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#### Abstract

Bosonic model with unfrustrated hopping and short-range repulsive interaction is constructed that realizes $Z_{3}$ fractionalized insulator phase in two dim ensions and in zero magnetic eld. Such phase is characterized as having gapped charged excitations that carry fractional electrical charge $1=3$ and also gapped $Z_{3}$ vortices above the topologically ordered ground state.


## I. IN TRODUCTION

A urry ofrecent theoreticalactivity hasproduced speci cm odel system-peal lizations of fractionalized phases in tw o dim ensions ${ }^{1 d 2}$ ized states constructed so far are $Z_{2}$ states $\mathbf{\eta}_{1} O n$ a form al level, these realizations em ploy the follow ing route to $Z_{2}$ fractionalization: Strong localcorrelations lead to a U (1) gauge theory as a low -energy description; this gauge theory is then driven into a decon ned state by a condensation of ob jects carrying gauge charge 2 that also appear in the low energy description. This form al structure has been brought out very directly in Refs. physicallevel, the fractionalized insulator is produced departing from a superpconducting state by a condensation of double vortices ${ }^{\text {rin }}$ trated on the $Z_{2}$ states since these are expected to be the sim plest to realize. H ow ever, it is clear that $m$ ore com plicated fractionalized states are also possible. For exam ple, it is conceivable that in som e system the superconducting state is quantum-disordered by a condensation of triple vortioes; the resulting insulator is then a $Z_{3}$ fractionalized state.

In th is paper, we indicate how a $Z_{3}$ fractionalized state can be engineered in a relatively sim ple bosonic model w ith unfrustrated nearest-neighbor hopping and shortrange two-body repulsive interaction. M uch of the construction parallels closely the $Z_{2}$ exam ples of $R$ efs. The low energy $H$ ilbert space is selected | by stipulating particular charge interactions| in a $m$ anner that naturally adm its splltting boson charge into three pieces; this H ilbert space is protected by a large charge gap. The effective description of the fractionalized state has gapped chargons carrying electrical charge 1=3 and coupled to som e speciall 3 gauge theory which we analyze in detail. O ur $m$ ain $m$ essage here is that one does not need very contrived system $s$ to obtain $m$ ore com plicated fractionalization pattems.

$$
\text { II. } Z_{3} V \text { IA CHARGE FRUSTRATION }
$$

The model is de ned on the lattice shown in Fig in, which we can think of as a hexagonal lattice w ith additional sites placed at the hexagon centers. W e have $\underset{r}{y}=e^{i r}$ bosons residing on the hexagonal lattice (these sites are alw ays labelled lower-case r), and $b_{R}^{y}=e^{i_{R}}$


FIG. 1: Josephson junction array form ed by penetrating hexagonal ( $r$ ) and triangular ( $R$ ) lattices, m odeled by the H am iltonian Eq. ( $\left.\mathrm{I}_{4}^{\mathrm{L}}\right)$. The shaded area indicates schem atically hexagon charging energy $\mathrm{UN}_{\mathrm{R}}^{2}$.
bosons residing at the hexagon centers (upper-case $R$ ). Bosons can hop between the neighboring sites as indicated by the links on the gure; the hopping am plitudes are $W_{1}$ for hr; $R i$ links and $W_{2}$ for hr; $r^{0} i$ links. W e also stipulate strong repulsive interactions that favor charge neutrality of the hexagons, in addition to the on-site repulsion that favors charge neutrality of the individual sites. The com plete quantum rotor H am iltonian is

Here, $f n_{R}^{b} ;{ }_{R} g$ are conjugate number-phase variables [e.g., in the phase representation $n_{R}^{b} \quad i d=@_{R}, b_{R}^{y} \quad r_{r}+$ $\left.h: c: \quad 2 \cos \left({ }_{R} \quad r\right)\right]$, and sim ilarly for $f_{r} ; r_{r} g$. The num ber-phase variables are particularly appropriate ifwe think of the $m$ odel as describing an array of Josephsoncoupled superconducting islands. B oth $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{R}}$ and r bosons carry electrical charge $q_{b}$.

In the above H am iltonian, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is the boson num ber associated w ith each hexagon:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{R}=3 n_{R}^{b}+\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r} 2 \mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{X}}: \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the totalboson num ber in the system $\frac{-12}{12}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\text {tot }}=\frac{1}{3}_{R}^{X} N_{R}: \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

G eneral analysis of the possible phases proceeds as in Ref. ' ${ }^{\prime}$. Here, we focus on the insulating states that are obtained for large $U \quad w_{1} ; w_{2} ; u_{b} ; u$. If the $\mathrm{w}_{1} ; \mathrm{w}_{2} ; \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{b}} ; \mathrm{u}$ term s are all zero, there is a degenerate $m$ anifold of ground states speci ed by the requirem ent $N_{R}=0$ for each R I11 The ground state sector is separated by a large charge gap $U$ from the nearest sectors. Including the $\mathrm{w}_{1} ; \mathrm{w}_{2} ; \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{b}} ; \mathrm{u}$ term s lifts this degeneracy in each sector, which is best described by deriving the corresponding e ective H am iltonian in each sector.

The e ective Ham iltonian in the ground state sector $\left(N_{R}=0\right)$ is, to third order in the perturbing term S ,

Here, $H_{u_{p} ; u}$ stands for the on-site repulsion term $s$ as in Eq. ( ${ }_{(1)}^{1}$ ); $\mathrm{R}_{1} ; \mathrm{R}_{2} ; \mathrm{R}_{3}$ label the three hexagon centers adjacent to $r ; J_{c}=w_{1}^{3}=\left(6 \mathrm{U}^{2}\right)$ and $K_{\text {ring }}=3 \mathrm{w}_{2}^{3}=\mathrm{U}^{2}$.
$T$ his is ourm ain step in obtaining $Z_{3}$ fractionalization. T he above $H$ am iltonian looks sim ilar to a com pact $U$ (1) gauge theory coupled to a charge 3 scalar eld. Thus, if we think of the $r$ as som e gauge elds, then it is very suggestive to think of the $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{R}}$ as carrying gauge charge-3, [see also Eq. $\left.\overline{(2}_{1}^{1}\right)$ ]. Standard Fradkin-Shenker analysis1 ${ }^{131}$ then suggests that by condensing the $\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{R}}$ eld, which can be arranged by $m$ aking $J_{c} ; K_{\text {ring }}$ large, we can decon ne ob jects carrying gauge charge 1. By virtue of Eq . ( (הु) , such ob jects carry fractional electrical charge $q_{b}=3$, and we obtain $\mathrm{a}_{3}$ fractionalized insulator.

The reader who nds the above statem ents believable $m$ ay now declare victory in achieving $Z_{3}$ fractionalization. However, if we want to describe the decon ned phase (s) in any detail, we need to study the above H am iltonian directly since it is not related in any sim ple manner to the conventional gauge theory. This is our focus in the rem ainder of the paper. O fcourse, wew ill con m the decon nem ent, but wewillalso nd that the deconned state that obtains for large $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ring }}$ on allhexagons is in fact a $Z_{3} \quad Z_{3}$ state (see below for details).
$P$ roceeding $w$ ith this analysis, consider the regim e of large $J_{c}!1$ and $s m$ all $u_{b}!0$. It is convenient to perform the follow ing change of variables. De ne the operators $b_{c R}^{y}=e^{i c R}$ and $\underset{r}{\sim y}=e^{i^{\sim}{ }_{r}}$ :

Here, $s_{R}=1 ; e^{i 2}=3$, or $e^{i 4=3}$, so that or $2[0 ; 2$ ). One can readily verify that $N_{R}$ is conjugate to $c R$, while $n_{r}$ is con jugate to $\sim_{r} \cdot b_{c R}^{y}$ carries electrical charge $q_{b}=3$ and can be thought of as a chargon eld, while $\underset{r}{\sim y}$ is charge
neutral. These new variables are indeed natural in the description of the decon ned phase, but to recover the physicalH ilbert space, we need to im pose the constraint

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
" & \mathrm{X} & \#  \tag{6}\\
\exp & \frac{2}{3}\left(\mathbb{N}_{R}\right. & \left.n_{r}\right)=1:
\end{array}
$$

In the new variables, the H am iltonian becom es

$$
\begin{align*}
& 7 \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

$N$ ote that we are left w ith gauge elds ${ }^{2} r$ only, since we are working in the luncharged" ground state sector
$T$ he term $J_{c}$ acts as a $Z_{3}$ anisotropy on the $\sim_{r}$ eld. In the $\lim$ 止 $J_{C}!1$, $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}^{\sim}{ }_{r}}$ becom es a $Z_{3}$ eld: $\sim_{r}=0 ; 2=3$, or $4=3$. The operator $P_{r}^{+} \quad e_{-}^{i}{ }_{r}(2=3) n_{r}$ shifts the states of the quantum $Z_{3}$ clock by $+1_{1}^{114}$ whereas the constraints specifying the uncharged sector $N_{R}=0$ becom e

$$
{ }_{r 2 R}^{Y} P_{r}^{+}=1:
$$

Theu $\left(n_{r}\right)^{2}$ term causestunneling betw een the di erent states of the quantum clock, and this can be described by an e ective \transverse eld" $h\left(\$+P_{r}\right.$ ) acting on the clock.

The e ective Ham iltonian now becomes $Z_{3}$ \ringexchange" H am iltonian on the hexagonal lattioe of r sites

The H am iltonian together with the constraints Eq. (iq) can be view ed as som e specialZ 3 gauge theory and is analyzed below and in further detail in A ppendioes'A'1 and 'B'B W e nd that generically this theory can have two decon-
ned phases (in addition to the con ned phase) w th the phase diagram shown in Fig. the decon ned phase that obtains w hen all ring exchange couplings are large, $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ring }} \mathrm{h}$. A s explained below, this phase is a $Z_{3} \quad Z_{3}$ decon ned phase.

From here on, our focus is on the above $Z_{3}$ ring exchange H am iltonian. W e drop all tildes on $\mathrm{Z}_{3}$ elds $r_{r}$ and superscripts on $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}$ (which are now integers modulo 3). A lso, we consider a $Z_{N}$ generalization ${ }^{40}$ of the above Ham iltonian and carry out the analysis in the general case. $T$ his is done for clarity of notation.

For $K_{\text {ring }} \quad h$, a good caricature of the bulk ground state is given by the wavefunction

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{J G S i}={ }_{\mathrm{fn}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~g}}^{\mathrm{X}}{ }^{\mathrm{j} £ \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{gi} ;} ; \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prim ed sum is over allcon $n_{P}$ gurations fng $g$ that satisfy the constraints Eq. (iq) , i.e., $\quad{ }_{r 2 R} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}=0$.


FIG.2: Generic phase diagram of the $Z_{N}$ ring exchange on hexagonal lattice, Eq. ( ${ }^{(1)}$ ). To explore the di erent decon ned states, we allow tw o di erent ring exchange couplings, $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ring }}=$ $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}$ for A-type hexagons and $\mathrm{K}_{\text {ring }}=\mathrm{K}$ for B - and C-type hexagons (see Fig.'끈).

Let us de ne $Z_{N}$ ux through a given hexagon $R$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}=1 \quad 2+3 \quad 4+5 \quad 6 \text {; } \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

w ith the sign convention as in $F$ ig. has zero ux through each hexagon. Excitations above this ground state are $Z_{N}$ vortioes. For exam ple, we can add a unit of ux through a given hexagon by applying a \string" operator as indicated in Fig. vortex carrying one unit of $u x$ is $2 \mathrm{~K}_{\text {ring }}[1 \quad \cos (2=\mathrm{N})]$.

O bserve now (Fig. a triangular lattice, which consists of three sublattioes $A$, B, and C. O bserve also that the ux-adding string operator \steps" only through the sam e sublattioe hexagons. W e are thus led to the possibility of a topological distinction betw een vortioes on the di erent sublattioes, in addition to the usual distinction betw een two vortices carrying di erent ux. Indeed, one can see that the topologically distinct situations can be characterized by saying that we have tw o species of $Z_{N}$ vortices, say $A$ and $B$ vortioes. A ltematively, if we want to preserve the sym $m$ etry am ong the three sublattices, we can say that there are three types of $Z_{N}$ vortices|A, B, and C vortices| but these are not independent and instead satisfy \fiusion nules" such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{A}=+1 \quad \mathrm{~B}=+1 \quad \mathrm{C}=1: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T$ his $m$ eans that a nearby pair of $+1 A$ and $B$ vortices is indistinguishable from a 1 C vortex (note also that the \states" on the left and on the right can be connected by localh term $s$ in the $H$ am iltonian).
$C$ onsider now introducing $Z_{N}$ charges in the above gauge theory E q. $\left.\underline{\underline{g}}_{\underline{9}}\right)$, e.g., considerplacing a pair ofopposite 1 charges on two hexagons $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ : ${ }_{r 2 R} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}=$ $R R_{1} \quad R R_{2}$. This is appropriate when studying the charged sectors of the $m$ icroscopic $H$ am iltonian Eq. [1]) since the form al gauge structure represents the crucial coupling of chargons $w$ th the above $Z_{3}$ degrees of freedom. A charge can be added to a hexagon by applying


FIG. 3: H exagonal lattice on hich the $Z_{N}$ ring exchange modeleq. ( $\mathrm{g}_{1}$ ) is de ned. $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{R}} \quad$ r2R $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{m}$ easures $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ \num ber" on each hexagon; we can increase $N_{R}$ on a given hexagon (?) by one by applying a \string" operator $y$ y :::along the indicated path. $\mathrm{R} \quad 1 \quad 2+3 \quad 4+5 \quad 6 \mathrm{~m}$ easures $Z_{N} \backslash u x "$ through a given hexagon (123456); to $x$ the sign convention we alw ays take 1 to be the low erm ost hexagon site. W e can increase the ux through a given hexagon ( ) by one unit by applying a string $\mathrm{P}^{+} \mathrm{P}^{+} \mathrm{P}^{+} \mathrm{P}^{+}$:: : along the indicated path. T he low er-right comer of the gure show sthe three sublattice structure of the lattice of honeycom bs.
a string operator as indicated in $F$ ig. $\overline{3} 1 \overline{13}$. From several perspectives, one can see that all such charges are decon ned in the $K_{\text {ring }} h$ phase: Thus, in A ppendix 'A we approach this \fully decon ned" phase starting from a \partially decon ned" phase, in which charges are deconned on one sublattice only. A lso, this fully decon ned phase corresponds to the fully disordered phase in the dual global $Z_{N}$ spin $m$ odel of A ppendix

Sim ilarly to vortices, w e need to distinguish the charges on di erent sublattioes. A gain, as far as the gauge structure is concemed, we have fusion rules such as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}}=+1 \quad \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{B}}=+1 \quad \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}=1: \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Statistical interactions betw een the di erent particles are readily identi ed by studying the com $m$ utation properties of the corresponding strings. These are sum $m$ arized in Table'1 by specifying \gauge charges" of the different $N_{R}=+\overline{1}$ excitations $w$ th respect to the $A, B$, and $C$ uxes. Thus, $N_{A}=+1$ excitation carries gauge charges $Q_{A}=0 ; Q_{B}=1 ; Q_{C}=+1$, i.e., it does not $\backslash$ see" A vortices, but when transported around a B or C vortex of unit strength, the w avefunction acquires an additional phase e ${ }^{\mathrm{i} 2}=\mathrm{N}$ or $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2}=\mathrm{N}$ correspondingly.
$T$ his com pletes the particle description of the fully decon ned phase. Them inim aldescription would be to say that we have $A$ hexagon charges that see $B$ hexagon $Z_{N}$

TABLE I: Gauge charges of the $N_{R}=+1$ excitations with respect to the $A, B$, and $C Z_{N}$ uxes as de ned by Eq. (11). $N$ ote that these are consistent w ith the fiusion rules Eqs. (12) and (13).

|  | $Q_{A}$ | $Q_{B}$ | $Q_{C}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{A}}=+1$ | 0 | 1 | +1 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{B}}=+1$ | +1 | 0 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}=+1$ | 1 | +1 | 0 |

vortices and $B$ hexagon charges that see $A$ hexagon vortioes. $T$ his is essentially the claim ed $Z_{N} \quad Z_{N}$ structure. Thus, we expect $N^{2}$-fold ground state degeneracy if the system is put on a cylinder, as can be veri ed by constructing the corresponding ground states starting from the state E q. (10) and threading vortices through the hole of the cylinder.

It should be em phasized here that the above discussion assum ed that the three-sublattioe structure is respected by the boundary conditions. W hile it is clear that the bulk properties do not depend on this, there is an additional quirk when we consider topological degeneracy in a geom etry that does not respect the three-sublattioe structure. This is legitim ate when all ring exchange couplings are equal. Consider, e.g., a cylindrical geom etry $w$ ith the circum ference along the horizontal direction of Figs. '111 and ${ }_{3}^{1} \mathbf{1}$, . W hen an A-type particle is transported around the periodic direction, it does not retum to its initial position, but rather becom es a B- or C-type particle. It takes three tums for the particle to retum to the original position. From table $\ddagger$ w ill not register any $u x$ in this process. A detailed analysis show sthat for $\mathrm{N} \mathrm{mod} 3 \in 0$ the ground state of the system in this geom etry is nondegenerate. On the other hand, for $N \bmod 3=0$ the ground state is found to be three-fold degenerate, since in this case there is a com posite ob ject that retums to its initial state when transported once around the cylinder and that senses som e ux through the hole of the cylinder in the process.
Retuming to ourm icroscopic bosonic $m$ odel, the \particle description" of the $Z_{3} \quad Z_{3}$ phase is as follow s : W e have tw o species of $Z_{3}$ vortioes (w th gap $K_{\text {ring }}$ ) and we have charged particles (w th charge gap $U$ ) that can be classi ed as carrying two distinct $Z_{3}$ gauge charges, in addition to their fractional electrical charge. Finally, note that the $Z_{3} \quad Z_{3}$ state is associated $w$ ith the additional sym $m$ etries in the hexagonal lattioe ring exchange H am iltonian but is protected by the sam e charge gap projection, since any $m$ ovew ithin the uncharged state sector is necessarily a com bination of hexagon ring exchanges.

## III. CONCLUSION S

W e showed that it is possible to produce m ore com plicated fractionalization pattems such as $Z_{3}$ fraction-
alization in relatively sim ple bosonic models. W hile the resulting fractionalized state tumed out to be even m ore com plicated than initially intended, them icroscopic m odelwas not too contrived. It is hoped that this work will encourage further searches for other exotic states. For exam ple, can a non-A belian fractionalized state be produced in a condensed $m$ atter system $w$ ith a global sym metry only, short-range interactions, and in zero $m$ agnetic eld?
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## APPENDIX A:PARTIALLY DECONFINED (Z $\left.\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)$ PHASE

To better appreciate the character of the decon ne$m$ ent in the special gauge theory Eq. $(\underline{\underline{1}} \mathbf{1})$, we allow different ring exchange couplings fordi erent hexagons and consider particular param eter space w ith two such couplings: $K_{\text {ring }}=K_{A}$ forthe A hexagonsand $K_{\text {ring }}=K_{B}=$ $K_{C} \quad K$ for the $B$ and $C$ hexagons. This is indicated schem atically in Fig. $N$ ote that by allow ing the tw o couplings we im plicitly assum e that the boundary conditions on the lattice respect the three-sublattioe structure; this is done throughout.

We argue below that the ring exchange H am iltonian has the phase diagram shown in $F$ ig. For $h \quad K ; K_{A}$ the system is in a fully con ned phase. For $K \quad h \quad \overline{K_{A} K}$ the system is in a partially decon ned ( $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) phase. In this phase, the charges on the A hexagons are decon ned, while the charges on the B and $C$ hexagons are con ned. Finally, for $K ; K_{A} \quad h$ the system is in a fully decon ned ( $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ ) phase w th all charges decon ned. The phase diagram of $F$ ig ${ }_{2}^{-2}$ is also supported by the analysis of the dual global $\bar{Z}_{N}$ spin m odel sum m arized in A ppendix ' $\mathrm{B}_{1}$.

In what follow s, we give a detailed description of the partially decon ned phase. As a representative of this phase, consider the H am iltonian w th $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}=0$, i.e., w ith ring_exchanges around the B and C hexagons only (see F ig ('్ָవ'). In this case, there are additionalconserved quantities:

$$
\hat{L_{A A} 0} \quad n_{I}+n_{r^{0}}=\text { const } \quad\left(m \text { odel } w \text { ith } K_{A}=0\right)(A 1)
$$

for each hexagonal lattice link hrr ${ }^{0} i$ between two A hexagons $A$ and $A^{0}$ (see Fig. $\overline{\text { IThen }}$ ). This facilitates the analysis, since we can consider separately each subsector speci ed by the corresponding eigenvalues $f I_{A A} \circ g$. $N$ ote that the allow ed $f L_{A A} \circ g$ are very $m$ uch constrained
by the constraints Eq. (q) on the $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}$ them selves; how ever, we w ill not use the detäls of these explicitly.

First of all, observe that the A hexagons in tum form a triangular lattice, while the links hrr ${ }^{\circ} i$ betw een such hexagons can also be viewed as the links of this \Alattice", hAA ${ }^{0} i \quad h r r i$. In a given subsector w ith xed $f L_{A A} \circ g$, there rem ains one $Z_{N}$ degree of freedom for each such link. It is convenient to work in the num ber basis and label these rem aining link degrees of freedom by

$$
\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{A}!\mathrm{A} 0} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{I}} \quad \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}^{(0)}=\quad\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}^{0} & \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}^{0}}^{(0)}
\end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{N} 0!\mathrm{A} ;(\mathrm{A} 2)
$$

where $\mathrm{fn}_{r}^{(0)} \mathrm{g}$ is one particular instance: $\mathrm{L}_{\text {A A }}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}^{(0)}+$ $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}^{0}}^{(0)}$ (and our convention is that $r 2 \mathrm{~A}$ and $\mathrm{r}^{0} 2 \mathrm{~A}^{0}$, see $F$ ig. links of the A-lattioe. The subsector is now com pletely speci ed by the conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{X} \\
\mathrm{~A}^{0} 2 \mathrm{~A}  \tag{A3}\\
\mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{AA}^{0}}=0 \text {; }
\end{gather*}
$$

which are the neutrality constraints Eq. ( $\overline{\mathrm{q}}$ ) for the A hexagons.

The action of the H am iltonian Eq. $(\underset{\sim}{(\underline{d})}$ ) in this subsector is readily described in tem s of the new variables. Thus, the transverse eld (h) term s are diagonal in the new number variables, while the $B$ and $C$ hexagon ring exchanges sim ultaneously raise (or low er) the three oriented num ber elds circulating around the corresponding A-lattioe triangular plackets. W riting the raising operator for a given link num ber variable $N_{A A} 0$ as $e^{i} A_{A}{ }^{0}$, the resulting H am iltonian is

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{4} \tag{A4}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { hAA }{ }^{\circ} \text { i }
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{A} 0=h e^{i(2=N) n_{x}^{(0)}}\left(1+e^{i(2=N) L_{A A} 0^{0}}\right): ~ \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Together with the constraints Eq. (A) , this is precisely the conventional $Z_{N}$ lattice gauge theory de ned on the triangularA-lattice butw ith link-dependent AA0 speci C for the particular subsector $\mathrm{fL}_{\text {A A }} \circ \mathrm{g}$. W e can now use the conventionalw isdom to characterize each such subsector and in tum the fullhexagonalring exchange $H$ am iltonian w ith $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}=0$.

W hen $h \quad 0$, all the di erent subsectors are degenerate. The low est energy state in each such subsector has the energy of 2 K per triangle and is an equal weight superposition of all possible oon gurations of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{AA}} 0$ that satisfy the constraints Eq. (A_-i). N onzero h elim inates this degeneracy and selects one particular subsector, nam ely with all $L_{A A} 0=0$, as containing the true ground state of the full H am iltonian w ith $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}=0$. Indeed, treat-
ing $A A^{\circ}$ perturbatively, the low est energy in a given subsector is

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{GS}}[\mathbb{L}]
$$

X
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where for sim plicity we assum ed that the system has no boundaries. It is now clear that for $s m$ all nonzero $h$ the ground state of the full ring exchange H am iltonian w th $K_{A}=0$ is in the subsector $w$ ith all $L_{A A}=0$. $T$ he subsectors that are closest in energy have the sm allest num ber of nonzero $L_{A A^{\circ}}$ and can be characterized as having altemating $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{A}_{0} \mathrm{~A}^{0}}=+1$ and $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{A}_{0} \mathrm{~A}^{0}}=1$ values on the six links to a given hexagon $A_{0}$ (th is subsector is obtained from the ground state subsector by apply ing the hexagon ring exchange around the hexagon $A_{0}$ ). The energy gap to these subsectors is $6 \mathrm{~h}^{2}=\mathrm{K}$. W e see that we have a peculiar situation where a nonzero transverse eld $h$ is needed to stabilize this $Z_{N}$ decon ned ground state; this is because we are com peting here against the $Z_{N} \quad Z_{N}$ decon ned state that is obtained for large $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}} ; \mathrm{K}$.
$W$ e are all set to discuss con nem ent of charges in the m odel w th $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}=0$. T he above analysis w as carried out in the uncharged sector but is readily extended to the charged sectors. First, consider placing a pair of opposite charges on two B hexagons: $\quad{ }_{\mathrm{r} 2 \mathrm{~B}_{1}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}=+1$ and ${ }_{r 2 \mathrm{~B}_{2}} \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}=1$. P roceeding exactly as before, one is led to consider di erent subsectors (of this charged sector) speci ed by $f I_{A A} \circ g$. In each such subsector, the $H$ am iltonian has precisely the form Eq. (A) w ith the number variables satisfying precisely the constraints Eq. ( $(\bar{A} \overline{-} \overline{1})$. A llinform ation about the two charges is encoded in the allowed con gurations $\mathrm{fI}_{\text {AA }} 0 \mathrm{~g}$, and one can clearly see that $L_{A A} 00$ at least on a string of A-lattioe bonds connecting $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$. From the earlier argum ents, the energy cost of introducing tw o such charges is then proportional to the length of this string, i.e., such charges are con ned with the string tension $h=K$.

C onsider now placing ${\underset{P}{ }}^{a}$ pair ofopposite charrges on tw o $A$ hexagons $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ : r2 $A_{1} n_{r}=+1$ and $\quad r 2 A_{2} n_{r}=$

1. The analysis of the subsectors $f \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{A} A} \circ \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{w}$ ill be som $e^{-}$ what di erent in this case. Foreach such subsector in this charged sector there corresponds a subsector in the uncharged sector having exactly the sam $e f L_{\text {A A }} \mathrm{g}$. It is convenient to $\backslash m$ easure" each charged subsector relative to the corresponding uncharged subsector. This is achieved by de ning link variables $N_{A A} 0$ via Eq. ( $(\bar{A} \overline{2})$ using an uncharged instance $f n_{r}^{(0)} g$ of $f L_{A A} \circ g$ (i.e., $\quad r 2 R n_{r}^{(0)}=0$ for each $R$ and $L_{A A 0}=n_{r}^{(0)}+n_{r^{0}}^{(0)}$ for each hA $A^{0}$ i). In each subsector, the H am iltonian again has the form Eq. ( (A_- $\overline{4})$ when written in these link variables, which pow satisfy new constraints $\mathrm{A}^{0} 0_{\mathrm{A}_{1}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}^{0}}=+1$ and
${ }_{A}{ }^{0}{ }_{2} A_{2} N_{A_{2} A^{0}}=1$. This corresponding precisely to introducing two charges in the corresponding A-lattioe gauge theory. C learly, for large enough $K \quad h$, these charges willbe decon ned.

W e now have essentially com plete description of the partially decon ned phase. Thus, one can readily iden-
tify the $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ vortex excitations of the A -lattice gauge theory $w$ ith $Z_{N}$ vortices on the $B$ and $C$ hexagons. These vortices will have usual statistical interactions w ith the decon ned charges on the A hexagons. A lso, as should becom e clear by review ing the above discussion, we can essentially account for the di erent subsectors $f I_{\text {A A }} \circ g$ by saying that there are additionalparticle excitations living on the A hexagons obtained from the ground state by the action of the corresponding $A$ hexagon ring exchanges. $T$ hese new particles have a $\backslash \mathrm{m}$ ass" of $6 h^{2}=K$ and have no statistical interaction $w$ ith the other particles.

We can now consider what happens when we allow nonzero $K_{A}$. As discussed above, the ring exchanges around the $A$ hexagons introduce $m$ ixing between the di erent subsectors. H ow ever, as long as $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is much sm aller than the corresponding gap $h^{2}=K$, the partially decon ned phase survives and is characterized by the sam e particle description.

Onœ $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is su ciently large, the system enters the fully decon ned phase described in the $m$ ain text.

APPENDIX B:DUALGLOBAL $Z_{N} \quad$ SP IN MODEL

H ere we sum $m$ arize dual perspective on the hexagonal lattice ring exchange $H$ am iltonian Eq. $\left(\overline{\bar{q}_{1}}\right) . W$ W work directly in the H am iltonian language. Simple counting shows that the dimensionality of the physical Hibert space is consistent $w$ th having one $Z_{N}$ degree of freedom per hexagon. Let us de ne

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllll}
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R}} & \mathrm{y} & 2 & \mathrm{y} & & \mathrm{y} & \mathrm{y} & 6 & 5 & 6 \tag{B1}
\end{array} \quad \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{R}}^{+} \quad\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{\mathrm{Y}} ;
$$

where we use the sam e sign convention as in Fig. 1 us also de ne

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \underset{ }{ } \quad \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{P}^{+} ; \tag{B2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the product is along the verticalpath that reaches R as in Fig. ${ }^{3} \mathbf{1} .1$. N ote that the path \steps" through
the sam e sublattice hexagons. [If we were to take som e other such path, we w ould need to replace som eP ${ }^{+}$w th P . The total product is path-independent due to constraints Eq. (鯂) .] $V_{R}^{Y}$ can be thought of as a vortex creation operator.
$W$ e now interpret $V_{R}^{Y}$ as a $Z_{N}$ spin variable. It is easy to verify that $T_{R}^{+}$is the corresponding con jugate variable (i.e., raising operato $\mathbb{1}^{14}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{R}}^{+}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2=\mathrm{N}} \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{R}}^{+} \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}}^{\mathrm{Y}}: \tag{B3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A lso, we can readily \solve" for $\mathrm{P}_{r}^{+}$:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{r}}^{+}=\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{R}_{1}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{R}_{2}}^{\mathrm{Y}} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{R}_{3}}^{\mathrm{Y}}: \tag{B4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dual H am iltonian is

$$
H=K_{R}^{X}\left(T_{R}^{+}+h: c:\right) \quad h_{4}^{X} \quad\left(V_{R_{1}}^{y} V_{R_{2}}^{y} V_{R_{3}}^{y}+h: c:\right)(B 5)
$$ which is a global $Z_{N}$ spin $m$ odelw ith three-spin interactions. A little thought show sthat the odelhas in fact a $Z_{N} \quad Z_{N}$ globalsym $m$ etry corresponding to independent global rotations of the spins on tw o of the three sublattices. $N$ ote also that the three-spin interaction around triangles prom otes ordering of the spins on the sam e sublattice. This is because tw o neighboring sites A and A ${ }^{0}$ on the sam e sublattice share a BC side in the respective triangle interactions 4 ABC and $4 \mathrm{~A}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{BC}$.

The globalm odel clearly has a fully disordered phase for $K \quad h$. In the original ring exchange $H$ am iltonian, this corresponds to all vortioes being gapped, and we obtain the $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}} \quad \mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{N}}$ fully decon ned phase. Varying the A hexagon ring exchange coupling $K_{A}$ independently, for su ciently sm all $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and large K the system can clearly order on the A sublattice (i.e., A vortiges condense), but rem ain disordered on the $B$ and $C$ sublattioes. $T$ his is our partially decon ned $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathrm{v}}$ phase.
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