SU (4) Spin-O rbital Two-Leg Ladder, Square and Triangle Lattices

Shun-Qing Shen

Departm ent of Physics, The University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China

(D ated: A pril 14, 2024)

Based on the generalized valence bond picture, a Schwinger boson mean eld theory is applied to the symmetric SU (4) spin-orbital systems. For a two-leg SU (4) ladder, the ground state is a spin-orbital liquid with a nite energy gap, in good agreement with recent numerical calculations. In two-dimensional square and triangle lattices, the SU (4) Schwinger bosons condense at (=2; =2)and (=3; =3), respectively. Spin, orbital, and coupled spin-orbital static susceptibilities become e singular at the wave vectors, twice of which the bose condensation arises at. It is also demonstrated that there are spin, orbital, and coupled spin-orbital long-range orderings in the ground state.

PACS num bers: PACS num bers: 75.10.-b, 75.10.Jm, 75.40 M g

Recently the properties of spin system s with orbital degeneracy are attracting a bt of attentions.¹ Several spinorbitalm odels are proposed in various kinds of materials, such as C_{60} ,² N iLiO₃,³ N a₂T i₂Sb₂O,⁴ and LaM nO₃.⁵ Interplay of spin and orbital degrees of freedom produces not only new magnetic structure phases but also novel quantum ordered and disordered states such as orbital density wave and spin-orbital liquids. A simplied and symmetric model for these systems is^{6,7}

$$H = \frac{1}{2} X J (2S_{i} S_{i} + 1=2) (2T_{i} T_{i+} + 1=2);$$
(1)

where the operators S and T are SU (2) Paulim atrices for the spin and orbital degrees of freedom, respectively. The vector points to the nearest neighboring sites. It is already known that the modelpossesses SU (4) sym metry, and can be derived from a quarter-lled electronic m odel with two-fold orbital degeneracy by ignoring the H und's rule couplings and taking the large on-site C ou lom b interaction. High symmetry in this spin-orbitalm odelm eans strong correlations between spins and orbitals. In an SU (4) symmetric state, the correlation functions for fteen generators of the SU (4) Lie group are isotropic. The spin, orbital, and coupled spin-orbital degrees of freedom must be treated on equal footing.^{3,8} Over last a few years this model was studied extensively. In one dimension (1D), it is fairly understood analytically and num erically.^{9,10,11,12,13} The 1D model can be solved by m eans of Bethe ansatz, and its ground state is described by a gapless spin liquid, sim ilar to the SU (2) Heisenberg model. In two dimension (2D), it is relatively less understood. Li, et al.³ rst argued that an SU (4) singlet plaquette state contains at least four sites, and a collection of such SU (4) singlets may lead to a spin liquid state. This picture is realized very well in two-leg laddermodel,¹⁴ and som e solvable models.¹⁵ So far it is not clearwhether such an idea can be realized in 2D.Except num erical diagonalization on sm all clusters 16 and series expansion,¹⁷ there has been no solid results as whether the ground state is long-range ordered or a spin-orbital liquid.

In this paper, the properties of the generalized valence bond state consisting of the SU (4) singlets are discussed in detail for the model (Eq.(1)) in a SU (4) Schwinger boson mean eld theory. The ground state of the system can be either ordered or disordered, depending on the dimensionality and lattice topological structure. In a two-leg ladder system, we nd that the ground state is a spin liquid state with a nite energy gap, which is in good agreem ent with recent num erical calculations by van den Bossche.¹⁴ In square and triangle lattices, the Schwinger bosons condense at zero tem peratures, i.e., the Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) occurs and is identi ed as the indication of LROs in the ground state. The relation between BEC and LROs is illustrated explicitly in spin, orbital, and spin-orbital static transverse susceptibilities, which become singular at the wave vectors (=2; =2) and (=3; =3) for the respective square and triangle lattices, leading to nite staggered magnetizations for the spin, orbital, and coupled spin-orbital densities in the therm odynam ic lim it. Thus, three Goldstone modes are found. A coording to the calculated susceptibilities, the spin, orbital, and spin-orbital LRO s m ay coexist, but the direction of spontaneous symmetry breaking will determine the properties of the ground state.

In general, for the spin-1/2 system with double orbital degeneracy, there are four local states on each site i according to the eigenvalues of S_i^z and i_i^z : jli = j+1=2;+1=2i, j2i = j 1=2;+1=2i, j3i = j+1=2; 1=2i, j4i = j 1=2; 1=2i. Four Schwinger bosons can be introduced to describe these four states: $ji = a^{y} Di$ where Di is the vacuum states and = $\frac{1}{2}$; 2; 3; 4. There [±]₌₁ a^y_i a_i = has to be imposed a local constraint, 1 on each lattice site. The permutation operator $P_{ij} = 2S_i$ $S_j + \frac{1}{2}$ $2T_i$ $T_j + \frac{1}{2}$ is to exchange the two states on the sites i and j, P_{ij} j; j i = j; j i. M or eover, ${\tt P}_{\rm ij}$ can be expressed in terms of the four hard-core bosons as $P_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}$; $a_i^y a_i a_{jp}^y a_j$. An SU (4) singlet is de ned by SU₄(i; j;k;l) = ;;; ;;;; $a_{i}^{y} a_{j}^{y} a_{k}^{y} a_{l}^{y}$) $\hat{D}i$, where ; ; ; is an antisymmetric tensor. W hen the model H am iltonian Eq.(1) has only four lattice sites, an SU (4) singlet is always the lowest energy state for J 0. According to the group theory, the

SU (4) sym metric state for a lattice with 4n sites (n is integer) can be regarded as a linear combination of all states consisting of n SU (4) singlets.¹⁵ T his is a generalization of the Anderson's resonating valence bond (VB) state¹⁸ from the spin SU (2) system to the SU (4) system. A s is well-known in the Heisenberg model, a short-range VB state may describe a spin liquid state with a nite energy gap,¹⁹ and a long-range VB state may possess antiferrom agnetic LRO.²⁰ A Schwinger boson mean eld theory based on the short-range VB state was proposed for the spin SU (2) system s by Auerbach and A rovas,²¹ which successfully describes either ordered or disordered quantum states. Very recently, the theory was applied to the spin-orbital system s by the present author and his collaborator.⁸

To realize the generalized VB state in the SU (4) spinorbital system, the model H am iltonian is rew ritten as

$$H = \frac{1}{4} X A_{i;i+}^{Y} A_{i;i+} A_{i;i+} ;;$$

$$+ X X^{4} A_{i;i+}^{Y} A_{i;i+} A_{i;i+}$$

where $A_{i;j;}$; = $a_i a_j$ $a_i a_j$ and N is the total number of lattice sites. A ntisymmetric operators $A_{i;j;}$; are introduced for the purpose of the mean eld calculations. The following theory is limited to the case J 0. The local Lagrangian multiplier is also used to impose the local constraint for the hard-core bosons on average. In the mean eld approximation we will take all $_i$ = . The therm odynamic averages of the operators A_{ij} ; are de ned as the VB order parameters, $h_{i;i+i}$; i 2i ; (), which are odd functions with respect to either the indices , or the vector direction . In the momentum space, we de ne

W e de ne an eight-com ponent spinor

$$a_{k1}^{y} = (a_{k1}^{y}; a_{k2}^{y}; a_{k3}^{y}; a_{k4}^{y}; a_{k1}; a_{k2}; a_{k3}; a_{k4}):$$

By utilizing the Paulim atrices (= x;y;z), the decoupled mean eld H am iltonian is thus written in a com – pact matrix form,

$$H = \frac{1}{2} X_{k} Y_{k} (i_{y} B(k))_{k} + E_{0} (3)$$
where $E_{0} = N = P_{J}^{2} () 3 + \frac{1}{2} Y_{J};$

$$0 \\ 0 \\ 12(k) \\ 13(k) \\ 13(k) \\ 14(k) \\ 24(k) \\ 34(k) 0$$

where N is the number of lattice sites. Considering the symmetry in the H am $ltonian_{\ell}^{22}$ there exists two sets

of solutions: (I). $_{12}$ (k) = $_{34}$ (k); $_{13}$ (k) = ₂₄ (k); $_{14}$ (k) = $_{23}$ (k); (II). $_{12}$ (k) = $_{34}$ (k); $_{13}$ (k) = $_{24}$ (k); $_{14}$ (k) = $_{23}$ (k): The physical reason is that an SU (4) singlet plaquette state SU₄ (i; j;k;l) contains four creation operators with di erent sites and indices, and A^Y_{ii} contains only two sites and two indices. To form such an SU (4) singlet plaquette state, the four creation operators on di erent sites should have di erent indices. The relations in the solution (I) and (II) re ect these properties. In each SU (4) singlet plaquette it consists of two con gurations. Each con guration consists of two spin singets and two orbital singlets. The two con gurations are degenerated, but not orthogonal. The double degeneracy of the solutions m ay be related to the properties of SU (4) singlet plaquettes. So these relations will help us to construct the wave function of the generalized SU (4) VB state. We rst focus on the solution (I), and will discuss the results of the solution (II). The single-particle G reen function is given by

$$= \frac{i!_{n} + i_{2} + i_{3} +$$

where $_{1} = _{z} _{0} _{0}, _{2} = _{y} _{0} _{y}, _{3} = _{y} _{y} _{z}$, and $_{4} = _{y} _{y} _{x}$. There is only one four fold degenerate quasiparticle spectrum :

from which the free energy for the system is evaluated

$$F = -\frac{4}{k} \sum_{k}^{X} \ln [1 \exp [1 (k)] + 2 \sum_{k}^{X} ! (k) + E_{0}: (6)$$

The saddle point equations are thus derived by m in im izing the free energy with respect to the mean eld variables and (). We can deduce the VB order param eters according to the symmetry of () and of lattice.

Now we apply the general form alism to the SU (4) m odelon several lattices. We rst study the two-leg ladder m odel. Recent num erical study has shown that its ground state is a spin-orbital liquid with a nite energy gap,¹⁴ which can be regarded as a realization of SU (4) plaquette state, or a short-range generalized VB state. Here the isotropic case is considered $J_k = J_2 = J$ so that we introduce two sets of VB order parameters: (x) along the ladder and (y) along the rungs. Special attention should be paid for the direction along the rung. The momentum along the rung has two discrete values. The spectra are given by

$$! (k) = {\begin{array}{*{20}c} q & \\ \hline 2 & 16J^2 & {}^2_k (\sin k)^2 \end{array}}$$
(7)

where ${}^2_k = {}^2_{12}(x) + {}^2_{13}(x) + {}^2_{14}(x)$ and =(y)=2 (x) is determined by the mean eld equations²³. Two branches of spectra have a relation:

 $!_{+}(k) = !$ (k). The saddle point equations are determ ined by m in in izing the free energy with respect to the mean eld variables

$$Z = \frac{\frac{dk}{2} \frac{dk}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{k}}}{\frac{dk}{2} \frac{(\sin k + \frac{1}{2})^{2}}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\frac{k}{2} \frac{k}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{k}}}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{k}}}{\frac{k}{2} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{k}}} [2n_{B}(\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{k})) + 1] = \frac{1 + 2^{2}}{2J} (3b)$$

where $n_B(x)$ is the distribution function for bosons: $n_B(x) = 1 = [exp[x] \ 1]$ and $= 1 = k_B T \cdot A + T = 0;$ $n_{B}(!+(k)) = 0$ when $!+(k) \in 0$: The num erical calculations give rise to = 226574J, $_{k} = 0.35339$, and = 0:55146. The minima of spectra is at k = $=2_{\alpha}$ for $!_{+}$ (k), and at =2 for ! (k): min(! (k)) 2 16J² 2_k (1 +)². From the dynamic suscep-= tibilities of spin, orbital, and spin-orbital operators, we nd that there is a nite energy gap: GAP = $2 \min(! (k)) = 1:138J$. For the second set of solution (II), it also produces the sam e num erical results, degenerated with the rst set of solutions. van den Bossche et. al¹⁴ studied this SU (4) ladderm odel up to 16 sites by an exact diagonalization method, and a nite energy gap has been found in a singlet-multiplet excitation: = 1:09J. The two values are in excellent agreement. A localm inin un at k = -2 in the quasiparticle dispersion was also observed. M oreover, the ground state has also been found to have a two-fold degeneracy in the therm odynam ic lim it, consistent with the two solutions of ours. All these facts can be regarded as strong support for our present theory. It is worth noting that the theory m ay fail for one-dimensional chain to predict a smallenergy gap due to the ignorance of the topological terms or \Pontryagin index", which can destroy the Haldane's gap. The same problem was encountered in the SU (2) theory, and was discussed extensively in one-dimensional spin 1/2 system s.²¹

Next we come to study 2D lattices. Let us consider a square lattice rst. In this case, we still assume isotropic couplings $J_x = J_y$. The spectrum for the bosonic quasiparticles can be written as24

$$! (k) = \frac{q}{16J^2} \frac{1}{2} (\sin k_x + \sin k_y)^2; \qquad (9)$$

with $2 = \frac{2}{12} + \frac{2}{13} + \frac{2}{14}$. The minimum of the energy spectra occurs at k = (=2; =2). The saddle point equations are given by,

$$Z = \frac{\frac{dk}{(2)^{2}} \frac{dk}{(k)^{2}}}{\frac{dk}{(2)^{2}} \frac{(k)}{(k)^{2}}} [2n_{B} (! (k)) + 1] = \frac{3}{2} (10)$$

$$Z = \frac{dk}{(2)^{2}} \frac{(k)}{(k)^{2}} \frac{(k)}{(k)^{2}} [2n_{B} (! (k)) + 1] = \frac{z}{4 T} (1)$$

where the coordinate number for square lattice is z = 4. In the present theory the number of bosons in the diagonalized Hamiltonian is not equal to the number of

!(k)

 $(2,)^2$

the hard-core bosons since the Bogoliubov transform ation changes the number of bosons. The quasi-particle number is determined by solutions of the saddle point equations self-consistently. At T = 0 the bosons may condense, i.e., the BEC occurs. The minima of the boson spectra !(k) are at k = k. Since the distribution function becomes singular at !(k) = 0 in Eq.(10), we have to introduce a nite quantity $b_0 =$ $2 [n_B (! (k)) + n_B (! (k))] = [N ! (k)]$ such that the saddle point equations have physical solutions. The nonzero b_0 m ay be used as the order parameters for longrange correlations for the spin, orbital, and coupled spinorbital densities. The saddle point equations are solved num erically. W hen T > 0, $b_0 = 0$. At T = 0, we have = 8J = 3.05905J and $b_0 = 0.1068$. The non-zero b_0 indicates that the BEC occurs on a square lattice.

Another typical 2D lattice is the triangle lattice. For example, NiLiO₃ has a 2D triangle lattice structure, and was modeled as a spin-orbital system^{3,25}. Usually the quantum frustration is anticipated to make quantum uctuations more stronger. Topologically, we can distort a triangle lattice into a square one by introducing a nite diagonal coupling J_{x+y} , and take another diagonal coupling $J_{x y} = 0$. In the present theory we have three sets of order param eters: (x), (y), and (x + y). Focus on the isotropic case $J_x = J_y = J_{x+y}$, then the VB order param eters have a relation: (x) = (y) = . The spectra for the bosonic quasi-(x + y) =

particles is thus given by q.

$$! (k) = {}^{2} 16J^{2} {}^{2} (\sin k_{x} + \sin k_{y} + \sin (k_{x} + k_{y}))^{2};$$
(12)

with $2 = \frac{2}{12} + \frac{2}{13} + \frac{2}{14}$. The minimum of the spectra occurs at k = (=3; =3), which can be shifted away if the couplings are anisotropic. A sim ilar set of saddle point equations are obtained by m in in izing the free energy in Eq. (6). Num erically solving the self-consistent equation at T = 0 gives rise to $= 6 \overline{3J} = 3.57878J$ and $b_0 = 0.155345$. Since ! (k) = 0, the BEC also appears on an isotropic triangle lattice. The coupling J_{x+y} does not enhance the quantum frustration to suppress the BEC completely. The role of J_{x+y} is to force them in im al point from (=2; =2) for $J_{x+y} = 0$ to (=3; =3) for $J_{x+y} = J_x = J_y$. For a more detailed calculations, it is found that the wave vector k changes continuously as a function of $J_{x+y}=J_x$.

In the usual Schwinger boson mean eld theory the BEC is identi ed as the long-range correlations between the SU (2) spins. To establish the relation between the BEC and the long-range orders in the present SU (4) ground state, we calculated the static susceptibilities²⁶

$$_{X}$$
 (q) = $\frac{1}{16N} \int_{k}^{X}$
Tr $_{X}$ G (k + q; = 0) $_{X}$ G (k; = 0[†]; (13)

where X = S for spin S_i^z , X = T for orbital T_i^z , and X = ST for the coupled spin-orbital $2S_{i}^{z}T_{i}^{z}$. These three operators can be expressed in terms of spinors, i = 4; where s = 0 0 z, T = 0 z 0, and sT = 0 z z. From the single particle G reen function Eq.(4), we can calculate the static susceptibilities,

_S (Q)=N
$$\frac{1}{4}b_0^2 \frac{2}{13}$$
 (q = 2k); (14a)

$$_{T} (Q) = N \qquad \frac{1}{4} b_{0}^{2} \frac{2}{12} (q = 2k); \qquad (14b)$$

$$s_{T}$$
 (Q)=N $\frac{1}{4}b_{0}^{2} \frac{2}{14}$ (q = 2k); (14c)

which become singular when q = Q = 2k and higher order terms can be ignored. The correlation functions are proportional to the number of lattice sites once $b_0 \in 0$. These properties are characterized by longrange correlations at the wave vector Q. In the therm odynam ic lim it, the corresponding m agnetizations becom e m $_{\rm X}$ = $\frac{1}{_{\rm X}}$ (Q)=N, which depend on the values of VB order parameters, $\frac{2}{12}$, $\frac{2}{13}$, and $\frac{2}{14}$, respectively. The long-range order is thus at Q = (;) for a square lattice ((;) and (;) are the same vector), and Q = (2 = 3; 2 = 3) or (2 = 3; 2 = 3) for a triangle lattice. The two vectors k correspond to one state for a square lattice, but two equivalent states for a triangle lattice. From the second set of mean eld solutions, we nd that the above relations rem ain if we make a perm utation between S and T. Therefore these two solutions (I) and (II) are degenerated. We believe that the double degeneracy of the ground states observed in our theory is not a result of the mean eld theory, and may have a deep physical origin. The singularity in static susceptibilities also re ects the fact that the collective modes are gapless Goldstone modes. The SU (4) system may have at most three Goldstone mode when the symmetry is broken spontaneously.

W hen ${}^{2}_{12} = {}^{2}_{13} = {}^{2}_{14} = {}^{2}_{=3}$, we have ${}_{s} = {}_{T} =$ $_{\rm S\,T}$. These relations are in agreem ent with the isotropic correlations for spin, orbital and coupled spin-orbital in our SU (4) invariant ground state. In such a mean eld theory, the operator S^z , T^z , and $2S^zT^z$ play an equal role. W hen spin and orbital density operators have longrange correlations, and the coupled spin-orbital density operators also have long-range correlations with the sam e wave vectors. An interesting observation is that the ground state energy depends only on the parameter 2 : It may contain some new states, which is determined by the direction of spontaneous sym metry breaking.²⁸ A ctually, we have the freedom to choose the direction of the spontaneous symmetry breaking in the thermodynamic lim it. For example, an in nitesim al external staggered magnetic eld along the spin z-direction may induce a ground state with $\frac{2}{12} = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{2}{13} = \frac{2}{14} = 0$, where a magnetic long-range order appears only. An in nitesim al John-Teller distortion m ay induce a ground state with $2_{13}^2 = 2_{12}^2$ and $2_{12}^2 = 2_{14}^2 = 0$, where an orbital long-range order shows up. It is also possible for two or m ore types of long-range orders to coexist in the a single ground state.

In conclusion, based on a generalized valence bond state picture, a Schwinger boson mean eld theory is developed for the sym metric SU (4) spin-orbital systems, showing that the ground state for a two-leg ladder model is a spin-orbital liquid with a nite energy gap in low energy excitations, and the ground states for square and triangle lattices possess spin, orbital, and coupled spinorbital long-range orderings.

I would like to thank G.M. Zhang for his helpful discussions. This work was supported by a RGC grant of Hong Kong, and a CRCG grant of The University of Hong Kong.

E lectronic address: sshen@ hkucc.hku.hk

- ¹ Y.Tokura and N.Nagaosa, Science 288, 462 (2001).
- ² D.P.A rovas and A.Auerbach, Phys. Rev. B 52, 10114 (1995).
- ³ Y.Q.Li, M.M.a, D.N.Shi, and F.C.Zhang, Phys.Rev. Lett. 81, 3527 (1998).
- ⁴ S.K.Pati, R.R.P.Singh, D.I.Khom skii, Phys.Rev.Lett. 81, 5406 (1998).
- ⁵ S.Ishihara, J.Inoue, and S.M aekawa, Physica C 263, 130 (1997).
- ⁶ I.Kugeland D.I.Khomskii, Sov.Phys.JETP Lett. 37, 725 (1973).
- ⁷ C.Castellani, C.R.N atoli, and J.R anninger, Phys.Rev. B 18, 4945 (1978).
- ⁸ G.M. Zhang and S.Q. Shen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 157201 (2001).
- ⁹ B.Sutherland, Phys. Rev. B 12, 3795 (1975).
- ¹⁰ P.Azaria, A.O.G ogolin, P.Lechem inant, and A.A.Nersesyan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 624 (1999).

- ¹¹ C. Itoi, S. Q in, and I. A eck, Phys. Rev. B 61, 6747 (2000).
- ¹² B. Frischm uth, F. M ila, and M. Troyer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 835 (1999).
- ¹³ W .Zheng and J.O itm aa, Phys.Rev.B 64,014410 (2001).
- ¹⁴ M. van den Bossche, P. Azaria, P. Lechem inant, and F. M ila, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 4124 (2001).
- ¹⁵ S.Q.Shen, Phys. Rev. B 64, 132411 (2001).
- ¹⁶ M. van den Bossche, F. M ila, and F. C. Zhang, Euro. Phys. J. B 17, 367 (2000).
- ¹⁷ E. Zasinas, O. P. Sushkov, and J. O itm aa, Phys. Rev. B 64, 184431 (2001).
- ¹⁸ P.W .Anderson, Mater.Res.Bull.8, 153 (1973).
- ¹⁹ I.A eck, T.Kennedy, E.H.Lieb, and H.Tasaki, Phys. Rev.Lett. 59, 799 (1987).
- ²⁰ S.Liang, B.D oucot, and P.W .Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 365 (1988); S.Liang, Phys. Rev. B 42, 6555 (1990).
- ²¹ A.Auerbach and D.P.A rovas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 617 (1988); D.P.A rovas and A.Auerbach, Phys. Rev. B 38,

316(1988).For a review, see A .A uerbach, Interacting E lectrons and Quantum M agnetism, (Springer, 1998).

- 22 D iagonalization of the Hamiltonian (Eq.(3)) gives two branches of the double degenerated spectra, $!\,{}_{\scriptscriptstyle +}$ (k) and ! (k). The general expressions of $!_+$ (k) and ! (k) in term s of 6 order param eters are rather lengthy. It can be derived explicitly with the help of the softwares such as M athem atica or M athLab, and generally $!_{+}$ (k) $\in !$ (k). In the case the four types of Schwinger bosons do not play the same role in the mean eld state. Consequently, it will lead to the anisotropy of the spin, orbital and coupled spin-orbital correlation functions, and the solutions have no SU (4) symmetry. To keep the SU (4) symmetry of the state and the isotropic correlation functions we have to m ake som e restriction on the 6 order param eters. W e take $!_{+}(k) = !_{+}(k) = !_{+}(k)$ such that the the spectra become four-fold degenerated. The equality gives the two sets of the solutions.
- ²³ is independent of which can be derived from the mean eld equations if we list all equations for ().
- ²⁴ The spectra in Eqs. (9) and (11) have no rotation symmtries of square and triangle lattices. Under the rotation symmetry we have several other solutions which give

the same physical consequences. It is worthy noting that the dispersion relations for Schwinger bosons are not observables and physical observables are related to the spin operators explicitly.

- ²⁵ F. Reynaud, D. Mertz, F. Celestini, J.-M. Debierre, A. M. Ghorayeb, P. Sim on, A. Stepanov, J. Voiron, and C. Delmas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 3638 (2001).
- ²⁶ J. R. Schrie er, Theory of Superconductivity (Revised printing), (Addison-Wesley, 1984).
- ²⁷ S.Q.Shen and X.C.X ie, J.P hys.C ondens.M atter 8, 4805 (1996), where a sim ilar result was observed in the SO (4) theory of negative U H ubbard m odel for superconductivity and charge density wave.
- ²⁸ The same physics happens in the spin SU (2) Heisenberg model. In an SU (2) invariant state, spin correlation functions along di erent axis are isotropic. W hen there exists LRO in the therm odynam ic limit, an in nitesim alexternal eld is expected to induce the sym metry breaking spontaneously along only one speci c axis, say the z-axis. See P. W .Anderson, Basic Notions of C ondensed M atter Physics (Benjamin-Cummings, London, 1984).