

Three-body non-additive forces between spin-polarized alkali atoms

Pavel Soldan, Marko T. Cvitas, and Jeremy M. Hutson

Department of Chemistry, University of Durham, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, England

(Dated: April 14, 2024)

Three-body non-additive forces in systems of three spin-polarized alkali atoms (Li, Na, K, Rb and Cs) are investigated using high-level *ab initio* calculations. The non-additive forces are found to be large, especially near the equilateral equilibrium geometries. For Li, they increase the three-atom potential well depth by a factor of 4 and reduce the equilibrium interatomic distance by 0.9 Å. The non-additive forces originate principally from chemical bonding arising from *sp* mixing effects.

PACS numbers: 34.20.Mg, 31.50.Bc

There is at present great interest in the properties of Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) formed in dilute gases of alkali atoms, and in particular in molecule formation in condensates by processes such as photoassociation [1, 2, 3], magnetic tuning of Feshbach resonances [4] and three-body recombination [5, 6]. Once molecules have been formed, their fate depends largely on collisional processes such as inelastic and reactive scattering, which can release kinetic energy and result in the molecules being ejected from the trap. Calculations on such processes require potential energy surfaces for the three-atom system. In the absence of better information, calculations on three-body recombination have mostly used pairwise-additive three-atom potentials based on atom-atom pair potentials [7]. The rationale for this is that spin-polarized alkali atoms are "honorary rare gas atoms", and that the binding between them is dominated by dispersion forces, which are nearly pairwise additive. However, it is known that non-additive forces are significant in spin-polarized Na_3 [8], and we have recently shown [9] that such terms can affect ultra-low-energy cross sections for the process $\text{Na} + \text{Na}_2$ ($v = 1$) \rightarrow $\text{Na} + \text{Na}_2$ ($v = 0$) by at least a factor of 10.

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate the magnitude of the nonadditive terms for the complete series of homonuclear alkali trimers. We focus on potential energy surfaces for quartet states of the three-atom systems, corresponding to interaction of spin-polarized atoms. These are the surfaces that are most important in condensates, and are also the ones for which pairwise additivity appears to be a sensible first approximation. The corresponding doublet surfaces involve strong chemical bonding, and are complicated by the presence of conical intersections at equilateral geometries [10, 11].

The approach we have taken is to perform *ab initio* calculations using a single-reference restricted open-shell variant [12] of the coupled cluster method [13] with single, double and noniterative triple excitations [RCCSD(T)]. All the calculations were performed using the MOLPRO package [14]. The three-atom interaction potential can be decomposed into a sum of additive and non-additive

contributions,

$$V_{\text{trimer}}(r_{12}; r_{23}; r_{13}) = \sum_{i < j} V_{\text{dimer}}(r_{ij}) + V_3(r_{12}; r_{23}; r_{13}) \quad (1)$$

The full counterpoise correction of Boys and Bernardi [15] was employed to compensate for basis set superposition error in both dimer and trimer calculations.

The basis sets used in the *ab initio* calculations were as follows. For Li and Na we used the same basis sets as were used by Halls et al. [16] and by Gutowski and coworkers [8, 17] respectively. For K, Rb and Cs, we used the small-core ECP10MWB, ECP28MWB and ECP46MWB effective core potentials (ECPs) of Leininger et al. [18]. These quasirelativistic [19] ECPs treat the $1s^2$ ($n^2 0s-2p^6$ ($n-2$) d^{10}) electrons as core and the $(n-1)s^2$ ($n-1$) $p^6 ns^1$ electrons as valence. To these ECPs, medium-size uncontracted valence basis sets were added [20, 21, 22]. The resulting atomic electric dipole polarizabilities for Li, K, Rb and Cs (165.6, 294.2, 319.34 and 402.38 a_0^3) are in excellent agreement with the corresponding experimental values [23, 24] (164.0 \pm 3.4, 292.8 \pm 6.1, 319.2 \pm 6.1 and 402.2 \pm 8.1 a_0^3), while that for Na is slightly too high (166.3 a_0^3 compared to 162.7 \pm 0.8 a_0^3).




FIG. 1: RCCSD(T) interaction energies of spin-polarized alkali dimers.




FIG. 2: RCCSD (T) interaction energies of spin-polarized alkali trimers at D_{3h} geometries (a) total nonadditive potentials; (b) additive potentials.




FIG. 3: RCCSD (T) interaction energies of spin-polarized alkali trimers at the D_{1h} geometries (a) total nonadditive potentials; (b) additive potentials.

The RCCSD (T) potential energy curves obtained for the $a^3 \Sigma_u^+$ states of the alkali dimers are shown in Fig. 1. The curve characteristics, $V_{min} = D_e$ and r_e , are summarized in Table I. The RCCSD (T) results for Li_2 agree very closely (within 0.1 cm^{-1}) with those obtained by Halls et al. [16] using unrestricted quadratic configuration interaction calculations ($D_e = 334.145 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $r_e = 4.1686 \text{ Å}$), which in turn give excellent agreement with the RKR curve obtained from optical-optical double resonance (OODR) spectra on 7Li_2 ($D_e = 333.76 \pm 0.02 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $r_e = 4.173 \text{ Å}$) [25, 26]. The RCCSD (T) results for Na_2 agree very well with those obtained by Gutowski [17] using unrestricted coupled-cluster calculations and employing the same basis set ($D_e = 173.926 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $r_e = 5.218 \text{ Å}$). Neither our results nor Gutowski's agree very well with the RKR curve obtained from OODR spectra ($D_e = 175.76 \pm 0.35 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $r_e = 5.108(5) \text{ Å}$) [27], but the accuracy of the RKR curve for Na_2 has been questioned [17, 28]. For K_2 , the RCCSD (T) results are in very good agreement with experimental results on $^{39}K_2$ ($D_e = 252.74 \pm 0.12 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $r_e = 5.7725(20) \text{ Å}$) [29, 30].

The RCCSD (T) potentials obtained for the ground states of the quartet alkali trimers are shown in Figs. 2 and 3 for equilateral (D_{3h}) and symmetric linear (D_{1h}) geometries respectively. The full trimer potentials are compared with pairwise-additive potentials based on the triplet dimer potential in each case. The potential characteristics, $V_{min} = D_e$ and r_e for the global minima and V_{sp} and r_{sp} for the linear saddle points, are listed in Table I.

The quartet trimers all have equilibrium interatomic distances (at D_{3h} geometries) that are substantially shorter than those of the triplet dimers, by an amount that decreases steadily down the series from 0.94 Å in Li_3 to 0.59 Å in Cs_3 . The three-atom potentials are all correspondingly deeper than pairwise sums of dimer potentials, by a factor that is more than 4 for Li_3 but is 1.3 to 1.5 for the heavier alkalis. The non-additive contributions V_3 to the interaction energies at the equilibrium geometries vary from approximately 120% for Li to 50% for Cs. These figures are much larger than for systems such as the rare gas trimers, where the non-additive con-

TABLE I: RCCSD (T) values of r_e (Å), r_{sp} (Å), $V_{min} = D_e$ (cm^{-1}), V_{sp} (cm^{-1}), and V_3 (cm^{-1}) for spin-polarized alkali dimers and trimers.

	Dimer		Trimer D_{3h}			Trimer D_{1h}		
	r_e	V_{min}	r_e	V_{min}	V_3	r_{sp}	V_{sp}	V_3
Li	4.169	-334.046	3.103	-4022	-5260	3.78	-968	-354
Na	5.214	-174.025	4.428	-837	-663	5.10	-381	-27
K	5.786	-252.567	5.084	-1274	-831	5.67	-569	-52
Rb	6.208	-221.399	5.596	-995	-513	6.13	-483	-15
Cs	6.581	-246.786	5.992	-1139	-562	6.52	-536	-32

tributions are closer to 0.5% -2.5% [31, 32] and produce a weakening rather than a strengthening of the binding. However, the figures are quite similar to those for the alkaline-earth trimers, where the non-additive contributions range from about 100% for Be_3 to 60% for Ca_3 [33].

The RCCSD (T) two-body and three-body interaction potentials can be decomposed into self-consistent field (SCF) and correlation contributions. The correlation contribution dominates at long range, but is overcome by the SCF contribution when orbital overlap is significant. For triplet alkali dimers, as for rare gas dimers, the SCF potentials are repulsive and the main attractive forces arise from interatomic correlation (dispersion).

The qualitative similarity between alkali and rare gas atoms does not, however, extend to three-body forces. For rare gases, a large part of the three-body energy comes from the non-additive dispersion interaction. The leading long-range term in this is the Axilrod-Teller-Muto (ATM) triple-dipole term [34, 35], which dies off as r^{-3} in each of the interatomic distances; the ATM term is repulsive near equilateral configurations but attractive near linear configurations. Simulations of rare gas solids and liquids using accurate pair potentials and the ATM term as the only non-additive contribution have proved remarkably accurate [36].

For alkali atoms, by contrast, there is a large attractive contribution to the three-body non-additive energy that exists even at the SCF level. The SCF values for V_3 at the potential minima are within 20% of the coupled-cluster values (110% for Li, 90% for Na, 100% for K and Rb, and 120% for Cs). This arises because, for alkali and alkaline-earth atoms, there are vacant np orbitals that lie relatively close to the ns orbitals. The np orbitals can form bonding and antibonding molecular orbitals (MOs) of the same symmetry (a_1^0 and e^0) as those formed from the ns orbitals. The sets of MOs of the same symmetry interact, lowering the energy of the occupied MOs and contributing to bonding. In chemical terms, this is essentially sp hybridization.

To verify that this is indeed the mechanism, we have carried out natural orbital population analyses [37] of the SCF wavefunctions for quartet alkali trimers. The results

TABLE II: Natural atomic orbital populations of spin-polarized alkali trimers and dimers at the corresponding global minima.

	Trimer			Dimer	
	ns	npr	np _t	ns	np _z
Li	0.743	0.046	0.197	0.992	0.005
Na	0.985	0.003	0.009	0.998	0.001
K	0.949	0.011	0.034	0.995	0.003
Rb	0.975	0.006	0.014	0.996	0.003
Cs	0.947	0.012	0.030	0.995	0.003

are shown in Table II. At D_{3h} geometries, the three atoms lie on a circle and the p-orbital populations may be separated into radial and tangential parts. For Li_3 , the population in radial np_r orbitals is 0.046 and that in tangential np_t orbitals is 0.197. For the other alkalis the fractions are much smaller, but still significantly larger than the populations of np_z orbitals in the corresponding triplet dimers.

We conclude that non-additive terms make substantial contributions to the potential energy surfaces for three-atom systems involving spin-polarized alkali atoms. For Li, the full potential including non-additive terms is a factor of 4 deeper than suggested by pairwise additivity. For all the alkalis, the non-additive forces are strongest at geometries near the equilateral equilibrium configuration. A large part of the non-additive forces exists even at the SCF level, and arises from spin mixing effects of a type that cannot exist in rare gas systems. Non-additive dispersion forces are important at long range, but make a relatively small contribution around the potential minimum.

We are currently working on generating complete potential energy surfaces for the alkali trimers, for use in quantum dynamical collision calculations at ultralow energies.

The authors are grateful to the EPSRC for support under research grant no. GR/R17522/01. JMH is grateful to JILA, University of Colorado and National Institute of Standards and Technology, for its hospitality in 2001-02.

-
- [1] R. Wynar, R. S. Freeland, D. J. Han, C. Ryu, and D. J. Heinzen, *Science* 287, 1016 (2000).
 - [2] J. M. Gerton, D. Strelakov, I. Prodan and R. G. Hulet, *Nature* 408, 692 (2000).
 - [3] C. McKenzie, J. H. Denschlag, H. Harner, A. Browaeys, et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 88, 120403 (2002).
 - [4] E. A. Doney, N. R. Claussen, S. T. Thompson and C. E. Wieman, *Nature* 417, 529 (2002).
 - [5] D. M. Stamper-Kurn, M. R. Andrews, A. P. Chikkatur, S. Inouye, H.-J. Miesner, J. Stenger, and W. Ketterle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 80, 2027 (1998).
 - [6] J. L. Roberts, N. R. Claussen, S. L. Comish, and C. E.

- Wiemann, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 728 (2000).
- [7] B. D. Esry, C. H. Greene, and J. P. Burke, Jr., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1751 (1999).
- [8] J. Higgins, T. Hollebeek, J. Reho, T.-S. Ho, K. K. Lehmann, H. Rabitz, and G. Scoles, J. Chem. Phys. 112, 5751 (2000).
- [9] P. Soldan, M. T. C. Vitas, J. M. Hutson, P. Honvault, and J.-M. Launay, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 153201 (2002).
- [10] H. C. Longuet-Higgins, U. Opik, M. H. L. Pryce, and R. A. Sack, Proc. R. Soc. London A 244, 1 (1958).
- [11] F. Cocchini, T. H. Upton, and W. Andreoni, J. Chem. Phys. 88, 6068 (1988).
- [12] P. J. Knowles, C. Hampel and H.-J. Werner, J. Chem. Phys. 99, 5219 (1993); erratum J. Chem. Phys. 112, 3106 (2000).
- [13] J. Czek, J. Chem. Phys. 45, 4526 (1966).
- [14] MOLPRO is a package of ab initio programs written by H.-J. Werner and P. J. Knowles with contributions from others; for more information see the www page <http://www.tc.bham.ac.uk/molpro/>.
- [15] S. F. Boys and F. Bernardi, Mol. Phys. 19, 553 (1970).
- [16] M. D. Halls, H. B. Schlegel, M. J. DeWitt, and G. W. F. Drake, Chem. Phys. Lett. 339, 427 (2001).
- [17] M. Gutowski, J. Chem. Phys. 110, 4695 (1999).
- [18] T. Leininger, A. Niclass, V. Kuchle, H. Stoll, M. Dolg, and A. Bergner, Chem. Phys. Lett. 255, 274 (1996).
- [19] J. J. Wood and A. M. Boring, Phys. Rev. B 18, 27016 (1978).
- [20] The K valence basis set used for potassium consisted of the (11s9p5d1f) uncontracted basis functions of exponents s: 8.192, 4.096, 2.048, 1.024, 0.512, 0.256, 0.128, 0.064, 0.032, 0.016, 0.008; p: 15.0, 2.56, 1.28, 0.64, 0.32, 0.16, 0.08, 0.04, 0.006; d: 2.43, 0.81, 0.27, 0.09, 0.03; f: 0.08.
- [21] The Rb valence basis set used for potassium consisted of the 11s9p5d1f uncontracted basis functions of exponents s: 25.0, 10.0, 4.0, 1.6, 0.64, 0.256, 0.1024, 0.04096, 0.016384, 0.0065536, 0.00262144; p: 15.0, 2.838138, 1.371168, 0.6624, 0.32, 0.154589, 0.0746809, 0.0360777, 0.006; d: 1.35, 0.45, 0.15, 0.05, 0.016667; f: 0.07.
- [22] The Cs valence basis set used for potassium consisted of the 11s9p5d1f uncontracted basis functions of exponents s: 52.508541, 18.106394, 6.243584, 2.152960, 0.7424, 0.256, 0.0882759, 0.0304400, 0.0104965, 0.00361949, 0.00124810; p: 15.0, 3.070625, 1.445, 0.68, 0.32, 0.150588, 0.0519270, 0.0179059, 0.006; d: 0.9, 0.3, 0.1, 0.0333333, 0.0111111; f: 0.07.
- [23] R. W. M. Obf, H. L. Schwartz, T. M. Miller, and B. Bederson, Phys. Rev. A 10, 1131 (1974).
- [24] C. R. Ekstrom, J. Schmiedmayer, M. S. Chapman, T. D. Hammond, and D. E. Pritchard, Phys. Rev. A 51, 3883 (1995).
- [25] E. R. I. Abraham, W. I. McA Alexander, J. M. Gerton, R. G. Hulet, R. Côté, and A. Dalgarno, Phys. Rev. A 55, R3299 (1997).
- [26] C. Linton, F. Martin, A. J. Ross, I. Russier, P. Crozet, A. Tziannopoulou, L. Li, and A. M. Lyyra, J. Mol. Spectrosc. 196, 20 (1999).
- [27] E. J. Friedman-Hill and R. W. Field, J. Chem. Phys. 96, 2444 (1992). Note that ref. 17 gives a corrected value of $r_e = 5.108(5)$.
- [28] V. S. Ivanov, V. B. Sovkov, L. Li, A. M. Lyyra, T. J. Wang, and S. Magnier, J. Chem. Phys. 114, 6077 (2001).
- [29] L. Li, A. M. Lyyra, W. T. Luh, and W. C. Stwalley, J. Chem. Phys. 93, 8452 (1990).
- [30] G. Zhao, W. T. Zemke, J. T. Kim, Bing Ji, H. Wang, J. T. Bahns, W. C. Stwalley, L. Li, A. M. Lyyra, and C. Amiot, J. Chem. Phys. 105, 7976 (1996).
- [31] I. Reggen and J. Almlof, J. Chem. Phys. 102, 7095 (1995).
- [32] V. F. Lotrich and K. Szalewicz, J. Chem. Phys. 106, 9688 (1997).
- [33] I. G. Kaplan, S. Roszak, and J. Leszczynski, J. Chem. Phys. 113, 6245 (2000).
- [34] B. M. Axilrod and E. Teller, J. Chem. Phys. 11, 299 (1943).
- [35] Y. Muto, Proc. Phys. Math. Soc., Japan 17, 629 (1943).
- [36] J. A. Barker, Mol. Phys. 57, 755 (1986).
- [37] A. E. Reed, R. B. Weinstock, and F. Weinhold, J. Chem. Phys. 83, 735 (1985).