H itting a BEC with a comb: Evolution of interference patterns inside a magnetic trap

J.H.Muller, O.Morsch, M.Cristiani, D.Ciampini, and E.Arimondo

INFM, D ipartim ento di Fisica E Ferm i, U niversita di Pisa, V ia Buonarroti 2, I-56127 Pisa, Italy

A bstract. We study the evolution inside a harmonic trap of Bose-Einstein condensates released from the periodic potential of an optical lattice. A fler a timeof-ight, harmonic motion of the interference peaks is observed as well as a breathing motion in the direction perpendicular to the optical lattice. We interpret these results in terms of a simple physical model and discuss the possibility of more detailed studies of such a system.

PACS num bers: PACS num ber(s): 03.75 Fi,32.80 Pj

1. Introduction

Optical lattices have been used in a number of experiments on Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) in recent years. The periodic potential provided by these lattices has been exploited to study, amongst other things, dynamical phenomena such as Bloch oscillations [1, 2, 3], the Bogoliubov dispersion relation [4, 5] and Josephson oscillations [6] as well as phase properties as manifested in number squeezing [7], the M ott insulator transition [8] and coherent state revivals [9]. In most of these experiments, the interference pattern from the condensates trapped at the potential m inim a of the lattice was studied by switching of the optical lattice and m agnetic trap simultaneously.

In [10], we have already demonstrated that an experimental protocol in which only the magnetic trap is switched o and the condensate is then allowed to expand freely inside the (one-dimensional) optical lattice can be used to infer the chemical potential of the condensate in the combined harmonic plus periodic potential. In the present work, we use a variation on this them e: instead of switching o the magnetic trap and leaving the optical lattice on, we suddenly switch o the optical lattice and allow the condensate to evolve inside the magnetic trap for a variable time. Thereafter, the magnetic trap is also switched o and the condensate is observed after a time-of- ight.

By suddenly switching o the optical lattice, we instantaneously release the condensate from a strongly deform ed potential created locally by the individual wells of the optical lattice. In this way, one expects to excite the full spectrum of possible shape oscillations along the lattice direction and, through the non-linear coupling between the degrees of freedom, also in the other directions.

A fler the conclusion of our experiments, a theoretical paper discussing a scheme similar to ours was brought to our attention [11], and more recently a generalization to three dimensions was explored in [12].

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we outline our setup and the experimental protocol used. Section 3 presents the experimental results, followed by a discussion (Section 4). Finally, we present our conclusions and an outlook on further experiments in section 5.

2. Experim ental setup and protocol

In a triaxial time-orbiting potential (TOP) trap with magnetic trapping frequencies in the ratio $!_x : !_y : !_z$ of $2 : 1 : P_2$ (goes to zero for large trapping frequencies and is typically of the order of 0.2 in the present experiment; z is the downward direction), we create BECs of around 1:5 10^4 rubidium atoms as described in detail in [13]. Once the BEC has formed, the TOP trap is adiabatically relaxed from a mean trap frequency T of about 100 Hz to 30 Hz. Two linearly polarized laser beam swith parallel polarizations intersecting at a (full) angle of 38 degrees at the position of the BEC provide a sinusoidal optical lattice potential of the form V (z) = V_0 sin^2 (z=d) with period d = 1.2 m. This

lattice is superimposed onto the harm onic potential of the magnetic trap. The detuning of the lattice beam s from the atom ic resonance is 30 GHz and the intensity around $10 \text{ mW} \text{ cm}^2$ (for a beam waist of 1.8 mm), leading to a lattice depth V₀ of about $15 \text{ E}_{\text{rec}}$, measured in units of the lattice recoil energy $\text{E}_{\text{rec}} = h^2^{-2} = (2 \text{ md}^2)$ (where m is the mass of the rubidium atom s).

In an experimental cycle, after creating the BEC the optical lattice is switched on with a linear ramp of duration = 1 m s and then left at the nal lattice depth for 1 m s. Thereafter, it is suddenly switched o and the BEC is allowed to evolve inside the magnetic trap for a duration t. The switch-on time is chosen such that, in the B loch band picture [3], the BEC remains in the ground state of the band structure. With respect to the magnetic trap frequencies $!_i$, however, the switching-on procedure is non-adiabatic as $1 = !_i$. In this way, we "hit" the BEC with the comb-like lattice potential. A fler the evolution time t, the magnetic trap is also switched o and the expanded BEC is observed after a time-of- ight of 21 m s. The experimental protocol is illustrated in Fig. 1.

3. Experim ental results

W hen a BEC is released from an optical lattice (in the absence of a magnetic trap), after a time-of- ight t_{TOF} the periodic spatial pattern of the condensate density produces an interference pattern that is composed of a central peak and side-peaks at positions $z_{side} = 2nv_{ec}t_{TOF}$, where $v_{rec} = h = (2m d)$ is the lattice recoil velocity and n is an integer [1, 2, 6]. Form odest lattice depths (< $20 E_{rec}$) only the side-peaks corresponding to n = 1 will be visible as the higher-order peaks are suppressed by the nite width of the condensates expanding from the individual wells. The population of the side-peaks relative to that of the central peak can be used to infer the widths of the locally trapped condensates and hence the lattice depth [3].

For the present experimental investigation with release from the optical lattice into them agnetic trap, the periodic spatial pattern created by the optical lattice produces the interference pattern described above, but that pattern is modiled by the magnetic trap evolution. Figure 2 shows the interference pattern (monitored after the time of light and integrated along the direction perpendicular to the lattice direction) for various evolution times inside the magnetic trap after the lattice is switched o. We observe two side-peaks whose positions vary in time as the released condensate evolves inside the magnetic trap. This behaviour is also evident in Fig. 3 (a). Here, we have plotted the position of the two side-peaks [14] relative to the central peak (in order to account for residual sloshing of the condensate) as a function of t. The unequal heights of the two side-peaks in Fig. 2 are due to sloshing (and hence a non-zero initial velocity relative to the lattice) of the condensate inside the magnetic trap before the lattice is switched on.

In Fig. 3 (b), the widths $_{jj}$ and $_{?}$ of the central peak along and perpendicular to the lattice direction, respectively, are shown as a function of time. One clearly sees

Hitting a BEC with a comb

oscillations of both the radial and the longitudinal widths.

4. D iscussion

An optical lattice creates a regular spatial pattern of fragmented condensates, each of them being trapped by the local m in in um of the lattice potential. When the optical lattice is switched o, the matter waves emitted from each individual condensate interfere. In the far eld, the emission from a one-dimensional array of coherent sources produces a regular di raction pattern with a central maximum and symmetric, equally spaced side-peaks. The separation between the central peak and the side-peaks is determined by the periodic spatial pattern of the condensate. The periodic evolution inside the magnetic trap of the condensate spatial pattern initially created by the optical lattice produces the observed oscillation of the side peaks.

The theoretical analysis of ref.[11] provides a simple picture for the interpretation oscillatory motion of the side-peaks. When the optical lattice is removed, the interference of the condensate spatial pattern with initial period d inside the magnetic trap produces a periodic pattern with a central peak located at x = 0 and two side peaks. This fragmented structure of the condensate experiences the connement of the harm onic magnetic trap potential. As a consequence, the two side-peaks execute an oscillatory motion. The three-peak structure experimentally observed after the time of ight is produced by the three-peak fragmentation of the condensate taking place inside

the magnetic trap when the optical lattice is removed.

The observed motion of the two side-peaks can be explained by considering independently the evolution inside the magnetic trap of the three interference peaks created after switching o the optical lattice [11]. Since the lattice transfers momentum to the condensate in units of $2p_{rec} = 2m v_{rec}$, the side-peaks initially move with velocities

 $2y_{\text{rec}}$ at t = 0 and then individually perform a harm onic motion of the form

$$z(t) = \frac{h}{m!_{z}d} \sin(!_{z}t);$$
 (1)

from which their expected positions after a time-of- ight can be easily calculated. The intuitive picture of the side-peaks moving like individual BECs is only valid once the condensates at the lattice sites have expanded su ciently to overlap with each other and hence produce the three-peak interference pattern. For our experimental parameters, this time is less than 0.1 m s. In Fig. 3 (a), we have tted the sinusoidal function of Eq. 1 for the expected position to the experimental points. The tted values for $!_z$ and d agree to within the experimental error with values obtained independently from measurements of the dipole (sloshing) frequency of a single condensate and the lattice spacing quoted above, respectively. The oscillation amplitude $h=(m !_z d)$ 20 m is about twice as large as the Thom as Ferm i radius of the condensate inside the magnetic trap for the parameters of the present experiment.

A part from the appearance of interference peaks along the lattice directions, one also expects to see shape oscillations of the condensate in the radial directions, as during

the lattice phase the condensate gets broken up into individual condensates localized at the lattice sites (for $V_0 = 15 E_{rec}$, the width of a wave-packet inside a lattice well is

0:17d). When the lattice is suddenly switched o, the elective trapping frequency in the lattice direction jumps from $2600 \, \text{Hz}$ (the harmonic frequency at a lattice site) to $30 \, \text{Hz}$. The condensate will, therefore, start expanding along the lattice direction and subsequently perform breathing oscillations inside the magnetic trap. As the degrees of freedom of the condensate are coupled through the non-linear interaction term in the G ross-P itaevskii equation, the condensate will start breathing in the radial directions as well.

In practice, we expect to nd frequency components corresponding to the breathing motions in the three directions of the trap in the evolution of all three in-trap widths [15]. A fler releasing the condensate from the trap, the in-trap width of the central interference peak will still be directly rejected in the radial direction (after taking into account the expansion), whereas the width in the lattice direction is not so easy to interpret because of interference e ects. Fig. 3 shows a t to $_2$ using a tting function with three sinusoidal oscillations with frequencies in the ratio of the three harm onic frequencies of our TOP-trap and independent amplitudes. This t gives a frequency of 59:5Hz for the dom inant contribution, corresponding to $2 = \frac{1}{2} = (2)$. A simple sinusoidal t to the $_2$ -data gives a similar result for the frequency, but ts the experimental points considerably less well. The radial widths of the two side-peaks oscillate with the same frequency as the central peak (and with the same e phase).

For the longitudinal width $_{jj}$, we have used a simple sinusoidal t, giving a frequency of 49 Hz 1:6 $\frac{1}{2}$ =(2). As mentioned above, $_{jj}$ does not simply reject the in-trap longitudinal width of the individual condensates but is determined by the interference between all of them.

5. Conclusion and outlook

In this work, we have investigated the evolution inside a magnetic trap of a condensate "hit" by a periodic potential. The condensate evolution inside the magnetic trap produces a three peak fragmented structure of the condensate. Those peaks perform an oscillating motion at the magnetic trap frequency along the lattice direction, as viewed after the time-of- ight. Apart from the appearance of side-peaks, one can also study the breathing motion of the central interference peak. In this sense, the present work ties in with the investigations of collective modes of BECs [17, 18]. So far, both experimental and theoretical results have been obtained for low -lying modes of a condensate in a magnetic trap, including breathing modes [19], surface modes [20] and the scissors mode [21]. As in the present work, in these experiments the collective modes were typically excited by a sudden change in the trap frequency or geometry, and the frequency and damping rate of the subsequent oscillations were measured either in situ or after a time-of- ight.

In ref. [11], the e ect of interactions on the evolution of the various peaks moving

Hitting a BEC with a comb

inside the trap was discussed. The mean eld interaction should produce a deviation for the motion of the side-peaks from the simple oscillating motion at frequency $!_z$. It would be interesting to investigate the elect of the mean eld on the widths of the peaks after a time-of-ight and to compare these results to the non-interacting case. Furthermore, it should be investigated whether the mean eld interaction could produce soliton-like features with the spatial pattern of the condensate and preserving its shape.

In future experiments, our method could be used to study in more detail the frequency spectrum of the radial breathing oscillations, requiring data for several oscillation cycles to give a reasonable resolution, as well as possible dam ping mechanism s both for the radial oscillations and the oscillations of the side-peaks. In our experiments, for t > 15 m s, corresponding to the rst "collision" of the side-peaks inside the trap, we see collisional habes which hint at a possible dam ping mechanism.

A cknow ledgm ents

This work was supported by the MURST (PRIN 2000 Initiative), the INFM (Progetto diRicerca Avanzata Photonm atter'), and by the EU through the Cold Quantum Gases Network, Contract No. HPRN-CT-2000-00125.0 M.gratefully acknow ledges nancial support from the EU within the IHP Programme.

References

- [1] B.P.Anderson and M.Kasevich 1998, Science 282, 1686
- [2] O.Morsch, J.H.Muller, M.Cristiani, D.Ciampini, and E.Arimondo 2001, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 140402
- [3] M. Cristiani, O. Morsch, J.H. Muller, D. Ciampini, and E. Arimondo 2002, Phys. Rev. A 65, 063612
- [4] D.M. Stamper-Kum, A.P. Chikkatur, A. Gorlitz, S. Inouye, S. Gupta, D.E. Pritchard, and W.Ketterle 1999, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 2876
- [5] J. Steinhauer, K. O zeri, N. Katz, and N. Davidson 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 120407
- [6] F.S. Cataliotti, S. Burger, C. Fort, P. Maddaloni, F. Minardi, A. Trombettoni, A. Smerzi, and M. Inguscio 2001, Science 293, 843
- [7] C.Orzel, A.K. Tuchman, M.L. Fenselau, M.Yasuda, and M.A.Kasevich 2001, Science 291, 5512
- [8] M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. Esslinger, T. W. Hansch, and I. Bloch 2002, Nature (London) 415, 6867
- [9] M. Greiner, O. Mandel, T. W. ansch, and I. Bloch 2002, Nature (London) 419, 51
- [10] O. Morsch, M. Cristiani, J.H. Muller, D. Ciampini, and E. Arimondo 2002, Phys. Rev. A 66, 021601 (R)
- [11] H.Xiong, S.Liu, G.Huang, and Z.Xu 2002, cond-m at/0203021
- [12] S.K. Adikhari and P.M uruganandam 2002, cond-m at/0209429
- [13] J.H.Muller, D.Ciampini, O.Morsch, G.Smirne, M.Fazzi, P.Verkerk, F.Fuso, and E.Arimondo 2000, J.Phys. B: Atom. Mol. Opt. Phys. 33, 4095
- [14] A fler the st "crossing" at t 10m s, we have swapped the labels "left" and "right" for the side-peaks to account for the intuitive picture of the "right" sidepeak appearing to the left of the central peak (after a time-of- ight) after inverting its velocity inside the trap.
- [15] This is also con med by a simple simulation in which the scaling equations of Castin et al. [16] are integrated for a triaxial trap whose z-frequency changes suddenly from 2600H z to 30 H z, thus representing the motion of one single condensate released from a lattice site.

- [16] Y.Castin and R.Dum 1996, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 5315
- [17] M D. Mewes, M R. Andrews, N J. van Druten, D M. Kum, D S. Durfee, C G. Townsend, and W. Ketterle 1996, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 988
- [18] D.S.Jin, M.R.Matthews, J.R.Ensher, C.E.W iem an, and E.A.Comell 1996, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 764
- [19] F. Chevy, V. Bretin, P. Rosenbusch, K W . Madison, and J. Dalibard 2002, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 250402
- [20] R.Onofrio, D.S.Durfee, C.Raman, M.Kohl, C.E.Kuklewicz, and W.Ketterle 2000, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 810
- [21] O M . Marago, SA. Hopkins, J. Arlt, E. Hodby, G. Hechenblaikner, and C.J. Foot 2000, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2056



F igure 1. Experim entalprotocol. A fler creating a BEC in the magnetic trap (a), the optical lattice is switched on (b) for 1 m s. When the lattice is switched o again (c), the dimension of entum classes created by the lattice evolve freely inside the harm onic potential. This evolution is then monitored by switching on the magnetic trap and observing the BEC affer a time-of ight (d).



F igure 2. Evolution of the interference pattern (integrated perpendicular to the lattice direction) of a condensate released from the magnetic trap without (a) and after applying an optical lattice ((b)-(f)) for 1 m s. The evolution times inside the trap for (b)-(f) are 0:1, 6, 8, 10 and 18 m s, respectively.



F igure 3. Condensate evolution inside the magnetic trap after suddenly switching o the optical lattice. The harmonic motion of the sidepeaks is visible in (a). The solid and dashed sinusoidal curves with the smaller amplitude represent the positions of the sidepeaks inside the trap as calculated from the parameters extracted from the t to the experimental points after a time-of-ight. The horizontal dotted and dashed lines indicate the width of the condensate inside the trap and after a time-of-ight of 21 m s, respectively. In (b), the widths perpendicular to (lled symbols) and along (open symbols) the lattice direction are shown along with the value measured without the optical lattice (dashed horizontal line). The solid and dashed sinusoidal curves are sine- ts to the experimental points; in (b), the solid line is a tusing three frequencies (see text).