim plicity we retain only the $m$ atrix elem ents for the follow ing transitions $p_{x(y)}$ ! $s ; d$ and $d_{x^{2}} y^{2}$ ! $p$ from the $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{y})}$ and Cu centers of $\mathrm{CuO}_{2}$ plane. A ccording the K K R calculations $\left[\underline{[1} \underline{1}_{1}^{\top}\right]$ such transitions give $m$ ain contributions. Om itting oftransition $d_{x^{2}} y^{2}$ ! $f$ at $C u$ center does not change the sym $m$ etry properties of the calculated dichroism. This leads only to neglecting the sm all higher harm onics in angular dependence of the ARPES intensity.

The resulting expressions for functions $F \quad(10 \hat{\mathrm{~K}})$ are presented in Table. For the p! s;d transitions in oxygen they include also the factors

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{x(y)}=s_{x(y)}=\frac{q}{s_{x}^{2}+s_{y}^{2}} ; \quad s_{x(y)}=\quad \sin \left(k_{x(y)}=2\right) ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

They originate from angular dependence of real am plitudes $C_{x(y)}$ and $c_{d}$ of di erent orbitals in the initial band state (5) of Em ery model (w th e ective param eters $\left.d ; p ; t_{p d} ; t_{p p}\right)$. At $t_{p p} t_{p d}$ the amplitudes in (5) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{x(y)}=g_{x(y)} \sin ; C_{d}=\cos ; \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\tan 2=2 t_{\mathrm{pd}}\left(\operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{x}}+\operatorname{cosk}_{\mathrm{y}}\right)=(\mathrm{d} \quad \mathrm{p}):
$$

E xtension to large $t_{p p}$ does not change the sym $m$ etry of am plitudes.

The coe cients $C_{P}{ }^{0(I ; I I)}(k)$ in table include: 1) a sum over the layers ${ }^{P} B_{f}\left(n_{z}\right) B_{i}\left(n_{z}\right)$ based on the phenom enological or tight-binding dependencies $\left.B\left(n_{z}\right) ; 2\right)$ the phase factors $\exp \left(\mathrm{i}_{1^{0}}\right)$ com ing from boundary conditions; 3) the reduced integrals < $l^{0} \mathrm{kpkl}>$ over angular variables after rem oving the $m$ dependence; 4) the radial integrals; 5) the factors $\sin$; cos from amplitudes (11).

Then the ARPES dichroism signal is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(\prime)=\operatorname{Im} \mathbb{M}(M) \quad g=\left(\notin \mathcal{M}^{2}+j M j^{2}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=M_{R}+M_{L}, M=M_{R} \quad M_{L}$ and angles ;' describe a nalm om entum $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}$. Dependence (12) may be presented as D (') $\quad G^{\prime}(k) \sin ^{\prime}$ with even function $G(k)$ relative to re ection in $m$ irror plane $z x$. A ccording to (9) the quantities $M_{R(L)}$ ) are determ ined by com plex constants $\mathrm{C}_{0} ; \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}} ; \mathrm{C}_{\text {II }}$ whereas the rest angular functions listed in Table are real functions. It can be show $n$ that the dichroism signal is zero if all coe cients $C_{L}$ in (7) have the sam e phases ;i or their di erences arem ultiple to . So a representation of nalstatew ith correct phases is signi cant for description of geom etrical dichroism .

At $\hat{q}=\mathrm{n}$ when photon im pacts norm ally to the $\mathrm{CuO}_{2}$ plane one obtains

$$
\begin{align*}
& M= C_{I I} \sin  \tag{13}\\
& \cos ^{\prime}+g_{x}\left[C _ { 0 } \quad C _ { I } \left(\cos ^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \sin ^{2}\left.\left.\cos 2^{\prime}\right)\right]+g_{y} C_{I} \sin ^{2} \\
& \sin 2^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
M= & C_{I I} \sin \sin \prime+g_{I}\left[C _ { 0 } \quad C _ { I } \left(\cos ^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\sin ^{2} \cos 2^{\prime}\right)\right]+g_{k} C_{I} \sin ^{2} \quad \sin 2^{\prime} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Here ;' are the polar angles of $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}$. It is seen from $(11,12)$ that the dichroism signalD $\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ is odd function $D\left({ }^{\prime}\right)=D\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ and it go to zero at' $=$ or $^{\prime}=0$. $T$ his is an expected property of geom etrical dichroism .
$M$ anifestations of geom etricaldichroism depend on nu$m$ erousparam eters. Fig 2 ilhustrates an exam ples of functions $D(r)$ for three angles $g=0 ;=6 ;=3$ of the photon m om entum in m irror plane zx and for $\mathrm{k} \mathrm{mov}-$ ing along boundary $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{j}=$ and $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{z}}=\mathrm{kj} . \mathrm{We}$ ascribe the follow ing arbitrary values for relative am plitudes $\mathrm{X}_{0}=\mathrm{C}_{\text {I }} \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{J}_{0}=\mathrm{C}_{\text {II }} \mathrm{j}=1: 0$ and the relative phases
f $\mathrm{l}^{0}=0(\mathrm{O})$; $\mathrm{l}^{0}=2(\mathrm{O})$; $\mathrm{l}^{0}=1(\mathrm{Cu}) \mathrm{g}=\mathrm{fO} ; 3=4 ;=4 \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathrm{CO}-$ e cients $C_{0} ; C_{I} ; C_{\text {II }}$ in di erent channels ofoxygen and Cu centers. Two setup con gurationswith x along CuO bond or along diagonal direction are considered. Function $D\left({ }^{\prime}\right)$ is odd function of ${ }^{\prime}$ and it vanishes at' $=0$; . The calculated geom etrical dichroism disappears for all ' if allphase di erences $1 \quad 1^{0}=m$ are $m$ ultiple to . $T$ his is just the case for the Cu - and O -contributions to the $m$ atrix elem ent calculated in $[\underline{1} \overline{1}]$. T here the standard K KR bulk wave functions were used and an additional phase modulation were neglected. At a norm al photon im pact ( $=0$ ) a dichroism signal is zero on each $m$ irror plane of tetragonal lattice, i.e. at ${ }^{\prime}={ }_{4} \mathrm{~m}$.

N ow we take into account the spin-orbit interaction on Cu w ith a constant

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\mathrm{LS}}={ }_{\mathrm{n}}^{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{n}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the initialband function $\underset{k}{i}$ transform sto $\underset{k}{i}+$ in a way equivalent to replacem ent of $d_{x^{2}}^{y} y^{2}$; in (5) by

$$
d_{x^{2} y^{2} ;}^{y}+C\left[\begin{array}{llll}
{\left[i \quad d_{x y}^{y} ;\right.} & d_{z x}^{y} ; & \left.d_{z y}^{y} ; \quad\right] \quad \text { (16) }
\end{array}\right.
$$

in Eq. $(5,4)$. Here $==j j=1$ and $C_{1} \quad=2 \mathrm{E}$ $w$ here $E$ is the energy di erence of the $d$-orbitals of $x^{2}$ $\mathrm{y}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{xy} ; \mathrm{yz} ; \mathrm{xz}$ sym m etries. Additional contribution to ${ }_{k}^{i}$ leads to changes $M!M+M, M!M+$ M ( ) in Eqs. $(10,11,12)$. The TRSB dichroism signal at the norm alphoton im pact $(=0)$ is determ ined then by a quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{M}=4 \mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{\text {II }} \sin \cos ^{\prime} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

A s a result the dichroism signalD ( ${ }^{\prime}$; ) ofphotoem ission $w$ th the nalm om entum $k_{f}$ and spin projection of the ejected electron has a form

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(; k)=A \sin , \quad \frac{R e\left(M C_{I I}\right)}{\not M \jmath^{2}+j M j^{2}} 4 C \text { sin } \cos ^{\prime} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here M ; M and $\mathrm{C}_{\text {II }}$ are determ ined by Eqs. $(13,14,7)$ and by functions from Table. Only linear in term is retained in (16). It is determ ined only by adm ixture of $d_{X Y}$ orbital in Eq.(18). The contributions from d-orbitals of $x z$; yz sym m etries in (16) are of a 2-nd order of magnitude in . The second term in (18) is even function of'
and have nonzero value at ' $=0$ or when all three vectors $\mathrm{q} ; \mathrm{n} ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}$ lye in the m irror plane xz and geom etrical dichroism disappears.

Since a sign of $D\left(r^{\prime}=0\right)$ depends on sign of spin projection of ejected electron, the overall dichroism $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{P} \quad \mathrm{D}\left(;^{\prime}\right)$ should be zero for initial param agnet (PM ) state of system . So, for PM state the dichroism at ' $=0$ or would be observed only if one selects the ejected electronswith de nite spin projection on $n$. For this PM state the tim e reversalsym $m$ etry is broken just by a $m$ easurem ent of spin polarization of photoelectron.

H ow ever, there are the TRSB states in which the different regions of $k$ space are characterized by di erent spin polarization. For exam ple, for the ground state $w$ ith a spiral spin structure the TRSB e ect $m$ anifests in ARPES by the nonzero overall dichroism at arrange$m$ ent of all vectors $q ; n ; k_{f}$ in the $m$ irror plane.

Let us dem onstrate the polarization selectivity of the level occupancies in $k$-space for the spiral state of the 2D $t \quad \mathrm{U}$ H ubbard m odel. C alculations w ere carried out for $m$ odel $w$ ith $U=t=6 ; t^{0}=t=0: 1$ at doping 0.15 holes per site. The spiral mean-eld (MF) solution is characterized by average spins $<S_{n}>=d\left(e_{x} \operatorname{cosQ} n+\right.$ $e_{y} \sin Q n$ ) rotating in the xy plane. W e study the M F states of tw o types - w ith the spirality vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{I}=\left(\quad Q_{x} ;\right) ; Q_{I I}=(\quad Q ; \quad Q) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

along CuO bond or along diagonaldirection. The spectral function $A(k ;!)$ at ! $=0$ for de nite spin projection on $z$-axis (perpendicular to the spin rotation plane) is equal to

Here the Ferm i function $f\left(E_{i}\right)$ depends on the oneelectron levels of M F solution and ( $x$ ) is the -function broadened w ith param eter $0: 05 \mathrm{t}$. F ig 3 presents an in age of the spin-selective and overall spectral functions $A=n(k)$ and $A(k)=P \quad A \quad$ at $!=0$ for two types of spiral states. D ark and light gray lines in Fig. 3 correspond to $m$ ain and shadow spin-selective ( $=$ ") sections of Ferm i surfaces. Sim ilar im ages for $=\#$ are obtained from those for $="$ by inversion $k!\quad k$. The spin dependence of the leveloccupancy in $k$ space is connected
$w$ ith the spin currents $J_{n}=J_{\#} \quad Q$ existing in spiral state.

Thus, the TRSB state w ith spiral spin structure certainly has a spin-selective sections of Ferm i surface. A s a consequence, one can observe the TRSB dichroism of the ARPES signal even at coplanar arrangem ent of the setup vectors $q ; n ; \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}$ in m irror plane. Twofactors are decisive here: 1) TheARPES signalcorresponds to a defin ite local region ofk which for given spirality vector $Q$ is associated with de nite spin polarization; 2) A ccording to Eq. $(16,17)$ a de nite spin polarization induces via the spin-orbit interaction the orbitalangularm om enta on Cu centers and corresponding nonzero dichroism at coplanar setup con guration.

In order to estim ate e ect we use the spin-orbit constant $\quad 800 \mathrm{~cm}^{1}$ follow ing from excitation spectrum of $\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{I}} ; \mathrm{C} u^{\text {II }}[\mathrm{l}-\mathrm{d}]$ and a splitting of d-orbitals $\mathrm{E}=$ $E_{x^{2}} y^{2} \quad E_{x y} \quad 1 \quad 2 \mathrm{eV}$ in crystal eld. Then one has a valueC $=0: 0025$ 0:005 for am plitude in (16). A t setup con guration $=0 ;=k==4,^{\prime}=$ or 0 and at the sam e anbitrary chosen coe cients (7) as were used in Fig. $2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}}=\mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{J}_{\mathrm{II}}=\mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{j}=1\right.$; $\quad ; 1=0 ; 3=4$; $=4$ ), one obtains for the TRSB dichroism $m$ ax $\ddagger j=0: 033$ 0:066 at $k$ corresponding ' $=0$ or and lying in $m$ irror plane. This value is consistent the TRSB dichroism signal $35 \%$ observed in UD cuprates,

In conclusion, it is shown here that the TRSB dichroism observed in the ARPES spectra of the UD cuprates $m$ ay be connected with a local spiral spin order in system. This hypothesis di ers from the m odelTRSB state proposed by Varm a et al. [4] $w$ ith aligned charge circular $m$ icrocurrents on plaquettes of $\mathrm{CuO}_{2}$ plane. Instead, the spiral spin order $m$ eans appearance of the local spin currents $J_{"}=J_{\#}$ of the $m$ acro-scale, about the dom ain size. E xistence of di erent dom ains w ith di erent signs and values of the TRSB dichroism signalhasbeen show $n$ by study a set ofsam ples
 esis $m$ ay be proposed. The rotation of sam ple on 180 around $z$-axis does change a sign of the TRSB dichroism $D\left({ }^{\prime}=\right.$ ) in our hypothesis and does not change the sign in case of the TRSB state constructed in $\left[\underline{4}_{1}^{1}\right]$. In the form er case the rotation changes the signs of the
spin currents and of spin polarization. Relative signs of the TRSB dichroism signal at di erent $m$ irror planes of cuprate for two types of spiral states (19) and for the state proposed by Varm a are illustrated in F ig.4. T hese signs $m$ ay be $m$ easured only if the ARPES signal com es from the same dom ain of a sam ple before and after its rotation and if the spin currents of spiral state are pined during rotation of sam ple. N ote, that in case of the ferrom agnet alighnm ent of spins in the surface layers, the TRSB dichroism would have the sam e signs at all directions in $m$ irror planes of the lattice.

A great sensitivity of the Ferm i surface (FS) to the spin structure puts the questions im portant for understanding the pseudogap state of BSCCO:W hether the observed FS is a com posed result com ing from several dom ains w ith di erent currents? W hat is a dynam ics of these currents and dom ains in the UD cuprates? M ay the spin uctuations be frosen near the surface into a static dom ains w ith spiral or ferrom agnet spin order? A dditional test for the supposed local spiral order is possible. O ne can $m$ easure the spin polarization $<~ S>$ ofelectrons ejected from di erent sections of the Ferm i surface and to check the correlations of direction of $<S>w$ ith the sign of the TRSB dichroism signalD ( ${ }^{\prime}$ ) at ${ }^{\prime}=$.Such a program requires a spin-selective detection of photoelectrons.
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TABLE I. Functions $F\left(1^{0} ; \hat{k}\right)$ determ ining the -components of m atrix elem ent in Eq.(1). Index num erates the contributions originated from di erent orbitals of in itial state: from $p_{x(y)}$ orbitals of oxygens $O_{x(y)}$ or $d_{x^{2}} y^{2} ; d_{x y}$ orbitals of $C u$; nal channels $s ; p ; d$ correspond to angular $m$ om enta $l^{0}=0 ; 1 ; 2$. Functions $G_{x(y)} ; L_{k} ; g_{x}$ and $g_{y} ; P_{k}$ are even and odd functions relative to m irror planes zx correspondingly.

| i | f | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{z}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | s | $\mathrm{C}_{0} \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | 0 | 0 |
| $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | d | $C_{\text {I }} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{X}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{x}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {I }} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}$ |
| $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | s | 0 | $\mathrm{Cog} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | d | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{k}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {I }} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{Y}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{k}}$ |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{\text {d }} \mathrm{y}^{2}$ | p | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {II }} \sin \cos ^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {II }} \sin \sin { }^{\prime}$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{XY}}$ | p | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {II }} \sin \sin ^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {II }} \sin \mathrm{cos}^{\prime}$ | 0 |

${ }^{a_{G}}{ }_{\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{y})}=\sin ^{2} \cos 2^{\prime} \quad \cos ^{2}+1=3$
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{y})}$ are determ ined by Eqs. (10)
${ }^{c} L_{k}=\sin 2 \cos { }^{\prime} ; P_{k}=\sin ^{2} \quad \sin 2^{\prime}$

FIG.1. The setup con guration of the ARPES experi$m$ ent [3]. The propagation vector $q$ of CPL lies in a mirror plane $x z$ and $k_{f}, k$ are the nalm om entum ofejected electron and its com ponent in $\mathrm{CuO}_{2}$ plane (xy plane).

FIG.2. D ependence of geom etricaldichroism D (') on the azim uthalangle' of vector $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{f}}$ fork m oving along the nesting lines $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{x}} \quad \mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{j}=$. Solid, dashed and thin curves correspond to angles $=0 ;=6 ;=3$ of the photon $m$ om entum q. Setup con gurations w ith x along diagonal direction or along the CuO bonds refer to left or right graphics. A roitrary taken coe cients (7) are given in text.

FIG.3. The im ages of the spin-selective (plots $a, c$ ) and overall (plots $b, d$ ) spectral functions $A n(k ;!), A(k ;!)$ at ! = 0 in Brillouin zone. M ain and shadow Ferm isurface are show $n$ for the spiral states $w$ th the spirality vectors $Q=Q_{\text {I }}$ or $Q=Q$ in from (19) (plots a,b or c,d correspondingly) .

FIG.4. R elative signs of the TR SB dichroism signal for $k$ lying on di erent $m$ irror planes of lattice for spiral states $w$ ith spirality vectors $Q_{\text {I }}$ Q in from (19), or for state proposed by Varm a (VS).





