Pair densities at contact in the quantum electron gas

R.Asgari^{1,2}, M.Polini¹, B.Davoudi^{1,2}, and M.P.Tosi¹ ¹NEST-INFM and Classe di Scienze, Scuola Normale Superiore, I-56126 Pisa, Italy ²Institute for Studies in Theoretical Physics and Mathematics, Tehran, P.O.Box 19395-5531, Iran

A bstract

The value of the pair distribution function g(r) at contact (r = 0) in a quantum electron gas is determined by the scattering events between pairs of electrons with antiparallel spins. The theoretical results for g(0) as a function of the coupling strength r_s in the param agnetic electron gas in dimensionality D = 2 and 3, that have been obtained from the solution of the two-body scattering problem with a variety of electron scattering potentials embodying m any-body elects, are compared with the results of m any-body calculations in the ladder approximation and with quantum M onte C arb data.

PACS num bers: 05.30 Fk; 71.45 G m Key W ords: D.E lectron-electron interactions

Corresponding author: tosim @ sns.it

The equilibrium pair distribution function g(r) in a quantum electron gas describes the so-called Pauliand C oulom b hole which on average surrounds each electron from many-body exchange and C oulom b correlations. This function, which has an important role in the development of non-local density functional theories [1], is a weighted mean of the functions g_{n} (r) and g_{n} (r) for pairs of electrons with parallel or antiparallel spins. On account of the Pauli principle, g_{n} (r) vanishes at contact (r = 0) while g_{n} (0) is wholly determined by scattering events between electron pairs. The value of g_{n} (0) enters to determ ine the asymptotic values of the local eld factors at large momenta and thus has a speci c role in describing exchange and short-range correlation e ects in the linear response properties of the electron gas (see e.g. [2] and references given therein).

A lthough the value of $g_{"\#}(0)$ results strictly from two-body collisional events, as noted above, the many-body aspects of the interactions between electrons in the quantum C oulomb gas a ect the pair wave functions in a profound way. Two main theoretical approaches have been followed in the literature for evaluating $g_{"\#}(0)$. The rst is based on many-body diagram matic techniques and the second involves the direct calculation of the pair wave function from an appropriate Schrödinger equation. The main purpose of this Letter is to compare the results that have been obtained by these two methods in the paramagnetic uid state over a broad range of values of the coupling strength r_s and to assess their validity against the quantum M onte C arb (QMC) data that have become available. The main emphasis will be on results for the electron gas in dimensionality D = 2, where the many-body elects at any given r_s are comparatively more important. A discussion will also be given, how ever, for the 3D case.

A brief presentation of the two theoretical approaches will be useful. The approach ist proposed by Yasuhara [3] and by Hede and Carbotte [4] for the 3D electron gas evaluates the ladder diagram singurations in which two electrons are excited from the unperturbed Ferm is phere leaving two holes behind and repeat their mutual interactions via the Coulomb potential to nally be scattered back into their initial momentum states. The calculation of these diagram s leads to an electron electron between the two electrons which is to be determined from the solution of a Bethe-G oldstone integral equation. A simple analytic expression is obtained for $g_{r#}$ (0) in terms of a modil ed Bessel function when the kernel of the integral equation is approximated to include the screening elects in a som ew hat crude way. Further work on the electron-electron interactions in D = 3 from the Bethe-G oldstone equation was carried out by Lowy and Brown [5], who were able to establish a close connection between the diagram matic approach and the self-consistent method of Singwiet al. [6].

The alternative m ethod for the evaluation of pair distribution functions in the electron gas is based on the solution of the Schrödinger equation for the electron-pair wave function with the use of an electron scattering potential embodying m any-body elects. This approach was initiated in the work of Overhauser [7], who constructed a simple m odel for the scattering potential in 3D as the electrostatic potential of an electron surrounded by a W igner-Seitz sphere of neutralizing background. This potential vanishes outside the sphere, allowing simple analytic expressions to be obtained in this way for $g_{*\#}(0)$ and for the s-wave scattering length [7]. Later remements have involved an accurate num erical solution of Overhauser's two-body Schrödinger equation [8] and a self-consistent H artree m odel for the scattering potential [9].

In the pair-scattering approach the value of $g_{*\#}(0)$ is determined by the square modulus of the s-wave component of the pair wave function $\binom{("\#)}{k}$; (r), averaged over the probability p(k) of noting two electrons with given relative momentum k: that is,

$$g_{\#}(0) = \frac{1}{r} h j_{k} \sum_{k'=0}^{(\#)} (0) f_{p(k)}$$
(1)

in D = 2. Here, $k_{i} = 0$ (r) is the solution of the Schrödinger equation

$$\frac{h^2}{m}\frac{d^2}{dr^2} = \frac{h^2}{4m r^2} + V_{KS}^{("\#)}(r) = \frac{h^2k^2}{m} \frac{("\#)}{k_{i}'=0}(r) = \frac{h^2k^2}{m} \frac{("\#)}{k_{i}'=0}(r)$$
(2)

where $V_{KS}^{("\#)}(r)$ has been shown to be the K ohn-Sham electron of opposite spin [10]. The function p(k) can be evaluated from the free-electron momentum distribution, the result obtained by Z iesche et al. [11] for the 2D electron gas being

$$p(k) = \frac{16k}{k_F^2} \arccos \frac{k}{k_F} - \frac{k}{k_F} - \frac{k^2}{k_F^2} = (3)$$

Here, $k_F = \frac{p}{2} = (r_s a_B)$ is the Ferm i wave number, with $r_s a_B$ related to the areal density n of electrons by $r_s a_B = (n)^{1=2}$. The determ ination of $g_{*\#}(0)$ thus hinges in this method on a suitable approximation for the scattering potential $V_{KS}^{(\#)}(r)$.

A direct extension of 0 verhauser's model to the 2D case su ers from the di culty that the electrical potential due to an electron plus its neutralizing W igner-Seitz disc does not vanish outside the disc [12, 13]. Furtherm ore, inclusion of exchange and correlation through a spin-dependent scattering potential is needed to account for the emergence of a rst-neighbour shell already at relatively low values of the coupling strength in this case [14]. We report in Figure 1 the results that we have obtained from Eq. (2) for $g_{r#}$ (0) in 2D, by using the self-consistent spin-dependent potentials proposed in R ef. [10]. That is,

$$V_{KS}^{(0)}(q) = v(q) + \sum_{\infty}^{X} v(q) [L G \omega(q)] [S \omega \omega(q) \omega \omega]$$
(4)

where the sum runs over the two spin orientations, $v(q) = 2 e^2 = q$ is the bare Coulomb potential, and G \circ are the local-eld factors describing the e ects of exchange and short-range correlations in the dielectric and magnetic response of the 2D electron gas, that we have taken from QMC data of M oroniet al. [15, 16] as tted by interpolation form ulae in Re . [17,18]. Finally, the functions S \circ (q) in Eq. (4) are the partial liquid structure factors, which are related to the spin-resolved pair distribution functions by

$$S \circ (q) = \circ + \frac{n}{2} d^2 r [g \circ (r) \ 1] exp(iq r) :$$
 (5)

The dependence of the scattering potentials in Eq. (4) on the pair distribution functions requires a self-consistent solution of the elective two-body Schrodinger equations for both $g_{""}$ (r) and $g_{"\#}$ (r) (see Ref. [10]).

Figure 1 plots the quantity $r_s g(0) = r_s g_{"\#}(0)=2$ as a function of the coupling strength r_s , as a device for emphasizing the large r_s region where $g_{"\#}(0)$ is becoming very small at the expense of the low $-r_s$ region where $g_{"\#}(0)$ can be calculated perturbatively [12]. Together with our present results we report those calculated by Isaw a and Yasuhara [19] and by N agano et al. [20,21] in the ladder approximation and those obtained in a QMC study by Dr. S.M oroni (private communication). The agreement between these two basic theoretical approaches with each other and with the QMC data is quite remarkable. It is also worth noticing from F igure 1 that the use of the Hartree model in the scattering approach [9] leads to results of good quantitative value for $g_{"\#}(0)$.

Figure 1 reports also some other theoretical results, which appear to be rather di erent from the evidence discussed just above. These are (i) the results reported by Freem an [22] from a coupled-cluster sum mation of ladder diagram s; (ii) the results obtained by representing the scattering potential in 2D through simple electrostatic models [12, 13]; and (iii) the results taken up to r_s ' 5 from the work of Bulutay and Tanatar [23], who used a classical-m ap hypernetted chain (CHNC) model proposed by D harm a-wardana and Perrot [24].

A similar examination of theoretical values for $r_sg_{*\#}(0)$ as a function of r_s in the 3D electron gas is displayed in F igure 2. W hile in this case the lack of data on local-eld factors has prevented a self-consistent calculation including exchange and correlation in the scattering potentials, F igure 2 shows that the H artree m odel results [9] are again in quite good agreement with those obtained by the evaluation of ladder diagram s [3] and from the QMC study of 0 rtiz and B allone [25]. F igure 2 also reports the results obtained with 0 verhauser's electrostatic m odel for the scattering potential [7, 8], which in the 3D case are in good agreement with the other evidence discussed just above. There are appreciable discrepancies, how ever, with the predictions given by an interpolation form ula reported by G ori-G iorgiet al. [26] from unpublished QMC work by 0 rtiz and cow orkers.

In sum m ary, we have discussed the status of various theoretical approaches to the calculation of the pair distribution function at contact in the electron gas. In view of the demonstrated sensitivity of this quantity to the details of the

theory and to the role of exchange and short-range correlations, especially in the case D = 2, further accurate studies by quantum simulation techniques would be useful.

A ckow ledgem ents

This work was partially funded by M IUR under the PR IN 2001 Initiative. We thank Dr. S. M oroni for providing us with his unpublished QMC data reported in Figure 1.

References

- [1] O.Gunnarsson, M.Jonson, B.J.Lundqvist, Phys. Rev. B 20 (1979) 3136.
- [2] M. Polini, M. P. Tosi, Phys. Rev. B 63 (2001) 045118.
- [3] H. Yasuhara, Solid State Commun. 11 (1972) 1481.
- [4] B B J. Hede, J.P. Carbotte, Can. J. Phys. 50 (1972) 1756.
- [5] D N. Lowy, G E. Brown, Phys. Rev. B 12 (1975) 2138.
- [6] K.S. Singwi, M.P. Tosi, R.H. Land, A. Sjolander, Phys. Rev. 176 (1968) 589.
- [7] A W . Overhauser, Can. J. Phys. 73 (1995) 683.
- [8] P.Gori-Giorgi, J.P. Perdew, Phys. Rev. B 64 (2001) 155102.
- [9] B. Davoudi, M. Polini, R. Asgari, M. P. Tosi, Phys. Rev. B 66 (2002) 075110.
- [10] B.Davoudi, M.Polini, R.Asgari, M.P.Tosi, cond-mat/0206456.
- [11] P.Ziesche, J.Tao, M. Seidl, J.P. Perdew, Int. J.Quantum Chem. 77 (2000) 819.
- [12] M. Polini, G. Sica, B. Davoudi, M. P. Tosi, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 13 (2001) 3591.
- [13] J.Moreno, D.C.Marinescu, cond-mat/0210137.
- [14] F. Capurro, R. Asgari, B. Davoudi, M. Polini, M. P. Tosi, Z. Naturforsch. 57a (2002) 237.
- [15] S.Moroni, D.M. Ceperley, G. Senatore, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69 (1992) 1837.
- [16] S.Moroni, D.M. Ceperley, G. Senatore, Phys. Rev. Lett. 75 (1995) 689.
- [17] B.Davoudi, M. Polini, G.F.Giuliani, M. P. Tosi, Phys. Rev. B 64 (2001) 153101.
- [18] B.Davoudi, M. Polini, G.F.Giuliani, M.P. Tosi, Phys. Rev. B 64 (2001) 233110.
- [19] Y. Isawa, H. Yasuhara, Solid State Commun. 46 (1983) 807.
- [20] S.Nagano, K.S.Singwi, S.Ohnishi, Phys. Rev. B 29 (1984) 1209.
- [21] S.Nagano, K.S.Singwi, S.Ohnishi, Phys. Rev. B 31 (1985) 3166.
- [22] D L.Freem an, J.Phys. C 16 (1983) 711.
- [23] C. Bulutay, B. Tanatar, Phys. Rev. B 65 (2002) 195116.
- [24] M W C.Dham a-wardana, F.Perrot, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84 (2000) 959.
- [25]G.Ortiz, P.Ballone, Phys. Rev. B 50 (1994) 1391.
- [26] P.Gori-Giorgi, F.Sacchetti, G.B.Bachelet, Phys. Rev. B 61 (2000) 7353.



 r_s FIG.1. The pair distribution function g(0) as a function of r_s in the 2D electron gas (multiplication of g(0) by r_s enhances the strong-coupling regime). The present results are shown as dots and the QMC data as crosses. The meaning of the other symbols is as follows: PSDT and MM from Re . [12] and [13], respectively; CHNC, from Ref. [23]; Hartree, from Ref. [9]; IY, NSO from Re . [19-21]; Freeman, from Ref. [22]. The present results for $r_sg(0)$, as well as the QMC and Hartree ones, involve an extrapolation of the values of $g_{r_{\#}}(0)$ to r = 0, that we have carried out with the help of the cusp-condition law, $g_{r_{\#}}(r) = g_{r_{\#}}(0) [1 + \frac{12}{2}r_s (rk_F) + :::].$



 r_s FIG.2. The pair distribution function $r_sg(0)$ as a function of r_s in the 3D electron gas. The QMC data from Ref. [25] are shown as crosses. The meaning of the other symbols is as follows: Overhauser and GP from Ref. [7] and [8], respectively; Hartree, from Ref. [9]; Y from Ref. [3]; GSB, from Ref. [26]. The cusp-condition law in 3D is $g_{"\#}(r) = g_{"\#}(0) [1 + (9 = 4)^{-1=3} r_s (rk_F) + :::].$